



Feedback on questionnaire

Mark Clook, Pesticides Safety Directorate; UK

Valencia workshop 2007

What questionnaire?

- Who sent it?
- Why did we send it?
- Who did we send it to?
 - MS, ECPA and Commission
- What was in it?

Background (cont'd)

- **Who replied?**
 - 9 MS – AU, CZ, DK, SU, FR, LT, IRE, PT and NL
 - Commission
 - ECPA
- **Go through responses**
 - not in detail!
 - highlight key areas of consensus/differences
 - what is happening next?

Question 1

Do you have any national policies or protection goals relating to wild birds and mammals on farmland?

If so, please briefly outline them.

Do these policies specifically mention pesticide impacts or are they more general?

Most replied – NO

- if they did they didn't relate to pesticides/farmland

What are we going to do?

- No clearly defined protection goals

Question 2

Are you concerned about mortality of individual birds and mammals, if it is below the level that would affect population trends?

Would you be concerned about individual mortality if it was visible (e.g. animals dying in public places, or in noticeable numbers)?

If you would like impacts estimated at population level, would you like this to be done at the level of the population on agricultural land, regional populations, the national population, or the whole species population?

ECPA + Commission = not individuals but populations

MS – *yes but* depends on species, level of mortality
(visibility of mortalities)

So what are we going to do?

MS want an indication of level of mortality but
consequences of that mortality at the population level
needs to be highlighted.

Question 3

Would you like to have an estimate of potential impacts at population level?

If so, would you like this to be done at the level of local populations (around the site of application), the total population on agricultural land, regional populations, the national population, or the global population of a species?

MS + Commission + ECPA = YES

Scale of effect and what population

So what are we going to do?

Provide information at the local/consumer level as well as population modelling

Question 4

Should risk assessment take account of indirect effects, i.e. effects on birds due to pesticide removing its food supply or habitat?

Responses

ECPA – IEP already covered

Commission – not feasible in the timelines

MS – Yes but...

So what are going to do?

Provide a brief comment

Question 5

Should risk assessment consider the combined effects of two or more active substances in a single formulated product?

Would you like an assessment of the potential importance of such effects?

Responses

Yes BUT

animal testing

restrict to certain combinations of a.s.

use Finney

case-by-case

So what will we do?

Provide a clear way forward on this issue trying to address the above points

Question 6

Should the risk assessment consider the possibility of combined risks of multiple products, adjuvants, synergists and safeners used in tank mixes?

Should the risk assessment consider the possibility of combined risks of multiple products, adjuvants, synergists and safeners used in successive applications to the same field?

Should the risk assessment consider the possibility of combined risks of multiple products, adjuvants, synergists and safeners used on adjacent fields?

Responses

Yes BUT

research required

wider than just birds and mammals

covered by uncertainty factor

not covered by uncertainty factor

So what will we do?

Not going to address this due to the need for research etc