



**SUSTAINABILITY**



**INNOVATION**



**FEED SAFETY**



**ANIMAL HEALTH  
AND WELFARE**



**SAFE FOOD**

**Industry's views on the implications of the EFSA Nano guidance documents in feed additives applications**

# Contents

- GENERAL VIEWS OF INDUSTRY
- IMPLEMENTATION OF THE GUIDANCE DOCUMENTS – IDENTIFIED ISSUES AND QUESTIONS



# GENERAL VIEWS OF INDUSTRY

Since the publication of the Guidance on Particle - Technical Requirements (TR) and the Guidance on Nano - Risk Assessment (RA) in August 2021, feed additives applicants and CROs are still **relatively inexperienced on their implementation and practical use**.

- Risk of studies not being performed according to guidance docs. (deviating methodologies and reporting standards)
- Dialogue and opportunities for exchange are very much appreciated to support both, applicants and laboratories
- The possibility of asking questions during the risk assessment would be welcome to ensure a successful application procedure and to avoid additional investment

# IMPLEMENTATION OF THE GUIDANCE DOCUMENT

*Note: feedback provided on the Guidance on Particle - Technical Requirements (TR)*

## General aspects:

- Reported difficulties on the identification of laboratories able to do the tests described in the guidance
  - A list of experienced and accredited laboratories would facilitate the process
- Is there a way to avoid lengthy justifications for some product groups, or to define exceptions for which the assessment may be omitted? Examples:
  - How to deal with whole microorganisms? (=i.e., micronrange)
  - Enzymes or proteins (biological, biodegradable/digestible substances) which are formulated with (oligo- or poly-)saccharide substances which are soluble (e.g., maltodextrin, inulin etc.)

# IMPLEMENTATION OF THE GUIDANCE DOCUMENT

## 2.3. Appraisal routes using solubility and dissolution rate

### 2.3.1. Solubility in water

- Solubility threshold  $\geq 33.3$  g/L

Is it possible to apply a certain minimum ratio Solubility: Max dosage? Relevance in case of low inclusion rate additives (e.g., vitamins)

- Solubility of a substance at a certain pH value and temperature is very much an intrinsic characteristic and not dependent on the actual form of the substance

Will literature sources be sufficient instead of own studies?

# IMPLEMENTATION OF THE GUIDANCE DOCUMENT

## 2.3.2. Dissolution rate in water

Protocol and cut-off proposed in the Guidance are based on human physiological conditions (e.g., dissolution conditions in the gut), for the assessment of feed additives *the protocol should be adapted in order to consider the physiological characteristics of each target species* (based on GIT volume; pH; time to reach the small intestine)

- What does this mean for calculation of max. concentration in water?  
Suggestion to use minimum water:feed ratios to translate max. feed levels to max. water concentrations
- What physiological conditions are to be followed?  
No official standards available
- How do physiological conditions translate into an alternative protocol?
- Is there a need to do studies per animal species conditions, or is a study linked to only the most critical species sufficient?

# IMPLEMENTATION OF THE GUIDANCE DOCUMENT

## 2.3.3. Confirmation of absence of particles for liquid materials

The physical state of a substance (solid, liquid or gas) is an intrinsic property and only ruled by ambient temperature (2nd law of thermodynamics).

- Will literature information be sufficient in those cases to defend that the substance is a true liquid?

# IMPLEMENTATION OF THE GUIDANCE DOCUMENT

## 4. Evidence to be submitted on safety studies conducted without documented consideration of the properties of small particles

Two requirements set simultaneously for existing toxicological *studies that were not originally designed to specifically consider the presence of a fraction of small particles*:

- Rather demanding, especially for older studies when many of the cited methodologies were not available or commonly used
- This could lead to a large number of existing studies being rejected during the risk assessment by EFSA
- Section 4.3 establishes *criteria for requiring new studies and setting the assessment and assessment strategy* and specific examples... will these provide sufficient perspective to applicants for preventing additional animal studies?



# THANK YOU!

---