

Regulation 1099/2009 on the protection of animals at the time of killing Amending Annex I

EFSA guidance on assessment criteria for stunning interventions

Brussels June 2015

Denis Simonin

Animal Welfare

Directorate for Health and Food Safety







Regulation 1099/2009

Article 2 (f) defines stunning as:

"Any intentionally induced process wich causes loss of consciousness and sensibility without pain, including any process resulting in instantateneous death."

- Article 4 (1) states that:
 - stunning is compulsory
 - stunning = complying with Annex I
 - Loss of consciousness shall be maintained until death
 - "simple stunning" must be completed by a killing procedure (bleeding, pithing, electrocution, etc.)





Regulation 1099/2009 - Annex I

Lists authorised stunning methods and their related specifications

Name: "penetrative captive bolt"

Description: " severe and irreversible damage of the brain.... Simple stunning.

Conditions of use: "All species" "Slaughter, depopulation and other situations"

Key parameters: "maximum stick to stun interval"

Reference to Chapter II: not applicable

Chapter II includes possible additional technical requirements





Amending Annex I

Article 4 (2) defines the conditions for amending Annex I:

Annex I may be amended to take account of scientific and technical progress on the basis of an opinion of EFSA and in accordance with the procedure referred to in Article 25(2).

Any such amendments shall ensure a level of animal welfare at least equivalent to that ensured by the existing methods.





Amending Annex I

- Never been experienced till now.
- Recital 20 refers to

"Measuring the lack of consciousness and sensibility of an animal is complex and needs to be performed under scientifically approved methodology"

 EFSA is mandated for assessing this aspect on the basis of the criteria of Article 4 (2)



Amending in practice 1

- The Commission needs on a proposed new stunning method/parameters the following assessment from EFSA:
 - To which extent the information on the <u>loss of</u> <u>consciousness and</u> <u>sensibility</u> has been obtained <u>under a</u> <u>scientifically approved methodology</u>?
 - To which extent the proposed changes can ensure a level of animal welfare <u>at least equivalent to that ensured by the</u> <u>existing methods?</u>
 - Which <u>requirements</u> should be possibly <u>attached</u> to the proposed method/parameters with regards to the various aspects laid down in Annex I (definition, scope, key parameters, etc.)





Amending in practice 2

- Discussion around the procedural steps:
 - The **EFSA assesses the risks** in terms of animal welfare (see previous slide)?
 - The Commission decides the level of acceptable risks in the light of various factors:
 - Le level of risk of existing methods/parameters;
 - Technical feasibility of proposed methods/parameters;
 - Economic feasibility of proposed methods/parameters;
 - Social acceptance of proposed methods/parameters.





Thank you for your attention!

