

ZOONOSES MONITORING

Malta

TRENDS AND SOURCES OF ZOONOSES AND ZOONOTIC AGENTS IN FOODSTUFFS, ANIMALS AND FEEDINGSTUFFS

including information on foodborne outbreaks, antimicrobial resistance in zoonotic and indicator bacteria and some pathogenic microbiological agents

IN 2016

PRFFACE

This report is submitted to the European Commission in accordance with Article 9 of Council Directive 2003/99/EC*. The information has also been forwarded to the European Food Safety Authority (EFSA).

The report contains information on trends and sources of zoonoses and zoonotic agents in Malta during the year 2016.

The information covers the occurrence of these diseases and agents in animals, foodstuffs and in some cases also in feedingstuffs. In addition the report includes data on antimicrobial resistance in some zoonotic agents and indicator bacteria as well as information on epidemiological investigations of foodborne outbreaks. Complementary data on susceptible animal populations in the country is also given. The information given covers both zoonoses that are important for the public health in the whole European Union as well as zoonoses, which are relevant on the basis of the national epidemiological situation.

The report describes the monitoring systems in place and the prevention and control strategies applied in the country. For some zoonoses this monitoring is based on legal requirements laid down by the European Union legislation, while for the other zoonoses national approaches are applied.

The report presents the results of the examinations carried out in the reporting year. A national evaluation of the epidemiological situation, with special reference to trends and sources of zoonotic infections, is given. Whenever possible, the relevance of findings in foodstuffs and animals to zoonoses cases in humans is evaluated.

The information covered by this report is used in the annual European Union Summary Reports on zoonoses and antimicrobial resistance that are published each year by EFSA.

^{*} Directive 2003/ 99/ EC of the European Parliament and of the Council of 12 December 2003 on the monitoring of zoonoses and zoonotic agents, amending Decision 90/ 424/ EEC and repealing Council Directive 92/ 117/ EEC, OJ L 325, 17.11.2003, p. 31

TEXTFORMS	
1 ANIMAL POPULATIONS	
1.1 Populations	
	on on susceptible animal population
2.1 TUBERCULOSIS, MYCOBACTI	TERIAL DISEASES
	n of the national situation
2.1.1 Mycobac 2.1.2 Mycobacterium in a	
	cterium tuberculosis complex (MTC) in animal - Cattle (bovine animals) - animal sample
	n of the national situation
	- general evaluation
2.2.2 Brucella in animals 2.2.2.1 B. abortı	s us in animal - Cattle (bovine animals) - animal sample - blood
2.2.2.2 B. melite	ensis in animal - Goats - animal sample - blood
3 INFORMATION ON SPECIFIC ZOONOSE 3.1 SALMONELLOSIS	ES AND ZOONOTIC AGENTS
3.1.1 General evaluation	n of the national situation
3.1.1.1 Salmone 3.1.2 Salmonella in food	ella - general evaluation Istuffs
	ella spp., unspecified in food - Eggs - animal sample - eggs
3.1.3 Salmonella in anim	
	ella spp., unspecified in animal - Gallus gallus (fowl) - broilers - animal sample ella spp., unspecified in animal - Gallus gallus (fowl) - breeding flocks, unspecified - animal sample
	n of the national situation
	n of the national situation
3.3.2 Trichinella in anima	als 1
	Ila in animal - Solipeds, domestic - horses - food sample - meat
3.4.1 General evaluation	n of the national situation
3.4.1.1 Echinoco 3.4.2 Echinococcus in an	occus - general evaluation nimals
3.4.2.1 Echinoco	occus in animal - Dogs - animal sample - faeces
	of the national situation
	us (rabies) - general evaluation
3.6 ESCHERICHIA COLI, NON-PA	
	n of the national situation hia coli, non-pathogenic - general evaluation
4.4.641.4641511.6676	MATION ON SPECIFIC ZOONOSES AND ZOONOTIC AGENTS
4.1 SALMONELLOSIS 4.1.1 Salmonella in anim	nals
	robial resistance in Salmonella Gallus gallus (fowl)
	robial resistance in Salmonella Meat from broilers (Gallus gallus)
4.2.1 Escherichia coli in a	
4.2.1.1 Antimicro 5 INFORMATION ON SPECIFIC MICROBI	robial resistance in Escherichia coli Gallus gallus (fowl) IOLOGICAL AGENTS
	n of the national situation
6 FOODBORNE OUTBREAKS	
6.1 Outbreaks	
6.1.1 Foodborne	
5	ns that do not receive Community co-financing for eradication programme
DISEASE STATUS TABLES FOR MYCOBACTERIUM	and regions that do not receive Community co-financing for eradication programme M
	ions that do not receive Community co-financing for eradication programme
PREVALENCE TABLES	
animal	
ECHINOCOCCUSanimal	
ESCHERICHIA COLI, NON-PATHOGENIC	·
animal	
animal	
SALMONELLAanimal	
food	
TRICHINELLA	
AMD TABLES FOR SALMONELLA	
Salmonella Abadina	
Gallus gallus (fowl) - broilers - Fa Broilers- Bootswabs on f	arm - Control and eradication programmes - Official sampling - AMR MON farm sampling
Salmonella Agona	
Gallus gallus (fowl) - broilers - Fa Broilers- Bootswabs on fa	arm - Control and eradication programmes - Official sampling - AMR MON farm sampling
Salmonella Colorado	
	s - Farm - Control and eradication programmes - Official sampling - AMR MON pnl2
Gallus gallus (fowl) - laying hens	s - Farm - Control and eradication programmes - Official sampling - AMR MON
Pullets- Bootswab Salmonella Croft	
Gallus gallus (fowl) - broilers - Fa	arm - Control and eradication programmes - Official sampling - AMR MON
Broilers- Bootswabs on fa Gallus gallus (fowl) - laving hens	farm sampling s - Farm - Control and eradication programmes - Official sampling - AMR MON
Pullets- Dust	
	s - Farm - Control and eradication programmes - Official sampling - AMR MON
Salmonella Derby	
Gallus gallus (fowl) - broilers - Fa Broilers- Bootswabs on fa	arm - Control and eradication programmes - Official sampling - AMR MON farm sampling
	s) - carcase - Slaughterhouse - Monitoring - HACCP and own check - AMR MON

Salmonella Elomrane Salmonella (Salmonella Elomrane Control and condication programme Cofficial compiliar AMP MON	
Gallus gallus (fowl) - laying hens - Farm - Control and eradication programmes - Official sampling - AMR MON Pullets- Bootswab Dust	
Gallus gallus (fowl) - broilers - Farm - Control and eradication programmes - Official sampling - AMR MON	
Broilers- Bootswabs on farm sampling	
Gallus gallus (fowl) - laying hens - Farm - Control and eradication programmes - Official sampling - AMR MON	
Gallus gallus (fowl) - broilers - Farm - Control and eradication programmes - Official sampling - AMR MON Broilers- Bootswabs on farm sampling	
Gallus gallus (fowl) - laying hens - Farm - Control and eradication programmes - Official sampling - AMR MON	
Layers- Faecal Sample from farm	
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
Gallus gallus (fowl) - broilers - Farm - Control and eradication programmes - Official sampling - AMR MON	
Broilers- Bootswabs on farm sampling	
Gallus gallus (fowl) - laying hens - Farm - Control and eradication programmes - Official sampling - AMR MON	
Pullets- Bootswabs	
Gallus gallus (fowl) - laying hens - Farm - Control and eradication programmes - Official sampling - AMR MON Layers- Dust Sample from farm	
Gallus gallus (fowl) - laying hens - Farm - Control and eradication programmes - Official sampling - AMR MON	
Pullets - Faecal Sample from farm	
Meat from broilers (Gallus gallus) - carcase - Slaughterhouse - Monitoring - HACCP and own check - AMR MON	
Gallus gallus (fowl) - broilers - Farm - Control and eradication programmes - Official sampling - AMR MON pnl2	
Gallus (fowl) - laying hens - Farm - Control and eradication programmes - Official sampling - AMR MON	
Gallus gallus (fowl) - laying hens - Farm - Control and eradication programmes - Official sampling - AMR MON	
Bootswab Dust	
almonella Kentucky	
Gallus gallus (fowl) - broilers - Farm - Control and eradication programmes - Official sampling - AMR MON pnl2 Broilers- Bootswabs on farm sampling	
Broilers- Bootswabs on farm sampling	
Gallus gallus (fowl) - laying hens - Farm - Control and eradication programmes - Official sampling - AMR MON	
Bootswab Layers	
Gallus gallus (fowl) - laying hens - Farm - Control and eradication programmes - Official sampling - AMR MON	
Pullets - Dust Gallus gallus (fowl) - laying hens - Farm - Control and eradication programmes - Official sampling - AMR MON	
Bootswab Dust	
Layers- Dust Bootswab	
Gallus gallus (fowl) - laying hens - Farm - Control and eradication programmes - Official sampling - AMR MON	
Layers- Faecal Sample from farm	
Meat from broilers (Gallus gallus) - carcase - Slaughterhouse - Monitoring - HACCP and own check - AMR MON	
Neck Skins	
ialmonella Livingstone Gallus gallus (fowl) - broilers - Farm - Control and eradication programmes - Official sampling - AMR MON	
Gallus (gallus (fowl) - laying hens - Farm - Control and eradication programmes - Official sampling - AMR MON	
Bootswab Layers	
Gallus gallus (fowl) - laying hens - Farm - Control and eradication programmes - Official sampling - AMR MON	
Layers- Dust Sample from farm	
Gallus gallus (fowl) - laying hens - Farm - Control and eradication programmes - Official sampling - AMR MON	
Bootswab Dust Layers- Dust Bootswab	
Gallus gallus (fowl) - laying hens - Farm - Control and eradication programmes - Official sampling - AMR MON	
Pullets- Faecal Sample	
Gallus gallus (fowl) - laying hens - Farm - Control and eradication programmes - Official sampling - AMR MON	
Broilers- Bootswabs on farm sampling	
Salmonella Senftenberg	
ialmonella Senftenberg Gallus (fowl) - broilers - Farm - Control and eradication programmes - Official sampling - AMR MON Broilers- Bootswabs on farm sampling	
ialmonella Senftenberg Gallus gallus (fowl) - broilers - Farm - Control and eradication programmes - Official sampling - AMR MON Broilers- Bootswabs on farm sampling ialmonella Typhimurium	
ialmonella Senftenberg Gallus gallus (fowl) - broilers - Farm - Control and eradication programmes - Official sampling - AMR MON Broilers- Bootswabs on farm sampling ialmonella Typhimurium Meat from broilers (Gallus gallus) - carcase - Slaughterhouse - Monitoring - HACCP and own check - AMR MON	
Salmonella Senftenberg Gallus gallus (fowl) - broilers - Farm - Control and eradication programmes - Official sampling - AMR MON Broilers- Bootswabs on farm sampling Salmonella Typhimurium Meat from broilers (Gallus gallus) - carcase - Slaughterhouse - Monitoring - HACCP and own check - AMR MON Neck Skins	
Salmonella Senftenberg Gallus gallus (fowl) - broilers - Farm - Control and eradication programmes - Official sampling - AMR MON Broilers - Bootswabs on farm sampling Salmonella Typhimurium Meat from broilers (Gallus gallus) - carcase - Slaughterhouse - Monitoring - HACCP and own check - AMR MON Neck Skins Salmonella Typhimurium, monophasic	
Gallus gallus (fowl) - broilers - Farm - Control and eradication programmes - Official sampling - AMR MON Broilers - Bootswabs on farm sampling Salmonella Typhimurium Meat from broilers (Gallus gallus) - carcase - Slaughterhouse - Monitoring - HACCP and own check - AMR MON Neck Skins Salmonella Typhimurium, monophasic Gallus gallus (fowl) - broilers - Farm - Control and eradication programmes - Official sampling - AMR MON	
Gallus gallus (fowl) - broilers - Farm - Control and eradication programmes - Official sampling - AMR MON Broilers - Bootswabs on farm sampling Salmonella Typhimurium Meat from broilers (Gallus gallus) - carcase - Slaughterhouse - Monitoring - HACCP and own check - AMR MON Neck Skins Salmonella Typhimurium, monophasic Gallus gallus (fowl) - broilers - Farm - Control and eradication programmes - Official sampling - AMR MON Broilers- Bootswabs on farm sampling	
Gallus gallus (fowl) - broilers - Farm - Control and eradication programmes - Official sampling - AMR MON Broilers - Bootswabs on farm sampling Salmonella Typhimurium Meat from broilers (Gallus gallus) - carcase - Slaughterhouse - Monitoring - HACCP and own check - AMR MON Neck Skins Salmonella Typhimurium, monophasic Gallus gallus (fowl) - broilers - Farm - Control and eradication programmes - Official sampling - AMR MON Broilers - Bootswabs on farm sampling Meat from broilers (Gallus gallus) - carcase - Slaughterhouse - Monitoring - HACCP and own check - AMR MON	
Gallus gallus (fowl) - broilers - Farm - Control and eradication programmes - Official sampling - AMR MON Broilers - Bootswabs on farm sampling Salmonella Typhimurium Meat from broilers (Gallus gallus) - carcase - Slaughterhouse - Monitoring - HACCP and own check - AMR MON Neck Skins Salmonella Typhimurium, monophasic Gallus gallus (fowl) - broilers - Farm - Control and eradication programmes - Official sampling - AMR MON Broilers- Bootswabs on farm sampling Meat from broilers (Gallus gallus) - carcase - Slaughterhouse - Monitoring - HACCP and own check - AMR MON Neck Skins	
Gallus gallus (fowl) - broilers - Farm - Control and eradication programmes - Official sampling - AMR MON Broilers - Bootswabs on farm sampling Gallmonella Typhimurium Meat from broilers (Gallus gallus) - carcase - Slaughterhouse - Monitoring - HACCP and own check - AMR MON Neck Skins Gallus gallus (fowl) - broilers - Farm - Control and eradication programmes - Official sampling - AMR MON Broilers - Bootswabs on farm sampling Meat from broilers (Gallus gallus) - carcase - Slaughterhouse - Monitoring - HACCP and own check - AMR MON Neck Skins SLES FOR ESCHERICHIA COLI	
Gallus gallus (fowl) - broilers - Farm - Control and eradication programmes - Official sampling - AMR MON Broilers - Bootswabs on farm sampling Gallmonella Typhimurium Meat from broilers (Gallus gallus) - carcase - Slaughterhouse - Monitoring - HACCP and own check - AMR MON Neck Skins Gallmonella Typhimurium, monophasic Gallus gallus (fowl) - broilers - Farm - Control and eradication programmes - Official sampling - AMR MON Broilers - Bootswabs on farm sampling Meat from broilers (Gallus gallus) - carcase - Slaughterhouse - Monitoring - HACCP and own check - AMR MON Neck Skins LES FOR ESCHERICHIA COLI Scherichia coli, non-pathogenic, unspecified	
Gallus gallus (fowl) - broilers - Farm - Control and eradication programmes - Official sampling - AMR MON Broilers - Bootswabs on farm sampling Salmonella Typhimurium Meat from broilers (Gallus gallus) - carcase - Slaughterhouse - Monitoring - HACCP and own check - AMR MON Neck Skins Salmonella Typhimurium, monophasic Gallus gallus (fowl) - broilers - Farm - Control and eradication programmes - Official sampling - AMR MON Broilers - Bootswabs on farm sampling Meat from broilers (Gallus gallus) - carcase - Slaughterhouse - Monitoring - HACCP and own check - AMR MON Neck Skins SEES FOR ESCHERCHA COLI Sescherichia coli, non-pathogenic, unspecified Gallus gallus (fowl) - broilers - Slaughterhouse - Monitoring - Official sampling - AMR MON	

1 ANIMAL POPULATIONS

The relevance of the findings on zoonoses and zoonotic agents has to be related to the size and nature of the animal population in the country

1.1 Populations

1.1.1 Information on susceptible animal population

Sources of information

National Livestock Database, National Veterinary Laboratory of the Veterinary Regulation Division (CA)

Dates the figures relate to and the content of the figures

2016

Geographical distribution and size distribution of the herds, flocks and holdings

The data covers both the islands of Malta and Gozo. Malta's location is set in the middle of the Mediterranean Sea, 98 km from Sicily and 356 km from North Africa. The Maltese archipelago consists of five islands: Malta, Gozo, Comino and two uninhabited islands, Cominetto and Filfla. The size of Malta is about 27 km long by 14.5 km width, covering a total surface area of 316 sq.km. The population of Malta is around 423,000 with a population density of 1318 inhabitants/sq.km. Most of the farms for all species are found mainly on the main island i.e. Malta. The farming industry consists of mainly Bovine Dairy Herds, Fattening Pigs, Poultry and a substantial number of Ovine and Caprine holdings. Most of the Bovine and Swine holdings are run by full time farmers and are generally family run. These holdings are of small to medium size, the majority of farms being small holdings. Broiler and Layers flocks are generally operated by part-time farmers. The sheep and goat industry consists of a few medium sized flocks with the great majority of the holdings being small backyard type flocks.

2 DISEASE STATUS

2.1 TUBERCULOSIS, MYCOBACTERIAL DISEASES

2.1.1 General evaluation of the national situation

2.1.1.1 Mycobacterium - general evaluation

History of the disease and/or infection in the country

In a recent study (1995-2005) the elderly group of locally born persons are mostly affected with an incidence of 10.6/100,000 persons-years. Since 2003 we have seen a rise in TB corresponding to a wave of illegal immigrants from the sub-sahharin regions of Africa.

National evaluation of the recent situation, the trends and sources of infection

The trends of TB in immigrants has been steady with a number of active and latent cases being treated.

Relevance of the findings in animals, feedingstuffs and foodstuffs to human cases (as a source of infection)

Immigrant cases are mostly imported ones. Few might be locally acquired possibly because of close proximity of living quarters in detention centers

Recent actions taken to control the zoonoses

Routine screening of all immigrants and DOTS treatment.

2.1.2 Mycobacterium in animals

2.1.2.1 Mycobacterium tuberculosis complex (MTC) in animal - Cattle (bovine animals) - animal sample

Status as officially free of bovine tuberculosis during the reporting year

Additional information

In November 2015 Malta submitted to the Commission documentation demonstrating compliance for the entire territory with the conditions laid down in Directive 64/432/EEC for officially tuberculosis-free status as regards bovine herds. This application was accepted by the Commission in March 2016.

Monitoring system

Diagnostic/analytical methods used

On farm skin test carried out twice yearly according to standard protocols.

Malta - 2016

The control program/strategies in place

The intradermal comparative tuberculin test performed every six months on all animals over 6 weeks old (with the exception of males for fattening); The post mortem inspection to detect the presence of tuberculosis visible lesions carried out at the slaughterhouse on all the bovine animals slaughtered, including males for fattening.

Measures in case of the positive findings or single cases

The positive animal is slaughtered and tissue samples taken for microbiology tests. If microbiology is negative the farm is considered as negative.

Notification system in place

The Veterinary officer in charge of animal health is responsible of informing the farmer. The farmer is then advised to make arrangements for the slaughter of the animal and sampling for Microbiology is carried out.

2.2 BRUCELLOSIS

2.2.1 General evaluation of the national situation

2.2.1.1 Brucella - general evaluation

History of the disease and/or infection in the country

The last case of brucellosis in Malta occurred in 1998. This followed a short epidemic related to goat's milk in 1995.

National evaluation of the recent situation, the trends and sources of infection

Strict control of animal herds have eliminated human cases since 1998.

Relevance of the findings in animals, feedingstuffs and foodstuffs to human cases (as a source of infection)

No reported findings in foodstuffs.

Recent actions taken to control the zoonoses

Routine surveillance from the veterinary end.

2.2.2 Brucella in animals

2.2.2.1 B. abortus in animal - Cattle (bovine animals) - animal sample - blood

Status as officially free of bovine brucellosis during the reporting year

The entire country free

Brucella abortus has never been recorded in bovines in Malta. The last case confirmed in Bovines was B.melitensis in 1996.

Free regions

All the Maltese Islands including the island of Gozo are considered free of Brucella

Additional information

The history of Brucella Surveillance in Malta can be summarized as follows: - surveillance programme established in '60s - Vaccination programme in early '80s - Eradication programme in 1987 - Compulsory registration of animals and farms - Awareness campaign - Control movement according to health status - Test and slaughter with depopulation if high prevalence - Compensation - Stop vaccination - 2005 more strict control programme - In November 2015 Malta submitted to the Commission documentation demonstrating compliance for the entire territory with the conditions laid down in Directive 64/432/EEC for officially brucella-free status as regards bovine herds. This application was accepted by the Commission in March 2016

Monitoring system

Sampling strategy

All animals over 6 mouths

Frequency of the sampling

Blood twice Yearly, Milk bulk tank 3 times a year.

Type of specimen taken

Other: blood, milk or tissue

Methods of sampling (description of sampling techniques)

Blood sampling on farms is carried out twice yearly for screening with RBT/ELISA. Bulk milk tank samples are taken 3 times a year to screen by MRT.

Diagnostic/analytical methods used

Rose Bengal Test, ELISA, Milk Ring Test, Complement Fixation Test, Microbiological analysis of lymph node samples from CFT positive animals.

Vaccination policy

No vaccination for brucellosis is carried out in Malta.

Other preventive measures than vaccination in place

Animal movement is controlled and only authorized by the CA and based on the Health Status of the farm.

Control program/mechanisms

The control program/strategies in place

Animals that test positive to a complement fixation test are slaughtered and tissue samples lifted. These samples are then subject to microbiological analysis.

Measures in case of the positive findings or single cases

The positive animal is slaughtered and tissue samples lifted. These samples are then sent for microbiology. All the animals on the farmare retested at an interval of 1 month from the slaughter of the CFT positive animal and again after 2 months. If the microbiology test is negative the farm is considered negative.

Notification system in place

The National Veterinary Laboratory sends the results of screening to the National Livestock database. In the case of a positive screening result the Veterinary officer responsible for Animal Health is informed by email of the positive result. Farmers are sent a copy of both the negative and positive result (RBT,MRT,ELISA,Microbiology). If the microbiology test is negative the farm is considered negative.

2.2.2.2 B. melitensis in animal - Goats - animal sample - blood

Status as officially free of caprine brucellosis during the reporting year

The entire country free

The history of Brucella Surveillance in Malta can be summarized as follows: - surveillance programme established in '60s - Vaccination programme in early '80s - Eradication programme in 1987 - Compulsory registration of animals and farms - Awareness campaign - Control movement according to health status - Test and slaughter with depopulation if high prevalence - Compensation - Stop vaccination - 2005 to date more strict control programme Brucella abortus has never been recorded in caprines . The last case confirmed was B.melitensis in 1996.

Monitoring system

Sampling strategy

All animals over 6 months are tested twice yearly.

Frequency of the sampling

All animals over 6 months are tested twice yearly.

Type of specimen taken

Blood

Methods of sampling (description of sampling techniques)

Blood samples are taken from all animals over 6 months.

Diagnostic/analytical methods used

Rose Bengal Test, Complement Fixation Test, ELISA and Microbiology

Vaccination policy

No Vaccination is practiced in the Maltese Islands

Other preventive measures than vaccination in place

Strict control of animal movment

Measures in case of the positive findings or single cases

Any animal positive for RBT is then re tested using CFT and ELISA. Positive CFT/ELISA animals are slaughtered and organs are submitted for Microbiological investigation.

3 INFORMATION ON SPECIFIC ZOONOSES AND ZOONOTIC AGENTS

Zoonoses are diseases or infections, which are naturally transmissible directly or indirectly between animals and humans. Foodstuffs serve often as vehicles of zoonotic infections. Zoonotic agents cover viruses, bacteria, fungi, parasites or other biological entities that are likely to cause zoonoses.

3.1 SALMONELLOSIS

3.1.1 General evaluation of the national situation

3.1.1.1 Salmonella - general evaluation

History of the disease and/or infection in the country

Salmonella has been on the rise in Malta again since 2008. This follows a trough from 1999 - 2007. Majority of cases are S. Enteritidis and Tymphimurium.

National evaluation of the recent situation, the trends and sources of infection

Most cases of Salmonella originate from households, including outbreaks (30-45 %). the sources are usually eggs and chicken meat. Information about salmonella in pork is not available.

Recent actions taken to control the zoonoses

Control of layers and broilers with culling for positive flocks of S. Enteritidis and S. Typhimurium. Heat treatment of eggs from infected layer flocks is also carried out.

3.1.2 Salmonella in foodstuffs

3.1.2.1 Salmonella spp., unspecified in food - Eggs - animal sample - eggs

Monitoring system

Sampling strategy

there are no egg packing center each farmer packs his own eggs. Eggs were analysed on two occasions as re-confirmatory according to the Commission Regulation 1237/2007.

Diagnostic/analytical methods used

Raw material for egg products (at production plant)

Bacteriological method: ISO 6579:2002

3.1.3 Salmonella in animals

3.1.3.1 Salmonella spp., unspecified in animal - Gallus gallus (fowl) - broilers - animal sample

Monitoring system

Sampling strategy

Broiler flocks

All broiler flocks are sampled irrespective of their capacity. currently the sampling and analysis of both official controls and on behalf of the business operator are being carried out by the Competent Authority; namely the National Veterinary Laboratory

Frequency of the sampling

Broiler flocks: Before slaughter at farm

2-3 weeks prior to slaughter

Type of specimen taken

Broiler flocks: Before slaughter at farm

Socks/ boot swabs

Methods of sampling (description of sampling techniques)

Broiler flocks: Before slaughter at farm

The number of boot swabs taken per holding depends on the capacity of the farm. Six pairs of boot swabs are taken if the farms has a capacity of over 50,000. Four boot swabs are taken when there is a capacity between 10,000 - 50,000. Twp pairs of boot swabs are taken when the capacity is less than 10,00. Two pairs of boot swabs are taken from each house sampled.

Case definition

Broiler flocks: Before slaughter at farm

A positive case is when Salmonella enteritidis and Salmonella typhimurium are isolated.

Diagnostic/analytical methods used

Broiler flocks: Before slaughter at farm

Bacteriological method: ISO 6579:2002

Broiler flocks: At slaughter (flock based approach)

Bacteriological method: ISO 6579:2002

Vaccination policy

Broiler flocks

no vaccination for salmonella is carried out in Malta.

Other preventive measures than vaccination in place

Broiler flocks

In accordance to Commission Regulation 1177/2007, no antimicrobial treatment is permitted as a control measure in the case of salmonella infection in poultry flocks.

Control program/mechanisms

The control program/strategies in place

Broiler flocks

Broiler flocks positive to S.typhimurium and S.enteritidis are culled. The A Salmonella National control programme according to Council Regulation 2160/2003 and Commission Regulation 646/2007 being implemented.

Recent actions taken to control the zoonoses

Broiler flocks positive to s.typhimurium and s.enteritidis are destroyed.

Measures in case of the positive findings or single cases

Broiler flocks: Before slaughter at farm

Broiler flocks positive to s.typhimurium and s.enteritidis are destroyed.

Notification system in place

the National Veterinary Laboratory under the competent authority is responsible for notification of results to the Animal Health section and The Food Safety section which fall under the same CA for necessary action.

National evaluation of the recent situation, the trends and sources of infection

The Salmonella control programme has begun to be implemented in mid-2009, therefore it is a bit early to evaluate the situation .

3.1.3.2 Salmonella spp., unspecified in animal - Gallus gallus (fowl) - breeding flocks, unspecified - animal sample

Monitoring system

Sampling strategy

Breeding flocks (separate elite, grand parent and parent flocks when necessary)

There are no breeding flocks in Malta

3.2 LISTERIOSIS

3.2.1 General evaluation of the national situation

3.2.1.1 Listeria - general evaluation

History of the disease and/or infection in the country

No cases of human disease in recent years.

3.3 TRICHINELLOSIS

3.3.1 General evaluation of the national situation

3.3.1.1 Trichinella - general evaluation

History of the disease and/or infection in the country

The absence of suitable wild life hosts such as wild boar exclud the possibility of Trichinella infection becoming established in Malta. Non the less sampling and testing for Trichinella is still carried out as required by EU legislation. There have never been any recorded human or animal cases of Trichinella in the Maltese Islands.

National evaluation of the recent situation, the trends and sources of infection

The possibility of swine being infected with trichinella are very remote. There are no wild animals in Malta that may support the cycle in wildlife. All Pigs are reared indoors. There are no backyard farms and no freerange pigs

Recent actions taken to control the zoonoses

There is no wildlife on Malta. Trichinella testing in the past was carried out using the trichinoscope menthod. End of 2009 the digestive method was being adopted. Full analysis of all horse/swine slaughtered commenced beginning 2010. The National Veterinary Laboratory is also accredited to carry out Trichinella testing by the digestive method under ISO 17025.

3.3.2 Trichinella in animals

3.3.2.1 Trichinella in animal - Solipeds, domestic - horses - food sample - meat

Monitoring system

Sampling strategy

All Horses slaughtered for human consumption are sampled

Frequency of the sampling

All Horses slaughtered for human consumption are sampled

Type of specimen taken

Muscle

Diagnostic/analytical methods used

Digestive Method as per council regulation 2075/2005

3.4 ECHINOCOCCOSIS

3.4.1 General evaluation of the national situation

3.4.1.1 Echinococcus - general evaluation

History of the disease and/or infection in the country

No notified cases in the past few years

3.4.2 Echinococcus in animals

3.4.2.1 Echinococcus in animal - Dogs - animal sample - faeces

Monitoring system

Sampling strategy

Malta like the United Kingdom, Finland, Ireland and Norway is free from Echinococcus Multilocularis. Malta is also free from both the intermediate and final host for this parassite. Therefor Malta has opted to retain the obligation for antiparassitic treatment of animals being brought into the Island from endemic areas. Commission Regulation (EU) No 1152/2011 requires that those countries claiming to be free of the parasite monitor and report on the occurrence of E.multilocularis. The pathogen-specific surveillance programme is designed to detect a prevalence of not more than 1 % at confidence level of at least 95 %. The pathogen-specific surveillance programme uses sampling at the defined prevalence specified above to ensure the detection of the Echinococcus multilocularis parasite if present. The regulation is due to be revised in 2016. To date no infection with EM has been found through this monitoring program.

Frequency of the sampling

The surveillance programme for echinococcus Multilocularis consists in the ongoing collection, during the 12-month surveillance period, of samples from domestic definitive hosts, in the case of Malta, where there is evidence of the absence of wild definitive hosts.

Type of specimen taken

Faecal samples from dogs

Diagnostic/analytical methods used

Flotation and concentration of eggs from faeces followed by PCR identification.

Other preventive measures than vaccination in place

Deworming treatment for animals entering the country from endemic areas.

3.5 RABIES

3.5.1 General evaluation of the national situation

3.5.1.1 Lyssavirus (rabies) - general evaluation

History of the disease and/or infection in the country

Last reported rabies case at the beginning of the 20th century! A notifiable infection

Relevance of the findings in animals, feedingstuffs and foodstuffs to human cases (as a source of infection)

No known animal cases.

3.6 ESCHERICHIA COLI, NON-PATHOGENIC

3.6.1 General evaluation of the national situation

3.6.1.1 Escherichia coli, non-pathogenic - general evaluation

History of the disease and/or infection in the country

E. coli O157 disease is not common with a handful of cases each year.

National evaluation of the recent situation, the trends and sources of infection

No recent trends noticed.

Recent actions taken to control the zoonoses

Educational campiagns, stricter control at food production premises.

4 ANTIMICROBIAL RESISTANCE INFORMATION ON SPECIFIC ZOONOSES AND ZOONOTIC AGENTS

4.1 SALMONELLOSIS

4.1.1 Salmonella in animals

4.1.1.1 Antimicrobial resistance in Salmonella Gallus gallus (fowl)

Description of sampling designs

Rearing flocks are sampled as day-old chicks and 2 weeks prior to onset of the laying fase. Adult laying flocks are then sampled every 15 weeks during the laying period.

Description of sampling designs

Every batch is tested 2-3 weeks before slaughter, boot swabs are collected. in accordance with the Salmonella National Control Programme. All separate houses on the same permises are considered as a separate flock and sampled separately.

Stratification procedures per animal populations and food categories

When having 2 positive isolates from the same batch of a Laying Flock, only one is selected.

Stratification procedures per animal populations and food categories

No stratification is performed. All batches are tested.

Randomisation procedures per animal populations and food categories

No randomisation is performed, due to lack to positive isolates. Only one positive isolat per batch per farm is selected and tested for AMR.

Randomisation procedures per animal populations and food categories

For broilers every serovar isolated from the Salmonella National Control programme is tested by the Laboratory.

4.1.1.2 Antimicrobial resistance in Salmonella Meat from broilers (Gallus gallus)

Description of sampling designs

Every batch which was found positive for the target serovars is then sampled at slaughter by taking neck skins. Neck skins are then tested for Salmonella according the Salmonella National Control Programme. Neck skins are sampled in 5 different sterile bags, each bag consists of 3 neck skins. At the Laboratory each bag consisting of 3 neck skins are than pooled into one sample. By the end the Lab will test 5 samples of neck skins for Salmonella. From the 5 samples, if all 5 are positive for the same serovars, only one positive is tested for AMR. If they are positive for different serovars for the same batch, all of the positives are then tested for AMR.

Stratification procedures per animal populations and food categories

Stratification is performed by selecting the batch which was found positive for the targeted serovars. and sample neck skins for that batch.

Randomisation procedures per animal populations and food categories

No randomisation is done. See subtitle 1.

4.2 VTEC

4.2.1 Escherichia coli in animals

4.2.1.1 Antimicrobial resistance in Escherichia coli Gallus gallus (fowl)

Description of sampling designs

Only 1 sample of caecum per farm is sampled at slaughter. If the sample results negative per E.Coli, another sample for the same farm is taken. Each positive isolate for E.Coli is then tested for AMR.

Stratification procedures per animal populations and food categories

No stratification is performed as only 67 broiler farms are present in malta., and all of them are sampled.

Randomisation procedures per animal populations and food categories

No randomisation is performed as all broiler farms are tested.

5 INFORMATION ON SPECIFIC MICROBIOLOGICAL AGENTS

5.1 HISTAMINE

5.1.1 General evaluation of the national situation

5.1.1.1 Histamine - general evaluation

History of the disease and/or infection in the country

Malta has few reported cases of histamine poisoning in humans every year. It may be under reported.

Relevance of the findings in animals, feedingstuffs and foodstuffs to human cases (as a source of infection)

Most cases occur via canned tuna or from locally caught dolphin fish which were temperature mis-treated at some stage of food preparation.

6 FOODBORNE OUTBREAKS

Foodborne outbreaks are incidences of two or more human cases of the same disease or infection where the cases are linked or are probably linked to the same food source. Situation, in which the observed human cases exceed the expected number of cases and where a same food source is suspected, is also indicative of a foodborne outbreak.

6.1 Outbreaks

6.1.1 Foodborne outbreaks

System in place for identification, epidemological investigations and reporting of foodborne outbreaks

Statutory notification system involving all physicians and laboratories. Epidemiological investigation done by epidemiologists at the Health promotion and Disease Prevention Directorate, with field investigations performed by the Environmental Health Directorate of the Regulatory directorate of the Health Department.

Description of the types of outbreaks covered by the reporting:

All suspected types of food-borne outbreaks reported, however not all outbreaks are reported. All notified outbreaks are classified according to origin.

National evaluation of the reported outbreaks in the country:

Trends in numbers of outbreaks and numbers of human cases involved

There has been no major trend over the last decade in the number of outbreaks. Minor changes from year to year reflect random variability as the country is small.

Relevance of the different type of places of food production and preparation in outbreaks

All types of food-borne outbreaks are more common in households (30-45%). The next most common source are restaurants.

Evaluation of the severity and clinical picture of the human cases

No specific trends have been noticed.

ANIMAL POPULATION TABLES

Table Susceptible animal population

		Population						
Animal species	Category of animals	holding	animal	slaughter animal (heads)	herd/flock			
Cattle (bovine animals)	Cattle (bovine animals)			4,329				
	Cattle (bovine animals) - calves (under 1 year) - dairy calves		3,780					
	Cattle (bovine animals) - dairy cows - adult	98	6,957					
	Cattle (bovine animals) - dairy cows - young cattle (1-2 years)		1,979					
	Cattle (bovine animals) - meat production animals	168						
	Cattle (bovine animals) - meat production animals - calves (under 1 year)		730					
	Cattle (bovine animals) - meat production animals - fattening cows		218					
	Cattle (bovine animals) - meat production animals - young cattle (1-2 years)		598					
Dogs	Dogs		52,229					
Gallus gallus (fowl)	Gallus gallus (fowl) - broilers	67	2,199,169	1,462,710	437			
	Gallus gallus (fowl) - laying hens	31	337,628					
	Gallus gallus (fowl) - laying hens - adult				64			
	Gallus gallus (fowl) - laying hens - during rearing period				28			
Goats	Goats	357	5,084	1,040	357			
Pigs	Pigs - breeding animals - not raised under controlled housing conditions	2						
	Pigs - breeding animals - not raised under controlled housing conditions - boars		211	55				
	Pigs - breeding animals - not raised under controlled housing conditions - gilts		566					
	Pigs - breeding animals - not raised under controlled housing conditions - sows		3,245	1,214				
	Pigs - fattening pigs - not raised under controlled housing conditions	7	37,720	55,043				
	Pigs - mixed herds	98						
Sheep	Sheep	862	11,958	5,015	862			
Sheep and goats	Sheep and goats	450			450			
Solipeds, domestic	Solipeds, domestic			17				

DISEASE STATUS TABLES

Table Bovine brucellosis in countries and regions that do not receive Community co-financing for eradication programme

Region	Number of herds with status officially free	Number of infected herds	Total number of herds
MALTA	266	0	266

Table Ovine or Caprine brucellosis in countries and regions that do not receive Community co-financing for eradication programme

Region	Number of herds with status officially free	Number of infected herds	Total number of herds
MALTA	1.669	(1.669

DISEASE STATUS TABLES

Table Bovine tuberculosis in countries and regions that do not receive Community co-financing for eradication programme

Region	Number of herds with status officially free	Number of infected herds	Total number of herds
MALTA	266	0	266

PREVALENCE TABLES

Table BRUCELLA in animal

		Sampling		Total units		N of units
Area of Sampling	Matrix - Sampling stage - Sampling origin - Sample type - Sampling context - Sampler - Sampling strategy	unit	tested	positive	Zoonoses	positive
Not Available	Cattle (bovine animals) - Farm - Malta - animal sample - Surveillance - Official sampling - Census	animal	13159	0	Brucella	0
	Sheep and goats - Farm - Malta - animal sample - Surveillance - Official sampling - Census	animal	17008	0	Brucella	0

Table ECHINOCOCCUS in animal

			Total	Total		
		Sampling	units	units		N of units
Area of Sampling	Matrix - Sampling stage - Sampling origin - Sample type - Sampling context - Sampler - Sampling strategy	unit	tested	positive	Zoonoses	positive
Not Available	Dogs - Farm - Malta - animal sample - faeces - Surveillance - Official sampling - Objective sampling	animal	333	0	Echinococcus	0

Table ESCHERICHIA COLI, NON-PATHOGENIC in animal

Area of Sampling	Matrix - Sampling stage - Sampling origin - Sample type - Sampling context - Sampler - Sampling strategy	Sampling unit		Total units positive	Zoonoses	N of units positive
Not Available	Gallus gallus (fowl) - broilers - Slaughterhouse - Malta - animal sample - caecum - Monitoring - Official sampling - Objective sampling	slaughte r animal batch	31	31	Escherichia coli, non- pathogenic	31

Table MYCOBACTERIUM in animal

			Total	Total		
		Sampling	units	units		N of units
Area of Sampling	Matrix - Sampling stage - Sampling origin - Sample type - Sampling context - Sampler - Sampling strategy	unit	tested	positive	Zoonoses	positive
Not Available	Cattle (bovine animals) - Farm - Malta - animal sample - Surveillance - Official sampling - Census	animal	13628	0	Mycobacterium	0

Table SALMONELLA in animal

Area of Sampling	Matrix - Sampling stage - Sampling origin - Sample type - Sampling context - Sampler - Sampling strategy	Sampling unit	N of flocks under control programme	Target verification	Total units tested	Total units positive	Zoonoses	N of units positive
Not Available	Gallus gallus (fowl) - broilers - before slaughter - Farm - Malta - environmental sample - boot swabs - Control			N_A	433	77	Salmonella Abadina	1
	and eradication programmes - Industry sampling - Census	k					Salmonella Agona	1
							Salmonella Croft	1
							Salmonella Derby	6
							Salmonella Give	5
							Salmonella Haifa	4
							Salmonella Infantis	13
							Salmonella Kedougou	3
							Salmonella Kentucky	34
							Salmonella Livingstone	2
							Salmonella Orbe	1
							Salmonella Senftenberg	11
							Salmonella Typhimurium, monophasic	5
	Gallus gallus (fowl) - broilers - before slaughter - Farm - Malta - environmental sample - boot swabs - Control	herd/floc	437	Y	437	80	Salmonella Abadina	1
	and eradication programmes - Official and industry sampling - Census	K					Salmonella Agona	1
							Salmonella Croft	1
							Salmonella Derby	6
							Salmonella Give	6
							Salmonella Haifa	4
							Salmonella Infantis	13
							Salmonella Kedougou	3
			Salmonella Kentucky	36				
							Salmonella Livingstone	2
							Salmonella Orbe	1
							Salmonella Senftenberg	1
							Salmonella Typhimurium, monophasic	5
	Gallus gallus (fowl) - broilers - before slaughter - Farm - Malta - environmental sample - boot swabs - Control			N_A	4	3	Salmonella Give	1
	and eradication programmes - Official sampling - Objective sampling	k					Salmonella Kentucky	2
	Gallus gallus (fowl) - laying hens - adult - Farm - Malta - animal sample - faeces - Control and eradication programmes - Industry sampling - Census	herd/floc k		N_A	64	30	Salmonella Colorado	1
	programmes - moustry sampling - Census	ĸ					Salmonella Croft	2
							Salmonella Derby	1
							Salmonella Give	1
							Salmonella Haifa	2
							Salmonella Infantis	10
							Salmonella Kedougou	4
							Salmonella Kentucky	6
						_	Salmonella Livingstone	13
	Gallus gallus (fowl) - laying hens - adult - Farm - Malta - animal sample - faeces - Control and eradication programmes - Official and industry sampling - Census	herd/floc k	64	Υ	16	9	Salmonella Elomrane	1
	programmes - Official and industry sampling - Octions	N					Salmonella Enteritidis	1
							Salmonella Infantis	2
							Salmonella Kentucky	3
							Salmonella Livingstone	3

Area of Sampling	Matrix - Sampling stage - Sampling origin - Sample type - Sampling context - Sampler - Sampling strategy	Sampling unit	N of flocks under control programme	Target verification	Total units tested	Total units positive	Zoonoses	N of units positive																
Not Available	Gallus gallus (fowl) - laying hens - during rearing period - flocks under control programme - Farm - Malta -	herd/floc		N_A	24	7	Salmonella Infantis	1																
	environmental sample - Control and eradication programmes - Industry sampling - Census	k					Salmonella Livingstone	7																
	Gallus gallus (fowl) - laying hens - during rearing period - flocks under control programme - Farm - Malta - environmental sample - Control and eradication programmes - Official sampling - Census	herd/floc		N_A	4	4	Salmonella Kedougou	1																
		environmental sample - Control and eradication programmes - Official sampling - Census k	k	k	k	k	ntal sample - Control and eradication programmes - Official sampling - Census k					Salmonella Livingstone	2											
							Salmonella Mbandaka	1																
	Pigs - fattening pigs - Slaughterhouse - Malta - food sample - carcase swabs - Control and eradication	slaughter		N_A	60	13	Salmonella Derby	2																
	restriction and the state of th	animal					Salmonella Give	1																
		Date		batch	Datcii	batch	Daten				Salmonella Kentucky	1												
							Salmonella Panama	1																
																								Salmonella Typhimurium
							Salmonella Typhimurium, monophasic	7																

Table SALMONELLA in food

Area of Sampling	Matrix - Sampling stage - Sampling origin - Sample type - Sampling context - Sampler - Sampling strategy	Sampling unit	Sample weight	Sample weight unit	Total units tested	Total units positive	Zoonoses	N of units positive
Not Available	Meat from broilers (Gallus gallus) - carcase - Slaughterhouse - Malta - food sample - neck skin -	slaughte		Not Available	65	53	Salmonella Derby	5
	Surveillance - Official sampling - Objective sampling	r animal batch					Salmonella Haifa	2
		Daton					Salmonella Infantis	7
							Salmonella Kentucky	35
							Salmonella Typhimurium	1
							Salmonella Typhimurium, monophasic	3

Table TRICHINELLA in animal

Area of Sampling	Matrix - Sampling stage - Sampling origin - Sample type - Sampling context - Sampler - Sampling strategy	Sampling unit		Total units positive	Zoonoses	N of units positive
Not Available	Pigs - breeding animals - raised under controlled housing conditions - sows and boars - Slaughterhouse - Malta - animal sample - organ/tissue - Surveillance - Official sampling - Census	animal	1269	0	Trichinella	0
	Pigs - fattening pigs - not raised under controlled housing conditions - Slaughterhouse - Malta - animal sample - organ/tissue - Surveillance - Official sampling - Census	animal	55043	0	Trichinella	0
	Solipeds, domestic - Slaughterhouse - Malta - animal sample - organ/tissue - Surveillance - Official sampling - Census	animal	17	0	Trichinella	0

FOODBORNE OUTBREAKS TABLES

Foodborne Outbreaks: summarized data

		Outbreak strenght		Strong	9	Weak						
Causative agent	Food vehicle		N outbreaks N	human cases	N hospitalized	N deaths	N outbreaks N	human cases	N hospitalized	N deaths		
Campylobacter	Unknown						7	16	0	0		
Salmonella	Eggs and egg products						1	2	0	0		
	Mixed food		1	34	8	0						
	Unknown						8	22	0	0		
Scrombotoxin	Fish and fish products						1	5	0	0		
Unknown	Buffet meals						1	34	0	0		
	Unknown						20	80	0	0		

Strong Foodborne Outbreaks: detailed data

Causative igent	Other Causative Agent	FBO nat. code	Outbreak type	Food vehicle	More food vehicle info	Nature of evidence	Setting	Place of origin of problem	Origin of food vehicle	Contributory factors	Comment	N outbreak	N human s cases		N o. deaths
Salmonell a	unk	2016. 84	Not Available	Mixed food	Antipasti	Descriptive epidemiologic al evidence\$Un known\$Descr iptive environmenta I evidence	Not Availabl e	unk	Not Available	NOT AVAILABLE	N_A	1	34	8	0

Weak Foodborne Outbreaks: detailed data

Causative agent	Other Causative Agent	FBO nat. code	Outbreak type	Food vehicle	More food vehicle info	Nature of evidence	Setting	Place of origin of problem	Origin of foo	od Contributory factors	Comment	N outbreaks	N huma cases		N p. deaths
Campylob acter	unk	N_A	Not Available	Unknown	N_A	Unknown	Not Available	unk	Not Available	NOT AVAILABLE	N_A	7	16	0	0
Salmonell a	unk	3	Not Available	Unknown	N_A	Unknown	Not Available	unk	Not Available	NOT AVAILABLE	N_A	5	13	0	0
		4	Not Available	Unknown	N_A	Unknown	Not Available	unk	Not Available	NOT AVAILABLE	N_A	3	9	0	0
		N_A	Not Available	Eggs and egg products	N_A	Descriptive epidemiological evidence\$Unkno wn\$Unknown\$D escriptive environmental evidence	Not Available	unk	Not Available	NOT AVAILABLE	N_A	1	2	0	0
Scrombot oxin	unk	N_A	Not Available	Fish and fish products	tuna	Descriptive epidemiological evidence	Not Available	unk	Not Available	NOT AVAILABLE	N_A	1	5	0	0
Unknown	unk	2016. 0287	Not Available	Buffet meals	N_A	Descriptive epidemiological evidence\$Unkno wn\$Unknown\$D escriptive environmental evidence	Not Available	unk	Not Available	NOT AVAILABLE	N_A	1	34	0	0
		7	Not Available	Unknown	N_A	Descriptive epidemiological evidence\$Unkno wn\$Unknown\$D escriptive environmental evidence	Not Available	unk	Not Available	NOT AVAILABLE	N_A	16	69	0	0
		8	Not Available	Unknown	N_A	Descriptive epidemiological evidence\$Unkno wn\$Unknown\$D escriptive environmental evidence	Not Available	unk	Not Available	NOT AVAILABLE	N_A	4	11	0	0

ANTIMICROBIAL RESISTANCE TABLES FOR CAMPYLOBACTER

ANTIMICROBIAL RESISTANCE TABLES FOR SALMONELLA

Table Antimicrobial susceptibility testing of Salmonella Abadina in Gallus gallus (fowl) - broilers - before slaughter

Sampling Stage: Farm

Sampling Type: environmental sample - boot swabs

Sampling Context: Control and eradication programmes

Sampler: Official sampling

Sampling Strategy: Census

Programme Code: AMR MON

Analytical Method: Dilution - sensititre

Country of Origin: Malta

Sampling Details: Broilers- Bootswabs on farm sampling

	AM substance	Ampicillin	Azithromycin	Cefotaxim	Ceffazidim	Chloramphenicol	Ciprofloxacin	Colistin	Gentamicin	Meropenem	Nalidixic acid	Sufamethoxazole	Tetracycline	Tigecycline	Trimethoprim
	ECOFF	8	16	0.5	2	16	0.064	2	2	0.125	16	256	8	1	2
	Lowest limit	1	2	0.25	0.5	8	0.015	1	0.5	0.03	4	8	2	0.25	0.25
	Highest limit	64	64	4	8	128	8	16	32	16	128	1024	64	8	32
	N of tested isolates	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1
МІС	N of resistant isolates	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
<=0.015							1								
<=0.03										1					
<=0.25				1										1	1
<=0.5					1				1						
<=1		1						1							
<=2			1										1		
<=4											1				
<=8						1									
64												1			

Table Antimicrobial susceptibility testing of Salmonella Agona in Gallus gallus (fowl) - broilers - before slaughter

Sampling Stage: Farm

Sampling Type: environmental sample - boot swabs

Sampling Context: Control and eradication

Sampler: Official sampling

Sampling Strategy: Census

programmes Programme Code: AMR MON

Analytical Method: Dilution - sensititre

Country of Origin: Malta

Sampling Details: Broilers- Bootswabs on farm sampling

	AM substance	Ampicillin	Azithromycin	Cefotaxim	Ceftazidim	Chloramphenicol	Ciprofloxacin	Colistin	Gentamicin	Meropenem	Nalidixic acid	Sulfamethoxazole	Tetracycline	Tigecycline	Trimethoprim
	ECOFF	8	16	0.5	2	16	0.064	2	2	0.125	16	256	8	1	2
	Lowest limit	1	2	0.25	0.5	8	0.015	1	0.5	0.03	4	8	2	0.25	0.25
	Highest limit	64	64	4	8	128	8	16	32	16	128	1024	64	8	32
	N of tested isolates	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1
MIC	N of resistant isolates	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0
<=0.015							1								
<=0.03										1					
<=0.25				1											1
<=0.5					1				1						
0.5														1	
<=1		1						1							
<=2			1										1		
<=4											1				
<=8						1									
1024												1			

Table Antimicrobial susceptibility testing of Salmonella Colorado in Gallus gallus (fowl) - laying hens - adult

Sampling Stage: Farm

Sampling Type: environmental sample - boot swabs

Sampling Context: Control and eradication

Sampler: Official sampling

Sampling Strategy: Census

programmes Programme Code: AMR MON pnl2

Analytical Method: Dilution - sensititre

Country of Origin: Malta

Sampling Details: Pullets- Bootswab

	AM substance	Cefepime	Cefotaxim	Cefotaxime + Clavulanic acid	Cefoxitin	Ceftazidim	Ceftazidime + Clavulanic acid	Ertapenem	lmipenem	Meropenem	Temocillin
	Cefotaxime synergy test		Positive/Pres ent	Positive/Pres ent	Positive/Pres ent	Positive/Pres	Positive/Pres	Positive/Pres ent	Positive/Pres	Positive/Pres ent	Positive/Pres ent
	Ceftazidime synergy test	Positive/Pres	Positive/Pres	Positive/Pres	Positive/Pres	Positive/Pres	Positive/Pres	Positive/Pres	Positive/Pres	Positive/Pres	Positive/Pres ent
	ECOFF	0.125	0.5	0.5	8	2	2	0.06	1	0.125	32
	Lowest limit	0.064	0.25	0.064	0.5	0.25	0.12	0.015	0.12	0.03	0.5
	Highest limit	32	64	64	64	128	128	2	16	16	64
	N of tested isolates	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1
MIC	N of resistant isolates	: 1	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
<=0.015								1			
<=0.03										1	
<=0.12									1		
0.12				1							
0.25							1				
2						1					
4		1			1						
8											1
32			1								

Table Antimicrobial susceptibility testing of Salmonella Colorado in Gallus gallus (fowl) - laying hens - adult

Sampling Stage: Farm

Sampling Type: environmental sample - boot swabs

Sampling Context: Control and eradication

Sampler: Official sampling

Sampling Strategy: Census

programmes Programme Code: AMR MON

Analytical Method: Dilution - sensititre

Country of Origin: Malta

Sampling Details: Pullets- Bootswab

	AM substance	Ampicillin	Azithromycin	Cefotaxim	Ceftazidim	Chloramphenicol	Ciprofloxacin	Colistin	Gentamicin	Meropenem	Nalidixic acid	Sulfamethoxazole	Tetracycline	Tigecycline	Trimethoprim
	ECOFF	8	16	0.5	2	16	0.064	2	2	0.125	16	256	8	1	2
	Lowest limit	1	2	0.25	0.5	8	0.015	1	0.5	0.03	4	8	2	0.25	0.25
	Highest limit	64	64	4	8	128	8	16	32	16	128	1024	64	8	32
	N of tested isolates	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1
MIC	N of resistant isolates	1	0	1	0	0	1	0	0	0	1	1	1	0	1
<=0.03										1					
0.12							1								
<=0.5									1						
0.5														1	
2					1			1							
4			1												
>4				1											
<=8						1									
>32															1
64													11		
>64		1													
>128											1				
>1024												1			

Table Antimicrobial susceptibility testing of Salmonella Croft in Gallus gallus (fowl) - broilers - before slaughter

Sampling Stage: Farm

Sampling Type: environmental sample - boot swabs

Sampling Context: Control and eradication

Sampler: Official sampling

Sampling Strategy: Census

programmes Programme Code: AMR MON

Analytical Method: Dilution - sensititre

Country of Origin: Malta

Sampling Details: Broilers- Bootswabs on farm sampling

	AM substance	Ampicillin	Azithromycin	Cefotaxim	Ceftazidim	Chloramphenicol	Ciprofloxacin	Colistin	Gentamicin	Meropenem	Nalidixic acid	Sulfamethoxazole	Tetracycline	Tigecycline	Trimethoprim
	ECOFF	8	16	0.5	2	16	0.064	2	2	0.125	16	256	8	1	2
	Lowest limit	1	2	0.25	0.5	8	0.015	1	0.5	0.03	4	8	2	0.25	0.25
	Highest limit	64	64	4	8	128	8	16	32	16	128	1024	64	8	32
	N of tested isolates	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1
MIC	N of resistant isolates	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
<=0.015							1								
<=0.03										1					
<=0.25				1										1	
<=0.5					1				1						
0.5															1
<=1		1						1							
<=2			1										1		
<=4											1				
<=8						1									
64												1			

Table Antimicrobial susceptibility testing of Salmonella Croft in Gallus gallus (fowl) - laying hens - adult

Sampling Stage: Farm

Sampling Type: environmental sample - dust

Sampling Context: Control and eradication

Sampler: Official sampling

Sampling Strategy: Census

programmes Programme Code: AMR MON

Analytical Method: Dilution - sensititre

Country of Origin: Malta

Sampling Details: Pullets- Dust

	AM substance	Ampicillin	Azithromycin	Cefotaxim	Ceftazidim	Chloramphenicol	Ciprofloxacin	Colistin	Gentamicin	Meropenem	Nalidixic acid	Sulfamethoxazole	Tetracycline	Tigecycline	Trimethoprim
	ECOFF	8	16	0.5	2	16	0.064	2	2	0.125	16	256	8	1	2
	Lowest limit	1	2	0.25	0.5	8	0.015	1	0.5	0.03	4	8	2	0.25	0.25
	Highest limit	64	64	4	8	128	8	16	32	16	128	1024	64	8	32
	N of tested isolates	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1
MIC	N of resistant isolates	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
<=0.015							1								
<=0.03										1					
<=0.25				1										1	1
<=0.5					1				1						
<=1		1													
<=2			1										1		
2								1							
<=4											1				
<=8						1									
64												1			

Table Antimicrobial susceptibility testing of Salmonella Croft in Gallus gallus (fowl) - laying hens - adult

Sampling Stage: Farm

Sampling Type: animal sample - faeces

Sampling Context: Control and eradication

Sampler: Official sampling

Sampling Strategy: Census

programmes Programme Code: AMR MON

Analytical Method: Dilution - sensititre

Country of Origin: Malta

Sampling Details: Layers- Faecal Sample from farm

	AM substance	Ampicillin	Azithromycin	Cefotaxim	Ceftazidim	Chloramphenicol	Ciprofloxacin	Colistin	Gentamicin	Meropenem	Nalidixic acid	Sulfamethoxazole	Tetracycline	Tigecycline	Trimethoprim
	ECOFF	8	16	0.5	2	16	0.064	2	2	0.125	16	256	8	1	2
	Lowest limit	1	2	0.25	0.5	8	0.015	1	0.5	0.03	4	8	2	0.25	0.25
	Highest limit	64	64	4	8	128	8	16	32	16	128	1024	64	8	32
	N of tested isolates	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1
MIC	N of resistant isolates	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
<=0.015							1								
<=0.03										1					
<=0.25				1										11	11
<=0.5					1				1						
<=1		1													
<=2			1										1		
2								1							
<=4											1				
<=8						1									
32												1			

Table Antimicrobial susceptibility testing of Salmonella Derby in Gallus gallus (fowl) - broilers - before slaughter

Sampling Stage: Farm

Sampling Type: environmental sample - boot swabs

Sampling Context: Control and eradication

Sampler: Official sampling

Sampling Strategy: Census

programmes Programme Code: AMR MON

Analytical Method: Dilution - sensititre

Country of Origin: Malta

Sampling Details: Broilers- Bootswabs on farm sampling

	AM substance	Ampicillin	Azithromycin	Cefotaxim	Ceftazidim	Chloramphenicol	Ciprofloxacin	Colistin	Gentamicin	Meropenem	Nalidixic acid	Sulfamethoxazole	Tetracycline	Tigecycline	Trimethoprim
	ECOFF	8	16	0.5	2	16	0.064	2	2	0.125	16	256	8	1	2
	Lowest limit	1	2	0.25	0.5	8	0.015	1	0.5	0.03	4	8	2	0.25	0.25
	Highest limit	64	64	4	8	128	8	16	32	16	128	1024	64	8	32
	N of tested isolates	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4
MIC	N of resistant isolates	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	3	1	0	0
<=0.015							4								
<=0.03										4					
<=0.25				4										2	4
<=0.5					4				3						
0.5														2	
<=1		3						4							
<=2			4										3		
2									1						
<=4											4				
<=8						4									
16												1			
>64		1											1		
>1024												3			

Table Antimicrobial susceptibility testing of Salmonella Derby in Meat from broilers (Gallus gallus) - carcase - chilled

Sampling Stage: Slaughterhouse

Sampling Type: food sample - neck skin

Sampling Context: Monitoring

Sampler: HACCP and own check

Sampling Strategy: Objective sampling

Programme Code: AMR MON

Analytical Method: Dilution - sensititre

Country of Origin: Malta

Sampling Details: Neck Skins

	AM substance	Ampicillin	Azithromycin	Cefotaxim	Ceftazidim	Chloramphenicol	Ciprofloxacin	Colistin	Gentamicin	Meropenem	Nalidixic acid	Sulfamethoxazole	Tetracycline	Tigecycline	Trimethoprim
	ECOFF	8	16	0.5	2	16	0.064	2	2	0.125	16	256	8	1	2
	Lowest limit	1	2	0.25	0.5	8	0.015	1	0.5	0.03	4	8	2	0.25	0.25
	Highest limit	64	64	4	8	128	8	16	32	16	128	1024	64	8	32
	N of tested isolates	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1
MIC	N of resistant isolates	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0
<=0.015							1								
<=0.03										1					
<=0.25				1											1
<=0.5					1				1						
0.5														1	
<=1		1						1							
<=2			1										1		
<=4											1				
<=8						1									
>1024												1			

Table Antimicrobial susceptibility testing of Salmonella Elomrane in Gallus gallus (fowl) - laying hens - adult

Sampling Stage: Farm

Sampler: Official sampling

Analytical Method: Dilution - sensititre

Country of Origin: Malta

Sampling Details: Pullets- Bootswab Dust

Sampling Type: environmental sample - boot swabs and

Sampling Strategy: Census

Sampling Context: Control and eradication

programmes Programme Code: AMR MON

ECOFF 8 16 0.5 2 16 0.064 2 2 0.125 16 256 8 Lowest limit 1 2 0.25 0.5 8 0.015 1 0.5 0.03 4 8 2 Highest limit 64 64 4 8 128 8 16 32 16 128 1024 64	
	0.25 0.25
Historia C4 C4 A O 400 O 40 20 4C 400 400 C4	0.20
Highest limit 64 64 4 8 128 8 16 32 16 128 1024 64	8 32
N of tested isolates 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	1 1
N of resistant MIC isolates 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 1 0	0 0
<=0.03	
0.03	
<=0.25	1 1
<=0.5	
<=1 1 1	
<=2 1	
<=8 1	
8	
>1024	

45 Malta - 2016

Table Antimicrobial susceptibility testing of Salmonella Give in Gallus gallus (fowl) - broilers - before slaughter

Sampling Stage: Farm

Sampling Type: environmental sample - boot swabs

Sampling Context: Control and eradication

Sampler: Official sampling

Sampling Strategy: Census

programmes Programme Code: AMR MON

Analytical Method: Dilution - sensititre

Country of Origin: Malta

Sampling Details: Broilers- Bootswabs on farm sampling

	AM substance	Ampicillin	Azithromycin	Cefotaxim	Ceftazidim	Chloramphenicol	Ciprofloxacin	Colistin	Gentamicin	Meropenem	Nalidixic acid	Sulfamethoxazole	Tetracycline	Tigecycline	Trimethoprim
	ECOFF	8	16	0.5	2	16	0.064	2	2	0.125	16	256	8	1	2
	Lowest limit	1	2	0.25	0.5	8	0.015	1	0.5	0.03	4	8	2	0.25	0.25
	Highest limit	64	64	4	8	128	8	16	32	16	128	1024	64	8	32
	N of tested isolates	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6
MIC	N of resistant isolates	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	3	0	0	0
<=0.015							6								
<=0.03										6					
<=0.25				6										5	6
<=0.5					6				6						
0.5														1	
<=1		6						3							
<=2			6										6		
2								3							
<=4											5				
<=8						6					<u> </u>				
8											1				
64												1			
256												2			
512												1			
>1024												2			

Table Antimicrobial susceptibility testing of Salmonella Give in Gallus gallus (fowl) - laying hens - adult

Sampling Stage: Farm

Sampling Type: environmental sample - dust

Sampling Context: Control and eradication

Sampler: Official sampling

Sampling Strategy: Census

programmes Programme Code: AMR MON

Analytical Method: Dilution - sensititre

Country of Origin: Malta

Sampling Details: Layers- Dust Sample from farm

	AM substance	Ampicillin	Azithromycin	Cefotaxim	Ceftazidim	Chloramphenicol	Ciprofloxacin	Colistin	Gentamicin	Meropenem	Nalidixic acid	Sulfamethoxazole	Tetracycline	Tigecycline	Trimethoprim
	ECOFF	8	16	0.5	2	16	0.064	2	2	0.125	16	256	8	1	2
	Lowest limit	1	2	0.25	0.5	8	0.015	1	0.5	0.03	4	8	2	0.25	0.25
	Highest limit	64	64	4	8	128	8	16	32	16	128	1024	64	8	32
	N of tested isolates	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1
MIC	N of resistant isolates	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0
<=0.015							1								
<=0.03										1					
<=0.25				1										1	11
<=0.5					1				1						
<=1		1													
<=2			1										1		
2								1							
<=4											1				
<=8						1									
512												1			

Table Antimicrobial susceptibility testing of Salmonella Haifa in Gallus gallus (fowl) - broilers - before slaughter

Sampling Stage: Farm

Sampling Type: environmental sample - boot swabs

Sampling Context: Control and eradication

Sampler: Official sampling

Sampling Strategy: Census

programmes Programme Code: AMR MON

Analytical Method: Dilution - sensititre

Country of Origin: Malta

Sampling Details: Broilers- Bootswabs on farm sampling

	AM substance	Ampicillin	Azithromycin	Cefotaxim	Ceftazidim	Chloramphenicol	Ciprofloxacin	Colistin	Gentamicin	Meropenem	Nalidixic acid	Sulfamethoxazole	Tetracycline	Tigecycline	Trimethoprim
	ECOFF	8	16	0.5	2	16	0.064	2	2	0.125	16	256	8	1	2
	Lowest limit	1	2	0.25	0.5	8	0.015	1	0.5	0.03	4	8	2	0.25	0.25
	Highest limit	64	64	4	8	128	8	16	32	16	128	1024	64	8	32
	N of tested isolates	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6
МІС	N of resistant isolates	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	3	0	0	0
<=0.015							6								
<=0.03										6					
<=0.25				6										6	5
<=0.5					6				6						
<=1		6						6							
1															1
<=2			6										6		
<=4											5				
<=8						6									
8											1				
64												1			
128												1			
256												1			
>1024												3			

Table Antimicrobial susceptibility testing of Salmonella Haifa in Gallus gallus (fowl) - laying hens - adult

Sampling Stage: Farm

Sampling Type: animal sample - faeces

Sampling Context: Control and eradication

Sampler: Official sampling

Sampling Strategy: Census

programmes Programme Code: AMR MON

Analytical Method: Dilution - sensititre

Country of Origin: Malta

Sampling Details: Layers- Faecal Sample from farm

	AM substance	Ampicillin	Azithromycin	Cefotaxim	Ceftazidim	Chloramphenicol	Ciprofloxacin	Colistin	Gentamicin	Meropenem	Nalidixic acid	Sulfamethoxazole	Tetracycline	Tigecycline	Trimethoprim
	ECOFF	8	16	0.5	2	16	0.064	2	2	0.125	16	256	8	1	2
	Lowest limit	1	2	0.25	0.5	8	0.015	1	0.5	0.03	4	8	2	0.25	0.25
	Highest limit	64	64	4	8	128	8	16	32	16	128	1024	64	8	32
	N of tested isolates	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1
МІС	N of resistant isolates	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
<=0.015							1								
<=0.03										1					
<=0.25				1										1	1
<=0.5					1				1						
<=1		1						1							
<=2			1										1		
<=4											1				
<=8						1									
16												1			

Table Antimicrobial susceptibility testing of Salmonella Infantis in Gallus gallus (fowl) - broilers - before slaughter

Sampling Stage: Farm

Sampling Type: environmental sample - boot swabs

Sampling Context: Control and eradication

Sampler: Official sampling

Sampling Strategy: Census

programmes Programme Code: AMR MON

Analytical Method: Dilution - sensititre

Country of Origin: Malta

Sampling Details: Broilers- Bootswabs on farm sampling

	AM substance	Ampicillin	Azithromycin	Cefotaxim	Ceftazidim	Chloramphenicol	Ciprofloxacin	Colistin	Gentamicin	Meropenem	Nalidixic acid	Sulfamethoxazole	Tetracycline	Tigecycline	Trimethoprim
	ECOFF	8	16	0.5	2	16	0.064	2	2	0.125	16	256	8	1	2
	Lowest limit	1	2	0.25	0.5	8	0.015	1	0.5	0.03	4	8	2	0.25	0.25
	Highest limit	64	64	4	8	128	8	16	32	16	128	1024	64	8	32
	N of tested isolates	13	13	13	13	13	13	13	13	13	13	13	13	13	13
МІС	N of resistant isolates	2	0	0	0	2	12	0	0	0	12	12	12	3	2
<=0.015							1								
<=0.03										13					
0.12							1								
<=0.25				13										2	7
0.25							1								
<=0.5					13				13						
0.5							10							6	
<=1		6						13							
1														2	3
<=2			3										1		
2		5												3	1
<=4			<u> </u>								1				
4			4												
<=8						11									
8			5 1												
>32			1												
>32													2		2
64 >64		2											10		
128						2						1	10		
>128						2					12				
>1024											12	12			
7 1024												12			

Table Antimicrobial susceptibility testing of Salmonella Infantis in Gallus gallus (fowl) - laying hens - adult

Sampling Stage: Farm

Sampling Type: environmental sample - boot swabs

Sampling Context: Control and eradication

Sampler: Official sampling

Sampling Strategy: Census

programmes Programme Code: AMR MON

Analytical Method: Dilution - sensititre

Country of Origin: Malta

Sampling Details: Pullets- Bootswabs

	AM substance	Ampicillin	Azithromycin	Cefotaxim	Ceftazidim	Chloramphenicol	Ciprofloxacin	Colistin	Gentamicin	Meropenem	Nalidixic acid	Sulfamethoxazole	Tetracycline	Tigecycline	Trimethoprim
	ECOFF	8	16	0.5	2	16	0.064	2	2	0.125	16	256	8	1	2
	Lowest limit	1	2	0.25	0.5	8	0.015	1	0.5	0.03	4	8	2	0.25	0.25
	Highest limit	64	64	4	8	128	8	16	32	16	128	1024	64	8	32
	N of tested isolates	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1
MIC	N of resistant isolates	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
<=0.015							1								
<=0.03										1					
<=0.25				1											
<=0.5					1				1						
0.5														1	
<=1		1						1							
1															1
<=2													1		
<=4											1				
4			1			<u> </u>									
<=8						1									
64												1			

Table Antimicrobial susceptibility testing of Salmonella Infantis in Gallus gallus (fowl) - laying hens - adult

Sampling Stage: Farm

Sampling Type: environmental sample - dust

Sampling Context: Control and eradication

Sampler: Official sampling

Sampling Strategy: Census

programmes Programme Code: AMR MON

Analytical Method: Dilution - sensititre

Country of Origin: Malta

Sampling Details: Layers- Dust Sample from farm

	AM substance	Ampicillin	Azithromycin	Cefotaxim	Ceftazidim	Chloramphenicol	Ciprofloxacin	Colistin	Gentamicin	Meropenem	Nalidixic acid	Sulfamethoxazole	Tetracycline	Tigecycline	Trimethoprim
	ECOFF	8	16	0.5	2	16	0.064	2	2	0.125	16	256	8	1	2
	Lowest limit	1	2	0.25	0.5	8	0.015	1	0.5	0.03	4	8	2	0.25	0.25
	Highest limit	64	64	4	8	128	8	16	32	16	128	1024	64	8	32
	N of tested isolates	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2
МІС	N of resistant isolates	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
<=0.015							2								
<=0.03										2					
<=0.25				2										2	2
<=0.5					2				2						
<=1		2						2							
<=2			1										2		
<=4											2				
4			1												
<=8						2									
32												1			
64												1			

Table Antimicrobial susceptibility testing of Salmonella Infantis in Gallus gallus (fowl) - laying hens - adult

Sampling Stage: Farm

Sampling Type: animal sample - faeces

Sampling Context: Control and eradication

Sampler: Official sampling

Sampling Strategy: Census

programmes Programme Code: AMR MON

Analytical Method: Dilution - sensititre

Country of Origin: Malta

Sampling Details: Layers- Faecal Sample from farm

	AM substance	Ampicillin	Azithromycin	Cefotaxim	Ceftazidim	Chloramphenicol	Ciprofloxacin	Colistin	Gentamicin	Meropenem	Nalidixic acid	Sulfamethoxazole	Tetracycline	Tigecycline	Trimethoprim
	ECOFF	8	16	0.5	2	16	0.064	2	2	0.125	16	256	8	1	2
	Lowest limit	1	2	0.25	0.5	8	0.015	1	0.5	0.03	4	8	2	0.25	0.25
	Highest limit	64	64	4	8	128	8	16	32	16	128	1024	64	8	32
	N of tested isolates	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6
МІС	N of resistant isolates	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	4	0	0	2
<=0.015							6								
<=0.03										6					
<=0.25				6										6	3
<=0.5					6				6						
<=1		6						6							
<=2			4										6		
2															1
<=4											6				
4			2												2
<=8						6									
32												2			
>1024												4			

	AM substance	Ampicillin	Azithromycin	Cefotaxim	Ceftazidim	Chloramphenicol	Ciprofloxacin	Colistin	Gentamicin	Meropenem	Nalidixic acid	Sulfamethoxazole	Tetracycline	Tigecycline	Trimethoprim
	ECOFF	8	16	0.5	2	16	0.064	2	2	0.125	16	256	8	1	2
	Lowest limit	1	2	0.25	0.5	8	0.015	1	0.5	0.03	4	8	2	0.25	0.25
	Highest limit	64	64	4	8	128	8	16	32	16	128	1024	64	8	32
	N of tested isolates	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1
МІС	N of resistant isolates	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
<=0.015							1								
<=0.03										1					
<=0.25				1											
<=0.5					1				1						
0.5														1	
<=1		1						1							
1															1
<=2											1		1		
<=4			4								1				
4			1			1									
<=8						11						1			
128															

Table Antimicrobial susceptibility testing of Salmonella Infantis in Meat from broilers (Gallus gallus) - carcase - chilled

Sampling Stage: Slaughterhouse

Sampling Type: food sample - neck skin

Sampling Context: Monitoring

Sampler: HACCP and own check

Sampling Strategy: Objective sampling

Programme Code: AMR MON

Analytical Method: Dilution - sensititre

Country of Origin: Malta

Sampling Details: Neck Skins

	AM substance	Ampicillin	Azithromycin	Cefotaxim	Ceftazidim	Chloramphenicol	Ciprofloxacin	Colistin	Gentamicin	Meropenem	Nalidixic acid	Sulfamethoxazole	Tetracycline	Tigecycline	Trimethoprim
	ECOFF	8	16	0.5	2	16	0.064	2	2	0.125	16	256	8	1	2
	Lowest limit	1	2	0.25	0.5	8	0.015	1	0.5	0.03	4	8	2	0.25	0.25
	Highest limit	64	64	4	8	128	8	16	32	16	128	1024	64	8	32
	N of tested isolates	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2
MIC	N of resistant isolates	0	0	0	0	0	2	0	0	0	2	2	2	0	0
<=0.03										2					
<=0.25				2										1	2
<=0.5					2				2						
0.5							2							1	
<=1		2						2							
<=2			1												
4			1												
<=8						2									
>64													2		
>128											2				
>1024												2			

Table Antimicrobial susceptibility testing of Salmonella Kedougou in Gallus gallus (fowl) - broilers - before slaughter

Sampling Stage: Farm

Sampling Type: environmental sample - boot swabs

Sampler: Official sampling

Sampling Strategy: Census

Sampling Context: Control and eradication

programmes Programme Code: AMR MON pnl2

Analytical Method: Dilution - sensititre

Country of Origin: Malta

Sampling Details: Broilers- Bootswabs on farm sampling

	AM substance	Cefepime	Cefotaxim	Cefotaxime + Clavulanic acid	Cefoxitin	Ceftazidim	Ceftazidime + Clavulanic acid	Ertapenem	lmipenem	Meropenem	Temocillin
	Cefotaxime synergy test		Positive/Pres ent	Positive/Pres ent	Positive/Pres ent	Positive/Pres ent	Positive/Pres ent	Positive/Pres ent	Positive/Pres ent	Positive/Pres ent	Positive/Pres ent
	Ceftazidime synergy test		Positive/Pres ent	Positive/Pres ent	Positive/Pres ent	Positive/Pres ent	Positive/Pres ent	Positive/Pres ent	Positive/Pres ent	Positive/Pres ent	Positive/Pres ent
	ECOFF	0.125	0.5	0.5	8	2	2	0.06	1	0.125	32
	Lowest limit	0.064	0.25	0.064	0.5	0.25	0.12	0.015	0.12	0.03	0.5
	Highest limit	32	64	64	64	128	128	2	16	16	64
	N of tested isolates	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1
MIC	N of resistant isolates	1	1	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0
<=0.015								1			
<=0.03										1	
<=0.12									1		
0.12				1							
0.25							1				
4					1						
8		1	1			1					1
>64			1								

56 Malta - 2016

Table Antimicrobial susceptibility testing of Salmonella Kedougou in Gallus gallus (fowl) - broilers - before slaughter

Sampling Stage: Farm

Sampling Type: environmental sample - boot swabs

Sampling Context: Control and eradication

Sampler: Official sampling

Sampling Strategy: Census

programmes Programme Code: AMR MON

Analytical Method: Dilution - sensititre

Country of Origin: Malta

Sampling Details: Broilers- Bootswabs on farm sampling

ECOFF 8 16 0.5 2 16 0.064 2 2 0.125 16 256 8 1 Lowest limit 1 2 0.25 0.5 8 0.015 1 0.5 0.03 4 8 2 0.25 Highest limit 64 64 4 8 128 8 16 32 16 128 1024 64 8 Nof tested isolates 3	ECO	Ā	Azithromycin	Cefotaxim	Ceftazidim	Chloramphenicol	Ciprofloxacin	Colistin	Gentamicin	Meropenem	Nalidixic acid	Sulfamethoxazole	Tetracycline	Tigecycline	Trimethoprim
Highest limit 64 64 4 8 128 8 16 32 16 128 1024 64 8 Nof tested isolates 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3	Low	COFF 8	16	0.5	2	16	0.064	2	2	0.125	16	256	8	1	2
N of tested	LOW	west limit 1	2	0.25	0.5	8	0.015	1	0.5	0.03	4	8	2	0.25	0.25
Solates 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3	High	ghest limit 64	64	4	8	128	8	16	32	16	128	1024	64	8	32
MIC isolates 1 0 1 1 0 2 0 1 0 1 1 2 0 <=0.03	N of isola	of tested plates 3	3	3	3	3	3	3	3	3	3	3	3	3	3
<=0.03	MIC isola	of resistant plates 1	0	1	1	0	2	0	1	0	1	1	2	0	0
<=0.25							1								
<=0.5										3					
0.5 <=1				2										3	2
<=1					2				2						
<=2							1								1
<pre><=4 4</pre>		2						3							
4 1 1 1			2										1		
>4 1 <=8 3			4		4						1				
<=8 3			1	4	1										
Q	8					3	1				1				
32 1 1									1		ı		1		
>64 1		1							'				<u> </u>		
128												1			
											1				
												1			
>1024	>128 256														

Table Antimicrobial susceptibility testing of Salmonella Kedougou in Gallus gallus (fowl) - laying hens - adult

Sampling Stage: Farm

Sampling Type: environmental sample - dust

Sampling Context: Control and eradication

Sampler: Official sampling

Sampling Strategy: Census

programmes Programme Code: AMR MON

Analytical Method: Dilution - sensititre

Country of Origin: Malta

Sampling Details: Layers- Dust Sample from farm

	AM substance	Ampicillin	Azithromycin	Cefotaxim	Ceftazidim	Chloramphenicol	Ciprofloxacin	Colistin	Gentamicin	Meropenem	Nalidixic acid	Sulfamethoxazole	Tetracycline	Tigecycline	Trimethoprim
	ECOFF	8	16	0.5	2	16	0.064	2	2	0.125	16	256	8	1	2
	Lowest limit	1	2	0.25	0.5	8	0.015	1	0.5	0.03	4	8	2	0.25	0.25
	Highest limit	64	64	4	8	128	8	16	32	16	128	1024	64	8	32
	N of tested isolates	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1
MIC	N of resistant isolates	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0
<=0.015							1								
<=0.03										1					
<=0.25				1										1	
<=0.5					1				1						
<=1		1													
<=2			1										1		
2								1							1
<=4											1				
<=8						1									
1024												1			

Table Antimicrobial susceptibility testing of Salmonella Kedougou in Gallus gallus (fowl) - laying hens - adult

Sampling Stage: Farm

Sampler: Official sampling

Analytical Method: Dilution - sensititre

Country of Origin: Malta

Sampling Details: Bootswab Dust

Sampling Type: environmental sample - boot swabs and

Sampling Strategy: Census

Sampling Context: Control and eradication

programmes Programme Code: AMR MON

ECOFF 8 16 0.5 2 16 0.064 2 2 0.125 16 256 8 1 2 Lowest limit 1 2 0.25 0.5 8 0.015 1 0.5 0.03 4 8 2 0.25 0.25 Highest limit 64 64 4 8 128 8 16 32 16 128 1024 64 8 32 Nof tested isolates 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1		AM substance	Ampicillin	Azithromycin	Cefotaxim	Ceftazidim	Chloramphenicol	Ciprofloxacin	Colistin	Gentamicin	Meropenem	Nalidixic acid	Sulfamethoxazole	Tetracycline	Tigecycline	Trimethoprim
Highest limit 64 64 4 8 128 8 16 32 16 128 1024 64 8 32 32 33 34 35 35 35 35 35 35		ECOFF	8	16	0.5	2	16	0.064	2	2	0.125	16	256	8	1	2
No f tested		Lowest limit	1	2	0.25	0.5	8	0.015	1	0.5	0.03	4	8	2	0.25	0.25
MIC 1		Highest limit	64	64	4	8	128	8	16	32	16	128	1024	64	8	32
MIC isolates 0			1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1
<=0.03	MIC		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0
<=0.25	<=0.015							1								
<=0.5											1					
<=1					1										1	
1						1				1						
<=4	<=1		1						1							
<=4	1															1
				1										1		
<=8												1				
							1									
>1024	>1024												1			

59 Malta - 2016

Table Antimicrobial susceptibility testing of Salmonella Kentucky in Gallus gallus (fowl) - broilers - before slaughter

Sampling Stage: Farm

Sampling Type: environmental sample - boot swabs

Sampling Context: Control and eradication

Sampler: Official sampling

Sampling Strategy: Census

programmes Programme Code: AMR MON pnl2

Analytical Method: Dilution - sensititre

Country of Origin: Malta

Sampling Details: Broilers- Bootswabs on farm sampling

	AM substance Cefotaxime										E Negative/Abs
	synergy test		ent	ent	ent	ent	ent	ent	ent	ent	ent
	Ceftazidime synergy test		Negative/Abs ent	Negative/Abs ent	Negative/Abs ent	Negative/Abs ent	Negative/Abs ent	Negative/Abs ent	Negative/Abs ent	Negative/Abs ent	Negative/Abs ent
	ECOFF	0.125	0.5	0.5	8	2	2	0.06	1	0.125	32
	Lowest limit	0.064	0.25	0.064	0.5	0.25	0.12	0.015	0.12	0.03	0.5
	Highest limit	32	64	64	64	128	128	2	16	16	64
	N of tested isolates	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1
МІС	N of resistant isolates	: 1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
<=0.015								1			
<=0.03										1	
<=0.064				1							
<=0.12									1		
<=0.25			1								
0.25		1					1				
0.5						1					
4					1						
8											1

60 Malta - 2016

Table Antimicrobial susceptibility testing of Salmonella Kentucky in Gallus gallus (fowl) - broilers - before slaughter

Sampling Stage: Farm

Sampling Type: environmental sample - boot swabs

Sampling Context: Control and eradication

Sampler: Official sampling

Sampling Strategy: Census

programmes Programme Code: AMR MON

Analytical Method: Dilution - sensititre

Country of Origin: Malta

Sampling Details: Broilers- Bootswabs on farm sampling

	AM substance	Ampicillin	Azithromycin	Cefotaxim	Ceftazidim	Chloramphenicol	Ciprofloxacin	Colistin	Gentamicin	Meropenem	Nalidixic acid	Sulfamethoxazole	Tetracycline	Tigecycline	Trimethoprim
	ECOFF	8	16	0.5	2	16	0.064	2	2	0.125	16	256	8	1	2
	Lowest limit	1	2	0.25	0.5	8	0.015	1	0.5	0.03	4	8	2	0.25	0.25
	Highest limit	64	64	4	8	128	8	16	32	16	128	1024	64	8	32
	N of tested isolates	36	36	36	36	36	36	36	36	36	36	36	36	36	36
MIC	N of resistant isolates	26	0	1	0	0	25	0	22	0	25	28	22	0	1
<=0.015							9								
<=0.03										36					
0.03							2								
<=0.25				35										25	32
<=0.5					34				14						
0.5														11	1
<=1		10						36							
1					2										2
<=2			34										14		
<=4											11				
>4				1											
<=8						36						1			
8			2				21		2						
>8							4								
16									20						
32													6		
>32													10		1
64												1	16		
>64		26													
128											1	3			
>128 256											24	3			
												3			
Malta - 2016									61						

	AM substance	Ampicillin	Azithromycin	Cefotaxim	Ceftazidim	Chloramphenicol	Ciprofloxacin	Colistin	Gentamicin	Meropenem	Nalidixic acid	Sulfamethoxazole	Tetracycline	Tigecycline	Trimethoprim
	ECOFF	8	16	0.5	2	16	0.064	2	2	0.125	16	256	8	1	2
	Lowest limit	1	2	0.25	0.5	8	0.015	1	0.5	0.03	4	8	2	0.25	0.25
	Highest limit	64	64	4	8	128	8	16	32	16	128	1024	64	8	32
	N of tested isolates	36	36	36	36	36	36	36	36	36	36	36	36	36	36
МІС	N of resistant isolates	26	0	1	0	0	25	0	22	0	25	28	22	0	1
512												2			
1024												4			
>1024												22			

Table Antimicrobial susceptibility testing of Salmonella Kentucky in Gallus gallus (fowl) - laying hens - adult

Sampling Stage: Farm

Sampling Type: environmental sample - boot swabs

Sampling Context: Control and eradication

Sampler: Official sampling

Sampling Strategy: Census

programmes Programme Code: AMR MON

Analytical Method: Dilution - sensititre

Country of Origin: Malta

Sampling Details: Bootswab Layers

	AM substance	Ampicillin	Azithromycin	Cefotaxim	Ceftazidim	Chloramphenicol	Ciprofloxacin	Colistin	Gentamicin	Meropenem	Nalidixic acid	Sulfamethoxazole	Tetracycline	Tigecycline	Trimethoprim
	ECOFF	8	16	0.5	2	16	0.064	2	2	0.125	16	256	8	1	2
	Lowest limit	1	2	0.25	0.5	8	0.015	1	0.5	0.03	4	8	2	0.25	0.25
	Highest limit	64	64	4	8	128	8	16	32	16	128	1024	64	8	32
	N of tested isolates	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1
MIC	N of resistant isolates	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
<=0.015							1								
<=0.03										1					
<=0.25				1										1	1
<=0.5					1				1						
<=1		1						1							
<=2			1										1		
<=4											1				
<=8						1									
256												1			

	AM substance	Ampicillin	Azithromycin	Cefotaxim	Ceftazidim	Chloramphenicol	Ciprofloxacin	Colistin	Gentamicin	Meropenem	Nalidixic acid	Sulfamethoxazole	Tetracycline	Tigecycline	Trimethoprim
	ECOFF	8	16	0.5	2	16	0.064	2	2	0.125	16	256	8	1	2
	Lowest limit	1	2	0.25	0.5	8	0.015	1	0.5	0.03	4	8	2	0.25	0.25
	Highest limit	64	64	4	8	128	8	16	32	16	128	1024	64	8	32
	N of tested isolates	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2
MIC	N of resistant isolates	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0
<=0.015							2								
<=0.03										2					
<=0.25				2										2	2
<=0.5					2				2						
<=1		2						2							
<=2			1										2		
<=4											2				
4			1												
<=8						2									
256												1			
512												1			

Table Antimicrobial susceptibility testing of Salmonella Kentucky in Gallus gallus (fowl) - laying hens - adult

Sampling Stage: Farm

Sampling Type: environmental sample - dust

Sampling Context: Control and eradication

Sampler: Official sampling

Sampling Strategy: Census

programmes Programme Code: AMR MON

Analytical Method: Dilution - sensititre

Country of Origin: Malta

Sampling Details: Layers- Dust Sample from farm

	AM substance	Ampicillin	Azithromycin	Cefotaxim	Ceftazidim	Chloramphenicol	Ciprofloxacin	Colistin	Gentamicin	Meropenem	Nalidixic acid	Sulfamethoxazole	Tetracycline	Tigecycline	Trimethoprim
	ECOFF	8	16	0.5	2	16	0.064	2	2	0.125	16	256	8	1	2
	Lowest limit	1	2	0.25	0.5	8	0.015	1	0.5	0.03	4	8	2	0.25	0.25
	Highest limit	64	64	4	8	128	8	16	32	16	128	1024	64	8	32
	N of tested isolates	3	3	3	3	3	3	3	3	3	3	3	3	3	3
МІС	N of resistant isolates	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	0	0	0
<=0.015							3								
<=0.03										3					
<=0.25				3										3	2
<=0.5					3				3						
<=1		3						3							
<=2			3										3		
2															1
<=4											3				
<=8						3									
256												1			
>1024												2			

	AM substance	Ampicillin	Azithromycin	Cefotaxim	Ceftazidim	Chloramphenicol	Ciprofloxacin	Colistin	Gentamicin	Meropenem	Nalidixic acid	Sulfamethoxazole	Tetracycline	Tigecycline	Trimethoprim
	ECOFF	8	16	0.5	2	16	0.064	2	2	0.125	16	256	8	1	2
	Lowest limit	1	2	0.25	0.5	8	0.015	1	0.5	0.03	4	8	2	0.25	0.25
	Highest limit	64	64	4	8	128	8	16	32	16	128	1024	64	8	32
	N of tested isolates	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1
MIC	N of resistant isolates	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0
<=0.015							1								
<=0.03										1					
<=0.25				1										1	1
<=0.5					1				1						
<=1		11													
<=2													1		
2								1							
<=4											1				
4			1												
<=8						1									
512												1			

Table Antimicrobial susceptibility testing of Salmonella Kentucky in Gallus gallus (fowl) - laying hens - adult

Sampling Stage: Farm

Sampler: Official sampling

Analytical Method: Dilution - sensititre

Country of Origin: Malta

Sampling Details: Bootswab Dust

Sampling Type: environmental sample - boot swabs and

Sampling Strategy: Census

Sampling Context: Control and eradication

programmes Programme Code: AMR MON

	AM substance	Ampicillin	Azithromycin	Cefotaxim	Ceftazidim	Chloramphenicol	Ciprofloxacin	Colistin	Gentamicin	Meropenem	Nalidixic acid	Sulfamethoxazole	Tetracycline	Tigecycline	Trimethoprim
	ECOFF	8	16	0.5	2	16	0.064	2	2	0.125	16	256	8	1	2
	Lowest limit	1	2	0.25	0.5	8	0.015	1	0.5	0.03	4	8	2	0.25	0.25
	Highest limit	64	64	4	8	128	8	16	32	16	128	1024	64	8	32
	N of tested isolates	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1
МІС	N of resistant isolates	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0
<=0.015							1								
<=0.03										1					
<=0.25				1										1	1
<=0.5					1				1						
<=1		1						1							
<=2			1										1		
<=4											1				
<=8						1									
>1024												1			

67 Malta - 2016

	AM substance	Ampicillin	Azithromycin	Cefotaxim	Ceftazidim	Chloramphenicol	Ciprofloxacin	Colistin	Gentamicin	Meropenem	Nalidixic acid	Sulfamethoxazole	Tetracycline	Tigecycline	Trimethoprim
	ECOFF	8	16	0.5	2	16	0.064	2	2	0.125	16	256	8	1	2
	Lowest limit	1	2	0.25	0.5	8	0.015	1	0.5	0.03	4	8	2	0.25	0.25
	Highest limit	64	64	4	8	128	8	16	32	16	128	1024	64	8	32
	N of tested isolates	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1
MIC	N of resistant isolates	1	0	0	0	0	1	0	1	0	1	1	1	0	0
<=0.03										1					
<=0.25				1											1
<=0.5					1										
0.5														1	
<=1								1							
<=2			1												
						1									
							1								
									1						
		4											1		
		7									1				
											1				
<=8 >8 16 32 >64 >128 >1024		1				1	1		1		1	1	1		

Table Antimicrobial susceptibility testing of Salmonella Kentucky in Gallus gallus (fowl) - laying hens - adult

Sampling Stage: Farm

Sampling Type: animal sample - faeces

Sampling Context: Control and eradication

Sampler: Official sampling

Sampling Strategy: Census

programmes Programme Code: AMR MON

Analytical Method: Dilution - sensititre

Country of Origin: Malta

Sampling Details: Layers- Faecal Sample from farm

	AM substance	Ampicillin	Azithromycin	Cefotaxim	Ceftazidim	Chloramphenicol	Ciprofloxacin	Colistin	Gentamicin	Meropenem	Nalidixic acid	Sulfamethoxazole	Tetracycline	Tigecycline	Trimethoprim
	ECOFF	8	16	0.5	2	16	0.064	2	2	0.125	16	256	8	1	2
	Lowest limit	1	2	0.25	0.5	8	0.015	1	0.5	0.03	4	8	2	0.25	0.25
	Highest limit	64	64	4	8	128	8	16	32	16	128	1024	64	8	32
	N of tested isolates	3	3	3	3	3	3	3	3	3	3	3	3	3	3
MIC	N of resistant isolates	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
<=0.015							3								
<=0.03										3					
<=0.25				3										3	3
<=0.5					3				2						
<=1		3						3							
1									1						
<=2			2										3		
<=4											3				
4			1												
<=8						3						<u> </u>			
32												1			
64												1			
128												1			

Table Antimicrobial susceptibility testing of Salmonella Kentucky in Meat from broilers (Gallus gallus) - carcase - chilled

Sampling Stage: Slaughterhouse

Sampling Type: food sample - neck skin

Sampling Context: Monitoring

Sampler: HACCP and own check

Sampling Strategy: Objective sampling

Programme Code: AMR MON

Analytical Method: Dilution - sensititre

Country of Origin: Malta

Sampling Details: Neck Skins

	AM substance	Ampicillin	Azithromycin	Cefotaxim	Ceftazidim	Chloramphenicol	Ciprofloxacin	Colistin	Gentamicin	Meropenem	Nalidixic acid	Sulfamethoxazole	Tetracycline	Tigecycline	Trimethoprim
	ECOFF	8	16	0.5	2	16	0.064	2	2	0.125	16	256	8	1	2
	Lowest limit	1	2	0.25	0.5	8	0.015	1	0.5	0.03	4	8	2	0.25	0.25
	Highest limit	64	64	4	8	128	8	16	32	16	128	1024	64	8	32
	N of tested isolates	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5
МІС	N of resistant isolates	5	0	0	0	0	5	0	4	0	5	4	4	0	0
<=0.03										5					
<=0.25				5										2	5
<=0.5					4				1						
0.5														3	
<=1								5							
1					1										
<=2			4										1		
4			1												
<=8						5									
8							3								
>8							2								
16									4						
32 64													3		
													1		
>64		5													
128												1			
>128											5				
>1024												4			

Table Antimicrobial susceptibility testing of Salmonella Livingstone in Gallus gallus (fowl) - broilers - before slaughter

Sampling Stage: Farm

Sampling Type: environmental sample - boot swabs

Sampling Context: Control and eradication

Sampler: Official sampling

Sampling Strategy: Census

programmes Programme Code: AMR MON

Analytical Method: Dilution - sensititre

Country of Origin: Malta

Sampling Details: Broilers- Bootswabs on farm sampling

	AM substance	Ampicillin	Azithromycin	Cefotaxim	Ceftazidim	Chloramphenicol	Ciprofloxacin	Colistin	Gentamicin	Meropenem	Nalidixic acid	Sulfamethoxazole	Tetracycline	Tigecycline	Trimethoprim
	ECOFF	8	16	0.5	2	16	0.064	2	2	0.125	16	256	8	1	2
	Lowest limit	1	2	0.25	0.5	8	0.015	1	0.5	0.03	4	8	2	0.25	0.25
	Highest limit	64	64	4	8	128	8	16	32	16	128	1024	64	8	32
	N of tested isolates	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2
MIC	N of resistant isolates	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	1	2	1	0	0
<=0.015							1								
<=0.03										2					
<=0.25				2										2	2
<=0.5					2				2						
0.5							1								
<=1		2						1							
<=2			2										1		
2								1							
<=4						2					1				
<=8 >64						2									
>128											1		<u>'</u>		
>128												2			
-1024															

Sampling Stage: Farm

Sampling Type: environmental sample - boot swabs

Sampling Context: Control and eradication

Sampler: Official sampling

Sampling Strategy: Census

programmes Programme Code: AMR MON

Analytical Method: Dilution - sensititre

Country of Origin: Malta

Sampling Details: Bootswab Layers

	AM substance	Ampicillin	Azithromycin	Cefotaxim	Ceftazidim	Chloramphenicol	Ciprofloxacin	Colistin	Gentamicin	Meropenem	Nalidixic acid	Sulfamethoxazole	Tetracycline	Tigecycline	Trimethoprim
	ECOFF	8	16	0.5	2	16	0.064	2	2	0.125	16	256	8	1	2
	Lowest limit	1	2	0.25	0.5	8	0.015	1	0.5	0.03	4	8	2	0.25	0.25
	Highest limit	64	64	4	8	128	8	16	32	16	128	1024	64	8	32
	N of tested isolates	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2
МІС	N of resistant isolates	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	0	0	0
<=0.015							2								
<=0.03										2					
<=0.25				2										2	
<=0.5					2				2						
<=1		2						2							
1			<u> </u>												1
<=2			1										2		
2															1
<=4			1								2				
<u>4</u> <=8			ı			<u> </u>									
>1024						2						2			
× 1024															

Sampling Stage: Farm

Sampling Type: environmental sample - dust

Sampling Context: Control and eradication

Sampler: Official sampling

Sampling Strategy: Census

programmes Programme Code: AMR MON

Analytical Method: Dilution - sensititre

Country of Origin: Malta

Sampling Details: Layers- Dust Sample from farm

ECOFF 8 16 0.5 2 16 0.064 2 2 0.125 16 256 8 Lowest limit 1 2 0.25 0.5 8 0.015 1 0.5 0.03 4 8 2 Highest limit 64 64 4 8 128 8 16 32 16 128 1024 64	1 0.25 8	2 0.25 32
Highest limit 64 64 4 9 129 9 16 22 16 129 1024 64	8	32
nighest mint 64 64 4 6 120 6 16 52 16 120 1024 64		
N of tested isolates 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 2 3	3	3
N of resistant MIC isolates 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 1 0	0	0
<=0.015		
<=0.03		
0.03		
<=0.25	2	1
<=0.5		
0.5	1	
<=1 3		
1		1
<=2 3		
2		1
<=4		
<=8 3		
32		
>1024		

	AM substance	Sulfamethoxazole
	ECOFF	256
	Lowest limit	8
	Highest limit	1024
	N of tested isolates	1
MIC	N of resistant isolates	1
>1024		1

Sampling Stage: Farm

Sampling Type: environmental sample - boot swabs and $% \left(\frac{1}{2}\right) =0$

Sampling Context: Control and eradication

Sampler: Official sampling

Sampling Strategy: Census

programmes Programme Code: AMR MON

Analytical Method: Dilution - sensititre

Country of Origin: Malta

Sampling Details: Bootswab Dust

	AM substance	Ampicillin	Azithromycin	Cefotaxim	Ceftazidim	Chloramphenicol	Ciprofloxacin	Colistin	Gentamicin	Meropenem	Nalidixic acid	Sulfamethoxazole	Tetracycline	Tigecycline	Trimethoprim
	ECOFF	8	16	0.5	2	16	0.064	2	2	0.125	16	256	8	1	2
	Lowest limit	1	2	0.25	0.5	8	0.015	1	0.5	0.03	4	8	2	0.25	0.25
	Highest limit	64	64	4	8	128	8	16	32	16	128	1024	64	8	32
	N of tested isolates	3	3	3	3	3	3	3	3	3	3	3	3	3	3
MIC	N of resistant isolates	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0
<=0.015							2								
<=0.03										3					
0.03							1								
<=0.25				3										3	1
<=0.5					3				3						
0.5								<u> </u>							1
<=1		3						3							
1															1
<= <u>2</u>			2								2		3		
4			1								3				
<=8			ı			3									
64						3						1			
256												1			
512												1			

	AM substance	Ampicillin	Azithromycin	Cefotaxim	Ceftazidim	Chloramphenicol	Ciprofloxacin	Colistin	Gentamicin	Meropenem	Nalidixic acid	Sulfamethoxazole	Tetracycline	Tigecycline	Trimethoprim
	ECOFF	8	16	0.5	2	16	0.064	2	2	0.125	16	256	8	1	2
	Lowest limit	1	2	0.25	0.5	8	0.015	1	0.5	0.03	4	8	2	0.25	0.25
	Highest limit	64	64	4	8	128	8	16	32	16	128	1024	64	8	32
	N of tested isolates	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1
міс	N of resistant isolates	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	1
<=0.015							1								
<=0.03										1					
<=0.25				1										1	
<=0.5					1				1						
<=1		1						1							
<=2			1										1		
<=4											1				
4															1
<=8						1									
>1024												1			

	AM substance	Ampicillin	Azithromycin	Cefotaxim	Ceftazidim	Chloramphenicol	Ciprofloxacin	Colistin	Gentamicin	Meropenem	Nalidixic acid	Sulfamethoxazole	Tetracycline	Tigecycline	Trimethoprim
	ECOFF	8	16	0.5	2	16	0.064	2	2	0.125	16	256	8	1	2
	Lowest limit	1	2	0.25	0.5	8	0.015	1	0.5	0.03	4	8	2	0.25	0.25
	Highest limit	64	64	4	8	128	8	16	32	16	128	1024	64	8	32
	N of tested isolates	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2
MIC	N of resistant isolates	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1
<=0.015							2								
<=0.03										2					
<=0.25				2										1	11
<=0.5					2				1						
0.5														1	
<=1		2						2							
1									1						
<=2			1										2		
<=4											2				,
4			1												1
<=8						2						4			
128												1			
256												7			

Sampling Stage: Farm

Sampling Type: animal sample - faeces

Sampling Context: Control and eradication

Sampler: Official sampling

Sampling Strategy: Census

programmes Programme Code: AMR MON

Analytical Method: Dilution - sensititre

Country of Origin: Malta

Sampling Details: Layers- Faecal Sample from farm

	AM substance	Ampicillin	Azithromycin	Cefotaxim	Ceftazidim	Chloramphenicol	Ciprofloxacin	Colistin	Gentamicin	Meropenem	Nalidixic acid	Sulfamethoxazole	Tetracycline	Tigecycline	Trimethoprim
	ECOFF	8	16	0.5	2	16	0.064	2	2	0.125	16	256	8	1	2
	Lowest limit	1	2	0.25	0.5	8	0.015	1	0.5	0.03	4	8	2	0.25	0.25
	Highest limit	64	64	4	8	128	8	16	32	16	128	1024	64	8	32
	N of tested isolates	7	7	7	7	7	7	7	7	7	7	7	7	7	7
MIC	N of resistant isolates	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	4	0	0	2
<=0.015							6								
<=0.03										7					
0.03							1								
<=0.25				7										7	5
<=0.5					7				7						
<=1		7						7							
<=2			5										7		
<=4											7				
4 <=8			2			7									
8						,									2
16												1			
32												1			
128												1			
512												1			
>1024												3			

	AM substance	Ampicillin	Azithromycin	Cefotaxim	Ceftazidim	Chloramphenicol	Ciprofloxacin	Colistin	Gentamicin	Meropenem	Nalidixic acid	Sulfamethoxazole	Tetracycline	Tigecycline	Trimethoprim
	ECOFF	8	16	0.5	2	16	0.064	2	2	0.125	16	256	8	1	2
	Lowest limit	1	2	0.25	0.5	8	0.015	1	0.5	0.03	4	8	2	0.25	0.25
	Highest limit	64	64	4	8	128	8	16	32	16	128	1024	64	8	32
	N of tested isolates	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2
MIC	N of resistant isolates	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0
<=0.015							2								
<=0.03										2					
<=0.25				2										2	
<=0.5					2				2						
<=1		2						2							
1															2
<=2			1										2		
<=4											2				
4			1												
<=8						2									
128												1			
>1024												1			

	AM substance	Ampicillin	Azithromycin	Cefotaxim	Ceftazidim	Chloramphenicol	Ciprofloxacin	Colistin	Gentamicin	Meropenem	Nalidixic acid	Sulfamethoxazole	Tetracycline	Tigecycline	Trimethoprim
	ECOFF	8	16	0.5	2	16	0.064	2	2	0.125	16	256	8	1	2
	Lowest limit	1	2	0.25	0.5	8	0.015	1	0.5	0.03	4	8	2	0.25	0.25
	Highest limit	64	64	4	8	128	8	16	32	16	128	1024	64	8	32
	N of tested isolates	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1
МІС	N of resistant isolates	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0
<=0.015							1								
<=0.03										1					
<=0.25				1										1	
<=0.5					1				1						
<=1		1						1							
<=2			1										1		
2															1
<=4											1				
<=8						1									
>1024												1			

Sampling Stage: Farm

Sampler: Official sampling

Analytical Method: Dilution - sensititre

Country of Origin: Malta

Sampling Details: Bootswab Dust

Sampling Type: environmental sample - boot swabs and

Sampling Strategy: Census

Sampling Context: Control and eradication

programmes Programme Code: AMR MON

	AM substance	Ampicillin	Azithromycin	Cefotaxim	Ceftazidim	Chloramphenicol	Ciprofloxacin	Colistin	Gentamicin	Meropenem	Nalidixic acid	Sulfamethoxazole	Tetracycline	Tigecycline	Trimethoprim
	ECOFF	8	16	0.5	2	16	0.064	2	2	0.125	16	256	8	1	2
	Lowest limit	1	2	0.25	0.5	8	0.015	1	0.5	0.03	4	8	2	0.25	0.25
	Highest limit	64	64	4	8	128	8	16	32	16	128	1024	64	8	32
	N of tested isolates	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1
MIC	N of resistant isolates	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0
<=0.015							1								
<=0.03										1					
<=0.25				1										1	1
<=0.5					1				1						
<=1		1						1							
<=2			1										1		
<=4											1				
<=8						1									
512												1			

81 Malta - 2016

Table Antimicrobial susceptibility testing of Salmonella Orbe in Gallus gallus (fowl) - broilers - before slaughter

Sampling Stage: Farm

Sampling Type: environmental sample - boot swabs

Sampling Context: Control and eradication

Sampler: Official sampling

Sampling Strategy: Census

programmes Programme Code: AMR MON

Analytical Method: Dilution - sensititre

Country of Origin: Malta

Sampling Details: Broilers- Bootswabs on farm sampling

	AM substance	Ampicillin	Azithromycin	Cefotaxim	Ceftazidim	Chloramphenicol	Ciprofloxacin	Colistin	Gentamicin	Meropenem	Nalidixic acid	Sulfamethoxazole	Tetracycline	Tigecycline	Trimethoprim
	ECOFF	8	16	0.5	2	16	0.064	2	2	0.125	16	256	8	1	2
	Lowest limit	1	2	0.25	0.5	8	0.015	1	0.5	0.03	4	8	2	0.25	0.25
	Highest limit	64	64	4	8	128	8	16	32	16	128	1024	64	8	32
	N of tested isolates	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1
MIC	N of resistant isolates	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1
<=0.015							1								
<=0.03										1					
<=0.25				1										1	
<=0.5					1				1						
<=1		1						1							
<=2			1										11		
<=4											1				
4															1
<=8						1						1			
256												T			

Table Antimicrobial susceptibility testing of Salmonella Senftenberg in Gallus gallus (fowl) - broilers - before slaughter

Sampling Stage: Farm

Sampling Type: environmental sample - boot swabs

Sampling Context: Control and eradication

Sampler: Official sampling

Sampling Strategy: Census

programmes Programme Code: AMR MON

Analytical Method: Dilution - sensititre

Country of Origin: Malta

Sampling Details: Broilers- Bootswabs on farm sampling

	AM substance	Ampicillin	Azithromycin	Cefotaxim	Ceftazidim	Chloramphenicol	Ciprofloxacin	Colistin	Gentamicin	Meropenem	Nalidixic acid	Sulfamethoxazole	Tetracycline	Tigecycline	Trimethoprim
	ECOFF	8	16	0.5	2	16	0.064	2	2	0.125	16	256	8	1	2
	Lowest limit	1	2	0.25	0.5	8	0.015	1	0.5	0.03	4	8	2	0.25	0.25
	Highest limit	64	64	4	8	128	8	16	32	16	128	1024	64	8	32
	N of tested isolates	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1
МІС	N of resistant isolates	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0
<=0.015							1								
<=0.03										1					
<=0.25				1										1	11
<=0.5					1				1						
<=1		1						1							
<=2			1										1		
<=4											1				
<=8						1									
>1024												1			

Table Antimicrobial susceptibility testing of Salmonella Typhimurium in Meat from broilers (Gallus gallus) - carcase - chilled

Sampling Stage: Slaughterhouse

Sampling Type: food sample - neck skin

Sampling Context: Monitoring

Sampler: HACCP and own check

Sampling Strategy: Objective sampling

Programme Code: AMR MON

Analytical Method: Dilution - sensititre

Country of Origin: Malta

Sampling Details: Neck Skins

	AM substance	Ampicillin	Azithromycin	Cefotaxim	Ceftazidim	Chloramphenicol	Ciprofloxacin	Colistin	Gentamicin	Meropenem	Nalidixic acid	Sulfamethoxazole	Tetracycline	Tigecycline	Trimethoprim
	ECOFF	8	16	0.5	2	16	0.064	2	2	0.125	16	256	8	1	2
	Lowest limit	1	2	0.25	0.5	8	0.015	1	0.5	0.03	4	8	2	0.25	0.25
	Highest limit	64	64	4	8	128	8	16	32	16	128	1024	64	8	32
	N of tested isolates	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1
MIC	N of resistant isolates	1	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	1	1	0	1
<=0.03										1					
0.03							1								
<=0.25				1											
<=0.5					1				1						
0.5														1	
<=1								1							
<=4											1				
16			1												
>32															1
>64		1											1		
128						1									
>1024												1			

Table Antimicrobial susceptibility testing of Salmonella Typhimurium, monophasic in Gallus gallus (fowl) - broilers - before slaughter

Sampling Stage: Farm

Sampling Type: environmental sample - boot swabs

Sampling Context: Control and eradication

Sampler: Official sampling

Sampling Strategy: Census

programmes Programme Code: AMR MON

Analytical Method: Dilution - sensititre

Country of Origin: Malta

Sampling Details: Broilers- Bootswabs on farm sampling

	AM substance	Ampicillin	Azithromycin	Cefotaxim	Ceftazidim	Chloramphenicol	Ciprofloxacin	Colistin	Gentamicin	Meropenem	Nalidixic acid	Sulfamethoxazole	Tetracycline	Tigecycline	Trimethoprim
	ECOFF	8	16	0.5	2	16	0.064	2	2	0.125	16	256	8	1	2
	Lowest limit	1	2	0.25	0.5	8	0.015	1	0.5	0.03	4	8	2	0.25	0.25
	Highest limit	64	64	4	8	128	8	16	32	16	128	1024	64	8	32
	N of tested isolates	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5
MIC	N of resistant isolates	4	0	0	0	2	0	0	0	0	0	5	5	0	1
<=0.015							3								
<=0.03										5					
0.03							1								
0.064							1								
<=0.25 <=0.5				5	5				5					1	4
0.5					5				5					4	
<=1		1						5						4	
<=2		'	4												
<=4											5				
<=8					-	3					-				
8			1												
>32															1
64						1									
>64		4											5		
128						1									
>1024												5			

Table Antimicrobial susceptibility testing of Salmonella Typhimurium, monophasic in Meat from broilers (Gallus gallus) - carcase - chilled

Sampling Stage: Slaughterhouse

Sampling Type: food sample - neck skin

Sampling Context: Monitoring

Sampler: HACCP and own check

Sampling Strategy: Objective sampling

Programme Code: AMR MON

Analytical Method: Dilution - sensititre

Country of Origin: Malta

Sampling Details: Neck Skins

	AM substance	Ampicillin	Azithromycin	Cefotaxim	Ceftazidim	Chloramphenicol	Ciprofloxacin	Colistin	Gentamicin	Meropenem	Nalidixic acid	Sulfamethoxazole	Tetracycline	Tigecycline	Trimethoprim
	ECOFF	8	16	0.5	2	16	0.064	2	2	0.125	16	256	8	1	2
	Lowest limit	1	2	0.25	0.5	8	0.015	1	0.5	0.03	4	8	2	0.25	0.25
	Highest limit	64	64	4	8	128	8	16	32	16	128	1024	64	8	32
	N of tested isolates	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1
MIC	N of resistant isolates	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	1	1	0	0
<=0.015							1								
<=0.03										1					
<=0.25				1										1	1
<=0.5					1				1						
<=1		1						1							
<=2			1												
<=4											1				
64						1							1		
>1024	·											1			

ANTIMICROBIAL RESISTANCE TABLES FOR INDICATOR ESCHERICHIA COLI

Table Antimicrobial susceptibility testing of Escherichia coli, non-pathogenic, unspecified in Gallus gallus (fowl) - broilers

Sampling Stage: Slaughterhouse Sampling Type: animal sample - caecum Sampling Context: Monitoring

Sampler: Official sampling Sampling Sampling Strategy: Objective sampling Programme Code: AMR MON

Analytical Method: Dilution - sensititre


Country of Origin: Malta

Sampling Details: N_A

ECOFF		AM substance	Ampicillin	Azithromycin	Cefotaxim	Ceftazidim	Chloramphenicol	Ciprofloxacin	Colistin	Gentamicin	Meropenem	Nalidixic acid	Sulfamethoxazole	Tetracycline	Tigecycline	Trimethoprim
Highest limit		ECOFF	8	16	0.25	0.5	16	0.064	2	2	0.125	16	64	8	1	2
No f tested		Lowest limit	1	2	0.25	0.5	8	0.015	1	0.5	0.03	4	8	2	0.25	0.25
No diales 31 31 31 31 31 31 31 3		Highest limit	64	64	4	8	128	8	16	32	16	128	1024	64	8	32
MIC isolates 17 0 0 1 21 0 2 0 20 16 16 0 12 <=0.015			31	31	31	31	31	31	31	31	31	31	31	31	31	31
\$\circ \circ	МІС		17	0	0	0	1	21	0	2	0	20	16	16	0	12
0.12 5 <=0.25	<=0.015							10								
\$\circ{10.25}\$ 31 28 0.5 2 4 \$\circ{1}\$ 6 30 1 1 4 \$\circ{2}\$ 30 15 2 6 1 1 \$\circ{2}\$ 6 1 1 \$\circ{2}\$ 10 1 4 1 1 4 1 \$\circ{2}\$ 30 1 1 \$\circ{2}\$ 1 1 1 \$\circ{2}\$											31					
0.25 4 <=0.5	0.12							5								
<=0.5 31 28 0.5 2 4 4 <=1					31										27	11
0.5 2 4 4 <=1								4								
<=1						31				28						
1 1 4 <=2								2							4	4
<=2 30 2 6 1 1 <=4 10 4 1 1 <=8 30 1 8 1 4 1 >8 1 4 1 >8 1 4 1 >8 1 4 1 >8 1 4 1 >8 1 4 1 >8 1 2 6	<=1		6						30	4						4
2 6 1 1 <=4	1			30						1				15		4
<=4			6	30				1	1					15		
4 1 1 4 1 <=8			0					ı	<u>'</u>			10				
<=8 30 1 8 1 4 1 >8 1 16 2 6			1	1				4				10				1
8 1 >8 1 16 2 6	_		•				30						1			
16 2 6			1					4				1				
	>8							1								
32 4 2										2			6			
	32												4	2		

	AM substance	Ampicillin	Azithromycin	Cefotaxim	Ceftazidim	Chloramphenicol	Ciprofloxacin	Colistin	Gentamicin	Meropenem	Nalidixic acid	Sulfamethoxazole	Tetracycline	Tigecycline	Trimethoprim
	ECOFF	8	16	0.25	0.5	16	0.064	2	2	0.125	16	64	8	1	2
	Lowest limit	1	2	0.25	0.5	8	0.015	1	0.5	0.03	4	8	2	0.25	0.25
	Highest limit	64	64	4	8	128	8	16	32	16	128	1024	64	8	32
	N of tested isolates	31	31	31	31	31	31	31	31	31	31	31	31	31	31
MIC	N of resistant isolates	17	0	0	0	1	21	0	2	0	20	16	16	0	12
>32															11
64											2	4	9		
>64		17											5		
128						1					5	2			
>128											13				
256												1			
512												1			
1024												1			
>1024												11			

OTHER ANTIMICROBIAL RESISTANCE TABLES





Latest Transmission set

Last submitted

Table Name	dataset transmission date
Antimicrobial Resistance	10-Jul-2017
Animal Population	07-Jul-2017
Disease Status	07-Jul-2017
Food Borne Outbreaks	07-Jul-2017
Prevalence	13-Jul-2017
Text Forms	13-Jul-2017