



SUSTAINABILITY



INNOVATION



FEED SAFETY



**ANIMAL HEALTH
AND WELFARE**



SAFE FOOD

EFSA ad hoc Stakeholder Meeting

Revision of EFSA Guidance on the renewal of the authorisation of feed additives

Stakeholder's views

Section 2 - Characterisation

It shall confirm whether the product complies with the conditions of the existing authorisation.

For **microorganisms** used as the additive or as production strain: the taxonomic classification and WGS shall suffice to confirm that no change has occurred

- **Antimicrobial resistance/toxigenic potential is part of safety assessment and hence only needed if new information is available or in case of changes**

Safety for the target animals

EFSA Guidance on TAS (2018): all (terrestrial) animal species vs. fish

- Applicants need better understanding and predictability:
 - if no safety data was provided for fish at 1st assessment and the additive is intended for all animal species, data in fish may be requested;
 - Are there other animals that may need to be re-considered? Which ones?
- Decreasing number of animals used for testing: scientific rationale referring to available literature on biotransformation and metabolism of similar compounds should be recommended

Safety for the users

- What if no data was provided at 1st assessment (e.g. skin or eye sensitivity) ?
- Evaluations by ECHA are applicable for all additives for which harmonised classification exist, independently of holder specificity
- Consideration of existing harmonised classification as per CLP
- Decreasing number of animals used for testing: existing products represent no risk for users or precautionary measures have been effective in preventing any possible exposure

Consumer and Environmental safety

- Applicants need to understand the impact of the new FACE tool and of the parameters for calculating exposure:
 - ⇒ Will EFSA recommend a change of recently established maximum levels in view of new calculations?
 - ⇒ Difficult to predict the effect of these changes for additives intended for all animals species
 - ⇒ Which target animals are expected to cause major increase in exposure scenarios?

Efficacy

- New data provided on a voluntary basis shall be accepted for assessment and for eventual revision of its previous opinion on a specific parameter
- Coccidiostats: need for acceptance of studies performed 2 years before submission

Literature

- Clear cut-off dates: oldest and latest relevant studies, considering that renewal dossiers are compiled ~2 years before submission
 - Date of EFSA opinion ?
 - Date of additive authorisation ?
 - Other date ?



EFSA – FEEDAP Open Session – November 2019

Stakeholder's suggestion of topics

Applications under Article 10 and Article 14

- Overview of dossiers for re-authorisation (Art. 10) under assessment and in “frozen” status?
 - reasons for delays
 - expected timeline to start assessment of frozen dossiers
- Renewal of authorisations (Art. 14) - Experiences from EFSA:
 - weaknesses identified in submitted dossiers
 - suggestions for improvement
- 10 years data protection: administrative and scientific aspects to consider when referring to another applicant’s evaluation

Revised EFSA Guidance documents

- Assessment of microorganisms (as additives or as production strains): lessons learned from applications after new EFSA guidance document
 - EFSA point of view
 - Applicant point of view
 - Re-authorisations
- FACE calculations – what dataset is expected (statistical considerations)
- Mixtures of chemicals:
 - what is done by the applicant?
 - what is done by the experts?
 - specifically for the component approach?
 - recommendation for reference databases?

THANK YOU!
