

APPROVED: 19 October 2016

doi:10.2903/sp.efsa.20YY.EN-NNNN

1 **Public consultation on the terms of reference of the**
2 **Scientific Committee Working Group on**
3 **“Harmonisation of risk assessment methodologies for**
4 **human health and ecological risk assessment of combined**
5 **exposure to multiple chemicals”**

6 European Food Safety Authority (EFSA)
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15

16 © European Food Safety Authority, 2016
17

18 **Question number:** EFSA-Q-2016-00456
19

20 **Correspondence:** scer@efsa.europa.eu
21
22
23

24 Background

25 Human and ecological risk assessment of combined exposure to multiple chemicals (“chemical
26 mixtures”) poses a number of challenges to scientists, risk assessors and risk managers, particularly
27 because of the complexity of the problem formulation, the huge number of chemicals involved, and
28 the toxicological profiles and exposure patterns of these chemicals in humans and species present in
29 the environment. The development of harmonised methodologies for combined exposure to multiple
30 chemicals has been identified by EFSA Scientific Committee as a key priority area for EFSA and a
31 number of EFSA panels and units have initiated activities to support harmonisation of risk assessment
32 methods for both the human health and the ecological area.

33 In the human risk assessment field, recent examples include the opinion of the PPR panel dealing with
34 an approach to group pesticides into “cumulative assessment groups” based on their toxicity (EFSA
35 PPR panel, 2013). In addition the CONTAM panel published a number of opinions exploring case-by-
36 case studies for the human risk assessment of multiple contaminants using both whole mixture and
37 component-based approaches. The whole mixture approach has included examples such as mineral oil
38 saturated hydrocarbons, hexabromocyclododecanes and component-based approaches have included
39 TEF approaches for non-*ortho* polybrominated biphenyls, several groups of marine biotoxins, ergot
40 alkaloids, polyaromatic hydrocarbons and pyrrolizidine alkaloids (EFSA CONTAM Panel, 2005; 2008,
41 2009,a,b; 2011, 2012a,b).

42 In ecological risk assessment of multiple chemicals, the PPR panel in their “Scientific Opinion on the
43 science behind the development of a risk assessment of Plant Protection Products on bees (*Apis*
44 *mellifera*, *Bombus* spp. and solitary bees)” discussed approaches for the risk assessment of multiple
45 residues of pesticides in bees and the SCER unit recently published a scientific report “towards an
46 integrated environmental risk assessment of multiple stressors on bees: review of research projects in
47 Europe, knowledge gaps and recommendations” (EFSA PPR panel, 2012; EFSA, 2014).

48 From a horizontal perspective, the Scientific Committee of EFSA has identified this topic as priority for
49 guidance development and the SCER unit has published in 2013, a scientific report reviewing the
50 available international frameworks dealing with human risk assessment of combined exposure to
51 multiple chemicals. The report has also identified key needs for future work in the area of combined
52 toxicity of chemicals from a consultation of EFSA panels, units and the Scientific Committee. A key
53 recommendation was the need to collect data in the area of human, animal and environmental
54 toxicology of mixtures for substances of relevance to EFSA (EFSA, 2013). As a consequence, the SCER
55 unit has:

56 a) Launched two procurements to collect data to fulfil these recommendations:

57 The first procurement “metabolic interactions and synergistic effects of chemical mixtures for human
58 risk assessment using systematic review” was initiated in December 2012 and was finalised and
59 published in May 2015 (Quignot et al., 2015a).

60 The second procurement dealt with “combined toxicity of multiple chemicals: evidence-based
61 approach for animal health and ecological risk assessment using systematic review” was published in
62 July 2015 (Quignot et al., 2015b).

63 b) Organised a scientific colloquium in September 2014 on “Harmonisation of human and
64 ecological of risk assessment of combined exposure to multiple chemicals” (EFSA, 2015).

65 c) Launched three procurements were launched on “Outsourcing preparatory work for
66 integrating new approaches in chemical risk assessment” in human health, animal health and
67 ecological risk assessment” aiming to develop toxicokinetic tools and dynamic energy budget

68 models for single chemicals and multiple chemicals in the free software R (EFSA-M-2014-
69 0235).

- 70 • Procurement call on the integration of TK tools in chemical risk assessment applied to
71 human health, animal health and the environment aiming to develop models for single
72 and multiple chemicals (EFSA-Q-2014-00918; EFSA-Q-2015-00640).
- 73 • Procurement call on modelling population dynamics of aquatic and terrestrial
74 organisms using Dynamic Energy Budget Models aiming to develop models for the risk
75 assessment of single and multiple chemicals (EFSA-Q-2015-00554).
- 76 • Article 36 Agreement "Modelling human variability in toxicokinetic and toxicodynamic
77 processes using Bayesian meta-analysis, physiologically-based modelling and in vitro
78 systems" aiming to develop a global web-based platform
79 (<http://www.efsa.europa.eu/en/art36grants/article36/gpefsascer20101>).

80 All these background activities will support the development of a guidance document (GD) on the
81 harmonisation of for human health and ecological risk assessment of combined exposure to multiple
82 chemicals at the SC level. In practice, the results of the data collection exercises will provide case
83 studies to illustrate applications of these methods in the regulatory area (pesticides, contaminants
84 etc.).

85 Objectives

86 As per EFSA's Founding Regulation (EC) No 178/2002 of the European Parliament and of the Council,
87 "the EFSA Scientific Committee (SC) shall be responsible for the general coordination necessary to
88 ensure the consistency of the scientific opinion procedure, in particular with regard to the adoption of
89 working procedures and harmonisation of working methods". In this context, objective 4 of the EFSA
90 Strategy 2020 implementation plan "Prepare for future risk assessment challenges" echoes this key
91 responsibility of the SC in objective 4.2 "Support for the development and use of harmonised
92 methodologies for risk assessment across the EU and internationally"
93 (<http://www.efsa.europa.eu/sites/default/files/151008.pdf>).

94 In this context, this topic has been prioritised in September and December 2015 by the SC (2015-
95 2018) for guidance development with the aim to developing a GD to harmonise methodologies for
96 both the human and the ecological dimension.

97 Previous and current supporting EFSA activities of relevance are described in the above section
98 (Background). Other key activities of relevance include:

- 99 1. other SC WGs and projects of horizontal nature for methodological development (e.g.
100 environmental risk assessment, uncertainty, weight of evidence, biological relevance, MUST-
101 B).
- 102 2. the work of other EFSA panels in this area (e.g. PPR panel and the CONTAM panel). All
103 these activities will be taken into account in the GD. In addition, methodological developments
104 by national and international organisations (WHO, FAO, OECD, US-EPA, FDA, etc.) will also be
105 taken into account. In this context, particular attention will be given to the activities of the
106 three non-food committees and the Joint Research Centre (JRC) of the European Commission,
107 the work of sister agencies (ECHA, EMA, EEA), the WHO network on combined exposures and
108 the OECD working group on combined exposure to multiple chemicals. This will enable the
109 coherent linkage of all these activities in the respective documents, avoid duplication of work,
110 and ensure consistency and harmonisation of terminology and methodologies.

111 Finally, in order to support the working group, during the development of the guidance, two
112 technical/scientific reports on human health and ecological risk assessment respectively will be
113 produced by the group. These reports aim to illustrate the application of tiered approaches for human

114 and ecological risk assessment of multiple chemicals with case studies taken from the results of the
115 two published data collection exercises. This will allow the practical implementation of the guidance
116 within the work of the relevant EFSA panels in a fit for purpose manner.

117

118 **Terms of Reference**

119

120 EFSA requests the Scientific Committee to develop a guidance document (GD) on the harmonisation
121 of risk assessment methodologies for human health and ecological risk assessment of combined
122 exposure to multiple chemicals. The GD should be an overarching document relevant to the work of
123 scientific advisory bodies and panels dealing with chemical risk assessment both within and across
124 regulatory applications and sectors.

- 125 • The WG should review available tools for different risk assessment contexts and develop a
126 harmonised framework for human and ecological risk assessment of combined exposure to
127 multiple chemicals using tiered approaches.
- 128 • The tiered approaches should start from first scientific principles for all relevant steps i.e.
129 problem formulation, hazard identification and characterisation, exposure assessment, risk
130 characterisation and uncertainty analysis. For each step, tiered approaches for harmonisation of
131 methodologies should be developed where feasible (purpose of the assessment, data
132 availability, resources) and include explicit description of assumptions.
- 133 • Circumstances under which harmonisation between human and ecological risk assessment may
134 not be possible or relevant (e.g. in view of the state of science, regulatory framework) should
135 also be discussed.
- 136 • In developing the guidance, work should start from and build on European (e.g. European
137 Commission, ECHA, EFSA) and international (e.g. US-EPA, WHO, OECD) methods and
138 frameworks, to ensure inter-agency cooperation, consistency and avoid duplication of the work.
- 139 • Case studies should be annexed in the GD to explore the feasibility and spectrum of applications
140 of the proposed methods and approaches for human health and ecological risk assessment.
- 141 • In line with EFSA's initiative on Transparency and Engagement in Risk Assessment (TERA), the
142 draft GD will be subject to public consultation. The published GD will be presented and
143 discussed at an international event.

144

145 **References**

146 EFSA Panel on Contaminants in the Food Chain (CONTAM), 2005. Scientific opinion on a request from
147 the Commission related to the presence of non-dioxin-like polychlorinated biphenyls (PCB) in feed and
148 food. *The EFSA Journal* 2005, 284, 137 pp. doi:10.2903/j.efsa.2005.284

149 EFSA Panel on Contaminants in the Food Chain (CONTAM), 2008. Scientific Opinion on a request from
150 the European Commission on Polycyclic Aromatic Hydrocarbons in Food. *The EFSA Journal*
151 2008, 724, 114 pp. doi:10.2903/j.efsa.2008.724

152 EFSA Panel on Contaminants in the Food Chain (CONTAM); Scientific Opinion on
153 Hexabromocyclododecanes (HBCDDs) in Food. *EFSA Journal* 2011;9(7):2296, 118 pp.
154 doi:10.2903/j.efsa.2011.2296

155 EFSA Panel on Contaminants in the Food Chain (CONTAM), 2009a. Scientific Opinion on a request
156 from the European Commission on Marine Biotoxins in Shellfish – Saxitoxin Group. *The EFSA Journal*
157 2009, 1019, 1–76. doi:10.2903/j.efsa.2009.1019

158 EFSA Panel on Contaminants in the Food Chain (CONTAM), 2009b. Scientific Opinion of the Panel on
159 Contaminants in the Food Chain on a request from the European Commission on marine biotoxins in
160 shellfish – pectenotoxin group. *The EFSA Journal* 2009, 1109, 47 pp. doi:10.2903/j.efsa.2009.1109

- 161 EFSA Panel on Contaminants in the Food Chain (CONTAM), 2011; Scientific Opinion on Pyrrolizidine
162 alkaloids in food and feed. *EFSA Journal* 2011;9(11):2406, 134 pp. doi:10.2903/j.efsa.2011.2406
- 163 EFSA Panel on Contaminants in the Food Chain (CONTAM), 2012a; Scientific Opinion on Mineral Oil
164 Hydrocarbons in Food. *EFSA Journal* 2012; 10(6):2704, 185 pp. doi:10.2903/j.efsa.2012.2704
- 165 EFSA Panel on Contaminants in the Food Chain (CONTAM), 2012b. Scientific Opinion on Ergot
166 alkaloids in food and feed. *EFSA Journal* 2012;10(7):2798, 158 pp. doi:10.2903/j.efsa.2012.2798
- 167 European Food Safety Authority, 2013. International Framework Dealing with Human Risk Assessment
168 of Combined Exposure to Multiple Chemicals. *EFSA Journal* 2013;11(7):3313, 69 pp.
169 doi:10.2903/j.efsa.2013.3313
- 170 EFSA (European Food Safety Authority), 2014. Towards an integrated environmental risk assessment
171 of multiple stressors on bees: review of research projects in Europe, knowledge gaps and
172 recommendations. *EFSA Journal* 2014;12(3):3594, 102 pp. doi:10.2903/j.efsa.2014.3594
- 173 EFSA Panel on Plant Protection Products and their Residues (PPR), 2012. Scientific Opinion on the
174 science behind the development of a risk assessment of Plant Protection Products on bees (*Apis*
175 *mellifera*, *Bombus* spp. and solitary bees). *EFSA Journal* (2012);10(5):2668, 275 pp.
176 doi:10.2903/j.efsa.2012.2668
- 177 European Food Safety Authority, 2015. Harmonisation of human and ecological risk assessment of
178 combined exposure to multiple chemicals. *EFSA Supporting Publication* 2015; 12(3):EN-784, 39 pp.
179 doi:10.2903/sp.efsa.2015.EN-784
- 180 EFSA Panel on Plant Protection Products and their Residues (PPR), 2013. Scientific Opinion on the
181 identification of pesticides to be included in cumulative assessment groups on the basis of their
182 toxicological profile. *EFSA Journal* 2013;11(7):3293, 131 pp. doi:10.2903/j.efsa.2013.3293.
- 183 Quignot N, Béchaux C, Amzal B, 2015a. Data collection on toxicokinetic and toxicodynamic
184 interactions of chemical mixtures for human risk assessment. *EFSA Supporting*
185 *Publication* 2015; 12(3):EN-711, 85 pp. doi:10.2903/sp.efsa.2015.EN-711
- 186 Quignot N, Grech A, Amzal B, 2015b. Data collection on Combined Toxicity of Multiple Chemicals for
187 Animal Health and Ecological Risk Assessment. *EFSA Supporting Publication* 2015; 12(7):EN-861, 112
188 pp. doi:10.2903/sp.efsa.2015.EN-861

189

190 **Abbreviations**

- 191 ECHA: European Chemicals Agency
192 EFSA: European Food Safety Authority
193 EEA: European Environment Agency
194 EFSA CONTAM Panel: European Food Safety Authority Scientific Panel on Contaminants in the Food Chain
195 EFSA PPR: European Food Safety Authority Scientific Panel on Plant Protection Products and their Residues
196 EMA: European Medicines Agency
197 FDA: US Food And Drug Administration
198 FAO: Food and Agriculture Organization of the United Nations
199 JRC: Joint Research Centre of the European Commission
200 OECD: Organisation for Development and Economic Cooperation
201 SCER: EFSA's Scientific Committee and Emerging Risks Unit
202 US-EPA: United States Environmental Protection Agency
203 WHO: World Health Organization