




# Strategic partnership with Art.36 organisations to ensure quality for data transmitted to EFSA

Focal Point meeting 15-16 September 2016



# SUMMARY





# BACKGROUND (1)

## Objectives:



Improving data collection governance  
streamline co-ordination



Ensuring data quality



Ensure simplified long-term co-funding of data providers

# BACKGROUND (2)

## Benefits



### Coordination and structure at MS level

- Clarity at MS level on data transmitted to EFSA
- Clear data governance for data reporting and data access



### Data quality

- Update systems (Up-to-date data models and validation rules)
- Data quality continuous improvement (Dedicated contact points at Data Provider level, data quality KPIs monitoring and reporting)




### Stable Resources

- Ensure stable resources for the enhancement of information systems
- Ensure dedicated country coordinator
- Ensure dedicated data quality contact point (data steward) at Data provider level

# BACKGROUND (3)



Coordination role  
at country level



Within Data Providers  
Hands-on job on data



Synergies with Focal Points (FPs)  
agreement




National coordination funded in pilot  
(also FPs)





## BACKGROUND (4)

### Data collections included





# INDICATIONS ON CO-FINANCING SCHEME (1)

## ■ **System enhancements:**

- Precondition: A fully working data collection system in operation (Not allowed using EFSA tools for manual transmission)
- List of system enhancements agreed with EFSA. Each data domain as a fixed maximum unit cost.
- Minimum data quality target to be reached.

## ■ **Data quality monitoring and improvement:**

- Proportional to average data reported in last three year in each data domain. Payment rate per record diminishes with data increase, payment rates are different for each data collection

## ■ **Coordination:**

- +10% of sum of max unit cost for system enhancements plus max unit cost for data quality improvement



## INDICATIONS ON CO-FINANCING SCHEME (2)

- Co-financing rate from EFSA up to 50%
- Pilot will be based on unit costs for staff salaries, IT costs, travel and subsistence expenses to be declared via timesheets in order to set up the baseline for the final project lump sums







# INDICATIONS ON CO-FINANCING SCHEME

## Data quality KPIs

- Achieved data quality KPIs will influence the maximum eligible cost for data quality improvements. Preliminary list of performance indicators:
  - Completeness of information in database entries
  - Absence of duplicate records
  - Proportion of data provided by deadline
  - Promptness of answer to requests
  - Absence of unjustified outliers
  - Absence of mistakes in catalogue-based entries (e.g. FoodEx)
  - Absence of mistakes in unit of measurement
  - Use of detailed parameter (PARAM)
  - Detail in the matrix classification (e.g. FoodEx)
  - Absence of inconsistencies among different records on same sample
- Indicators target to be discussed at October's Network Meeting and at pilot kick-off meetings

# INDICATIONS ON CO-FINANCING SCHEME

## Maximum eligible cost for data quality linked to achieved data quality KPIs

Maximum  
estimated cost  
data quality  
improvement



Cumulative KPI  
 $0 \leq C\text{-KPI} \leq 1$



Maximum  
eligible cost for  
data quality  
improvement


Per data domain

Cumulative KPIs (C-KPIs) will be re-proportionated to the maximum C-KPI reached



# NEXT STEPS

- Contact pilot countries
- Pilot countries confirm
- Kick-off meetings with each pilot countries (all consortium organisations)
- Data quality KPIs discussed at Network meetings
- Drafting agreement by EFSA
- Working meetings with each country\*
- Final version of agreement
- Signature of the agreement



\* Possibly physical meeting financed by Focal Points agreement



# THANK YOU

