

EFSA Stakeholder Meeting 7 February 2008

Draft Scientific Opinion on Food Safety, Animal Health and Welfare and Environmental Impact of **Animals Derived from Cloning**

Commission request for an opinion on Animal Cloning



Terms of reference:

...to advise on **food safety**, **animal health**, **animal welfare** and **environmental implications** of live cloned animals, obtained through somatic cell nucleus transfer technique (SCNT), their **offspring** and the **products** obtained from those animals.

Process and Timeframe



- EFSA received draft mandate (Feb 2007) for an opinion by August 2007
- Acceptance of mandate and timeframe after discussion with EFSA Scientific Committee (April 2007)
- Collection of data via web call (April-May 2007)
- Establishment of a working group under the aegis of the Scientific Committee to draft opinion
- Scientific Committee to agree on draft opinion for public consultation (19 Dec 2007)
- Public consultation, from 11 January, Deadline 25 Feb 2008
- Finalisation, adoption and publication of opinion (Expected May 2008)

EFSA Working group



Composed of 14 experts covering the following areas:

- animal reproduction technology
- genetic characterisation
- animal health
- animal welfare
- toxicology
- risk assessment

EFSA Webpage:

http://www.efsa.europa.eu/EFSA/efsa_locale-1178620753812_1178625241264.htm

Sections in the Opinion



- Introduction
- Animal breeding and reproductive techniques
- Epigenetic and genetic aspects
- Animal health and welfare implications
- Safety of meat and milk from clones and their progeny
- Impact on the environment and genetic diversity
- Conclusions and recommendations

Summary – Breeding and SCNT



- Cloning by SCNT has been applied to several animal species but, given the available data, it was only possible to make a scientific assessment for cattle and pigs.
- Cloning differs from other modes of reproduction because it is asexual.
- Cloning has its use in animal breeding programs where it allows the introduction of proven desirable characteristics (such as disease resistance) and the propagation of animals regardless of their fertility.
- SCNT allows the reproduction of animals with a known phenotype from a single animal.

Summary – Epigenetic aspects



- Failure of the epigenetic reprogramming, which may occur to varying degrees, is the source of potential adverse health effects which may affect clones and may result in developmental abnormalities.
- The normal health status of clones is the main indicator of the functioning of epigenetic reprogramming.

Summary - Health



 For surrogate dams, an increased proportion of pregnancy failure has been observed in cattle and pigs and increased frequencies of hydrops and dystocia have been observed especially in cattle.

(These effects have also been observed in surrogate dams carrying pregnancies induced by assisted reproductive technologies not involving SCNT, albeit at a lower frequency and often with less severity.)

 Mortality and morbidity rates in clones are higher than in sexually reproduced animals

Summary – Welfare, Food Safety



- The welfare of both the surrogate dam and the clone can be affected due to the adverse health outcomes observed.
- No difference exceeding the normal variability have been observed in the composition and nutritional value of meat and milk between healthy clones or the progeny of clones and their conventional counterpart.
- Food products from clones of cattle and pigs and their progeny are as safe as food products of livestock derived by conventional breeding.

Summary – Environment



 No expectation that clones or their progeny would pose any new or additional environmental risks compared with conventionally bred animals.

Conclusion

Based on current knowledge there is no expectation that clones or their progeny would introduce any new food safety risks compared with conventionally bred animals.

Recommendations



- The Scientific Committee recommends that the health and welfare of clones are monitored during their full natural life.
- It is acknowledged that other food species have also been produced via SCNT and risk assessments should be performed on these species when relevant data become available.
- The Scientific Committee also recommends that this opinion be updated in the light of developments with cloning and/or with new relevant data.
- Additional recommendations arising from the specific sections.

Comments received so far to EFSA public consultation



- EFSA asking for Scientific Comments
- The large majority, received so far are not scientific comments, but personal views, not supporting cloning
- Public Consultation ends February 25



Grazie!