



Renewal of ANS & CEF Panels 2014

Management Board meeting
Parma, 20 March 2014

Procedure on appointment of Panel Members (ED Decision 27 Feb. 2013)

Step	Date
<i>Call for expression of interest for membership of the Scientific Committee and Scientific Panels (Art. 2)</i>	<i>April - July 2013</i>
<i>EFSA Evaluation Team (Art. 3)</i>	<i>May 2013</i>
<i>Screening of candidates for validity and eligibility (Art. 4)</i>	<i>July 2013</i>
<i>Internal evaluation of all eligible candidates against selection criteria (Art. 5)</i>	<i>July – October 2013</i>
<i>External review of the internal evaluation process (Art. 6)</i>	<i>September - November 2013</i>
<i>Screening of Annual Declaration of Interests (Art. 7)</i>	<i>December 2013- January 2014</i>
<i>Shortlist sent to Advisory Forum for comments (Art. 7)</i>	<i>January 2014</i>
<i>Candidates proposed for nomination to the MB (Art. 8)</i>	<i>March 2014</i>


The Executive Director of EFSA established the EFSA Evaluation Team comprising

- the Head of Science Strategy and Coordination Department (Chair)
- the Head of REPRO Department
- the Head of RASA Department
- the Head of Unit of FIP
- the deputy Head of Unit of FIP
- a Project Coordinator

With the support of

- LRA
- HUCAP
- IT

Completed Applications (Art. 2)



During the extension of the call (17 June to 1 July), 93 additional experts applied, for a total of 230 applicants

Evaluation of all eligible applications against selection criteria

Points	Panel Specific Areas of competence i.e. assessed for each application
15	Experience in <u>scientific risk assessment</u>
15	Experience in providing <u>scientific advice</u>
20	Proven <u>scientific excellence</u> in one, or preferably several fields linked to the area covered by the SC or the SP preferred.
15	Experience in <u>peer reviewing</u> scientific work and publications
Points	General Criteria for all applications
10	Ability to <u>analyze</u> complex information and dossiers
10	Professional experience in a <u>multidisciplinary environment</u> , preferably in an international context
5	Experience in <u>scientific project management</u>
10	Proven <u>communication</u> skills
100	Maximum

Internal Evaluation Process

- Each application evaluated by two internal evaluators against the 8 selection criteria

Internal Evaluation Results

- Based on the two internal evaluators average scores, applicants were grouped in two categories: ' ≤ 66 points' and ' ≥ 67 points'

Result of internal evaluation (Art. 5)

349 applications filed in
by 194 applicants

141 applications filled in
by 82 applicants
 ≥ 67 points

208 applications filled in
by 112 applicants
 ≤ 66 points

All applicants scored ≥ 67 points considered suitable for
Panel candidate nomination pending DoI screening

External evaluation of eligible candidates and comparison with internal evaluation (Art. 6)

External evaluation Process

- Sample of 10% of eligible candidates evaluated by 3 external evaluators against 8 selection criteria

External evaluation Analysis


- Comparison of internal vs. external and discussion of discrepancies between external and internal evaluations (more than 20 points difference)

Representatives
MB-EC


Screening of Annual Declaration of Interests for each Application scored ≥ 67 (Art. 7)

- The ADols of 82 candidates were screened for compatibility of ANS and/or CEF Panel membership/chairmanship (141 screenings carried out) in accordance with EFSA's current Policy on Declarations of Interest and the implementing rules)
- Six candidates were excluded from the ≥ 67 category following the identification of a potential Conflict of Interest.

Candidates proposed for nomination to the MB (Art. 8)




Proposed for Nomination and Reserve List




Proposed for nomination: affiliation/employment 2014

ANS



- Government and Public Research Institute
- University
- Retired


CEF



- Government and Public Research Institute
- University


Proposed for nomination: age distribution 2014

ANS



■ >=71 ■ 61-70 ■ 51-60 ■ 41-50

CEF



■ >=71 ■ 61-70 ■ 51-60 ■ 41-50

Proposed for nomination: age and gender 2014 vs. 2011

AGE


2014	2011
Average age: 59.2 years	Average age: 54.2 years

GENDER

- the proportion of women of 30% remains stable over the years for the ANS Panel
- the higher proportion of women of 43% achieved in the CEF Panel in 2011 dropped again to around 30% in 2014


Proposed for nomination ANS: number of mandates

2014 – 1st to 3rd mandate



■ First Mandate ■ Second Mandate
■ Third Mandate


2011 - 1st to 2nd mandate



■ First Mandate ■ Second Mandate


Proposed for nomination CEF: number of mandates

2014 – 1st to 3rd mandate




■ First Mandate ■ Second Mandate
■ Third Mandate

2011 - 1st to 2nd mandate




■ First Mandate ■ Second Mandate

Proposed for nomination for ANS: nationalities 2014



■ CH ■ DE ■ DK ■ ES ■ FR ■ IT ■ NL ■ SE ■ UK ■ SR

Proposed for nomination CEF: nationalities 2014



Step	Date
<i>1. Notify the experts on their appointment</i>	<i>March 2014</i>
<i>2. Completion of review of updated ADol</i>	<i>April 2014</i>
<i>3. Publishing names of panel members</i>	<i>May 2014</i>
<i>4. Nomination of Chairs in first plenary meeting</i>	<i>July 2014</i>