



1st Sounding Board meeting  
23 March 2020

# Practical Arrangements implementing the Transparency Regulation

**Dirk Detken**

Legal & Assurance Services

Trusted science for safe food



1. The role of Practical Arrangements in the TR
2. Status of the work on the Practical Arrangements
3. Timeline

# 1 - INTRODUCTION

# Deliverables of the Transparency Regulation

## Implementing rules (EC)

- e.g.
- Standard Data Format
  - Commission Regulation (EC) No 844/2012

## Practical arrangements (EFSA)

- Notifications under Article 32b(8)
- Transparency under Art 38(1)
- Confidentiality under Art. 39d(5)
- PAD & Aarhus under Art. 41
- Consistency of MS confidentiality assessments for NAS
- Pre-submission advice
- Public consultations

## Practical arrangements (EC)

- (optional) for fact finding missions
- General plan on risk communication

## Guidance (EFSA)

- e.g.
- Sectoral guidance documents (e.g. smoke flavourings)

## What is a Practical Arrangement?

- Implementing legal act
- Delegation of power to EFSA
- Binding nature
- Rights & obligations
- Non-compliance

## 2. Transparency & Confidentiality

## 2.1 Subject matter, scope and definitions

### Subject matter:

- Proactive transparency – Article 38(1)
- Confidentiality decision making – Articles 39-39e

### Scope of confidentiality process

- all confidentiality processes
  - Pesticides New Active Substances
  - MSs confidentiality decisions implementing GMOs Directive
  - Food additives when EFSA is not consulted
  - Novel foods when EFSA is not consulted

## 2.2 Proactive transparency

### Submission of information, documents and other data

- EFSA to make available docs printable, searchable or downloaded electronically
- Standard Data Formats to binding on applicants
- Pending adoption of Standard Data Formats, applicants supplying any information supporting applications, are required to do so:
  - in the form of structured dossiers, and wherever possible using existing structured templates such as those developed by the OECD (OHTs) and the Global Harmonised Submission Transport Standard (GHSTS) as transmission protocol;
  - Where the nature of the information, documents or data is technically not compatible with OHT, semi structured data may be submitted.



## 2.3 Proactive transparency

Disclosure of docs data on which **no confidentiality decision-making** requests may be submitted

- Where: «on EFSA's website»
- proactively disclosed with no sanitisation e.g.
  - DoIs,
  - summaries of advice under Article 32c(1),
  - documents for the MB
  - ...

Proactive disclosure of docs and data on which **confidentiality decision-making requests** may be submitted

- first in non-confidential version,
- later updated if confidentiality status is awarded by EFSA decision
  - Meeting minutes
  - EFSA's outputs
  - Scientific data, studies and other information part of, or supporting, applications
  - ...

## 2.4 Confidentiality

### Minimum evidence for successful confidentiality requests

- Information not publicly available
- Potentially harm to a significant degree (5% or explanation)
- Interest worth of legal protection
- Document not older than 5 years or explanation
- Scientific discussions out of scope

### Confirmatory application

- By two calendar weeks from notification
- Review of points of law only
- Suspensive effect

### Confirmatory decisions

- Timeline: 3 weeks from receipt
- Judicial review

## 2.5 PAs on confidentiality decision making for pesticides new active substances

### Scope

Harmonising decision making managed by Rapporteur Member States on confidentiality requests by applicants concerning applications for approval of a new active substance

## 2.6 PAs on confidentiality decision making for pesticides new active substances

### Assessment of confidentiality requests

- Responsibility of Rapporteur Member State
- Substantive screening criteria as per the main PAs
- EFSA's consultation obligatory but not binding

### Minimum standards

- Written decision
- Case by case decision
- Non-disclosure pending decision
- Reasoned decision
- Right to be heard
- Notification of the decision
- Confirmatory application optional
- Judicial review available
- Review of initial decision in case of safety concerns
- EFSA's advice delivered with regard to compliance with these PAs

## 3. Public Access to Documents & Aarhus

### Implements interplay between PAD & Aarhus Regulations

- Focus on practical operational aspects
- Lean and clarify based on a decade of experience with the reactive transparency process
- Clear commitment of case law: Aarhus

## 3.2 Main features

- Managing complexity and volume (extensions, fair solutions, batches by priority, queuing)
- Consultations - No veto right for Member States
- Distinct nature of the reactive case law
- Guidance
- Reproduction & reuse of documents

- 4. Pre-submission advice**
- 5. Notification of “studies”**
- 6. Public consultation**



# Next steps

