

Final Minutes

64th MEETING OF THE EFSA ADVISORY FORUM EFSA, Parma, Italy, 8-9 June 2017

Chair: Bernhard Url

Members	
Austria	<i>Klemens Fuchs</i>
Belgium	<i>Benoît Horion</i>
Bulgaria	<i>Donka Popova</i>
Croatia	<i>Andrea Gross-Bošković</i>
Cyprus	<i>Popi Kanari</i>
Czech Republic	<i>Jitka Götzová</i>
Denmark	<i>Flemming Bager</i>
Estonia	<i>Martin Minjajev</i>
Finland	<i>Matti Aho</i>
France	<i>Salma Elreedy</i>
Germany	<i>Andreas Hensel</i>
Greece	<i>Eirini Tsigarida</i>
Hungary	<i>Ákos Bernard Józwiak</i>
Ireland	<i>Wayne Anderson</i>
Italy	<i>Alessandra Perrella</i>
Latvia	<i>Vadims Bartkevičs</i>
Luxembourg	<i>Nathalie Welschbillig</i>
Netherlands	<i>Antoon Opperhuizen</i>
Norway	<i>Gisle Solstad</i>
Portugal	<i>Pedro Portugal Gaspar</i>
Slovak Republic	<i>Zuzana Bírošová</i>
Slovenia	<i>Urška Blaznik</i>
Spain	<i>Ana Canals Caballero</i>
Sweden	<i>Per Bergman</i>
United Kingdom	<i>Javier Dominguez</i>

Observers	
Albania	<i>Pamela Radovani</i>
Bosnia-Herzegovina	<i>Dzemil Hajric</i>
FYR of Macedonia	<i>Katerina Matikj</i>

Serbia	<i>Tamara Boskovic</i>
Turkey	<i>Serap Hanci</i>
European Commission	<i>Lorenzo Terzi</i>
European Commission	<i>Jeannie Vergnettes</i>

EFSA Representatives

AF Secretariat:

Jeffrey Moon; Julia Finger

<i>Stef Bronzwaer</i>	<i>Valeriu Curtui</i>
<i>Guilhem De Seze</i>	<i>Hubert Deluyker</i>
<i>Barbara Gallani</i>	<i>Juliane Kleiner</i>
<i>Irina Olaru</i>	<i>Giuseppe Stancanelli</i>
<i>Jose Tarazona</i>	<i>Hans Verhagen</i>

Guest Speakers

Sheila Kennedy, European Medicines Agency from EMA

Ángeles Rodríguez-Peña, the president of the European cooperation Science and Technology (COST)

Nicole Gollnick, BfR, Co-Chair of the Advisory Forum discussion group (AFDG) on International Capacity Building

Apologies:

Iceland, Malta, Lithuania, Romania, Poland, Slovenia and Montenegro.

1. WELCOME AND OPENING OF THE MEETING

Bernhard Url, Executive Director (ED) of the European Food Safety Authority (EFSA) and Chair of the meeting opened the 64th Advisory Forum (AF) meeting at the EFSA offices in Parma, Italy.

Bernhard welcomed new member Salma Elreedy (alternate member representing France) and Donka Popova (Bulgarian Focal Point member attending on behalf of Prof. Boyko Likov).

Bernhard Url noted that the minutes of the 63rd AF meeting had been approved by written procedure and published on EFSA's website on 25th April 2017.

In line with the requirements on independence members were asked for any additional Oral Declarations of Interest (ODoIs) and none were declared.

2. ADOPTION OF THE AGENDA

The draft meeting agenda was tabled for additional items. Additional items were proposed under AOB from EFSA (EUFORA), Netherlands (Tetrodotoxin, TTX) and Belgium (Exchange of information on EMRISK).

It was proposed and agreed that members should notify the secretariat of any proposals for strategic topics to be discussed at future meetings.

The agenda was adopted.

Action 1: EFSA to initiate open call for Members to suggest strategic topics.

3. MATTERS ARISING

3.a Action Points from last meeting

The Action Points from the last meeting were provided to participants with an update on progress. No particular issues were raised.

3.b ED country visits

Since the last AF meeting in March, the ED had visited Bulgaria and Iceland. Bulgaria gave an overview of the review of visit which took place on 4-6 April 2017. Owing to the absence of Iceland, Hans Verhagen, Director of RASA, EFSA gave feedback on the Iceland visit which took place on 15 May 2017.

4. ED VISIT PROJECTS

With 3 years of ED visits to different countries, many projects have initiated as a result and three countries provided updates on their projects.

Denmark detailed the outcomes of a risk-benefit workshop held on 3-5 May 2017 involving 24 participants from 10 countries. The outcomes will be detailed in a report and scientific paper with the longer term goal being a harmonized approach to risk benefit analysis and a tool for risk management.

Spain provided information on the Ciguatera project, highlighting the need for continuing the information flow on case reports and questionnaire, with a request for MS contact points from those countries which have not already provided them (by the end of June). The discussion centred on the collection of information on outbreaks and the importance of national information (from general practitioner reports) as well as that from ECDC.

Action 2: MS who have not done so to send contact details of national Ciguatera contact points to Spain

Germany summarised the different activities associated with the roll out of the Food Chain-Lab project, providing feedback from the workshop held immediately before the AF meeting on 7 June, during which a World Café session was held to gain further insight into the four topics associated with a framework partnership agreement on Food Chain Traceability (Risk Assessment Tools for the Safety of Global Food and Feed Supply Chains). On a question from the Netherlands on the approach to openness and access of models, Bernhard Url agreed that this should be discussed further at a future meeting along with more information on the use and maintenance of the Knowledge Junction. The UK, Finland and Spain raised further points on the use of open data and the challenges it posed.

Action 3: MS to collect contact details for the three main topics of the food chain lab by end of June

Belgium requested an update on the strategic project on data collection involving the establishment of 'data stewards' in the MS. Hans Verhagen advised that the Data Quality Pilot project involving 5 countries was ongoing and further information could be provided at the December meeting.

Following the country updates, Stef Bronzwaer provided an overview of all joint projects ongoing with Member States (MS) through an interactive presentation. It was agreed that the interactive slide presentation will be made available to all members.

5. COMMUNICATIONS

Barbara Gallani outlined recent Communications activities, in particular the first Stakeholder meeting held on 30-31 May and the 2nd CEN meeting earlier in the week which focused on social science in communications. Barbara also described the proposals for an EU Insights study on sugar following the 2016 survey on AMR.

Germany, Sweden and Ireland noted that the proposed topics were very much in EFSA's interest, but that different countries had different interests, with Sweden noting that the Opinion on sugar was not due until 2019 and the timing of this should be considered.

Spain supported the choice of sugar while the Czech Republic preferred an alternative topic. Barbara noted member's comments for consideration in future discussions. Bernhard Url raised the question of whether the EU Insights study was best value or whether the EU Barometer survey allowed better comparison of attitudes in the longer term. The Netherlands supported the use of the EU Barometer.

Germany noted the use of Delphi like studies for evaluating perception and Finland suggested a concise proposal for what will be included in the EU Insights study.

It was agreed further details of the proposed study would be provided and a joint meeting of the CEN and AF that would be arranged in 2018.

Action 4: EFSA to organise Joint Meeting with CEN in 2018

6. INTERNATIONAL AND PRE-ACCESSION COOPERATION

AFDG on International Capacity Building

Nicole Gollnick provided an update on approach and activities of the Advisory Forum Discussion Group (AFDG) reminding members of the development and content of the Terms of Reference (ToR) and explaining the use of a questionnaire to MS via the Focal Points to gather information on international capacity building activities, in particular teaching activities. Thus far the AFDG concentrated on capacity building activities in or involving colleagues from non-EU countries. However, the discussion revealed the importance and need to also further develop the EFSA and MS strategy for RA capacity building within the EU. Therefore, Bernhard Url requested that the AFDG considers widening the scope of its work and to adapt the ToR accordingly.

The next meeting of the Discussion Group is to take place on 28-29 June in Berlin.

International Cooperation MS perspective

Portugal gave an overview of the different initiatives, with examples and goals of the activities in Portuguese speaking countries including Cape Verde, East Timor, Mozambique and Sao Tome and Principe highlighting the importance of bilateral international cooperation for Portugal in relation to the trade in specific commodities.

Pre-Accession Programme (IPA) support and Capacity Building

Croatia detailed experience of journey from pre-accession country to EU MS providing an overview of the different activities the country was involved in both as a pre-accession country and MS including EFSA's scientific networks and data collection initiatives and support they are now offering to other pre-accession countries through expert visits as part of the EFSA programme and the Commission's TAIEX programme.

Future Planning

To supplement the information from MS, Stef Bronzwaer briefly noted the details on the 18 month continuation of EFSA's Pre-Accession programme, potentially until 2021, but with less funding than currently is the case.

7. CAPACITY BUILDING

EMA Trainers' Network

Sheila Kennedy from EMA presented by videoconference the details of the EU Network Training Center. Sheila stated the objectives of the network, how it was established and governed and the general arrangement of the programme development and timelines.

Finland, Germany and The Netherlands appreciated the information on the work being done and questioned how it would be possible to learn from the EMA experience, noting the different target groups and consider how the concept could be developed between EFSA and the MS. The Commission noted possible links with training initiatives such as BTSF, TAIEX, SPS and the need to consider all existing activities in a mapping analysis.

Bernhard Url agreed the usefulness of such an approach as outlined and suggested further discussions to agree how to develop the concept.

Action 5: EFSA to consider further follow-up on the Training Network

8. EXPERT EMPLOYERS' SURVEY AND PANEL RENEWAL

Hubert Deluyker presented the draft report on the Expert Employers' Survey and detailed the main findings from the survey, noting that the paper was an evidence paper and not a policy paper and the findings would need to be considered further in relation to renewal of panels and the sustainability of the panel system.

The Netherlands, Sweden, Czech Republic and Germany raised questions on the sustainability of the system and the need to consider the costs and benefits for the MS as well as EFSA. Germany proposed further discussions in the Forum on the implications of the findings. Spain noted the advantage of the panel system in relation to peer review and Bernhard Url noted members' comments on the need for engaging with risk managers on the issue and the need for political support for spending on risk assessment in Europe, which is challenging in current economic climate. In closing, Bernhard advised members that any additional comments in writing would need to be made by 19 June.

Action 6: MS to comment on Expert Employer Survey report by 19 June

Juliane Kleiner provided information on the call for experts as part of the 2018 panel renewal system and encouraged members to support the call however possible. Juliane outlined the tools available to support applicants and those who can promote the call, advising that registration will be until 8 September.

9. RISK ASSESSMENT ACTIVITIES

Hans Verhagen introduced the first part of the session on the information exchange on risk assessment activities thanking the MS for continuing to provide updates on their activities, noting work on Norovirus in Oysters (Greece), Chronic Wasting Disease (Norway), AMR (France) and Neuro 2, method development for the detection and determination of marine biotoxins (Germany).

Hans also requested that any member carrying out work on BMAA (beta-Methylamino-L-alanine) to inform EFSA as this an area of interest to the Scientific Committee and

Emerging Risks Unit.

Juliane Kleiner tabled the draft document "Development and acceptance of Member States requests for Scientific Opinions addressed to EFSA" (workflow on MS Mandates) and outlined the development process and main issues. As agreed with the Advisory Forum last year, a volunteer group of members (Ireland, Cyprus, Norway and Sweden) assisted in the drafting of the document. Due to time constraints this was not discussed at the last meeting.

Members agreed the contents of the document. Juliane noted that the exact type of document would be further discussed within EFSA to ensure it would be accessible and useable and welcomed any final comments in writing to the secretariat by 23 June.

Norway presented an update in the risk assessment on Chronic Wasting Disease (CWD) in the Norwegian reindeer population and acknowledged the ongoing discussions with EFSA on the issue. To a question from Germany, Norway confirmed the action being taken was an attempt at eradication. Finland raised the issue of the unknown transmission of the disease in moose.

Cyprus presented the risk assessment of nitrates in the Cypriot population and relevant uncertainties. Finland welcomed the application of uncertainty in the study and noted the usefulness of probabilistic models rather than deterministic. Cyprus stated that developments were under way for the IMPRORISK model to be used in a probabilistic mode.

Guilhem De Seze opened discussion on risk assessment issues on regulated products, noting ongoing work on BPA with ECHA due to make comment on the endocrine activity of the substance and the upcoming publication of a statement on Glyphosate. On question from the Netherlands, Guilhem confirmed that the activities of EFSA and ECHA were aligned.

Guilhem updated members on the added sugars mandate noting that the mandate had been accepted in March 2017, and a project plan (charter) has been developed which was available in the public Register of Questions, the deadline for delivering the opinion being February 2020. The NDA Panel has agreed to establish an ad-hoc working group for added sugars and EFSA is establishing the working group on added sugars with potential members being identified and their DoIs under evaluation.

Guilhem to remind the members that all EFSA current and upcoming public consultations are published on the EFSA website with a question to members on which MS have similar arrangements and to encourage members to adopt a similar approach of sharing information on current and forthcoming consultations. Sweden noted their approach of selected full public consultations. Germany supported the EFSA approach of targeted MS consultations and the UK agreed to provide further details on the FSA public consultation procedures.

Action 7: MS to send information on planned activities BMAA;

Action 8: MS to comment on workflow for MS mandates within 2 weeks

Action 9: UK to send procedures for public consultation in UK

10. ENDOCRINE DISRUPTING SUBSTANCES – GUIDANCE DEVELOPMENT

Jose Tarazona, Head of the Pesticides Unit, provided details on the guidance document for the implementation of the hazard-based criteria to identify endocrine disruptors.

Jose outlined the next steps, including a second round of consultation likely by mid-July, including MS and stakeholders for about six weeks followed by a public consultation in October.

Following the consultation, further discussion will be planned for the December meeting.

Sweden and Finland commented on the development timeframes, noting that criteria had yet to be agreed at Commission level. Hans Verhagen took note of a question on the use of epidemiological data and informed members that the draft opinion of the PPR Panel on the follow-up of the findings of the external scientific report "Literature review of epidemiological studies linking exposure to pesticides and health effects" was presented to the Scientific Committee (SC) during its last plenary meeting in April. The SC was invited to provide comments to ensure that work from other Panels dealing with epidemiological studies took a common approach and the draft PPR opinion will be published for public consultation in the coming months.

11. PLANT HEALTH – PANEL AND UNIT ACTIVITIES

Mike Jeger, Chair of the Plant Health Panel, presented the main activities of EFSA PLH Panel until 2020, including the 2-step pest risk assessment, the pest categorisations, the new quantitative risk assessment methodology and the PLH Panel support to outbreaks/global threats such as *Xylella fastidiosa*. On methodology, details were provided on a new template and project management put in place in 2017 ensuring efficient and timely delivery of 133 pest categorisations (of which there are 4 large groups) and on the new PLH guidance on quantitative risk assessment method for public consultation and adoption in the first half of 2018.

Giuseppe Stancanelli, Plant Health Team Leader, presented the main plant health activities of EFSA's ALPHA Unit until 2020, including support to outbreaks/global threats; outsourcing to reduce key risk assessment uncertainties; European workshops on *X. fastidiosa*; development of plant health pesticides derogation protocols; social media/twitter plant health account management and new webpage on *X. fastidiosa*; horizon scanning by media and literature monitoring of plant pests. The horizon scanning mandate, where the media monitoring is already ongoing and the literature monitoring will start in July 2017, aims to complete its pilot by end of 2017 and will continue running until 2020.

Finland, Germany, Sweden and the Netherlands raised questions on the plant health surveillance system, gene technology and plant pest resistance and the use of media monitoring for horizon scanning. Mike Jeger and Giuseppe Stancanelli provided extra details on the issues noting that the media monitoring system has had a history of use in plant health with cooperation between EFSA and JRC, and is now being further developed under a mandate by DG SANTE.

Stef Bronzwaer suggested that it was possible for AF members to subscribe to the PLH horizon scanning daily and monthly pilot outputs and reminded members of the Thematic Grant call that was currently running in relation to monitoring of airborne plant pathogens and risk based plant health surveillance in the EU.

Action 10: EFSA to send instruction on how to subscribe to PLH Media Monitoring

Action 11: MS to promote call on thematic grant

13. RESEARCH AND COLLABORATION

EURAA

Hungary provided feedback from a teleconference with the AF discussion group on EU RAA held on 18 May, thanking the members who took part. The discussion was very positive

with details on the roles of the lead countries and other actors being clarified. Current actions include clustering of similar proposals and agreeing that for new proposals there will always be possibility for extra projects to be added, but there will also be an annual cycle of reviewing projects. While some countries have proposed prioritisation of projects, this was not considered feasible at EU level and it will be left to members whether they wish to carry out their own prioritisation exercise to take account of national interests and resources.

On previous request from AF members for written support for projects the group noted that EFSA will draft a general letter of support for EU Risk Assessment Agenda priorities that can be used by project proponents/members when approaching potential funders but it was made clear that such a letter will not lend support to specific project proposals.

The discussion group welcomed the proposal to combine the EU RAA conference with both the AF and the FP meetings early 2018, and welcomed a strategic and political dimension of the conference, highlighting the importance of public funding for food safety and risk assessment.

On question from France on involving other EU agencies, Hubert Deluyker suggested that this is a role that could be taken up with the EU ANSA network.

Barbara Gallani noted the possible roles for the CEN and FP networks on communicating within and between countries on their activities relating to the EURAA.

Action 12: EFSA to draft and circulate note in support of EURAA

COST

Ángeles Rodríguez-Peña, the president of European Cooperation in Science and Technology intergovernmental programme (COST), provided an overview of COST and possible cooperation / involvement of EFSA and national food authorities in the future. Ángeles explained that COST is a partly EU-funded programme that enables the setup of research networks named COST actions on specific scientific topics. Within these networks, funding is provided for conferences, meetings, training schools and short scientific exchanges. EFSA can engage with COST in two ways, by directly participating as an observer in networks with relevance to EFSA's work (e.g. on specific topics in the field of animal health, without receiving funding) and by encouraging the participation of partner organisations and experts in scientific networks.

France noted the proposal for a COST Action in relation to nutrивigilance which had been discussed in the Forum previously. Stef Bronzwaer noted that COST have also been invited to speak at the EU RAA Conference

EU ANSA

Hubert Deluyker introduced the EU Agencies Sub Network on Scientific Advice (EU ANSA) outlining the background and membership of the group and introduced the different activities of the group and approach on collecting and prioritizing knowledge gaps and research needs and priority setting strategy.

In response to questions on how MS can be involved, Hubert explained that all the Agencies worked through MS networks and it was possible for the MS to be involved in Steering Groups, for example. There was also increased awareness in the European Commission of the agencies role in contributing to priority setting for research and the framework programmes like Horizon 2020.

Germany noted that there was not a lack of ideas, but of coordination and posed the question of how the Forum could support the network. Hubert noted that no further structures were needed, just an integration of activities related to discussion on research and that a lot of research led to products such as models and software, which also needed

to be maintained in a sustainable way into the future.

On question from France on how the agencies interact with Horizon 2020 and the framework programmes to achieve a common position, Bernhard Url noted the annual exercise of EFSA to identify a number of priority topics, with other agencies doing similar exercises, proposing that the Forum could be a way of supporting input at an early development state of the next framework programme. The European Commission stated the desire for DG Santé to have a closer working relationship with DG Research. Spain proposed that DG Research be invited to a meeting in the future to discuss the development of the next framework programme.

On matters related to research, Germany presented the outcomes of two studies in the area of MRA: selected outcomes of the research consortia RESET and MedVet-Staph on specific questions related to antimicrobial resistance.

Sweden, Finland, UK and Denmark welcomed the information and the holistic approach taken and noted the different microbiological challenges faced by different countries.

Marta Hugas informed members of more recent comparable data which was harmonized, but not including MRSA, with a baseline study showing different situations in the MS. Stef Bronzwaer reminded members of the previous discussions on AMR in the Forum and a potential idea for a conference in the future.

14. REGULATED PRODUCTS – SCIENTIFIC NETWORKS

ToR - Novel Foods Network

Valeriu Curtui provided an overview of the establishment of the new Network on Novel Foods that was agreed at the last AF meeting. Since then the terms of reference (ToR) have been drafted and were presented for endorsement. The work plan was for 3 years with one physical meeting/year, the main objective to have a platform for collaboration between EFSA, the MS and the European Commission. A request had also been made to members to nominate organisations and representatives to the network. The first meeting is due to take place in November with nominations to be made by end of June.

Valeriu also mentioned that to support the work, a task grant to provide resources (staff) to work in EFSA on the topic was expected to be launched before July.

The members endorsed the terms of reference.

ToR - GMO Network

Irina Olaru, from the GMO unit, tabled the ToR for the GMO Network which was being updated according to the 3 year cycle of revision. The ToR had only minor changes. Germany raised the issue of the consideration of new methodologies and proposed that the mandate of the network be extended to include modern technologies like gene editing.

Bernhard Url stated that the current scope of the network was restricted to the regulatory definitions of GMO and agreed that an additional line could be included in the ToR to extend the remit to relevant areas.

The members endorsed the ToR subject to provision of additional detail to extend the remit of the group to consider related technologies.

Action 13: EFSA to update ToR for GMO Network reflecting the use of full range of technologies

15. 2017 CUSTOMER FEEDBACK EXERCISE WITH MEMBER STATES

Hans Verhagen introduced the proposal for the customer feedback exercise with MS that was carried out the first time in 2016. The feedback exercise was considered as valuable by EFSA and by the MS, with the suggestion to further expand the exercise for 2017 to additional MS and to include risk assessors as well as risk managers in the feedback.

The approach proposed for the 2017 MS customer feedback exercise is to go from an interview-based methodology to a questionnaire-based methodology, in order to enhance the participation of more MS. The scope of the exercise will be broader to consider aspects related to Key Performance Indicators (KPIs) linked to the Strategic Objectives of EFSA's Strategy 2020. Hans presented the timeline for the work which would be piloted before the end of August.

Juliane Kleiner noted that the external evaluation of EFSA would also be seeking views of the MS on similar matters.

Bernhard Url stressed the importance of differentiating between EFSA's primary and secondary customers and stated there would be potential for the two exercises to be integrated as far as possible.

Action 14: EFSA to harmonise customer feedback exercise with the external evaluation

16. MS INFORMATION

FSAI Strategy

Ireland left the meeting before the item was able to be taken and was not able to provide details on the presentation, but invited members to follow up later with any questions.

Optimisation of the information flow of EFSA scientific networks

Germany raised a discussion point on proposing ways for information from panels and networks to be provided to AF members ahead of meetings, with opportunity for discussing 'highly relevant' topics to be discussed in the Forum.

Finland, UK, France and Italy expressed varying views on the proposals, noting the difficulty of managing large amounts of information and the need for clear communications with no clear agreement being reached among members on the proposal.

Bernhard Url acknowledged the need for bringing issues forward for discussion at AF meetings and agreed to consider the issue further with the secretariat.

17. EU PRESIDENCY – INVITATION TO NEXT AF MEETING

Estonia outlined some key events planned during the EU Presidency with dates and invited members to the next meeting which would be held in Tallinn on 3-4 October.

18. AOB

Bernhard Url provided brief information on EFSA's Independence Policy which was being updated and had been discussed at previous meetings. The draft policy will be presented at the Management Board meeting later in June, the main significant change being that MS experts will need to comply fully with the Declaration on Interest requirements.

Germany announced the first details of a Joint International Symposium "Past, Present and Future Challenges in Risk Assessment – Strengthening Consumer Health Protection"

which will take place 30 November to 1 December 2017 in Berlin, organised by BfR, NIFD, ANSES and DTU.

The Netherlands updated members on the national opinion on TTX which followed the welcomed opinion from EFSA.

Austria, on behalf of the EU-FORA committee, provided brief feedback on the very successful launch of the first phase of the EU-FORA programme with thanks to all members who help support the initiative.

In answer to a question from Belgium, Ana Afonso from the EMRISK Unit provided details on the follow up of 62nd AF meeting breakout session, explaining that the EREN network has initiated a survey to update information gathered from MS in 2011. Development of a platform for exchanging information on emerging risks is still ongoing.

In answer to a question on the use for the Knowledge Junction and working instructions, Hans Verhagen agreed to provide further information and documentation to members.

Action 15: EFSA to send link to Knowledge Junction and guidance

CLOSURE OF THE MEETING

Bernhard closed the meeting with thanks to the presenters, guest speakers Nicole Gollnick, Sheila Kennedy, Ángeles Rodríguez-Peña and Mike Jeger and EFSA colleagues who supported the meeting.

SUMMARY OF ACTION POINTS

Action Number	Action
1	<i>EFSA to initiate open call for Members to suggest strategic topics</i>
2	<i>MS who have not done so to end contact details of national Ciguatera contact points to Spain</i>
3	<i>MS to collect contact details for the three main topics of the food chain lab by end of June</i>
4	<i>EFSA to organise Joint Meeting with CEN in 2018</i>
5	<i>EFSA to consider further follow-up on the Training Network</i>
6	<i>MS to comment on Expert Employer Survey report by 19 June</i>
7	<i>MS to send information on planned activities BMAA</i>
8	<i>MS to comment on workflow for MS mandates within 2 weeks</i>
9	<i>UK to send procedures for public consultation in UK</i>
10	<i>EFSA to send instruction on how to subscribe to PLH Media Monitoring</i>
11	<i>MS to promote call on Thematic Grant</i>
12	<i>EFSA to draft and circulate note in support of EURAA</i>
13	<i>EFSA to update ToR for GMO Network reflecting the use of full range of technologies</i>
14	<i>EFSA to harmonise customer Feedback exercise to be harmonised with the External Evaluation</i>
15	<i>EFSA to send link to Knowledge Junction and guidance</i>

Document history

Document reference	
Prepared by	Jeffrey Moon
Reviewed by	
Last date modified	09 August 2017