

## Farm to Fork upcoming activities related to nutrition

DG SANTE
Unit D1 Farm to Fork Strategy
Unit E1 Food information and composition

EFSA ADVISORY FORUM

4 March 2021

## Sustainable food systems for sustainable societies

#### **Economic sustainability**

Competitiveness
Food affordability
Commercial viability & profits
Fair share of added value
Jobs
Income

A sustainable food system (SFS) ensures **environmental**, **social** and **economic sustainability** 

*Inclusive growth* 

Green growth

## Social sustainability

Inclusiveness – just transition

#### Public heath & nutrition

Food safety & food security Vital rural/coastal areas & farming/fishing communities Animal welfare

#### SFS

Ecosocial progress

## **Environmental** sustainability

Biodiversity
Climate change mitigation
Reduction of food loss
and waste
Zero pollution
Soil health



Source: Adapted from FAO, 2014 and SAM, 2020

## Overarching actions



### **Legislative framework for sustainable food systems** (2023):

- Framework with comprehensive set of general principles and requirements on the sustainability of food systems
- Basis to ensure policy coherence at EU and national level;
   mainstream sustainability in food-related policies and to raise
   sustainability standards through various means, including labelling
- Provisions on governance, collective involvement of stakeholders



### Legislative framework for sustainable food systems

### Next steps in 2021:

- Discussion on areas of relevance, approaches, ideas and concepts aimed at designing available options for a comprehensive policy intervention on food sustainability
- **Publication** of **inception impact assessment** for feedback
- Launch of a study supporting the impact assessment
- → continuous discussion with public and private stakeholders throughout the process



# Actions to stimulate sustainable practices by food industry and retail, hospitality and food service

Initiative to improve the corporate governance framework (integrate sustainability into corporate strategies) (2021)

Develop an EU code and monitoring framework for responsible business and marketing conduct in the food supply chain (2021)

Stimulate **reformulation** of processed food, including setting of **maximum levels for certain nutrients** (2021)



Set **nutrient profiles** to restrict promotion of food high in salt, sugar or fat (2022)

Proposal revision EU legislation on **Food Contact Materials** (food safety, environmental footprint) (2022)

Revision **EU marketing standards** for agricultural, fishery and aquaculture products (ensure uptake and supply of sustainable products (2021-22)

Enhance coordination to tackle **Food Fraud** (2021-2022)



# EU code and monitoring framework for responsible business and marketing practices in the food supply chain

- Voluntary initiative
- It will set out the actions that the actors 'between the farm and the fork', such as food processors, food service operators and retailers, can voluntarily commit to undertake to tangibly improve and communicate their sustainability performance.
- First stakeholder meeting in December 2020, high-level launch event held in January 2021, plenary meeting with stakeholders on 23 February 2021.
- Code should be ready for signature by stakeholders by end of June 2021.



## Potential aspirational objectives - Health

- Increase the consumption of whole-grain cereals, fruits and vegetables, nuts, pulses and fibres;
- Reduce the average caloric intake, especially that of people who are now overconsuming.
- Lower the consumption of fat, sugar and salt.
- The consumption of red and/or processed meat needs to be in line with recommendations. The ratio between animal-based and plant-based proteins should shift.
- Special attention is needed to prevent childhood obesity
- Adapting marketing and advertising strategies



Healthy & sustainable diets: Examples of concrete actions (based on input by stakeholders following meeting 11 December

2020)

#### Healthy and sustainable diets

#### Objectives

More wholegrain cereals /more fibres

More fruits and vegetables

Lower caloric intake [less added sugar / HFSS / ultraprocessed]

Less HFSS /ultraprocessed in general

More plantbased proteins / less animal based proteins

#### Retail

End in-store promotions of HFSS foods, especially those attractive to children

Increase price promotions for healthy/sustainable products

Remove HFSS foods from key selling locations in retail settings (Shop entrances, Checkout areas, End-of-aisles, Freestanding display units) nutritional and sustainable information on Point of Sales

restrict price promotion on unhealthy foods, use loyalty schemes to discount/ promote healthy foods

No aggressive marketing by retailer certain foods / selling products under produce costs

Increase sales of whole grain products

Revise location of unhealthy products targeting children, and put them at least XX cm from the ground

Removal of HFSS foods from Children's eye-level shelves for key product categories e.g. breakfast cereals

End multi-buy (e.g. buyone-get-one-free) and other price promotions for HFSS foods End price promotions for meat and meat products, including in retail advertising

Increase share of fibers in products)

High exposition of organic / sustainable foods in principal aisles

Increase offer/availability of alternative sources of proteins in supermarkets)

#### Food service / restaurants

Increase offer/availability of alternative sources of proteins in catering and food service sectors)

Ensure that the cheapest drink on the menu is non-alcoholic and low-sugar

promote balanced food and drink choices, with an optimal intake of nutrients and calories Improve accessibility and affordability healthy choices

Promote sales locally sourced products

Reduce portion size of 'unhealthy products'

Establish nutrition standards for food in schools, hospitals and other public institutions

#### Schools / institutions

Use more whole grain products

no marketing to children under 12 years-old in primary schools

Use more locally sourced products

no unhealthy foods marketing in schools

Sustainable and healthy food in our schools and daycare Establish nutrition standards for food in schools, hospitals and other public institutions;

End of sales of sugary food and drinks in primary and secondary educational institutions, where possible with a target.

## Healthy & sustainable diets: **Examples of** concrete actions (based on input by stakeholders following meeting 11 December 2020)

#### **Healthy and sustainable diets**

#### Objectives

More wholegrain cereals /more fibres

More fruits and vegetables

Lower caloric intake [less added sugar / HFSS / ultraprocessed]

Less HFSS /ultraprocessed in general

More plantbased proteins / less animal based proteins

#### Marketing

Ban all marketing online and on print media which are not adult-only publications

Restrict marketing/ advertising of unhealthy food to children (based on WHO Nutrient Profile

restrictions to peak television viewing times for children and apply restrictions to online media.

Decrease attractivity of unhealthy foods to children (wording, pictures)

Self-identification of companies online ads so parents can block these ban all harmful marketing on broadcast media between 6am and 11pm

Reduce kids' exposure to HFSS marketing (AVMSD + WHO objectives)

No marketing for unhealthy food aimed at all children / adolescent < 18 year

Stop harmful sponsorship of sports and other events

Prevent the use of marketing techniques appealing to children on packaging

increase marketing budget on healthy foods

#### Reformulation

Gradual reduction of salt, saturated fats and added sugars in foods

Decrease artificial flavouring/colouring/additives use

Develop new products with lower calorie profiles

NOVA classification for evaluation of highly processed food.

increase share of fibers in products)

Offering smaller pack sizes to support portion control

Use more locally sourced products

Decrease the average processing index of products (simplification of ingredient list, processes, etc.)

#### General

Identify sustainable nutritional objectives along the whole food chain Improve accessibility and affordability healthy choices

# Actions to promote shift towards healthy, sustainable diets

Determine the best modalities for setting minimum mandatory criteria for sustainable food procurement (2021)

Review of the **EU school scheme** legal framework: refocus on healthy and sustainable food (2023)

Review of the **EU promotion programme** for agricultural and food
products (aimed at sustainable production
and consumption) (2020)

**Proposal VAT rates** (currently being discussed in Council): could allow to make more targeted use of rates (e.g. to support organic fruit and vegetables)



Proposal for a harmonised **mandatory front-of-pack nutrition labelling** to enable consumers to make health conscious food choices (2022)

Proposal to require **origin indication** for certain products. (2022)

Proposal for a **sustainable food labelling framework** to empower
consumers to make sustainable food
choices (2024)

Nutrition - health		Climate/ Environment	Socia
Nutrition Declaration	Per 100 g		
Energy	kJ/kcal		\ \ \
Fat	g	(53)	175
of which saturates	g	1/C- 4A	A CA
Carbohydrate	g	4(3/3/;	6.0
of which sugars	g		N.
Protein	g		
Salt	g		

## Reports published together with F2F Strategy

- Evaluation of the Nutrition and Health Claims Regulation (SWD(2020) 95)
  - Art 4 Regulation 1924/2006: Commission shall establish nutrient profiles
  - EFSA Opinion of 31 January 2008
  - Evaluation concluded that specific objective pursued by the setting of nutrient profiles is still pertinent and necessary
- Report on front-of-pack (FOP) nutrition labelling (COM(2020) 207)
  - Legal obligation Art. 35 Food Information to Consumers Regulation
  - Building on literature review JRC
  - Concludes that FOP schemes have the potential to help consumers make healthconscious food choices and that it seems appropriate to introduce harmonised mandatory FOP labelling

## Objectives F2F actions on FOP and nutrient profiles

- To facilitate consumers' healthier food choices and at same time incentivise food producers to place healthier food on the EU market.
  - Harmonised and mandatory FOP nutrition information will help consumers to see at a glance the essential nutrition information when purchasing foods, while avoiding confusion and costs linked to different schemes. At the same time, it would stimulate food reformulation.
  - Setting nutrient profiles will avoid a situation where nutrition and health claims would mask the overall nutritional status of a food. In addition, it would incentive food producers to produce healthier foods, ensuring a level playing field for food business operators within internal market.
- Develop comprehensive options exploring in a coherent manner harmonised
   FOP labelling and setting of nutrient profiles (interplay)

# Policy options outlined in inception impact assessment

**Option 0 'Baseline':** Voluntary use of FOP remains possible and different (public/private) schemes continue to exist. Nutrient profiles are not set or, as an alternative, the EC complies with its legal obligation to set nutrient profiles under N&H Claims Regulation

### **Current types of FOP labels form basis of different options**

Nutrient-specific labels - examples		Summary labels - examples	
Numerical ( <b>Option 1</b> )	Colour-coded (Option 2)	Endorsement logos ( <b>Option 3</b> )	Graded indicators ( <b>Option 4</b> )
Calcular protons (3) e) emission   Superior   Superio	Each XXX serving contains  ENERGY 1AT GUIDELTS SUGARS 1037k; 141 Low 1248kaal 13.8g 8.1g 9.1g 0.2g 12%; 5% 41 10% 3% of your reference intake Typical energy values per 100g; 2058k]/498kcal	PI VALLE VAL	A B C D E

The setting of a nutrient profiling model separate from the (non-evaluative) FOP label will be assessed

The nutrient profiling model for restricting claims is based on the nutrient profiling model underpinning the harmonised (evaluative) FOP scheme

Options will cover pre-packed foods required to bear a nutrition declaration.



## Next steps

- Inception Impact Assessment published 23 Dec 2020 4 Feb 2021:
   472 reactions received analysis ongoing
- Launch of study (Q1/Q2 2021) to support the Impact Assessment
  - Provide supporting analysis for carrying out the impact assessment (= assessing and comparing potential impacts of different policy options), amongst others on the basis of multiple consultations
- **Consultations** during course 2021: online public consultation (12 weeks), targeted Member States / stakeholders consultations,...
- Additional input to impact assessment
  - Update scientific literature review by JRC
  - EFSA scienific advice on FOP and nutrient profiles
- Finalisation **impact assessment** (Q1/Q2 2022) and drafting **legislative proposal** & internal process for adoption (Q3/Q4 2022)

## **EFSA Mandate**

Scientific advice for the development of harmonised mandatory front-of-pack nutrition labelling and the setting of nutrient profiles for restricting nutrition and health claims on foods. In particular, EFSA is requested to provide scientific advice on the following:

- □ **Nutrients** of public health importance for European populations, **including non-nutrient components** of food (e.g. energy, dietary fibre)
- □ **Food groups** which have important roles in diets of European populations and subgroups thereof
- ☐ Choice of nutrients and other non-nutrient components of food for nutrient profiling

