

ADOPTED: DD MMMM YYYY

doi:10.2903/j.efsa.20YY.NNNN

# Draft guidance for the scientific requirements for health claims related to antioxidants, oxidative damage and cardiovascular health

## (Revision 1)

EFSA Panel on Dietetic Products, Nutrition and Allergies (NDA),  
Dominique Turck, Jean-Louis Bresson, Barbara Burlingame, Tara Dean, Susan Fairweather-Tait, Marina Heinonen, Karen Ildico Hirsch-Ernst, Inge Mangelsdorf, Harry J McArdle, Androniki Naska, Monika Neuhäuser-Berthold, Grażyna Nowicka, Kristina Pentieva, Yolanda Sanz, Anders Sjödin, Martin Stern, Daniel Tomé, Henk Van Loveren, Marco Vinceti, Peter Willatts, Ambroise Martin, John Joseph Strain, Leng Heng, Silvia Valtueña Martínez and Alfonso Siani

### Abstract

EFSA asked the Panel on Dietetic Products, Nutrition and Allergies (NDA) to update the guidance on the scientific requirements for health claims related to antioxidants, oxidative damage and cardiovascular health published in 2011. The update takes into accounts experiences gained with evaluation of additional health claim applications related to antioxidants, oxidative damage and cardiovascular health, and the information collected from a Grant launched in 2014. This guidance is intended to assist applicants in preparing applications for the authorisation of health claims related to the antioxidants, oxidative damage and cardiovascular health. This draft guidance was discussed and endorsed by the NDA Panel on 28 June 2017 for release for public consultation before finalisation.

© 2017 European Food Safety Authority. *EFSA Journal* published by John Wiley and Sons Ltd on behalf of European Food Safety Authority.

**Keywords:** health claims, scientific requirements, antioxidants, oxidative damage, cardiovascular health, guidance

**Requestor:** EFSA

**Question number:** EFSA-Q-2017-00094

**Correspondence:** [nda@efsa.europa.eu](mailto:nda@efsa.europa.eu)

**Panel members:** Jean-Louis Bresson, Barbara Burlingame, Tara Dean, Susan Fairweather-Tait, Marina Heinonen, Karen Ildico Hirsch-Ernst, Inge Mangelsdorf, Harry J McArdle, Androniki Naska, Monika Neuhäuser-Berthold, Grażyna Nowicka, Kristina Pentieva, Yolanda Sanz, Alfonso Siani, Anders Sjödin, Martin Stern, Daniel Tomé, Dominique Turck, Henk Van Loveren, Marco Vinceti and Peter Willatts.

**Acknowledgements:** The Panel wishes to thank the members of the Working Group on Claims: Jean-Louis Bresson, Susan Fairweather-Tait, Marina Heinonen, Ambroise Martin, Harry McArdle, Yolanda Sanz, Alfonso Siani, Anders Sjödin, Sean (J.J.) Strain, Hendrik Van Loveren and Peter Willatts for the preparatory work on this scientific output and EFSA staff members: Leng Heng and Silvia Valtueña Martínez for the support provided to this scientific output.

**Suggested citation:** EFSA NDA Panel (EFSA Panel on Dietetic Products, Nutrition and Allergies), Turck D, Bresson J-L, Burlingame B, Dean T, Fairweather-Tait S, Heinonen M, Hirsch-Ernst K-I, Mangelsdorf I, McArdle HJ, Naska A, Neuhäuser-Berthold M, Nowicka G, Pentieva K, Sanz Y, Sjödin A, Stern M, Tomé D, Van Loveren H, Vinceti M, Willatts P, Martin A, Strain JJ, Heng L, Valtueña Martínez S, and Siani A, 20YY. Draft guidance for the scientific requirements for health claims related to antioxidants, oxidative damage and cardiovascular health (revision 1). EFSA Journal 20YY;volume(issue):NNNN, 22 pp. doi:10.2903/j.efsa.20YY.NNNN

**ISSN:** 1831-4732

© 2017 European Food Safety Authority. *EFSA Journal* published by John Wiley and Sons Ltd on behalf of European Food Safety Authority.

This is an open access article under the terms of the [Creative Commons Attribution-NoDerivs](https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/) License, which permits use and distribution in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited and no modifications or adaptations are made.

## 1 Summary

2 The European Food Safety Authority (EFSA) has asked the Panel on Dietetic Products, Nutrition and  
3 Allergies (NDA) to revise the guidance on the scientific requirements for health claims related to  
4 antioxidants, oxidative damage and cardiovascular health published in 2011.

5 Since then, the NDA Panel has completed the evaluation of Article 13.1 claims (except for claims put  
6 on hold by the European Commission) and has evaluated additional health claim applications  
7 submitted pursuant to Articles 13(5), 14 and 19 which are in the area covered by this guidance. In  
8 addition, the NDA Panel has developed the general scientific guidance for stakeholders for health  
9 claims applications which addresses general issues that are common to all health claims. To further  
10 assist applicants, EFSA launched in 2014 a grant which aimed at gathering information in relation to  
11 claimed effects, outcome variables and methods of measurement in the context of the scientific  
12 substantiation of health claims, and the information collected helped to inform the NDA Panel in  
13 updating the present guidance.

14 This guidance is intended to assist applicants in preparing applications for the authorisation of health  
15 claims related to the antioxidants, oxidative damage and cardiovascular health. It focuses on key  
16 issues, particularly:

- 17 - claimed effects which are considered to be beneficial physiological effects, and
- 18 - characteristics of the human intervention studies which can provide evidence for the scientific  
19 substantiation of specific claims addressed in this guidance (e.g. appropriate outcome variables  
20 and methods of measurement, suitable study group(s), appropriate duration of the study,  
21 suitable controls).

22 The guidance does not intend to provide an exhaustive list of beneficial physiological effects and  
23 studies/outcome variables which could be acceptable, or address potential health relationships and  
24 related outcome measures which have not yet been considered by the Panel in the context of a  
25 particular application.

26 This draft guidance was discussed and endorsed by the NDA Panel on 28 June 2017 for release for  
27 public consultation before finalisation.

28

## 29 Table of contents

|    |  |    |
|----|--|----|
| 30 |  |    |
| 31 | Abstract.....  | 1  |
| 32 | Summary.....   | 3  |
| 33 | Background and Terms of Reference as provided by EFSA .....                                  | 5  |
| 34 | 1. Introduction.....   | 5  |
| 35 | 2. Objectives and scope .....  | 6  |
| 36 | 3. Assessment .....  | 7  |
| 37 | 3.1. Function claims related to antioxidants and the protection of body cells and molecules  |    |
| 38 | (i.e. proteins, lipids, DNA) from oxidative damage, including photo-oxidative (UV-induced)   |    |
| 39 | damage.....  | 7  |
| 40 | 3.1.1. Claims based on the essentiality of nutrients.....                                    | 7  |
| 41 | 3.1.2. Claims other than those based on the essentiality of nutrients .....                  | 8  |
| 42 | 3.1.2.1. Protection of proteins from oxidative damage .....                                  | 8  |
| 43 | 3.1.2.2. Protection of lipids from oxidative damage .....                                    | 8  |
| 44 | 3.1.2.3. Protection of DNA from oxidative damage .....                                       | 9  |
| 45 | 3.2. Function claims related to the protection of DNA from strand breaks.....                | 9  |
| 46 | 3.3. Function claims related to cardiovascular health.....                                   | 9  |
| 47 | 3.3.1. Claims on maintenance of normal cardiac function .....                                | 10 |
| 48 | 3.3.2. Claims on a beneficial change in the blood lipid profile .....                        | 10 |
| 49 | 3.3.3. Claims on the reduction of post-prandial blood concentration of triglycerides.....    | 11 |
| 50 | 3.3.4. Claims on the maintenance of normal (arterial) blood pressure .....                   | 11 |
| 51 | 3.3.5. Claims on the improvement of endothelial functions.....                               | 12 |
| 52 | 3.3.6. Claims on the reduction of platelet aggregation .....                                 | 13 |
| 53 | 3.3.7. Claims on the maintenance of normal blood homocysteine concentrations by contributing |    |
| 54 | to normal homocysteine metabolism. ....  | 13 |
| 55 | 3.3.8. Venous blood flow.....  | 14 |
| 56 | 3.4. Reduction of disease risk claims related to cardiovascular diseases .....               | 14 |
| 57 | References.....  | 16 |
| 58 | Glossary and Abbreviations .....   | 21 |

60

## 61 **Background and Terms of Reference as provided by EFSA**

### 62 **Background**

63 Regulation (EC) No 1924/2006<sup>1</sup> harmonises the provisions related to nutrition and health claims and  
64 establishes rules governing the Community authorisation of health claims made on foods. According to  
65 the Regulation, health claims should only be authorised for use in the Community after a scientific  
66 assessment of the highest possible standard to be carried out by EFSA.

67 Owing to the scientific and technical complexity of health claims, the EFSA Panel on Dietetic Products,  
68 Nutrition and Allergies (NDA Panel) has placed considerable effort into developing scientific criteria for  
69 the substantiation of health claims, and has published guidance on the scientific substantiation of  
70 health claims since 2007<sup>2</sup>.

71 In the last years, the NDA Panel has gained considerable experience in the evaluation of health claim  
72 applications. To further assist applicants seeking approval of health claims, EFSA launched in 2014 a  
73 grant (GP/EFSA/NUTRI/2014/01) which aims at gathering information in relation to claimed effects,  
74 outcome variables and methods of measurement in the context of the scientific substantiation of  
75 health claims. The information collected will be published in a scientific report, which will help to  
76 inform the NDA Panel and serve as a basis for further guidance to applicants. The format(s) under  
77 which such guidance will be provided to applicants (e.g. guidance documents, and/or searchable,  
78 interactive databases) will be carefully considered by EFSA.

79 In this context, note is taken of the need to adapt the existing guidance on the scientific requirements  
80 for health claims to the new scientific and technical developments in specific areas taking into account  
81 lessons learned from the evaluation of health claim applications and the information collected from the  
82 grant.

83 To this end, the NDA Panel is asked to update the existing guidance on the scientific requirements for  
84 health claims related to antioxidants, oxidative damage and cardiovascular health published in 2011<sup>3</sup>.

### 85 **Terms of reference**

86 The NDA Panel is requested by EFSA to update the existing guidance on the scientific requirements  
87 for health claims related to antioxidants, oxidative damage and cardiovascular health.

88 The guidance document shall clarify and address the scientific and technical developments in this  
89 area, taking into account the experience gained by the NDA Panel with the evaluation of health claims  
90 and the information collected from the grant.

91 The draft guidance shall be released for public consultation prior to finalisation, and shall be revised  
92 taking into account the comments received during the public consultation before adoption by the NDA  
93 Panel. A technical report on the outcome of the public consultation shall be published.

## 94 **1. Introduction**

95 The Guidance on the scientific requirements for health claims related to antioxidants, oxidative  
96 damage and cardiovascular health ([EFSA NDA Panel, 2011a](#)), published in April 2011, laid down  
97 recommendations on specific issues that need to be addressed in applications submitted for the  
98 substantiation of health claims in this area. Since then, the European Food Safety Authority (EFSA)'s  
99 Panel on Dietetic products, Nutrition and Allergies (NDA Panel) has evaluated additional health claim  
100 applications related to antioxidants, oxidative damage and cardiovascular health.

101 Among the claim applications submitted to EFSA as of 17/02/2017, 59 were relevant to this guidance  
102 (13 were withdrawn during the evaluation, 44 were evaluated/finalised by the NDA Panel and two  
103 were under evaluation). Among those finalised<sup>4</sup>, 18 applications were evaluated by the Panel with a

<sup>1</sup> Regulation (EC) No 1924/2006 of the European Parliament and of the Council of 20 December 2006 on nutrition and health claims made on foods. OJ L 404, 30.12.2006, p. 9–25.

<sup>2</sup> <https://www.efsa.europa.eu/en/applications/nutrition/regulationsandguidance>

<sup>3</sup> <https://www.efsa.europa.eu/en/applications/nutrition/regulationsandguidance>

<sup>4</sup> **Three** were Article 13(5) claims (which are based on newly developed scientific evidence and/or which include a request for the protection of proprietary data), **three** were Article 14 children claims, **nine** were Article 14 disease risk reduction claims, and **three** were related to Article 19 applications for the modification of existing authorisations of health claims.

104 favourable opinion: three related to claims on the protection of cells and molecules against oxidative  
105 damage based on the essentiality of nutrients (on vitamin C<sup>5</sup>, vitamin E<sup>6</sup> and selenium<sup>7</sup>), 12 referred  
106 to claims on cardiovascular health (on plant sterols<sup>8</sup>, plant stanol esters<sup>9</sup>, Danacol® low fat dairy  
107 product<sup>10</sup>, oat beta-glucans<sup>11</sup>, barley beta-glucans<sup>12</sup>, water-soluble tomato concentrate<sup>13</sup>, trans-free  
108 spreadable fats<sup>14</sup>, cocoa flavanols<sup>15</sup>, red yeast rice<sup>16</sup>, Limicol®<sup>17</sup>), and three were requests for the  
109 modification of existing authorisations of health claims (on plant sterols and plant stanol esters<sup>18</sup>,  
110 water-soluble tomato concentrate<sup>19</sup>, and cocoa flavanols<sup>20</sup>).

111 To further assist applicants, EFSA launched in 2014 a grant (GP/EFSA/NUTRI/2014/01) which aimed  
112 at gathering information in relation to claimed effects, outcome variables and methods of  
113 measurement in the context of the scientific substantiation of health claims. The information collected  
114 helped to inform the NDA Panel and served as a basis for updating this guidance to applicants.

## 115 2. Objectives and scope

116 This guidance is intended to assist applicants in preparing applications for the scientific substantiation  
117 of health claims related to antioxidants, oxidative damage and cardiovascular health.

118 The document focuses on key issues, particularly:

- 119 - claimed effects which are considered to be beneficial physiological effects, and
- 120 - characteristics of human intervention studies which can provide evidence for the scientific  
121 substantiation of specific claims addressed in this guidance (e.g. appropriate outcome  
122 variables and methods of measurement, suitable study group(s), appropriate duration of the  
123 study, suitable controls).

124 Issues related to scientific substantiation that are common to all health claims (e.g. characterisation of  
125 the food/constituent, characterisation of the claimed effect) are addressed in the general scientific  
126 guidance for stakeholders on health claim applications ([EFSA NDA Panel, 2016a](#)) and will not be  
127 reiterated in this document.

128 Examples of claims evaluated by the Panel with a favourable opinion will be used to provide guidance  
129 to applicants on the scientific requirements for the substantiation of health claims in specific areas,  
130 whereas examples of claims evaluated by the Panel with an unfavourable opinion will be used to  
131 illustrate the shortcomings that prevented the substantiation of these claims.

132 The Panel, however, cannot provide guidance to applicants on the scientific requirements for the  
133 substantiation of health claims (e.g. type of human intervention studies needed for substantiation) in  
134 specific areas where no examples of favourable evaluations are available. This guidance does not  
135 intend to provide an exhaustive list of beneficial physiological effects, or of studies/outcome variables  
136 which could be acceptable for claim substantiation, or address potential health relationships and  
137 related outcome measures which have not yet been considered by the Panel in the context of a  
138 particular application. The guidance will be kept under review and will be amended and updated in the  
139 light of experiences gained from the evaluation of additional health claim applications in this area.

140 This guidance should be read in conjunction with the General scientific guidance for stakeholders on  
141 health claim applications ([EFSA NDA Panel, 2016a](#)), the Scientific and technical guidance for the

<sup>5</sup> Vitamin C (EFSA-Q-2008-175, Art 14(b))

<sup>6</sup> Vitamin E (EFSA-Q-2008-179, Art 14(b))

<sup>7</sup> Selenium (EFSA-Q-2008-159, Art 14(b))

<sup>8</sup> Plant sterols (EFSA-Q-2008-085, Art 14(a))

<sup>9</sup> Plant stanol esters (EFSA-Q-2008-118, Art 14(a); EFSA-Q-2011-00851, Art 14(a))

<sup>10</sup> Danacol (EFSA-Q-2008-779, Art 14(a))

<sup>11</sup> Oat beta-glucan (EFSA-Q-2008-681, Art 14(a))

<sup>12</sup> Barley beta-glucan (EFSA-Q-2011-00798, Art 14(a); EFSA-Q-2011-00799, Art 14(a))

<sup>13</sup> Water-soluble tomato concentrate (EFSA-Q-2009-00229, Art 13(5))

<sup>14</sup> Trans free spreadable fats (EFSA-Q-2009-00458, Art 14(a))

<sup>15</sup> Cocoa flavanols (EFSA-Q-2012-00002, Art 13(5))

<sup>16</sup> Red yeast rice (EFSA-Q-2012-00736, Art 13(5))

<sup>17</sup> Limicol® (EFSA-Q-2012-00968, Art 14(a))

<sup>18</sup> Plant sterols and plant stanol esters (EFSA-Q-2011-01241, Art 19)

<sup>19</sup> Water soluble tomato concentrate (EFSA-Q-2010-00809, Art 19)

<sup>20</sup> Cocoa flavanols (EFSA-Q-2013-00832, Art 19)

142 preparation and presentation of a health claim application ([EFSA NDA Panel, 2017a](#)), Regulation (EC)  
143 No 1924/2006 on Nutrition and Health Claims made on foods<sup>21</sup>, the Guidance on the implementation  
144 of Regulation (EC) No 1924/2006 (Standing Committee on the Food Chain and Animal Health, 2007),  
145 Commission Regulation (EC) No 353/2008<sup>22</sup>, the Commission Implementing Decision of 24 January  
146 2013<sup>23</sup>, and future guidelines and regulations, as applicable.

### 147 **3. Assessment**

#### 148 **3.1. Function claims related to antioxidants and the protection of body** 149 **cells and molecules (i.e. proteins, lipids, DNA) from oxidative** 150 **damage, including photo-oxidative (UV-induced) damage**

151 The protection of body cells and molecules such as proteins, lipids, and DNA from oxidative damage,  
152 including photo-oxidative (UV-induced) damage, is generally considered a beneficial physiological  
153 effect, assuming that any significant oxidative modification of the target molecule is potentially  
154 harmful.

155 Claims made on the antioxidant content or properties of foods/constituents based on their capability  
156 of scavenging free radicals *in vitro* refer to a property of the foods/constituents measured in model  
157 systems, and it is not established that this capability exerts a beneficial physiological effect in humans  
158 as required by Regulation (EC) No 1924/2006.

159 Claims referring to antioxidant status and antioxidant defence have been proposed. The references  
160 provided for the scientific substantiation of these claims included *in vivo* human studies which  
161 assessed changes in the overall antioxidant capacity of plasma using methods such as the total  
162 reactive antioxidant potential (TRAP), the trolox-equivalent antioxidant capacity (TEAC), the ferric  
163 reducing antioxidant potential (FRAP), the oxygen radical absorbance capacity (ORAC) or ferrous  
164 oxidation-xylenol orange (FOX) assays. It is not established that changes in the overall antioxidant  
165 capacity of plasma exert a beneficial physiological effect in humans as required by Regulation (EC) No  
166 1924/2006.

167 Claims referring to the “protection of cells from premature ageing” or to “healthy aging” in relation to  
168 the antioxidant properties of food/constituents are not sufficiently defined for a scientific evaluation,  
169 are considered to be general and non-specific, and thus do not comply with the criteria laid down in  
170 Regulation (EC) No 1924/2006.

##### 171 **3.1.1. Claims based on the essentiality of nutrients**

172 Some vitamins and essential minerals have a role in the function of enzymes which belong to the  
173 human antioxidant network that protects cells and molecules from oxidative damage. Claims on the  
174 protection of DNA, proteins and lipids from oxidative damage related to vitamin C ([EFSA NDA Panel,](#)  
175 [2017b](#)), vitamin E ([EFSA NDA Panel, 2016b](#)), and selenium ([EFSA NDA Panel, 2014a](#)) were evaluated  
176 by the Panel with a favourable opinion. The scientific substantiation of these claims was based on the  
177 essentiality of these nutrients<sup>24</sup>, i.e. on the well-established biochemical role of such nutrients, and/or  
178 on deficiency symptoms involving in the human antioxidant network and/or the antioxidant defence  
179 system. Their role in the human antioxidant network/the antioxidant defence system has been  
180 established based on a large body of scientific evidence. For these claims, the NDA Panel did not  
181 review the primary scientific studies submitted and it did not weigh the evidence.

182

<sup>21</sup> Regulation (EC) No 1924/2006 of the European Parliament and of the Council of 20 December 2006 on nutrition and health claims made on foods. OJ L 404, 30.12.2006, p. 9–25. Available at <http://eur-lex.europa.eu/LexUriServ/LexUriServ.do?uri=CONSLEG:2006R1924:20100302:en:PDF>

<sup>22</sup> Commission Regulation (EC) No 353/2008 of 18 April 2008 establishing implementing rules for applications for authorisation of health claims as provided for in Article 15 of Regulation (EC) No 1924/2006 of the European Parliament and of the Council (Text with EEA relevance) (OJ L 109, 19.4.2008, p. 11): <http://eur-lex.europa.eu/LexUriServ/LexUriServ.do?uri=CONSLEG:2008R0353:20091221:EN:PDF>

<sup>23</sup> Commission Implementing Decision of 24 January 2013 adopting guidelines for the implementation of specific conditions for health claims laid down in Article 10 of Regulation (EC) No 1924/2006 of the European Parliament and of the Council. OJ L 22, 25.1.2013, p. 25–28. Available at <http://eur-lex.europa.eu/legal-content/EN/ALL/?uri=CELEX:32013D0063>

<sup>24</sup> See General scientific guidance for stakeholders on health claim applications, section 6.1

### 183 3.1.2. Claims other than those based on the essentiality of nutrients

184 In the context of an adequate supply of vitamins and essential minerals with a role in the function of  
185 enzymes which belong to the human antioxidant network, induction of antioxidant enzymes cannot be  
186 used alone as evidence for claims on the protection of cells and molecules from oxidative damage for  
187 non-essential food constituents. The same principle applies to non-specific changes in the overall  
188 antioxidant capacity of plasma.

189 A specific induction of antioxidant enzymes (e.g. superoxide dismutase (SOD), catalase (CAT),  
190 glutathione peroxidase (GSH-Px), haeme oxygenase (HO)), or limiting the decrease in glutathione and  
191 glutathione/glutathione disulphide (GSH/GSSG) ratio, are considered to be a beneficial physiological  
192 effect only if such changes provide (additional) protection of cells and molecules from oxidative  
193 damage. Such protection from oxidative damage should be demonstrated *in vivo* in humans. The  
194 same principle applies to non-specific changes in the overall antioxidant capacity of plasma assessed  
195 *in vivo* in humans using methods such as TRAP, FRAP, TEAC, ORAC or FOX assays.

196 The scientific substantiation of health claims on the protection of body cells and molecules from  
197 oxidative damage, including photo-oxidative (UV-induced) damage, requires at least one appropriate  
198 marker of oxidative modification of the target molecule assessed *in vivo* in human studies (as defined  
199 in sections 3.1.2.1 to 3.1.2.3), preferably in combination with other marker(s). These other markers of  
200 oxidative damage to molecules cannot be used alone for substantiation, either because they represent  
201 a result of two processes (oxidative damage and repair), because they suffer from technical limitations  
202 (interferences from other unrelated processes or substances), or both. However, they can provide  
203 supportive evidence for the scientific substantiation of these claims. Different markers of oxidative  
204 damage to molecules should preferably be determined in the same study, but their determination in  
205 similar studies could be acceptable on a case-by-case basis.

#### 206 3.1.2.1. Protection of proteins from oxidative damage

207 Direct measurements of oxidative damage to proteins *in vivo* (e.g. measurement of oxidative changes  
208 of amino acids in proteins) can be obtained by means of LC-MS and other methods, as long as  
209 identification and separation of such molecules in plasma from other substances is successfully  
210 achieved (e.g. from protein tyrosine nitration products).

211 Measures of protein oxidation products (e.g. protein carbonyls) using ELISA methods (with an anti-  
212 dinitrophenyl (DNP) antibody after dinitrophenylhydrazine (DNPH) derivatisation) can only be used in  
213 combination with at least one direct marker of oxidative damage to proteins *in vivo* if assessed directly  
214 in blood or target tissue (e.g. skin). Conventional assays (e.g. colorimetric assay involving DNPH  
215 derivatisation of carbonyl groups) can be used for plasma samples in combination with at least one  
216 direct marker of oxidative damage to proteins *in vivo*.

#### 217 3.1.2.2. Protection of lipids from oxidative damage

218 Direct measurements of oxidative damage to lipids (i.e. lipid peroxidation) can be obtained *in vivo* by  
219 measuring changes in F2-isoprostanes in 24-hour urine samples, which is a better matrix than plasma,  
220 using appropriate chromatographic techniques coupled with mass spectrometry (e.g. GC-MS or LC-  
221 MS). Immunological techniques, owing to their lack of specificity due to possible cross reactions with  
222 other prostanoids, are not appropriate for measuring F2-isoprostanes.

223 Measurements of oxidative damage to lipids (i.e. lipid peroxidation) can also be obtained *in vivo* by  
224 measuring oxidised LDL particles (Ox-LDL) in blood using immunological methods (i.e. specific  
225 monoclonal antibodies).

226 Lipid hydroperoxides (e.g. phosphatidylcholine hydroperoxides (PCOOH)) measured in blood or tissue  
227 by chemiluminescence-based liquid chromatography (CL-LC) is also an acceptable marker of lipid  
228 peroxidation *in vivo*. However, the combined measurement of PCOOH and F2-isoprostanes is  
229 preferable.

230 Other outcome variables proposed are not reliable *in vivo* markers of lipid peroxidation (e.g.  
231 thiobarbituric acid reactive substances (TBARS), malondialdehyde (MDA), HDL-associated  
232 paraoxonases, conjugated dienes, breath hydrocarbons, auto-antibodies against LDL particles, and *ex*

233 *in vivo* LDL resistance to oxidation). However, concentrations of MDA in blood or tissue can be used as  
234 supportive evidence (i.e. in addition to measurements of F2-isoprostanes and/or *in vivo* LDL oxidation)  
235 if appropriate techniques are used for MDA analysis (e.g. LC).

### 236 3.1.2.3. Protection of DNA from oxidative damage

237 Direct measurements of oxidative damage to DNA (i.e. oxidised DNA bases) can be obtained *in vivo*  
238 by using modifications of the comet assay (e.g. performed with endonuclease III to detect oxidised  
239 pyrimidines and with formamidopyrimidine DNA glycosylase (FPG) to remove oxidatively damaged  
240 purines). Although the assay provides no absolute values, it allows quantitative comparison with an  
241 appropriate control. This assay directly reflects DNA oxidative damage within cells when assessed, for  
242 example, in circulating lymphocytes.

243 Measures of DNA damage using the traditional comet assay (single-cell microgel electrophoresis,  
244 SCGE), which detect DNA strand breaks by the appearance of tailing, are not specific for oxidative  
245 damage. Other variants of the comet assay determine resistance against oxidative modification using  
246 *ex vivo* pro-oxidant challenges. Neither of these measurements is appropriate for assessing *in vivo*  
247 oxidative damage to DNA.

248 Analyses of 8-hydroxy-2-deoxy-guanosin (8-OHdG) in blood (e.g. lymphocytes), tissue (e.g. skin) and  
249 urine have been used to assess oxidative damage to DNA. Free 8-OHdG results from oxidative  
250 damage and excision-repair; it may also result from oxidation of free bases or nucleotides, from  
251 oxidation of other nucleic acids, and from artefacts during sample work up. Urinary 8-OHdG does not  
252 directly reflect DNA oxidation within cells, but can be used in combination with direct measurements  
253 of oxidative damage to DNA if appropriate techniques are used for analysis (e.g. LC).

## 254 3.2. Function claims related to the protection of DNA from strand 255 breaks

256 DNA strand breaks occur spontaneously during the DNA repair process but can also be induced by  
257 e.g. environmental factors (such as mutagenic or pro-oxidant chemicals, radiation). Such DNA strand  
258 breaks alter DNA properties, may induce anomalies during DNA replication and translation, and  
259 require repair for maintenance of cell functioning and survival. Protection of DNA from strand breaks  
260 is a beneficial physiological effect.

261 Direct measurements of DNA strand breaks by the appearance of tailing can be obtained *in vivo* by  
262 using the traditional comet assay (SCGE).

263 A health claim on the reduction of spontaneous DNA strand breaks has been evaluated by the Panel  
264 with an unfavourable opinion ([EFSA NDA Panel, 2011b](#)).

## 265 3.3. Function claims related to cardiovascular health

266 Claims referring to cardiovascular health in general are not sufficiently defined for a scientific  
267 evaluation, as they are considered to be general and non-specific, and thus do not comply with the  
268 criteria laid down in Regulation (EC) No 1924/2006 unless they are accompanied by a specific claim.  
269 Examples of specific claims are addressed in sections 3.3.1 to 3.3.8 of this guidance.

270 A number of outcome variables have been proposed for the scientific substantiation of general claims  
271 on cardiovascular health, including beneficial changes in the blood lipid profile, arterial blood pressure,  
272 endothelial function, plasma homocysteine concentrations, platelet aggregation and venous blood  
273 flow. Such outcome variables can be assessed *in vivo* in humans by well-established methods, and  
274 allow the scientific evaluation of specific function claims in the area of cardiovascular health.

275 Evidence for a beneficial change in two of these outcome variables (i.e. for a reduction in blood LDL-  
276 cholesterol (LDL-c) concentrations; for a reduction in arterial (systolic) blood pressure (SBP)) is  
277 sufficient for the scientific substantiation of both function claims (i.e. on the maintenance of normal  
278 blood LDL-c concentrations or arterial (systolic) blood pressure) and reduction of disease risk claims  
279 related, for example, to the risk of coronary heart disease (CHD) (see section 3.4).

280 A reduction in the incidence of coronary events has also been proposed for the scientific  
281 substantiation of function claims referring to cardiovascular health in general. Evidence for a reduction

282 in the incidence of coronary events (e.g. myocardial infarction) can be used alone for the  
283 substantiation of function claims relating to the maintenance of normal cardiac function. However,  
284 such evidence is not sufficient for the scientific substantiation of reduction of disease risk claims in  
285 relation, for example, to the risk of CHD, because evidence for a beneficial alteration of one or more  
286 outcome variables (other than LDL-c and/or SBP) which can be considered as risk factors for the  
287 disease in the context of a particular application is also needed (see section 3.4).

### 288 3.3.1. Claims on maintenance of normal cardiac function

289 A function claim on eicosapentaenoic acid (EPA) and docosahexaenoic acid (DHA) and maintenance of  
290 normal cardiac function has been evaluated by the Panel with a positive opinion ([EFSA NDA Panel,  
291 2010a](#)).

292 The scientific basis for the substantiation of the claim was a wealth of human observational studies  
293 showing a consistent association between the consumption of the food/constituent and a reduction in  
294 the risk of CHD outcomes in the target population, as well as human intervention studies showing an  
295 effect of the food/constituent in reducing the risk of CHD outcomes in patients under medication (i.e.  
296 secondary prevention).

### 297 3.3.2. Claims on a beneficial change in the blood lipid profile

298 A reduction in fasting blood LDL-c concentrations (for claims on maintenance of normal blood  
299 concentrations of LDL-c), and/or fasting triglycerides (TG), and/or an increase in fasting blood HDL-  
300 cholesterol (HDL-c) concentrations (as long as blood LDL-c concentrations are not increased) are all  
301 considered beneficial physiological effects. In this context, changes in the blood lipid profile within the  
302 normal range are also considered beneficial physiological effects.

303 In principle, if a claim is best described by a number of outcome variables which are interrelated (e.g.  
304 total cholesterol and LDL-c, LDL-c and HDL-c; TG and HDL-c), and which in combination could provide  
305 information about the claim and eventually about the underlying mechanism of action, the Panel will  
306 consider the information provided on all these variables to evaluate the claim. However, the selection  
307 of the outcome variable(s) to be tested in a study and the decision to treat such variable(s) as primary  
308 or as secondary outcomes would depend, among other considerations, on the study objectives (e.g.  
309 exploratory, confirmatory), the outcome variable(s) on which the power calculation was based, the  
310 study group, and the information which is already available (in the literature, or to the applicant)  
311 regarding the relationship between the consumption of the food/constituent and the claimed effect  
312 (e.g. whether a mechanism of action by which the food/constituent could exert the claimed effect is  
313 already known) ([General scientific guidance](#), section 7.2.1).

314 The characterisation of the claimed effect for claims related to beneficial changes in the blood lipid  
315 profile requires identification of the particular marker(s) which should be considered for the scientific  
316 evaluation (e.g. LDL-c, HDL-c, fasting TG, or a combination thereof).

317 The scientific evidence for the substantiation of health claims related to a beneficial change in the  
318 blood lipid profile can be obtained from human intervention studies showing a reduction in LDL-c  
319 concentrations, and/or an increase in HDL-c concentrations with a reduction or no change in LDL-c  
320 concentrations, and/or a reduction in blood TG concentrations as compared to an appropriate  
321 food/constituent or exceptionally to no intervention (e.g. control group on usual diet). All  
322 measurements should be performed in fasting conditions using well-accepted methods and following  
323 standardised conditions and protocols (e.g. Miller M et al., 2011<sup>25</sup>; Catapano AL et al., 2016<sup>26</sup>).

324 Even if a significant effect on one or more of these outcome variables is observed within short periods  
325 of time (e.g. three to four weeks), evidence on the sustainability of the effect with continuous  
326 consumption of the food/constituent over longer periods of time (i.e. at least eight weeks) should be  
327 provided.

328 Claims for a beneficial effect of the absence (or reduced content) of a food constituent in a food or  
329 category of food on LDL-c concentration have been proposed. Substantiation may be based on  
330 evidence for an independent role of the food constituent in increasing LDL-c concentration. For

<sup>25</sup> Triglycerides and cardiovascular disease: a scientific statement from the American Heart Association.

<sup>26</sup> 2016 ESC/EAS Guidelines for the Management of Dyslipidaemias.

331 example, for claims on a reduced content of saturated fatty acids (SFAs) in relation to blood LDL-c  
332 concentration, SFAs in mixed diets have been shown to increase blood LDL-c concentration when  
333 compared to carbohydrates which have a neutral effect on LDL-c concentration, and therefore SFAs in  
334 mixed diets have an independent role in increasing LDL-c concentration ([EFSA NDA panel, 2011c](#)).

335 Claims for a beneficial effect of a food constituent when used in replacement of a food constituent  
336 with an independent role in increasing LDL-c concentration have also been proposed. Substantiation  
337 may be based on evidence for an independent role of the replaced food constituent in increasing LDL-  
338 c concentration, together with evidence for the lack of an effect or a reduced effect of the food  
339 constituent which is used for replacement (e.g. claims for unsaturated fats and reduced LDL-c  
340 concentration when replacing saturated fats) ([EFSA NDA Panel, 2011d](#)).

341 With respect to the study population, results from studies conducted in hypercholesterolaemic and /or  
342 hypertriglyceridaemic subjects treated with lifestyle measures only (e.g. diet) could be used for the  
343 scientific substantiation of these claims. However, the rationale for extrapolation of results obtained in  
344 hypercholesterolaemic subjects under pharmacological treatment with cholesterol-lowering  
345 medications (e.g. statins), and/or in hypertriglyceridaemic subjects under treatment with "triglyceride-  
346 lowering" medications (e.g. fibrates), to the target population for the claim should be provided, and  
347 will be considered on a case-by-case basis (e.g. evidence for a lack of interaction between the food  
348 and the medications used on the claimed effect).

### 349 **3.3.3. Claims on the reduction of post-prandial blood concentration of** 350 **triglycerides**

351 An increase in blood concentrations of TG after consumption of a fat-containing meal and/or food is a  
352 normal physiological response that varies in magnitude and duration, and which may be influenced by  
353 the chemical and physical nature of the food or meal consumed, as well as by individual factors  
354 (Jackson et al., 2012). Claims on the reduction of post-prandial blood concentrations of TG refer to  
355 the ability of a food/constituent to reduce the blood TG rise after consumption of a food or meal rich  
356 in fat (i.e. in comparison to a reference food or meal). A reduction of post-prandial blood  
357 concentrations of TG is, in general, a beneficial physiological effect for the adult population.

358 In principle, the scientific evidence for the substantiation of these claims can be obtained from human  
359 intervention studies showing a reduction of post-prandial blood concentrations of TG at different time  
360 points during an appropriate period of time after consumption of the test food in comparison to the  
361 reference food. Post-prandial blood concentrations of TG should be measured under well-defined  
362 conditions (Jagla A and Schrezenmeir J, 2001; Mihas C et al., 2011; Nordestgaard BG and Freiberg JJ,  
363 2011). The Panel notes, however, that there is no consensus on cut-off values to define normal post-  
364 prandial blood concentrations of TG and that no standard protocols for the assessment are available  
365 yet (Kolovou et al., 2011; Mihas et al., 2011).

366 With respect to the study population, results from studies conducted in hypercholesterolaemic and /or  
367 hypertriglyceridaemic subjects treated with lifestyle measures only (e.g. diet) could be used for the  
368 scientific substantiation of these claims. However, the rationale for extrapolation of results obtained in  
369 hypercholesterolaemic subjects under pharmacological treatment with cholesterol-lowering  
370 medications (e.g. statins), and/or hypertriglyceridaemic subjects under treatment with "triglyceride-  
371 lowering" medications (e.g. fibrates) to the target population for the claim should be provided, and  
372 will be considered on a case-by-case basis (e.g. evidence for a lack of interaction between the food  
373 and the medications used on the claimed effect).

374 A health claim on the reduction of post-prandial lipaemic response has been evaluated by the Panel  
375 with an unfavourable opinion ([EFSA NDA Panel, 2013a](#)).

### 376 **3.3.4. Claims on the maintenance of normal (arterial) blood pressure**

377 Maintenance of normal arterial blood pressure (BP) is a beneficial physiological effect. The scientific  
378 evidence for the substantiation of health claims on the maintenance of normal BP can be obtained  
379 from human intervention studies showing a reduction in SBP (e.g. point SBP, 24-h SBP), or a  
380 reduction in diastolic blood pressure (DBP) (e.g. point DBP, 24-h DBP) if accompanied by a reduction  
381 in SBP, as compared to an appropriate food/constituent or exceptionally to no intervention (e.g.

382 control group on usual diet). In this context, also reductions in BP within the normal range are  
383 considered beneficial physiological effects.

384 Even if a significant effect on BP is observed within short periods of time (e.g. three to four weeks),  
385 evidence on the sustainability of the effect with continuous consumption of the food/constituent over  
386 longer periods of time (i.e. at least eight weeks) should be provided.

387 Studies should be designed to account for intra-individual variability, and BP should be measured  
388 using well-accepted methods according to standardised conditions and protocols (Mancia G et al.,  
389 2013<sup>27</sup>).

390 Owing to the lack of standardisation, self (home) measurement of BP (e.g. using an electronic device)  
391 is not an appropriate method for measuring point SBP and point DBP in research settings; validation  
392 of the device and protocols used are required. Measurement with a calibrated sphygmomanometer  
393 (Mancia G et al., 2013; [Tolonen H et al., 2015](#))<sup>28</sup> is the standard method for the assessment of office  
394 BP.

395 Ambulatory blood pressure monitoring (ABPM) allows measuring BP over a 24-hour period and  
396 provides an insight to BP changes during everyday activities not covered by single measurements. The  
397 ABPM is an appropriate method for measuring mean 24-h SBP and 24-h DBP.

398 With respect to the study population, results from studies conducted in hypertensive subjects treated  
399 with lifestyle measures only (e.g. diet) could be used for the scientific substantiation of these claims.  
400 However, the rationale for extrapolation of results obtained in hypertensive subjects under treatment  
401 with blood pressure-lowering medications (e.g. ACE-inhibitors, blockers of beta adrenergic receptors,  
402 calcium channel blockers and diuretics) to the target population for the claim should be provided, and  
403 will be considered on a case-by-case basis (e.g. evidence for a lack of interaction between the food  
404 and the medications used on the claimed effect).

### 405 3.3.5. Claims on the improvement of endothelial functions

406 Endothelial function *per se* is not sufficiently defined for a scientific evaluation, because endothelium-  
407 derived active factors play a role in the maintenance of several functions of the vascular system.  
408 These include vasomotion, smooth muscle proliferation, thrombosis, inflammation, coagulation,  
409 fibrinolysis and oxidation, which can be assessed by indirect methods. The characterisation of the  
410 claimed effect requires identification of the specific function which should be considered for the  
411 scientific evaluation (e.g. endothelium-dependent vasodilation).

412 Some claims referred to the improvement of endothelium-dependent vasodilation, which is a specific  
413 endothelial function that can be measured *in vivo* in humans using well-accepted methods. The  
414 capacity of blood vessels to respond to physical and chemical stimuli in the lumen confers the ability  
415 to self-regulate tone and to adjust blood flow and distribution in response to changes in the local  
416 environment. Many blood vessels respond to an increase in flow, or more precisely shear stress, by  
417 dilating. This phenomenon is designated as flow-mediated dilation (FMD). A principal mediator of FMD  
418 is endothelium-derived nitric oxide (NO). Endothelial denudation or treatment with a nitric oxide  
419 synthase (NOS) inhibitor abolishes FMD in a variety of arterial vessels. Endothelium-derived  
420 prostanoids and the putative endothelium-derived hyperpolarizing factor have also been implicated as  
421 back-up mechanisms mediating changes in arterial diameter in response to shear stress, so that there  
422 may be some redundancy in the system in order to ensure an appropriate response of blood vessels  
423 to shear stress. Endothelium-dependent vasodilation contributes to the maintenance of an adequate  
424 blood flow to body cells and tissues ([EFSA NDA Panel, 2012a](#)).

425 Endothelium-dependent vasodilation can be assessed *in vivo* at different points of the arterial tree  
426 using well-established methods (e.g. the FMD technique<sup>29</sup>). Endothelium-independent vasodilation  
427 (EIVD) of the brachial artery induced by exogenous NO donors (e.g. after the sublingual  
428 administration of nitroglycerin) is also measured as control (Corretti et al., 2002; Thijssen et al.,  
429 2011).

<sup>27</sup> 2013 ESH/ESC guidelines for the management of arterial hypertension: the Task Force for the Management of Arterial Hypertension of the European Society of Hypertension (ESH) and of the European Society of Cardiology (ESC).

<sup>28</sup> The sale of mercury sphygmomanometers has been banned since April 2014 (EU regulation 847/2012).

<sup>29</sup> Corretti et al., 2002; Thijssen et al., 2011.

430 The effect of a food/constituent on endothelium-dependent vasodilation can be expressed as changes  
431 in endothelium-dependent FMD (ED-FMD) either in fasting conditions after regular consumption of the  
432 food/constituent, or as acute changes in ED-FMD occurring shortly after consumption of the  
433 food/constituent. A sustained increase in endothelium-dependent vasodilation in fasting conditions in  
434 response to an intervention (regular consumption of a food/constituent for at least four weeks) is a  
435 beneficial physiological effect.

436 Markers of plasma nitric oxide status (e.g. nitrite/nitrosyl species (RXNO) measured by reductive gas-  
437 phase chemiluminescence) can provide evidence on the mechanisms by which the food/constituent  
438 could exert the claimed effect, but cannot be used alone for the substantiation of the claim.

439 Claims on maintenance of normal endothelium-dependent vasodilation response have been evaluated  
440 by the Panel with a favourable opinion (e.g. cocoa flavanols ([EFSA NDA Panel, 2012a](#))).

### 441 **3.3.6. Claims on the reduction of platelet aggregation**

442 Platelet hyperactivity and hypercoagulability states are more commonly observed in subjects with  
443 cardiovascular (CV) risk factors. Healthy subjects at very low risk of CV disease normally have non-  
444 activated circulating platelets. A reduction in platelet aggregation (i.e. the percentage of inhibition in  
445 platelet aggregation using light transmission aggregometry (LTA) according to well-accepted and  
446 standardised protocols<sup>30</sup>) in subjects with platelet activation during sustained exposure to the  
447 food/constituent (at least four weeks) is a beneficial physiological effect.

448 Other outcome variables, such as thromboxane A2 (TXA2), or plasma soluble P-selectin (P-sel), are  
449 not well-established markers of platelet aggregation, but can be used as supportive evidence for the  
450 scientific substantiation of these claims.

451 A claim on maintenance of normal platelet aggregation has been evaluated by the Panel with a  
452 favourable opinion (Water-soluble tomato concentrate ([EFSA NDA Panel, 2009a](#))).

### 453 **3.3.7. Claims on the maintenance of normal blood homocysteine** 454 **concentrations by contributing to normal homocysteine metabolism.**

455 Maintenance of normal homocysteine metabolism is a beneficial physiological effect. It is well  
456 established that homocysteine metabolism is closely linked with both folate metabolism and one-  
457 carbon metabolism.

458 Evidence for the scientific substantiation of such a claim may come from the well-established role of a  
459 food/constituent in contributing to the remethylation or degradation of homocysteine in the liver (e.g.  
460 some vitamins), or from human intervention studies showing a reduction of homocysteine  
461 concentrations (measured, for example, by liquid chromatography tandem mass spectrometry (LC-  
462 MS/MS)) as compared to an appropriate food/constituent or exceptionally to no intervention (e.g.  
463 control group on usual diet), or both.

464 Even if a significant effect on blood homocysteine concentrations is observed within short periods of  
465 time (e.g. three to four weeks), evidence on the sustainability of the effect with continuous  
466 consumption of the food/constituent over longer periods of time (i.e. at least eight weeks) should be  
467 provided.

468 Several claims on the maintenance of normal homocysteine metabolism, which have been evaluated  
469 by the Panel with a favourable opinion, were based on the essentiality of nutrients (e.g. [Folate](#) (EFSA  
470 NDA Panel, 2009b), [Vitamin B12](#), (EFSA NDA Panel, 2010b), [Vitamin B6](#) (EFSA NDA Panel, 2010c)).  
471 Claims on [betaine](#) (and [choline](#), which can be a precursor of betaine) were substantiated taking into  
472 account that betaine can act as a methyl donor in the remethylation of homocysteine in the liver by  
473 the enzyme betaine-homocysteine methyltransferase, and that human intervention studies  
474 consistently showed a significant decrease in plasma homocysteine concentrations following betaine  
475 (or choline) administration (EFSA NDA Panel, 2011e and 2011f).

476

---

<sup>30</sup> Cattaneo M, et al, 2013

### 477 3.3.8. Venous blood flow

478 Healthy veins contain bicuspid valves assisting unidirectional flow from the lower limbs towards the  
479 heart. The valves of the venous system may become incompetent and blood can flow backwards  
480 (venous reflux). Venous reflux is a pathological condition characterised by impaired return of blood  
481 and increased venous pressure that may lead to venous stasis and eventually microangiopathy.

482 Maintenance of normal venous blood flow is a beneficial physiological effect. Blood flow (e.g. venous  
483 reflux) in blood vessels, including the veins, can be assessed *in vivo* by standard dynamic ultrasound  
484 techniques (e.g. Duplex Doppler). The great saphenous diameter and the popliteal vein diameter are  
485 *per se* not appropriate outcome variables for the substantiation of the claim.

486 Results from studies conducted in non-diseased population subgroups could be used for the scientific  
487 substantiation of health claims on the maintenance of normal venous blood flow. Information on the  
488 selection criteria applied and on the characteristics of the study group in relation to the claimed effect,  
489 as well as a rationale for extrapolation of the results (e.g. subjects with chronic venous insufficiency  
490 (CVI)) to the target population (healthy subjects without CVI) for which the claim is made should be  
491 provided, and will be considered on a case-by-case basis.

492 Studies in patients with varicose veins and associated chronic venous diseases, and which relate to  
493 the treatment of symptoms of the disease cannot be considered for the scientific substantiation of  
494 claims on venous function in the general population.

495 A health claim on the maintenance of normal venous blood flow has been evaluated by the Panel with  
496 an unfavourable opinion (e.g. [EFSA NDA panel, 2012b](#)).

497 Also health claims on the maintenance of normal physiological venous tone and the maintenance of  
498 normal venous-capillary permeability have been proposed, and were evaluated by the Panel with an  
499 unfavourable opinion (e.g. EFSA NDA Panel, [2014b](#) and [2014c](#)). The studies provided for the  
500 substantiation of these claims included measurement of a reduction in the feeling of heaviness,  
501 burning, cramps and formication in the lower limbs, which is not a direct measure of "venous tone", or  
502 measurement of the changes of the volume of foot, ankle and leg, which is not a direct measure of  
503 "venous-capillary permeability".

### 504 3.4. Reduction of disease risk claims related to cardiovascular diseases

505 Regulation (EC) No 1924/2006 defines a 'reduction of disease risk claim' as any health claim that  
506 states, suggests or implies that the consumption of a food category, a food or one of its constituents  
507 significantly reduces a risk factor in the development of a human disease. This is because health  
508 claims referring to the reduction of the risk of a disease directly (i.e. to the prevention of a disease)  
509 cannot be made on food. From a scientific perspective, the reduction in the risk of a disease (i.e. an  
510 effect of the food/constituent on disease outcomes) provides stronger evidence for the substantiation  
511 of reduction of disease risk claims than the reduction of a risk factor for the disease. However,  
512 evidence on the reduction of the risk of a disease (e.g. coronary events) is not sufficient for the  
513 substantiation of these claims. Evidence that the consumption of the food/constituent also reduces (or  
514 beneficially affects) one or more risk factors for the disease should be provided to fulfil the  
515 requirements for the wording of the claim laid down in Regulation (EC) No 1924/2006.

516 It is well established that elevated blood **LDL-c** concentration is independently associated with an  
517 increased risk of CHD, and that reducing blood LDL-c concentration (by dietary modification and/or  
518 drugs) would generally reduce the risk of development of CHD. It is also well established that elevated  
519 arterial **SBP** is independently associated with an increased risk of CHD and stroke, and that reducing  
520 arterial SBP (by dietary modification and/or drugs) would generally reduce the risk of development of  
521 CHD and stroke. Therefore, the scientific substantiation of claims related to a decreased risk of CHD  
522 can be based on evidence for a reduction of either blood LDL-c concentrations or arterial SBP, and  
523 evidence for a reduction in the incidence of CHD is not required. Similarly, the scientific substantiation  
524 of claims related to a decreased risk of stroke can be based on evidence for a reduction of arterial  
525 SBP, and evidence for a reduction in the incidence of stroke is not required. In this context, blood  
526 LDL-c concentrations and arterial SBP are the risk factors for CHD and/or stroke, as required by  
527 Regulation (EC) No 1924/2006.

528 Several disease risk reduction claims related to CHD risk which have proposed elevated **LDL-c**  
529 concentrations as the risk factor for the disease have been evaluated by the Panel with a favourable  
530 opinion (e.g. [Limicol](#) (EFSA NDA Panel, 2013b); [Plant sterols](#) (EFSA NDA Panel, 2008a); [Plant stanol](#)  
531 [esters](#) (EFSA NDA Panel, 2008b); [Danacol](#) (EFSA NDA Panel, 2009c); [Oat beta glucan](#) (EFSA NDA  
532 Panel, 2010d); [Barley beta-glucan](#) (EFSA NDA Panel, 2011g); [Trans free spreadable fats](#) (EFSA NDA  
533 Panel, 2011h)). The scientific substantiation of all these claims has been based on evidence for a  
534 sustained reduction in LDL-c concentrations with continuous consumption of the food/constituent,  
535 whereas evidence for a reduction in the risk of the disease directly (i.e. on disease outcomes) has not  
536 been provided.

537 For proposed risk factors other than LDL-c and arterial SBP, the evidence on the relationship between  
538 the risk factor and the development of the disease may not be as strong. There is some evidence, for  
539 example, that low blood **HDL-c** concentration, elevated blood concentration of (fasting) **TG**, or  
540 elevated blood **homocysteine** concentration are associated with an increased risk of CHD. However,  
541 changes in any of these factors (by dietary modification and/or drugs) have not generally been shown  
542 to reduce the risk of CHD. Therefore, human studies on how the consumption of the food/constituent  
543 prospectively modifies the risk of CHD are required for the substantiation of these claims in order to  
544 validate the association between these variables and the risk of disease in the context of a particular  
545 nutritional intervention.

546 Evidence for a reduction in the incidence of the disease (e.g. CHD, stroke) is necessary, but not  
547 sufficient, for the scientific substantiation of reduction of disease risk claims. Evidence for a beneficial  
548 alteration of one or more risk factors (e.g. reduction in blood concentration of (fasting) TG, reduction  
549 in blood homocysteine concentration, or an increase in blood HDL-c concentration) with the  
550 consumption of the food/constituent is also required.

551 A disease risk reduction claim related to the reduction of arterial stiffness by reducing the risk of CV  
552 disease has been evaluated by the Panel with an unfavourable opinion ([Evolus®](#) (EFSA NDA Panel,  
553 2008c)). There is insufficient evidence demonstrating that a reduction in arterial stiffness (evaluated  
554 through the calculation of augmentation index (Aix), measurement of the return time of reflected  
555 wave) univocally leads to a reduction in the risk of CV disease. In this context, a reduction in arterial  
556 stiffness can be considered as a risk factor in the development of the risk of CV disease, as long as  
557 evidence is provided that the consumption of the food that is the subject of the health claim reduces  
558 the proposed risk factor as well as the incidence of hypertension/CV disease.

## References

- Catapano AL, Graham I, De Backer G, Wiklund O, Chapman MJ, Drexel H, Hoes AW, Jennings CS, Landmesser U, Pedersen TR, Reiner Ž, Riccardi G, Taskinen MR, Tokgozoglul L, Verschuren WM, Vlachopoulos C, Wood DA, Zamorano JL. 2016 ESC/EAS Guidelines for the Management of Dyslipidaemias. *European Heart Journal*, 37, 2999-3058. Available online: <https://doi.org/10.1093/eurheartj/ehw272>
- Cattaneo M, Cerletti C, Harrison P, Hayward CP, Kenny D, Nugent D, Nurden P, Rao AK, Schmaier AH, Watson SP, Lussana F, Pugliano MT, Michelson AD, 2013. Recommendations for the standardization of light transmission aggregometry: a consensus of the working party from the platelet physiology subcommittee of SSC/ISTH. *J Thromb Haemost*, 11, 1183–1189. Available online: <http://onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/10.1111/jth.12231/pdf>
- Corretti MC, Anderson TJ, Benjamin EJ, Celermajer D, Charbonneau F, Creager MA, Deanfield J, Drexler H, Gerhard-Herman M, Herrington D, Vallance P, Vita J, Vogel R, 2002. Guidelines for the ultrasound assessment of endothelial-dependent flow-mediated vasodilation of the brachial artery: a report of the International Brachial Artery Reactivity Task Force. *J Am Coll Cardiol*, 16, 39, 257-265. Available online: <https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/11788217>; and more recently discussed in: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/20952670>
- EFSA NDA Panel (EFSA Panel on Dietetic Products, Nutrition and Allergies), Turck D, Bresson J-L, Burlingame B, Dean T, Fairweather-Tait S, Heinonen M, Hirsch-Ernst KI, Mangelsdorf I, McArdle HJ, Naska A, Neuhauser-Berthold M, Nowicka G, Pentieva K, Sanz Y, Sjödin A, Stern M, Tome D, Van Loveren H, Vinceti M, Willatts P, Martin A, Strain JJ, Heng L, Valtueña Martínez and Siani A, 2017a. Scientific and technical guidance for the preparation and presentation of a health claim application (Revision 2). *EFSA Journal* 2017;15(1):4680, 31 pp. doi:10.2903/j.efsa.2017.4680. Available online: <http://onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/10.2903/j.efsa.2017.4680/epdf>
- EFSA NDA Panel (EFSA Panel on Dietetic Products, Nutrition and Allergies), Turck D, Bresson J-L, Burlingame B, Dean T, Fairweather-Tait S, Heinonen M, Hirsch-Ernst KI, Mangelsdorf I, McArdle HJ, Naska A, Neuhauser-Berthold M, Nowicka G, Pentieva K, Sanz Y, Sjödin A, Stern M, Tome D, Van Loveren H, Vinceti M, Willatts P, Martin A, Strain JJ, Ciok J and Siani A, 2017b. Scientific opinion on Vitamin C and protection of DNA, proteins and lipids from oxidative damage: evaluation of a health claim pursuant to Article 14 of Regulation (EC) No 1924/2006. *EFSA Journal* 2017;15(1):4685, 8 pp. doi: 10.2903/j.efsa.2017.4685. Available online: <http://onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/10.2903/j.efsa.2017.4685/epdf>
- EFSA NDA Panel (EFSA Panel on Dietetic Products, Nutrition and Allergies), 2016a. General scientific guidance for stakeholders on health claim applications. *EFSA Journal* 2016;14(1):4367, 38 pp. doi:10.2903/j.efsa.2016.4367. Available online: <http://onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/10.2903/j.efsa.2016.4367/epdf>
- EFSA NDA Panel (EFSA Panel on Dietetic Products, Nutrition and Allergies), Turck D, Bresson J-L, Burlingame B, Dean T, Fairweather-Tait S, Heinonen M, Hirsch-Ernst KI, Mangelsdorf I, McArdle HJ, Naska A, Neuhauser-Berthold M, Nowicka G, Pentieva K, Sanz Y, Sjödin A, Stern M, Tome D, Van Loveren H, Vinceti M, Willatts P, Martin A, Strain JJ, Ciok J and Siani A, 2016b. Scientific opinion on vitamin E and protection of DNA, proteins and lipids from oxidative damage: evaluation of a health claim pursuant to Article 14 of Regulation (EC) No 1924/2006. *EFSA Journal* 2016;14(10):4588, 8 pp. doi:10.2903/j.efsa.2016.4588. Available online: <http://onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/10.2903/j.efsa.2016.4588/epdf>
- EFSA NDA Panel (EFSA Panel on Dietetic Products, Nutrition and Allergies), 2014a. Scientific Opinion on the substantiation of a health claim related to selenium and protection of DNA, proteins and lipids from oxidative damage pursuant to Article 14 of Regulation (EC) No 1924/2006. *EFSA Journal* 2014;12(11):3890, 8 pp. doi:10.2903/j.efsa.2014.3890. Available online: <http://onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/10.2903/j.efsa.2014.3890/epdf>

- EFSA NDA Panel (EFSA Panel on Dietetic Products, Nutrition and Allergies), 2014b. Scientific Opinion on the substantiation of a health claim related to a combination of diosmin, troxerutin and hesperidin and maintenance of normal venous tone pursuant to Article 13(5) of Regulation (EC) No 1924/2006. EFSA Journal 2014;12(1):3512, 10 pp. doi:10.2903/j.efsa.2014.3512. <http://onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/10.2903/j.efsa.2014.3512/pdf>
- EFSA NDA Panel (EFSA Panel on Dietetic Products, Nutrition and Allergies), 2014c. Scientific Opinion on the substantiation of a health claim related to a combination of diosmin, troxerutin and hesperidin and maintenance of normal venous-capillary permeability pursuant to Article 13(5) of Regulation (EC) No 1924/2006. EFSA Journal 2014;12(1):3511, 10 pp. doi:10.2903/j.efsa.2014.3511. <http://onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/10.2903/j.efsa.2014.3511/pdf>
- EFSA Panel on Dietetic Products, Nutrition and Allergies (NDA), 2013a. Scientific Opinion on the substantiation of a health claim related to Vichy Catalan carbonated natural mineral water and reduction of post-prandial lipaemic response pursuant to Article 13(5) of Regulation (EC) No 1924/2006. EFSA Journal 2013;11(2):3087. [12 pp.]. doi:10.2903/j.efsa.2013.3087. Available online: <http://onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/10.2903/j.efsa.2013.3087/epdf>
- EFSA NDA Panel (EFSA Panel on Dietetic Products, Nutrition and Allergies), 2013b. Scientific Opinion on the substantiation of a health claim related to the combination of artichoke leaf dry extract standardised in caffeoylquinic acids, monacolin K in red yeast rice, sugar-cane derived policosanols, OPC from French maritime pine bark, garlic dry extract standardised in allicin, d- $\alpha$ -tocopheryl hydrogen succinate, riboflavin and inositol hexanicotinate in Limicol® and reduction of blood LDL-cholesterol concentrations pursuant to Article 14 of Regulation (EC) No 1924/2006. EFSA Journal 2013;11(7):3327, 16 pp. doi:10.2903/j.efsa.2013.3327. Available online: <http://onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/10.2903/j.efsa.2013.3327/epdf>
- EFSA Panel on Dietetic Products, Nutrition and Allergies (NDA), 2012a. Scientific Opinion on the substantiation of a health claim related to cocoa flavanols and maintenance of normal endothelium-dependent vasodilation pursuant to Article 13(5) of Regulation (EC) No 1924/2006. EFSA Journal 2012;10(7):2809. [21 pp.]. doi:10.2903/j.efsa.2012.2809. Available online: <http://onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/10.2903/j.efsa.2012.2809/epdf>
- EFSA Panel on Dietetic Products, Nutrition and Allergies (NDA), 2012b. Scientific Opinion on the substantiation of a health claim related to *Vitis vinifera* L. seeds extract and maintenance of normal venous blood flow pursuant to Article 13(5) of Regulation (EC) No 1924/2006. EFSA Journal 2012;10(12):2996. [11 pp.]. doi:10.2903/j.efsa.2012.2996. Available online: <http://onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/10.2903/j.efsa.2012.2996/pdf>
- EFSA Panel on Dietetic Products, Nutrition and Allergies (NDA), 2011a. Guidance on the scientific requirements for health claims related to antioxidants, oxidative damage and cardiovascular health. EFSA Journal 2011;9(12):2474. [13 pp.]. doi:10.2903/j.efsa.2011.2474. Available online: <http://onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/10.2903/j.efsa.2011.2474/pdf>
- EFSA Panel on Dietetic Products, Nutrition and Allergies (NDA), 2011b. Scientific Opinion on the substantiation of a health claim related to coffee C21 and reduction of spontaneous DNA strand breaks pursuant to Article 13(5) of Regulation (EC) No 1924/2006. EFSA Journal 2011;9(12):2465. [10 pp.]. doi:10.2903/j.efsa.2011.2465. Available online: <http://onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/10.2903/j.efsa.2011.2465/epdf>
- EFSA Panel on Dietetic Products, Nutrition and Allergies (NDA), 2011c. Scientific Opinion on the substantiation of health claims related to foods with reduced amounts of saturated fatty acids (SFAs) and maintenance of normal blood LDL-cholesterol concentrations (ID 620, 671, 4332) pursuant to Article 13(1) of Regulation (EC) No 1924/2006. EFSA Journal 2011;9(4):2062. [14 pp.]. doi:10.2903/j.efsa.2011.2062. Available online: <http://onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/10.2903/j.efsa.2011.2062/epdf>

- EFSA Panel on Dietetic Products, Nutrition and Allergies (NDA), 2011d. Scientific Opinion on the substantiation of health claims related to the replacement of mixtures of saturated fatty acids (SFAs) as present in foods or diets with mixtures of monounsaturated fatty acids (MUFAs) and/or mixtures of polyunsaturated fatty acids (PUFAs), and maintenance of normal blood LDL-cholesterol concentrations (ID 621, 1190, 1203, 2906, 2910, 3065) pursuant to Article 13(1) of Regulation (EC) No 1924/2006. *EFSA Journal* 2011;9(4):2069. [18 pp.]. doi:10.2903/j.efsa.2011.2069. Available online: <http://onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/10.2903/j.efsa.2011.2069/pdf>
- EFSA Panel on Dietetic Products, Nutrition and Allergies (NDA), 2011e. Scientific Opinion on the substantiation of health claims related to betaine and contribution to normal homocysteine metabolism (ID 4325) pursuant to Article 13(1) of Regulation (EC) No 1924/2006. *EFSA Journal* 2011;9(4):2052. [14 pp.]. doi:10.2903/j.efsa.2011.2052. Available online: <http://onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/10.2903/j.efsa.2011.2052/epdf>
- EFSA Panel on Dietetic Products, Nutrition and Allergies (NDA), 2011f. Scientific Opinion on the substantiation of health claims related to choline and contribution to normal lipid metabolism (ID 3186), maintenance of normal liver function (ID 1501), contribution to normal homocysteine metabolism (ID 3090), maintenance of normal neurological function (ID 1502), contribution to normal cognitive function (ID 1502), and brain and neurological development (ID 1503) pursuant to Article 13(1) of Regulation (EC) No 1924/2006. *EFSA Journal* 2011;9(4):2056. [23 pp.]. doi:10.2903/j.efsa.2011.2056. Available online: <http://onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/10.2903/j.efsa.2011.2056/epdf>
- EFSA Panel on Dietetic Products, Nutrition and Allergies (NDA), 2011g. Scientific Opinion on the substantiation of a health claim related to barley beta-glucan and lowering of blood cholesterol and reduced risk of (coronary) heart disease pursuant to Article 14 of Regulation (EC) No 1924/2006. *EFSA Journal* 2011;9(12):2470. [14 pp.]. doi:10.2903/j.efsa.2011.2470. Available online: <http://onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/10.2903/j.efsa.2011.2470/epdf>
- EFSA Panel on Dietetic Products, Nutrition and Allergies (NDA), 2011h. Scientific Opinion on the substantiation of a health claim related to "low fat and low trans spreadable fat rich in unsaturated and omega-3 fatty acids" and reduction of LDL-cholesterol concentrations pursuant to Article 14 of Regulation (EC) No 1924/2006. *EFSA Journal* 2011;9(5):2168. [13 pp.]. doi:10.2903/j.efsa.2011.2168. Available online: <http://onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/10.2903/j.efsa.2011.2168/epdf>
- EFSA NDA Panel (EFSA Panel on Dietetic Products, Nutrition and Allergies), 2010a. Scientific Opinion on the substantiation of health claims related to eicosapentaenoic acid (EPA), docosahexaenoic acid (DHA), docosapentaenoic acid (DPA) and maintenance of normal cardiac function (ID 504, 506, 516, 527, 538, 703, 1128, 1317, 1324, 1325), maintenance of normal blood glucose concentrations (ID 566), maintenance of normal blood pressure (ID 506, 516, 703, 1317, 1324), maintenance of normal blood HDL-cholesterol concentrations (ID 506), maintenance of normal (fasting) blood concentrations of triglycerides (ID 506, 527, 538, 1317, 1324, 1325), maintenance of normal blood LDL-cholesterol concentrations (ID 527, 538, 1317, 1325, 4689), protection of the skin from photo-oxidative (UV-induced) damage (ID 530), improved absorption of EPA and DHA (ID 522, 523), contribution to the normal function of the immune system by decreasing the levels of eicosanoids, arachidonic acid-derived mediators and pro-inflammatory cytokines (ID 520, 2914), and "immunomodulating agent" (4690) pursuant to Article 13(1) of Regulation (EC) No 1924/2006. *EFSA Journal* 2010;8(10):1796, 32 pp. doi:10.2903/j.efsa.2010.1796. Available at <http://onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/10.2903/j.efsa.2010.1796/epdf>
- EFSA Panel on Dietetic Products, Nutrition and Allergies (NDA), 2010b. Scientific Opinion on the substantiation of health claims related to vitamin B12 and contribution to normal neurological and psychological functions (ID 95, 97, 98, 100, 102, 109), contribution to normal homocysteine metabolism (ID 96, 103, 106), maintenance of normal bone (ID 104), maintenance of normal teeth (ID 104), maintenance of normal hair (ID 104), maintenance of normal skin (ID 104), maintenance of normal nails (ID 104), reduction of tiredness and fatigue (ID 108), and cell division (ID 212) pursuant to Article 13(1) of Regulation (EC) No 1924/2006. *EFSA Journal* 2010;8(10):1756. [23 pp.]. doi:10.2903/j.efsa.2010.1756. Available online: <http://onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/10.2903/j.efsa.2010.1756/epdf>

- EFSA Panel on Dietetic Products, Nutrition and Allergies (NDA), 2010c. Scientific Opinion on the substantiation of health claims related to vitamin B6 and contribution to normal homocysteine metabolism (ID 73, 76, 199), maintenance of normal bone (ID 74), maintenance of normal teeth (ID 74), maintenance of normal hair (ID 74), maintenance of normal skin (ID 74), maintenance of normal nails (ID 74), contribution to normal energy-yielding metabolism (ID 75, 214), contribution to normal psychological functions (ID 77), reduction of tiredness and fatigue (ID 78), and contribution to normal cysteine synthesis (ID 4283) pursuant to Article 13(1) of Regulation (EC) No 1924/2006. *EFSA Journal* 2010;8(10):1759. [24 pp.]. doi:10.2903/j.efsa.2010.1759. Available online: <http://onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/10.2903/j.efsa.2010.1759/epdf>
- EFSA Panel on Dietetic Products, Nutrition and Allergies (NDA), 2010d. Scientific Opinion on the substantiation of a health claim related to oat beta-glucan and lowering blood cholesterol and reduced risk of (coronary) heart disease pursuant to Article 14 of Regulation (EC) No 1924/2006. *EFSA Journal* 2010;8(12):1885. [15 pp.] doi:10.2903/j.efsa.2010.1885. Available online: <http://onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/10.2903/j.efsa.2010.1885/epdf>
- EFSA Panel on Dietetic Products, Nutrition and Allergies (NDA), 2009a. Scientific Opinion of the Panel on Dietetic Products, Nutrition and Allergies on a request from Provexis Natural Products Limited on Water-soluble tomato concentrate (WSTC I and II) and platelet aggregation. *The EFSA Journal* (2009) 1101, 1-15. Available online: <http://onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/10.2903/j.efsa.2009.1101/epdf>.
- EFSA Panel on Dietetic Products, Nutrition and Allergies (NDA), 2009b. Scientific Opinion on the substantiation of health claims related to folate and blood formation (ID 79), homocysteine metabolism (ID 80), energy yielding metabolism (ID 90), function of the immune system (ID 91), function of blood vessels (ID 94, 175, 192), cell division (ID 193), and maternal tissue growth during pregnancy (ID 2882) pursuant to Article 13(1) of Regulation (EC) No 1924/2006 on request from the European Commission. *EFSA Journal* 2009; 7(9):1213. [22 pp.]. doi:10.2903/j.efsa.2009.1213. Available online: <http://onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/10.2903/j.efsa.2009.1213/epdf>
- EFSA Panel on Dietetic Products, Nutrition and Allergies (NDA), 2009c. Scientific Opinion of the Panel on Dietetic Products, Nutrition and Allergies on a request from Danone France related to the scientific substantiation of a health claim on phytosterols and lowering/reducing blood cholesterol and reduced risk of (coronary) heart disease. *The EFSA Journal* (2009) 1177, 1-12. Available online: <http://onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/10.2903/j.efsa.2009.1177/epdf>
- EFSA Panel on Dietetic Products, Nutrition and Allergies (NDA), 2008a. Scientific Opinion of the Panel on Dietetic Products Nutrition and Allergies on a request from Unilever PLC/NV on Plant Sterols and lower/reduced blood cholesterol, reduced the risk of (coronary) heart disease. *The EFSA Journal* (2008) 781, 1-12. Available online: <http://onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/10.2903/j.efsa.2008.781/epdf>
- EFSA Panel on Dietetic Products, Nutrition and Allergies (NDA), 2008b. Scientific Opinion of the Panel on Dietetic Products, Nutrition and Allergies on a request from McNeil Nutritionals Ltd. related to the scientific substantiation of a health claim on plant stanol esters and lower/reduced blood cholesterol and reduced risk of (coronary) heart disease. *The EFSA Journal* (2008) 825, 1-13. Available online: <http://onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/10.2903/j.efsa.2008.825/epdf>
- EFSA Panel on Dietetic Products, Nutrition and Allergies (NDA), 2008c. Scientific Opinion of the Panel on Dietetic Products, Nutrition and Allergies on a request from Valio Ltd. on the scientific substantiation of a health claim related to *Lactobacillus helveticus* fermented Evolus® low-fat milk products and reduction of arterial stiffness. *The EFSA Journal* (2008) 824, 1-12. Available online: <http://onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/10.2903/j.efsa.2008.824/epdf>
- Jackson KG, Poppitt SD and Minihane AM, 2012. Postprandial lipemia and cardiovascular disease risk: Interrelationships between dietary, physiological and genetic determinants. *Atherosclerosis*, 220, 22-33.
- Jagla A and Schrezenmeir J, 2001. Postprandial triglycerides and endothelial function. *Experimental and Clinical Endocrinology & Diabetes*, 109, S533-S5347.

- Kolovou GD, Mikhailidis DP, Kovar J, Lairon D, Nordestgaard BG, Ooi TC, Perez-Martinez P, Biliadou H, Anagnostopoulou K, Panotopoulos G, 2011. Assessment and clinical relevance of non-fasting and postprandial triglycerides: an expert panel statement. *Current Vascular Pharmacology*, 9, 258-270.
- Mancia G, Fagard R, Narkiewicz K, Redon J, Zanchetti A, Böhm M, Christiaens T, Cifkova R, De Backer G, Dominiczak A, Galderisi M, Grobbee DE, Jaarsma T, Kirchhof P, Kjeldsen SE, Laurent S, Manolis AJ, Nilsson PM, Ruilope LM, Schmieder RE, Sirnes PA, Sleight P, Viigimaa M, Waeber B, Zannad F, Redon J, Dominiczak A, Narkiewicz K, Nilsson PM, Burnier M, Viigimaa M, Ambrosioni E, Caulfield M, Coca A, Olsen MH, Schmieder RE, Tsioufis C, van de Borne P, Zamorano JL, Achenbach S, Baumgartner H, Bax JJ, Bueno H, Dean V, Deaton C, Erol C, Fagard R, Ferrari R, Hasdai D, Hoes AW, Kirchhof P, Knuuti J, Kolh P, Lancellotti P, Linhart A, Nihoyannopoulos P, Piepoli MF, Ponikowski P, Sirnes PA, Tamargo JL, Tendera M, Torbicki A, Wijns W, Windecker S, Clement DL, Coca A, Gillebert TC, Tendera M, Rosei EA, Ambrosioni E, Anker SD, Bauersachs J, Hitij JB, Caulfield M, De Buyzere M, De Geest S, Derumeaux GA, Erdine S, Farsang C, Funck-Brentano C, Gerc V, Germano G, Gielen S, Haller H, Hoes AW, Jordan J, Kahan T, Komajda M, Lovic D, Mahrholdt H, Olsen MH, Ostergren J, Parati G, Perk J, Polonia J, Popescu BA, Reiner Z, Rydén L, Sirenko Y, Stanton A, Struijker-Boudier H, Tsioufis C, van de Borne P, Vlachopoulos C, Volpe M, Wood DA, 2013. 2013 ESH/ESC guidelines for the management of arterial hypertension: the Task Force for the Management of Arterial Hypertension of the European Society of Hypertension (ESH) and of the European Society of Cardiology (ESC). *European Heart Journal*, 34, 2159–2219. Available online: <https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/23771844>
- Mihai C, Kolovou GD, Mikhailidis DP, Kovar J, Lairon D, Nordestgaard BG, Ooi TC, Perez-Martinez P, Biliadou H, Anagnostopoulou K, Panotopoulos G, 2011. Diagnostic value of postprandial triglyceride testing in healthy subjects: a meta-analysis. *Curr Vasc Pharmacol*, 9, 271-280.
- Miller M, Stone NJ, Ballantyne C, Bittner V, Criqui MH, Ginsberg HN, Goldberg AC, Howard WJ, Jacobson MS, Kris-Etherton PM, Lennie TA, Levi M, Mazzone T, Pennathur S; American Heart Association Clinical Lipidology, Thrombosis, and Prevention Committee of the Council on Nutrition, Physical Activity, and Metabolism; Council on Arteriosclerosis, Thrombosis and Vascular Biology; Council on Cardiovascular Nursing; Council on the Kidney in Cardiovascular Disease, 2011. Triglycerides and cardiovascular disease: a scientific statement from the American Heart Association. *Circulation*, 123(20):2292-333. Available online: <https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/21502576>
- Nordestgaard BG and Freiberg JJ, 2011. Clinical relevance of non-fasting and postprandial hypertriglyceridemia and remnant cholesterol. *Curr Vasc Pharmacol*, 9: 281-286.
- Thijssen DH, Black MA, Pyke KE, Padilla J, Atkinson G, Harris RA, Parker B, Widlansky ME, Tschakovsky ME, Green DJ, 2011. Assessment of flow-mediated dilation in humans: a methodological and physiological guideline. *American Journal of Physiology, Heart and Circulatory Physiology*, 300, H2-12. <https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/20952670>
- Tolonen H, Koponen P, Naska A, Männistö S, Broda G, Palosaari T, Kuulasmaa K, 2015. EHES Pilot Project. Challenges in standardization of blood pressure measurement at the population level. *BMC Medical Research Methodology*, 15, 33. Available online: <https://bmcmmedresmethodol.biomedcentral.com/articles/10.1186/s12874-015-0020-3>

## Glossary and Abbreviations

|          |  |
|----------|--|
| 8-OHdG   | 8-hydroxy-2-deoxy-guanosin                     |
| ABPM     | Ambulatory blood pressure monitoring           |
| ACE      | Angiotensin converting enzyme                  |
| CAT      | Catalase                                       |
| CHD      | Coronary heart disease                         |
| CL-LC    | Chemiluminescence-based liquid chromatography  |
| CV       | Cardiovascular                                 |
| CVI      | Chronic venous insufficiency                   |
| DBP      | Diastolic blood pressure                       |
| DHA      | Docosahexaenoic acid                           |
| DNA      | Deoxyribonucleic acid                          |
| DNP      | Dinitrophenyl                                  |
| DNPH     | Dinitrophenylhydrazine                         |
| ED-FMD   | Endothelium-dependent flow-mediated dilation   |
| EIVD     | Endothelium-independent vasodilation           |
| ELISA    | Enzyme-linked immunosorbent assay              |
| FMD      | Flow-mediated dilation                         |
| FOX      | Ferrous oxidation-xylenol orange               |
| FPG      | Formamidopyrimidine DNA glycosilase            |
| FRAP     | Ferric reducing antioxidant potential          |
| GC-MS    | Gas chromatography-mass spectrometry           |
| GSH/GSSG | Glutathione/glutathione disulphide             |
| GSH-Px   | Glutathione peroxidase                         |
| HO       | Haeme oxygenase                                |
| HDL-c    | High-density lipoprotein cholesterol           |
| LC       | Liquid chromatography                          |
| LC-MS    | Liquid chromatography-mass spectrometry        |
| LC-MS/MS | Liquid chromatography tandem mass spectrometry |
| LDL-c    | Low-density lipoprotein cholesterol            |
| LTA      | Light transmission aggregometry                |
| MDA      | Malondialdehyde                                |
| MS       | Mass spectrometry                              |
| NO       | Nitrite oxide                                  |
| NOS      | Nitric oxide synthase                          |
| ORAC     | Oxygen radical absorbance capacity             |
| Ox-LDL   | Oxidised LDL                                   |
| PCOOH    | Phosphatidylcholine hydroperoxides             |

|       |   |
|-------|---|
| P-sel | P-selectin                              |
| RXNO  | Nitrite/nitrosyl species                |
| SBP   | Systolic blood pressure                 |
| SCGE  | Single-cell microgel electrophoresis    |
| SFA   | Saturated fatty acid                    |
| SOD   | Superoxide dismutase                    |
| TBARS | Thiobarbituric acid reactive substances |
| TEAC  | Trolox-equivalent antioxidant capacity  |
| TG    | Triglyceride                            |
| TRAP  | Total reactive antioxidant potential    |
| TXA2  | Thromboxane A2                          |
| UV    | Ultraviolet                             |

DRAFT