



# **Commenting via Connect.EFSA – issues identified**



### Only one person can contribute for each active substance

- When you open Connect EFSA, only that person can comment.
- We propose that at least a second person be allowed to add comments to ensure that a comment can be submitted on time, even if the first person is unavailable.



## Not enough fields for comments in Connect.EFSA

> 1.6 Methods of analysis

Comments for 1.6 Methods of analysis

to surface water, where the validated LOQ for [REDACTED] of 5 µg/L is above the RAC of 1.13 µg/L. Hence the method is not suitable to monitor [REDACTED] in drinking water and [REDACTED] in surface water.

NaN characters remaining

Attach file to Comments for 1.6 Methods of analysis

> 1.7 Other comments

Zwischenablage	Schriftart	Absatz	Formatvorlagen	Bearbeiten
CA 4.2 (a) / 7 CA 4.2 (a) / 11 CA 4.2 (a) / 12	[REDACTED] its esters, its salts and its conjugates, expressed as [REDACTED]. As such, the RD requires a hydrolysis step to cover esters and conjugates. However, the provided methods by [REDACTED] (2009), its ILV by [REDACTED] (2009), as well as the DFG S19 method by [REDACTED] (2010) and its ILV by [REDACTED] (2011) do not include a hydrolysis step and are therefore not suitable as monitoring methods for the proposed residue definition.			
(15) Vol. 3, B.5.2.4, CA 4.2 (b) / 2	DE: In the method by [REDACTED] (2010, rev. 2017) it is stated under "Principle of Method" that APCI was used for [REDACTED] and [REDACTED]. However, under MS/MS conditions, ESI is stated. Please verify. In addition, please note that for the validated LOQ of 0.5 µg/L is above the required limit for drinking water of 0.1 µg/L. The same applies to surface water, where the validated LOQ for [REDACTED] of 5 µg/L is above the RAC of 1.13 µg/L. Hence the method is not suitable to monitor [REDACTED] in drinking water and [REDACTED] in surface water.			
(16) Vol. 3, B.5.2.6, CA 4.2 (d) / 1	DE: In the method by [REDACTED] the units of the calibration range is stated in ml/L. Should be probably something like µg/L. Please verify. In addition, please note that the method does not cover the residue definition currently proposed, since it does not contain a hydrolysis step to			

### Comments of Germany on the assessment report on fluroxypyrr

Section 1 – Physical/Chemical Properties; Data on application and efficacy; Further Information; Methods of analysis

(23.09.2024) 5/39

#### Methods of analysis

No.	Column 1 Reference to assessment report	Column 2 Comment (restricted to 500 characters, ca.10 lines)	Column 3 Further explanations
		cover esters and conjugates. An alternative approach would be to change the RD for body fluids to [REDACTED] which seems to be a suitable marker according to the provided ADME studies.	



## Microorganisms – differences between Connect.EFSA and commenting table

### Section 1

Connect.EFSA	Commenting Template												
1 Identity of the microorganism 1.1 Identity	1. Identity; Biological, physical, chemical and technical properties; Data application and further information; Proposals for classification and labelling; Analytical methods												
2 Biological properties of the microorganism – Technical properties of the preparation 2.1 Biological properties of the microorganism 2.2 Physical, chemical and technical properties of the formulation	<table border="1"><thead><tr><th>No.</th><th>Column 1</th><th>Column 2</th><th>Column 3</th></tr></thead><tbody><tr><td></td><td>Reference to draft assessment report</td><td>Comment (restricted to 500 characters, ca.10 lines)</td><td>Further explanations</td></tr><tr><td>(1)</td><td>Vol. #, &lt;&lt;data point&gt;&gt;, &lt;&lt;description&gt;&gt;, &lt;&lt;page no.&gt;&gt;</td><td>&lt;&lt;MS/notifier&gt;&gt;: &lt;&lt;comment&gt;&gt;</td><td>(Please note that embedded or attached files will not be accepted.)</td></tr></tbody></table>	No.	Column 1	Column 2	Column 3		Reference to draft assessment report	Comment (restricted to 500 characters, ca.10 lines)	Further explanations	(1)	Vol. #, <<data point>>, <<description>>, <<page no.>>	<<MS/notifier>>: <<comment>>	(Please note that embedded or attached files will not be accepted.)
No.	Column 1	Column 2	Column 3										
	Reference to draft assessment report	Comment (restricted to 500 characters, ca.10 lines)	Further explanations										
(1)	Vol. #, <<data point>>, <<description>>, <<page no.>>	<<MS/notifier>>: <<comment>>	(Please note that embedded or attached files will not be accepted.)										
3 Data on application and further information on the microorganism 3.1 Data on application of the preparation 3.2 Further information on the plant protection product													



## Microorganisms – differences between Connect.EFSA and commenting table

### Section 1

Connect.EFSA	Commenting Template											
4 Classification and labelling 4.1 Classification and labelling	1. Identity; Biological, physical, chemical and technical properties; Data application and further information; Proposals for classification and labelling; Analytical methods											
5 Analytical methods 5.1 Analytical methods for the analysis of the microorganism as manufactured	<table><thead><tr><th rowspan="2">No.</th><th>Column 1</th><th>Column 2</th><th>Column 3</th></tr><tr><th>Reference to draft assessment report</th><th>Comment (restricted to 500 characters, ca.10 lines)</th><th>Further explanations</th></tr></thead><tbody><tr><td>(1)</td><td>Vol. #, &lt;&lt;data point&gt;&gt;, &lt;&lt;description&gt;&gt;, &lt;&lt;page no.&gt;&gt;</td><td>&lt;&lt;MS/notifier&gt;&gt;: &lt;&lt;comment&gt;&gt;</td><td>(Please note that embedded or attached files will not be accepted.)</td></tr></tbody></table>	No.	Column 1	Column 2	Column 3	Reference to draft assessment report	Comment (restricted to 500 characters, ca.10 lines)	Further explanations	(1)	Vol. #, <<data point>>, <<description>>, <<page no.>>	<<MS/notifier>>: <<comment>>	(Please note that embedded or attached files will not be accepted.)
No.	Column 1		Column 2	Column 3								
	Reference to draft assessment report	Comment (restricted to 500 characters, ca.10 lines)	Further explanations									
(1)	Vol. #, <<data point>>, <<description>>, <<page no.>>	<<MS/notifier>>: <<comment>>	(Please note that embedded or attached files will not be accepted.)									

→ Alignment of the template for comments with the section titles displayed in Connect.EFSA to make it easier to accurately assign the comment



# Thank you very much for your attention!

## Contact

**Dr. Martina Erdtmann-Vourliotis**

Dept. Plant Protection Products

Federal Office for Consumer Protection and Food

Safety (BVL)

Bundesallee 51, 38116 Braunschweig

Telefon: +49 (0) 30 18444 22300

