

# **ZOONOSES MONITORING**

# Latvia

TRENDS AND SOURCES OF ZOONOSES AND ZOONOTIC AGENTS IN FOODSTUFFS, ANIMALS AND FEEDINGSTUFFS

including information on foodborne outbreaks, antimicrobial resistance in zoonotic and indicator bacteria and some pathogenic microbiological agents

IN 2015

# **PRFFACE**

This report is submitted to the European Commission in accordance with Article 9 of Council Directive 2003/99/EC\*. The information has also been forwarded to the European Food Safety Authority (EFSA).

The report contains information on trends and sources of zoonoses and zoonotic agents in Latvia during the year 2015.

The information covers the occurrence of these diseases and agents in animals, foodstuffs and in some cases also in feedingstuffs. In addition the report includes data on antimicrobial resistance in some zoonotic agents and indicator bacteria as well as information on epidemiological investigations of foodborne outbreaks. Complementary data on susceptible animal populations in the country is also given. The information given covers both zoonoses that are important for the public health in the whole European Union as well as zoonoses, which are relevant on the basis of the national epidemiological situation.

The report describes the monitoring systems in place and the prevention and control strategies applied in the country. For some zoonoses this monitoring is based on legal requirements laid down by the European Union legislation, while for the other zoonoses national approaches are applied.

The report presents the results of the examinations carried out in the reporting year. A national evaluation of the epidemiological situation, with special reference to trends and sources of zoonotic infections, is given. Whenever possible, the relevance of findings in foodstuffs and animals to zoonoses cases in humans is evaluated.

The information covered by this report is used in the annual European Union Summary Reports on zoonoses and antimicrobial resistance that are published each year by EFSA.

<sup>\*</sup> Directive 2003/ 99/ EC of the European Parliament and of the Council of 12 December 2003 on the monitoring of zoonoses and zoonotic agents, amending Decision 90/ 424/ EEC and repealing Council Directive 92/ 117/ EEC, OJ L 325, 17.11.2003, p. 31

TEXTFORMS	
1 ANIMAL POPULATIONS	
1.1 Paraletina	
1.1 Populations 1.1.1 Information on susceptible animal population	
2 DISEASE STATUS	
2.1 TUBERCULOSIS, MYCOBACTERIAL DISEASES 2.1.1 General evaluation of the national situation	
2.1.1 General evaluation of the flattonian students of the state of th	
2.1.2 Mycobacterium in animals	
2.1.2.1 Mycobacterium spp., unspecified in animal - Pigs - Farm 2.1.2.2 Mycobacterium tuberculosis complex (MTC) in animal - Deer - farmed	***************************************
2.1.2.2 Nycobacterium tuberculosis complex (MTC) in animal - Cattle (bovine animals) 2.1.2.3 Nycobacterium tuberculosis complex (MTC) in animal - Cattle (bovine animals)	
2.2 BRUCELLOSIS	
2.2.1 General evaluation of the national situation 2.2.1.1 Brucella - general evaluation	
2.2.2 Brucella in animals	
2.2.2.1 B. suis in animal - Pigs - Farm	
2.2.2.2 B. abortus in animal - Cattle (bovine animals) 2.2.2.3 B. melitensis in animal - Goats	
2.2.2.4 B. melitensis in animal - Sheep	
3 INFORMATION ON SPECIFIC ZOONOSES AND ZOONOTIC AGENTS	
3.1 SALMONELLOSIS  3.1.1 General evaluation of the national situation	•••••
3.1.1.1 Salmonella - general evaluation	
3.1.2 Salmonella in foodstuffs	
3.1.2.1 Salmonella in food - Meat from bovine animals 3.1.2.2 Salmonella in food - Meat from broilers (Gallus gallus)	
3.1.2.3 Salmonella in food - Meat from pig	
3.1.2.4 Salmonella in food - Eggs	
3.1.3 Salmonella in animals 3.1.3.1 Salmonella in animal	
3.1.3.2 Salmonella in animal - Cattle (bovine animals)	
3.1.3.3 Salmonella in animal - Gallus gallus (fowl) - broilers	
3.1.3.4 Salmonella in animal - Pigs 3.1.3.5 Salmonella in animal - Gallus gallus (fowl) - breeding flocks, unspecified	
3.1.3.6 Salmonella in Ducks - breeding flocks and meat production flocks	
3.1.3.7 Salmonella in Geese - breeding flocks and meat production flocks	
3.1.3.8 Salmonella in Turkeys - breeding flocks and meat production flocks 3.2 CAMPYLOBACTERIOSIS	
3.2.1 General evaluation of the national situation	
3.2.1.1 Thermophilic Campylobacter spp., unspecified - general evaluation	
3.3 LISTERIOSIS  3.3.1 General evaluation of the national situation	
3.3.1.1 Listeria - general evaluation	
3.4 YERSINIOSIS	
3.4.1 General evaluation of the national situation 3.4.1.1 Yersinia - general evaluation	
3.5 TRICHINELLOSIS	
3.5.1 General evaluation of the national situation	
3.5.1.1 Trichinella - general evaluation 3.6 ECHINOCOCCOSIS	
3.6.1 General evaluation of the national situation	
3.6.1.1 Echinococcus - general evaluation	
3.7 RABIES  3.7.1 General evaluation of the national situation	***************************************
3.7.1.1 Lyssavirus (rabies) - general evaluation	
3.7.2 Lyssavirus (rabies) in animals	
3.7.2.1 Lyssavirus (rabies) in animal - Dogs 3.7.2.2 Rabies virus (RABV) in animal	
3.8 Q-FEVER	
3.8.1 General evaluation of the national situation	
3.8.1.1 Coxiella (Q-fever) - general evaluation 3.9 TOXOPLASMA	
3.9.1 General evaluation of the national situation	
3.9.1.1 Toxoplasma - general evaluation 3.10 VTEC	
3.10 VTEC  3.10.1 General evaluation of the national situation	
3.10.1.1 Verotoxigenic E. coli (VTEC) - general evaluation	
4 ANTIMICROBIAL RESISTANCE INFORMATION ON SPECIFIC ZOONOSES AND ZOONOTIC AGENTS  4.1 ESCHERICHIA COLI, NON-PATHOGENIC	
4.1.1 Escherichia coli, non-pathogenic in foodstuffs	
4.1.1 Antimicrobial resistance in E.coli, non-pathogenic, unspecified	
4.1.2 Escherichia coli, non-pathogenic in animals 4.1.2.1 Antimicrobial resistance in E.coli, non-pathogenic, unspecified Pigs	
5 FOODBORNE OUTBREAKS	
F10 World	
5.1 Outbreaks 5.1.1 Foodborne outbreaks	
MAL POPULATION TABLES	
SEASE STATUS TABLES FOR BRUCELLA	
Bovine brucellosis in countries and regions that do not receive Community co-financing for eradication programme  Ovine or Caprine brucellosis in countries and regions that do not receive Community co-financing for eradication programme	
SEASE STATUS TABLES FOR MYCOBACTERIUM	
Bovine tuberculosis in countries and regions that do not receive Community co-financing for eradication programme  EVALENCE TABLES	
BRUCELLA	
animal	
CAMPYLOBACTER animal	
ECHINOCOCCUS	
animal	
ESCHERICHIA COLI food	
ESCHERICHIA COLI, NON-PATHOGENIC	
animal	
HISTAMINE food	
food LISTERIA	
animal	
food	
LYSSAVIRUSanimal	
MYCOBACTERIUM	
animal SALMONELLA	······

food	51
feed	54
TOXOPLASMA	55
animal	55
TRICHINELLA	56
animal	56
YERSINIA	57
animal	57
FOODBORNE OUTBREAKS TABLES	58
AMR TABLES FOR CAMPYLOBACTER	62
AMR TABLES FOR SALMONELLA	63
Salmonella Derby	63
Meat from pig - carcase - Slaughterhouse - Monitoring - Official sampling - AMR MON	63
AMR TABLES FOR ESCHERICHIA COLI	64
Escherichia coli, non-pathogenic, unspecified	64
Meat from bovine animals - fresh - Slaughterhouse - Monitoring - Official sampling - ESBL MON pnl2	64
Meat from bovine animals - fresh - Slaughterhouse - Monitoring - Official sampling - ESBL MON	65
Meat from bovine animals - fresh - Retail - Monitoring - Official sampling - ESBL MON pnl2	66
Meat from bovine animals - fresh - Retail - Monitoring - Official sampling - ESBL MON	67
Meat from bovine animals - fresh - Retail - Monitoring - Official sampling - ESBL MON pnl2	68
Meat from bovine animals - fresh - Retail - Monitoring - Official sampling - ESBL MON	69
Meat from bovine animals - fresh - Catering - Monitoring - Official sampling - ESBL MON pnl2	71
Meat from bovine animals - fresh - Catering - Monitoring - Official sampling - ESBL MON	72
Pigs - fattening pigs - Slaughterhouse - Monitoring - Official sampling - AMR MON	73
Pigs - fattening pigs - Slaughterhouse - Monitoring - Official sampling - ESBL MON pnl2	75
Pigs - fattening pigs - Slaughterhouse - Monitoring - Official sampling - ESBL MON	77
Meat from bovine animals - minced meat - Retail - Monitoring - Official sampling - ESBL MON pnl2	79
Meat from bovine animals - minced meat - Retail - Monitoring - Official sampling - ESBL MON	80
Meat from pig - fresh - Slaughterhouse - Monitoring - Official sampling - ESBL MON pnl2	81
Meat from pig - fresh - Slaughterhouse - Monitoring - Official sampling - ESBL MON	82
Meat from pig - fresh - Retail - Monitoring - Official sampling - ESBL MON pnl2	83
Meat from pig - fresh - Retail - Monitoring - Official sampling - ESBL MON	84
Meat from pig - fresh - Retail - Monitoring - Official sampling - ESBL MON pnl2	86
Meat from pig - fresh - Retail - Monitoring - Official sampling - ESBL MON	87
OTHER AMR TABLES	88
FSRI	20

# 1 ANIMAL POPULATIONS

The relevance of the findings on zoonoses and zoonotic agents has to be related to the size and nature of the animal population in the country

# 1.1 Populations

# 1.1.1 Information on susceptible animal population

#### Sources of information

Agricultural Data Centre (ADC)ADC is a state agency under the supervision of the Ministry of Agriculture that performs collection, processing and analysis of zootechnical, veterinary and agricultural data in the Latvia and develop a uniform register of animals and herds (cattle, pigs, sheep, goats etc.) and a pedigree information system according to international standards.

# Dates the figures relate to and the content of the figures

Data on commercial poultry - average population during the year.

Definitions used for different types of animals, herds, flocks and holdings as well as the types covered by the information

Herd - an agricultural animal or group of animals belonging to one owner. Holding - shall mean separate confined area in which animals are kept regularly or temporary. Poultry - shall mean fowl, turkeys, guinea fowl, ducks, geese, quails, pigeons, pheasants, partridges, ratites and etc. birds reared or kept in captivity for breeding, the production of meat or eggs for consumption, or for re-stocking supplies of game. Day-old chicks - poultry less than 72 hours old, not yet fed; except muscovy ducks (Cairina moschata) or their crosses may be fed and ratites (Ratitae) less than 5 days old, not yet fed. Commercial poultry - poultry 72 hours old or more, reared for the production and sale for trade or to companies of meat and/or eggs for consumption, or for restocking supplies of game. Poultry flock - all poultry of the same health status kept on the same premises or in the same enclosure and constituting a single epidemiological unit. In housed poultry this will include all birds sharing the same airspace.

# Geographical distribution and size distribution of the herds, flocks and holdings

Animals and herds are distributed almost evenly over the whole territory of Latvia. Concerning commercial poultry population, there are two districts, where the holdings with biggest numbers of birds are located, both in the centre/southern centre of Latvia.

# **2 DISEASE STATUS**

# 2.1 TUBERCULOSIS, MYCOBACTERIAL DISEASES

# 2.1.1 General evaluation of the national situation

# 2.1.1.1 Mycobacterium - general evaluation

History of the disease and/or infection in the country

The use of intradermal tuberculin tests for diagnosis of bovine tuberculosis in Latvia has started in 1927. In the pre-war period, intradermal tuberculin tests were not compulsory and were done on a voluntary basis. In 1937, 10.4% of the tested cows were found positive. After the Second World War private farms were eliminated. The majority of animals were moved to collective holdings, where infected and non-infected animals were kept together, and tuberculosis continued to spread. Since tuberculosis preventive measures were introduced after 1960, the number of newly infected herds decreased. The tuberculosis eradication programme for domestic animals was introduced in 1968. Also testing of pigs, sheep, cats, birds and shepherd dogs was introduced with the aim to identify the sources of infection.

National evaluation of the recent situation, the trends and sources of infection

Since 1975, bovine tuberculosis was diagnosed only in 7 herds: -1 herd in 1977 -1 herd in 1978 -2 herds in 1980 -2 herds in 1981 -1 herd in 1989

Relevance of the findings in animals, feedingstuffs and foodstuffs to human cases (as a source of infection)

As Latvia has been free of bovine tuberculosis since 1989, and the status of freedom from tuberculosis is controlled by the responsible authority, bovine tuberculosis is not considered to pose a risk on animal or human health.

# 2.1.2 Mycobacterium in animals

# 2.1.2.1 Mycobacterium spp., unspecified in animal - Pigs - Farm

Monitoring system

# Sampling strategy

According to the national control programme, all pigs slaughtered have been subject to an official post mortem examination.

#### Frequency of the sampling

According to the national control programme, all pigs slaughtered have been subject to an official post mortem examination.

# Type of specimen taken

Tissue from suspect animals in slaughterhouses.

Methods of sampling (description of sampling techniques)

For bacteriological examination of tissue from suspect animals: Classical bacteriology - OIE Manual of Diagnostic Tests and Vaccines for Terrestrial Animals 2015.

# Case definition

A single animal from which M.bovis or M.avium has been isolated.

# Vaccination policy

Vaccination is prohibited.

#### Notification system in place

According to The Veterinary Medicine Law, animal owner/keeper must immediately notify to veterinarian on animal death, aborts, simultaneous affection of several animals and any case, which arise suspicions that animal is affected by infectious disease.

# 2.1.2.2 Mycobacterium tuberculosis complex (MTC) in animal - Deer - farmed

#### Additional information

In 2015, there was no program in place for control of Mycobacterium bovis in farmed deer in Latvia.

#### 2.1.2.3 Mycobacterium tuberculosis complex (MTC) in animal - Cattle (bovine animals)

Status as officially free of bovine tuberculosis during the reporting year

#### The entire country free

From 2011 Latvia is officially free bovine tuberculosis country.

# Monitoring system

#### Sampling strategy

100% of stock bulls are tested annually by using intradermal tuberculin test. Also according to the national control programme, all bovine animals slaughtered have been subject to an official post mortem examination in accordance with provisions of Section I (2c) of Annex A to Directive 64/432/EEC, i.e., bovine tuberculosis surveillance is carried out through an official post-mortem examination in slaughterhouses.

# Frequency of the sampling

100% of stock bulls are tested annually by using intradermal tuberculin test. Also according to the national control programme, all bovine animals slaughtered have been subject to an official post mortem examination in accordance with provisions of Section I (2c) of Annex A to Directive 64/432/EEC, i.e., bovine tuberculosis surveillance is carried out through an official post-mortem examination in slaughterhouses.

# Type of specimen taken

Intradermal tuberculin test. Tissue from suspect animals in slaughterhouses or animals positive in the intradermal tuberculin test.

# Case definition

A single animal from wich M. bovis has been isolated.

# Diagnostic/analytical methods used

For bacteriological examination of tissue from suspect animals in slaughterhouses or animals positive in the intradermal test: Classical bacteriology - OIE Manual of Diagnostic Tests and Vaccines for Terrestrial Animals 2015, Chapter 2.4.7.

#### Vaccination policy

Vaccination is prohibited.

# Measures in case of the positive findings or single cases

Measures applied in cases of suspicion or confirmation of a disease are according to Council Directive No 64/432/EEC of 26 June 1964 implemented by Regulation of Cabinet of Ministers Nr. 298, 21 April 2006, "Procedures for prevention and combating of such infectious diseases as to which both animals and humans are susceptible". Measures to be implemented at suspected holding includes:1) Movement restrictions on the animals; 2) Live animals are not allowed to leave holding except for slaughter;3) Listing all suspect animals;4) Isolating of suspect or positive reacted animals; 5) Restrictions on the trade of milk and milk products; 6) Control of staff, visitors and vehicles; 7) Control of feed and water supply; 8) Control of the removal of manure;9) Vermin control;10) Carrying out tests with the bovine tuberculin at the holding. In case of a positive reaction to the repeated test, the animal shall be intended for slaughter, the viscera and pulmonary lymphnodes thereof shall be removed and submitted for investigation to the authorised laboratory and additionally the following measures shall apply at the holding:1) Slaughter of positive bovine animals at least within 30 days upon detection; 2) Slaughtering of animals shall be carried out in accordance with Community legislation on food hygiene. Products derived from such animals may be placed on the market for human consumption in accordance with Community legislation on food hygiene;3) The premises and surrounding area, as well as vehicles, equipment and other materials that may be contaminated with disease agents are cleaned, washed and disinfected under the supervision of an authorised veterinarian or state veterinary inspector; 4) Bedding and other materials that may be contaminated with disease agents are disinfected under the supervision of an authorised veterinarian or state veterinary inspector; manure are disinfected or subjected to treatment in accordance with Community legislation; 5) Other necessary disease eradication measures in the affected holding. Restrictions are lifted by a State veterinary inspector after the above measures have been taken and all animals over six weeks of age have reacted negatively to at least two consecutive tuberculin tests, the first no less than 60 days and the second no less than four months and no more than 12 months after the removal of the last positive reactor. Costs of eradication of bovine tuberculosis are compensated according to Regulation of Cabinet of Ministers No 177, 13 March 2005, "Procedure for payment of compensations to owners of animals which have arise due to eradication of epizootic diseases or animal infectious diseases, which are under state supervision",

# Notification system in place

Animal infectious diseases reporting are based on: 1. Veterinary Medicine Law of 26 April 2001 according to requirements of the Veterinary Medicine Law, animal owner/keeper is obligated immediately notify to veterinarian on animal death, aborts, simultaneous affection of several animals and any case, which arise suspicions that animal is affected by infectious disease. 2. Regulation of Cabinet of Ministers No. 127 of 21 February 2012 "Regulation on notifiable, registrable and state control animal diseases infectious and procedures for the provision of information about these diseases to Food and Veterinary Service according to requirements of mentioned regulation: - animal owner/holder or any other person who is in possession of relevant information immediately in one day, using any means of communication, report to veterinarian on suspicion of animal illness with animal diseases listed in Annex I Part I (bovine tuberculosis included in this list), Part II and on presence of agent listed animal diseases in Annex I Part I, Part II in the environment, animal feed or food. - detailed information within 24 hours on each outbreak in a Latvia of an infectious disease in animals, listed in Annex I Part I of mentioned Regulation is sent by the Food and veterinary service of Latvia to the European Commission, World Animal Health Organization (OIE), other EU Member states. 3. Regulation of the Cabinet of Ministers No. 7 of 5 January 1999 Procedure of Notification of Infectious Diseases. 4. Regulation of Cabinet of Ministers No. 90 of 31 January 2012 Procedures for the supervision and exchange of information on infectious diseases that affect both animals and people - these Regulation prescribe the procedures for the supervision and exchange of information on infectious diseases that affect both animals and people (hereinafter - the zoonoses), on the pathogens of zoonoses, as well as on the antimicrobial resistance. 5. Without mentioned requirements in legislation acts listed above, according to FVS order veterinarians co

# 2.2 BRUCELLOSIS

# 2.2.1 General evaluation of the national situation

# 2.2.1.1 Brucella - general evaluation

History of the disease and/or infection in the country

The last time that bovine brucellosis was diagnosed in Latvia was in 1963. Vaccination has never been used as an instrument in brucellosis eradication and control. Brucella melitensis has never been detected in Latvia at all. Brucellosis in pigs was first detected in Latvia in 1981. From 1981 till 1994 porcine brucellosis were detected in 36 holdings. Since then till 2010, no cases of brucellosis in pigs has been detected. At the end of 2010 sporadic case of porcine brucellosis was detected in the one holding. Preventive vaccination of animals and usage of hyper - immune serum against brucellosis is prohibited. Abortions have to be reported. They are investigated bacteriologically.

# National evaluation of the recent situation, the trends and sources of infection

As Latvia has been free of bovine brucellosis since 1963, and the status of freedom from brucellosis is controlled by the responsible authority, brucellosis is not considered to pose a risk on animal or human health.

Relevance of the findings in animals, feedingstuffs and foodstuffs to human cases (as a source of infection)

Since 1988, no cases of human brucellosis have been registered.

# 2.2.2 Brucella in animals

# 2.2.2.1 B. suis in animal - Pigs - Farm

#### Monitoring system

# Sampling strategy

Programme is based on Regulation of Cabinet of Ministers No 63 of 29 January 2013 "Procedures for the prevention and eradication of brucellosis in swine".

# Frequency of the sampling

All breeding boars that are used for artificial insemination are tested once per year. For the getting of officially free status of the holding - sows, young sows and breeding boars that are used for breeding in the own herd are tested as follows: sows - once per two years 100% of animals, young sows - before insemination 100% of animals and breeding boars - are tested once per year 100% of animals. For the maintain of officially free status of the holding - sows and breeding boars are tested as follows: sows - according to scheme of 95% confidence and 10% prevalence per year, breeding boars - once per year 100% of animals.

#### Type of specimen taken

Blood

# Case definition

If the Rose Bengal Test is positive, the animal is tested serologically again by Rose Bengal Test or Agglutination Test and Complement Fixation Test. If the second testing (Rose Bengal Test and Complement Fixation Test) also reveals positive results, the animal is considered positive. If B. suis is isolated from tissue bacteriologically, the animal and the herd, respectively, is considered positive.

# Diagnostic/analytical methods used

Rose Bengal Test, Complement Fixation Test, Classical bacteriology (OIE Manual of Diagnostic Tests and Vaccines for Terrestrial Animals 2015, chapter 2.8.5.)

# Vaccination policy

Vaccination is prohibited.

# Measures in case of the positive findings or single cases

According to requirements of Regulation of Cabinet of Ministers No 63 of 29 January 2013 "Procedures for the prevention and eradication of brucellosis in swine" measures to be implemented at suspected holding includes:1) Movement restrictions on the animals; 2) Isolating of suspect animals; 3) Sampling of animals for further investigation; In case of confirmed diagnosis additionally the following measures shall apply at the holding:1) Slaughtering or destroying of spositive animals; 2) Slaughtering of animals shall be carried out in accordance with Community legislation on food hygiene. Products derived from such animals may be placed on the market for human consumption in accordance with Community legislation on food hygiene; 3) The premises and surrounding area, as well as vehicles, equipment and other materials that may be contaminated with disease agents are cleaned, washed and disinfected under the supervision of a veterinarian or State veterinary inspector; 4) Bedding and other materials that may be contaminated with disease agents are disinfected under the supervision of a veterinarian or state veterinary inspector; manure are disinfected or subjected to biothermic treatment; 5) Foetuses, still-born piglets are destroyed in accordance with Community legislation; 6) Other necessary disease eradication measures in the affected holding.

#### Notification system in place

According to requirements of Regulation of Cabinet of Ministers No 63 of 29 January 2013 "Procedures for the prevention and eradication of brucellosis in swine" - animal owner/keeper is obligated immediately within one day, using any means of communication, report to veterinarian or Food and veterinary service on animal aborts, on suspicion of animal illness or animal illness with swine brucellosis.

# National evaluation of the recent situation, the trends and sources of infection

Brucellosis in pigs was first detected in Latvia in 1981. From 1981 till 1994 porcine brucellosis were detected in 36 holdings. Since then till 2010, no cases of brucellosis in pigs has been detected. At the end of 2010 sporadic case of porcine brucellosis was detected in the one holding.

# 2.2.2.2 B. abortus in animal - Cattle (bovine animals)

Status as officially free of bovine brucellosis during the reporting year

# The entire country free

Latvia is officially free from bovine brucellosis since 2012. Since 1963 no registered cases of bovine brucellosis in Latvia.

# Monitoring system

# Sampling strategy

Sampling is part of a national control programme and takes place on farm. The programme is based on the Council Directive No 64/432/EEC of 26 June 1964 on health problems affecting intra-Community trade in bovine animals and swine, on the Annex A Part II implemented by Regulation of Cabinet of Ministers No 881 of 18 December 2012 "Procedures for the implementation of prevention and eradication measures of brucellosis in bovine animals".

# Frequency of the sampling

100% of the stock bulls are tested on brucellosis annually. Also according to the national control programme all cattle herds must be tested once per five years, i.e. every year are tested 20% of total number of cattle holdings.

# Type of specimen taken

Milk/blood

#### Methods of sampling (description of sampling techniques)

Milk/blood samples are taken on the farm.

#### Case definition

An animal is considered to be infected when the individual blood sample is positive in the complement fixation test or in the agglutination.

# Diagnostic/analytical methods used

Serological tests are carried out by using the Rose-Bengal-Test (RBT) on blood serum samples for a first screening in cases that no milk is available or the number of animals is very low. In bigger dairy herds, bulk tank milk samples are tested by using ELISA. If blood samples turn out positive in the RBT or bulk milk samples after the ELISA, individual serological testing has to be carried out on each animal.

# Vaccination policy

Vaccination is prohibited.

#### Measures in case of the positive findings or single cases

According to Regulation of Cabinet of Ministers No 881, 18 December 2012 "Procedure for prevention and eradication of brucellosis in bovine animals" following measures are taken in the suspected holding: 1) Movement restrictions on the animals; 2) Live animals are not allowed to leave holding except for slaughter;3) Listing all suspect animals;4) Restrictions on the trade of milk and milk products;5) Control of staff, visitors and vehicles; 6) Control of feed and water supply;7) Control of the removal of manure;8) Vermin control;9) Sampling of animals for further investigation. In case of confirmed diagnosis additionally the following measures shall apply at the holding:1) Slaughter of positive bovine animals at least within 30 days upon detection;2) Slaughtering of animals shall be carried out in accordance with Community legislation on food hygiene. Products derived from such animals may be placed on the market for human consumption in accordance with Community legislation on food hygiene;3) The premises and surrounding area, as well as vehicles, equipment and other materials that may be contaminated with disease agents are cleaned, washed and disinfected under the supervision of an authorised veterinarian or state veterinary inspector; 4) Bedding and other materials that may be contaminated with disease agents are disinfected under the supervision of veterinarian or state veterinary inspector; manure are disinfected or subjected to biothermic treatment;5) Foetuses, still-born calves, calves which have died from brucellosis is destroyed in accordance with Community legislation; 6) Other disease eradication measures in the affected holding. Restrictions are lifted by a State veterinary inspector if all bovine animals present in the herd at the time of the outbreak have been slaughtered, or two serological tests of all bovine animals over 12 months old show negative results (the first test is to be carried out at least 30 days after the removal of the positive animal and the second at least 60 days later) and a

# Notification system in place

Animal infectious diseases reporting are based on: . Veterinary Medicine Law of 26 April 2001 according to requirements of the Veterinary Medicine Law, animal owner/keeper is obligated immediately notify to veterinarian on animal death, aborts, simultaneous affection of several animals and any case, which arise suspicions that animal is affected by infectious disease. 2. Regulation of Cabinet of Ministers No. 127 of 21 February 2012 "Regulation on notifiable, registrable and state control animal diseases infectious and procedures for the provision of information about these diseases to Food and Veterinary Service according to requirements of mentioned regulation: - laboratory immediately within one hour, using any means of communication, report to Food and veterinary service on detection of disease agent in the sample or positive testing results of animal diseases listed in Annex I Part I (bovine brucellosis included in this list). - animal owner/holder or any other person who is in possession of relevant information immediately in one day, using any means of communication, report to veterinarian on suspicion of animal illness with animal diseases listed in Annex I Part I (bovine brucellosis included in this list), Part II and on presence of agent listed animal diseases in Annex I Part I, Part II in the environment, animal feed or food. - detailed information within 24 hours on each outbreak in a Latvia of an infectious disease in animals, listed in Annex I Part I of mentioned Regulation is sent by the Food and veterinary service of Latvia to the European Commission, World Animal Health Organization (OIE), other EU Member states. 3. Regulation of the Cabinet of Ministers No. 7 of 5 January 1999 Procedure of Notification of Infectious Diseases, 4. Regulation of Cabinet of Ministers No. 90 of 31 January 2012 Procedures for the supervision and exchange of information on infectious diseases that affect both animals and people - these Regulation prescribe the procedures for the supervision and exchange of information on infectious diseases that affect both animals and people (hereinafter - the zoonoses), on the pathogens of zoonoses, as well as on the antimicrobial resistance. 5. Without mentioned requirements in legislation acts listed above, according to FVS order veterinarians compile and send reports to Territorial Units (TU) of Food and Veterinary Service every month. TU summarize these reports and then send to FVS central office every month.

# National evaluation of the recent situation, the trends and sources of infection

As Latvia has been free of bovine brucellosis since 1963, and the status of freedom from brucellosis is controlled by the responsible authority, brucellosis is not considered to pose a risk on animal or human health.

#### 2.2.2.3 B. melitensis in animal - Goats

Status as officially free of caprine brucellosis during the reporting year

Latvia is officialy free country from Brucella melitensis since 2010.

# Additional information

Brucella melitensis has never been detected in Latvia at all.

# Monitoring system

# Sampling strategy

In 2015, according to the national control programme, 5% of the total number of goats older than 6 months were tested on brucellosis. Programme is based on Regulation of Cabinet of Ministers No 988 of 20 December 2011 "Procedures for the prevention and eradication of brucellosis in sheep and goats".

# Type of specimen taken

Blood

# Methods of sampling (description of sampling techniques)

Blood samples are taken at farm.

#### Case definition

An animal is considered to be infected when the individual blood sample is positive in the RBT. In that case, the whole herd is considered to be infected.

# Diagnostic/analytical methods used

Blood serum samples are tested by RBT.

# Vaccination policy

Vaccination is prohibited.

# Measures in case of the positive findings or single cases

See B. abortus in bovines.

# Notification system in place

Animal infectious diseases reporting are based on: 1. Veterinary Medicine Law of 26 April 2001according to requirements of the Veterinary Medicine Law, animal owner/keeper is obligated immediately notify to veterinarian on animal death, aborts, simultaneous affection of several animals and any case, which arise suspicions that animal is affected by infectious disease. 2. Regulation of Cabinet of Ministers No. 127 of 21 February 2012 "Regulation on notifiable, registrable and state control animal diseases infectious and procedures for the provision of information about these diseases to Food and Veterinary Service -according to requirements of mentioned regulation:- laboratory immediately within one hour, using any means of communication, report to Food and veterinary service on detection of disease agent in the sample or positive testing results of animal diseases listed in Annex I Part I (ovine/caprine brucellosis included in this list).- animal owner/holder or any other person who is in possession of relevant information immediately in one day, using any means of communication, report to veterinarian on suspicion of animal illness with animal diseases listed in Annex I Part I (ovine/caprine brucellosis included in this list), Part II and on presence of agent listed animal diseases in Annex I Part I, Part II in the environment, animal feed or food. - detailed information within 24 hours on each outbreak in a Latvia of an infectious disease in animals, listed in Annex I Part I of mentioned Regulation is sent by the Food and veterinary service of Latvia to the European Commission, World Animal Health Organization (OIE), other EU Member states.3. Regulation of the Cabinet of Ministers No. 7 of 5 January 1999 Procedure of Notification of Infectious Diseases. 4. Regulation of Cabinet of Ministers No. 90 of 31 January 2012 Procedures for the supervision and exchange of information on infectious diseases that affect both animals and people - these Regulation prescribe the procedures for the supervision and exchange of information on infectious diseases that affect both animals and people (hereinafter - the zoonoses), on the pathogens of zoonoses, as well as on the antimicrobial resistance. 5. Without mentioned requirements in legislation acts listed above, according to FVS order veterinarians compile and send reports to Territorial Units (TU) of Food and Veterinary Service every month. TU summarize these reports and then send to FVS central office every month.

National evaluation of the recent situation, the trends and sources of infection

As no case of B. melitensis has ever been detected in Latvia, it does not pose a risk on animal and human health.

#### 2.2.2.4 B. melitensis in animal - Sheep

Status as officially free of ovine brucellosis during the reporting year

# The entire country free

Latvia is officialy free country from Brucella melitensis since 2010.

# Additional information

B. melitensis has never been detected in Latvia at all.

#### Monitoring system

# Sampling strategy

In 2015, according to the national control programme, 5% of the total number of sheep older than 6 months were tested on brucellosis. Programme is based on Regulation of Cabinet of Ministers No 988 of 20 December 2011 "Procedures for the prevention and eradication of brucellosis in sheep and goats".

# Type of specimen taken

Blood

# Methods of sampling (description of sampling techniques)

Blood samples are taken at farm.

#### Case definition

An animal is considered to be infected when the individual blood sample is positive.

# Diagnostic/analytical methods used

Blood serum samples are tested by RBT or CFT.

# Vaccination policy

Vaccination is prohibited.

#### Measures in case of the positive findings or single cases

See B. abortus in bovines

# Notification system in place

Animal infectious diseases reporting are based on: 1. Veterinary Medicine Law of 26 April 2001 according to requirements of the Veterinary Medicine Law, animal owner/keeper is obligated immediately notify to veterinarian on animal death, aborts, simultaneous affection of several animals and any case, which arise suspicions that animal is affected by infectious disease. 2. Regulation of Cabinet of Ministers No. 127 of 21 February 2012 "Regulation on notifiable, registrable and state control animal diseases infectious and procedures for the provision of information about these diseases to Food and Veterinary Service - according to requirements of mentioned regulation: - laboratory immediately within one hour, using any means of communication, report to Food and veterinary service on detection of disease agent in the sample or positive testing results of animal diseases listed in Annex I Part I (ovine/caprine brucellosis included in this list). - animal owner/holder or any other person who is in possession of relevant information immediately in one day, using any means of communication, report to veterinarian on suspicion of animal illness with animal diseases listed in Annex I Part I (ovine/caprine brucellosis included in this list), Part II and on presence of agent listed animal diseases in Annex I Part I, Part II in the environment, animal feed or food.- detailed information within 24 hours on each outbreak in a Latvia of an infectious disease in animals, listed in Annex I Part I of mentioned Regulation is sent by the Food and veterinary service of Latvia to the European Commission, World Animal Health Organization (OIE), other EU Member states. 3. Regulation of the Cabinet of Ministers No. 7 of 5 January 1999 Procedure of Notification of Infectious Diseases. 4. Regulation of Cabinet of Ministers No. 90 of 31 January 2012 Procedures for the supervision and exchange of information on infectious diseases that affect both animals and people - these Regulation prescribe the procedures for the supervision and exchange of information on infectious diseases that affect both animals and people (hereinafter - the zoonoses), on the pathogens of zoonoses, as well as on the antimicrobial resistance. 5. Without mentioned requirements in legislation acts listed above, according to FVS order veterinarians compile and send reports to Territorial Units (TU) of Food and Veterinary Service every month. TU summarize these reports and then send to FVS central office every month.

National evaluation of the recent situation, the trends and sources of infection

As no case of B. melitensis has ever been detected in Latvia, it does not pose a risk on animal and human health.

# 3 INFORMATION ON SPECIFIC ZOONOSES AND ZOONOTIC AGENTS

Zoonoses are diseases or infections, which are naturally transmissible directly or indirectly between animals and humans. Foodstuffs serve often as vehicles of zoonotic infections. Zoonotic agents cover viruses, bacteria, fungi, parasites or other biological entities that are likely to cause zoonoses.

# 3.1 SALMONELLOSIS

# 3.1.1 General evaluation of the national situation

# 3.1.1.1 Salmonella - general evaluation

History of the disease and/or infection in the country

The prevalence of Salmonella in animals and food of animal origin has been monitored over a long period of time. From 1967 until the end of 2003, 51836 Salmonella isolates were obtained from animal samples. Most isolates originated from poultry (57,6%) and from pigs (29,0%). In cattle and fur animals, Salmonella was isolated in lower numbers, 8,6% and 2,7%, respectively. Goats (0,05%), horses (0,01%) and other animals (2,0%) were also investigated. The main serotypes found in poultry in the same period of time (1967-2003) were S. Gallinarum-pullorum (87,1%), S. Enteritidis (9,6% of isolates) and S. Typhimurium (2,8%). In pigs, besides S. Choleraesuis (94,0%), mainly S. Typhimurium was found (0,8%), while in cattle S. Enteritidis (57,9%) and S. Dublin (35,4%) were the most prominent serotypes. In fur animals, four different serotypes were isolated: S. Choleraesuis (29,9%), S. Dublin (23,5%), S. Enteritidis (22,5%) and S. Typhimurium (20,6%).

Relevance of the findings in animals, feedingstuffs and foodstuffs to human cases (as a source of infection)

S. Enteritidis is the most prevalent serotype isolated from poultry and also from poultry meat. Accordingly, also human cases of S. Enteritidis-caused illness prevail during the last years. The increase in the number of human salmonellosis cases is predominantly reported during the summer months.

# 3.1.2 Salmonella in foodstuffs

# 3.1.2.1 Salmonella in food - Meat from bovine animals

Monitoring system

Sampling strategy

At retail

One sample consists of 5 sample units. For laboratory testing 10/25 g of each unit are taken for further investigations.

Frequency of the sampling

At retail

Sampling distributed evenly throughout the year

# Type of specimen taken

At retail

Other: meat preparations/meat products

# Methods of sampling (description of sampling techniques)

At retail

According to regulation 2073/2005.

# Definition of positive finding

At retail

None of the units is allowed to contain Salmonella spp. The sample is considered positive, if one or more of the units are positive.

# Diagnostic/analytical methods used

At retail

Other: LVS EN ISO 6579: 2003.

# 3.1.2.2 Salmonella in food - Meat from broilers (Gallus gallus)

# Monitoring system

# Sampling strategy

At meat processing plant

Inspectors of the Food and Veterinary Service are taking the samples. One sample consists of 5 units. Every unit is packed and stored separately, and also laboratory testing is performed on each unit. For laboratory testing, 25g of each unit are taken for further investigations.

At retail

Inspectors of the Food and Veterinary Service are taking the samples. One sample consists of 5 units. Every unit is packed and stored separately, and also laboratory testing is performed on each unit. For laboratory testing, 25g of each unit are taken for further investigations.

# Frequency of the sampling

At meat processing plant

Sampling distributed evenly throughout the year

At retail

Sampling distributed evenly throughout the year

# Methods of sampling (description of sampling techniques)

At meat processing plant

Method according to regulation 2073/2005.

At retail

Method according to regulation 2073/2005.

# Definition of positive finding

At meat processing plant

None of the units is allowed to contain Salmonella spp. The sample is considered positive, if one or more of the units are positive.

At retail

None of the units is allowed to contain Salmonella spp. The sampleis considered positive, if one or more of the units are positive.

# Diagnostic/analytical methods used

At meat processing plant

LVS EN ISO 6579:2003

At retail

LVS EN ISO 6579:2003

# Control program/mechanisms

# The control program/strategies in place

National control programme on Salmonella, based on the Regulation (EC) No 2160/2003 of the European Parliament and of the Council of 17 November 2003 on the control of salmonella and other specified foodborne zoonotic agents.

# Measures in case of the positive findings or single cases

The inspector immediately has to perform an inspection at the slaughterhouse, processing plant or at the store. He decides what to do with the rest of the batch, if there are still products left, and collects all necessary documents to clarify the origin of the product. The inspector also decides on the actions that have to be taken in the company, like asking for HACCP system improvements etc. Disinfection has to be carried out at all places where the infected product had contact with.

# 3.1.2.3 Salmonella in food - Meat from pig

MU	nita	าทาก	svster	n

#### Sampling strategy

At meat processing plant

Inspectors of the Food and Veterinary Service are taking the samples. One sample consists of 5 units. Every unit is packed and stored separately, and also laboratory testing is performed on each unit. For laboratory testing, 10/25g of each unit are taken for further investigations.

At retail

Inspectors of the Food and Veterinary Service are taking the samples. One sample consists of 5 units. Every unit is packed and stored separately, and also laboratory testing is performed on each unit. For laboratory testing, 10/25g of each unit are taken for further investigations.

# Frequency of the sampling

At meat processing plant

Sampling distributed evenly throughout the year

At retail

Sampling distributed evenly throughout the year

# Type of specimen taken

At slaughterhouse and cutting plant

Surface of carcass

At retail

Minced meat, meat preparations

# Methods of sampling (description of sampling techniques)

At meat processing plant

Method according to regulation 2073/2005

At retail

Method according to regulation 2073/2005.

# Definition of positive finding

At meat processing plant

None of the units is allowed to contain Salmonella spp. The sample is considered positive, if one or more of the units are positive.

At retail

None of the units is allowed to contain Salmonella spp. The sample is considered positive, if one or more of the units are positive.

# Diagnostic/analytical methods used

At meat processing plant

LVS EN ISO 6579:2003

At retail

LVS EN ISO 6579:2003

# Control program/mechanisms

#### The control program/strategies in place

National control programme on Salmonella, based on the Regulation (EC) No 2160/2003 of the European Parliament and of the Council of 17 November 2003 on the control of salmonella and other specified foodborne zoonotic agents.

# Measures in case of the positive findings or single cases

The inspector immediatly has to perform an inspection at the processing plant or at the store. He decides what to do with the rest of the batch, if there are still products left, and collects all necessary documents to clarify the origin of the product. The inspector also decides on the actions that have to be taken in the company, like asking for HACCP system improvements etc. Disinfection has to be carried out at all places where the infected product had contact with.

# 3.1.2.4 Salmonella in food - Eggs

# Monitoring system

# Sampling strategy

Inspectors of the Food and Veterinary Service are taking samples of raw liquid eggs at production plant. One sample consists of 5 units. Every unit is packed and stored separately, and also laboratory testing is performed on each unit. For laboratory testing, 25g of each unit are taken for further investigations.

# Frequency of the sampling

Raw material for egg products (at production plant)

Sampling distributed evenly throughout the year

# Type of specimen taken

Raw material for egg products (at production plant)

Mixture of yolk and white

# Methods of sampling (description of sampling techniques)

Raw material for egg products (at production plant)

Method according to Regulation No 2073/2005

# Definition of positive finding

Raw material for egg products (at production plant)

None of the units is allowed to contain Salmonella spp. The sample is considered positive, if one or more of the units are positive.

# Diagnostic/analytical methods used

Raw material for egg products (at production plant)

Bacteriological method: ISO 6579:2002

# Control program/mechanisms

#### The control program/strategies in place

National control programme on Salmonella, based on the Regulation (EC) No 2160/2003 of the European Parliament and of the Council of 17 November 2003 on the control of salmonella and other specified foodborne zoonotic agents.

# Measures in case of the positive findings

The inspector immediately has to perform an inspection at the production plant or at the store. He decides what to do with the rest of the batch, if there are still products left, and collects all necessary documents to clarify the origin of the product. The inspector also decides on the actions that have to be taken in the company, like asking for HACCP system improvements etc. Disinfection has to be carried out at all places where the infected product had contact with.

# 3.1.3 Salmonella in animals

#### 3.1.3.1 Salmonella in animal

#### Monitoring system

# Sampling strategy

Testing is carried out according to the sampling requirements of theRegulation of Cabinet of Ministers No 741, 6 November, 2007 Order of eradication of salmonella and other food-borne zoonotic agents in poultry flocks which are direct suppliers of small quantities to final consumer. 1.Samples are taken in poultry flocks others than Gallus gallus (quail etc.) for egg production: 1.1. day-old birds:- rinses from the internal surfaces of boxes in which the chicks are delivered to the holding;- samples from the carcasses of chicks found to be dead on arrival.1.2.pullets two weeks prior to entering the laying phase - pooled faecal samples;1.3.adult poultry - once during laying phase and 4 weeks prior to slaughter - pooled faecal samples.2. Samples are taken in duck and geese flocks for meat production - semi-annually one flock per holding prior to slaughter - pooled faecal samples.

# Case definition

#### Animals at farm

A positive case is a unit (flock, herd or individual animal) confirmed positive for Salmonella. In general, the flock is the epidemiological unit.

#### Diagnostic/analytical methods used

Animals at farm

Bacteriological method: Amendment 1 of EN/ISO 6579-2002/Amd1:2007

# Measures in case of the positive findings or single cases

-Official trade restrictions on poultry and products thereof are applied to the infected flock.-Live poultry from the infected flock is not allowed to leave the holding except for slaughter. -Meat of the positive flock has to be heat treated according to the Community legislation on food hygiene.-Table eggs are not allowed to leave the holding except for further processing in an establishment producing egg products.-The premises of the infected flock are cleaned and disinfected. Restocking is allowed after an official environmental sampling.-Epidemiological investigations are carried out to clarify the origin of the Salmonella infection.

# Notification system in place

Salmonella spp. is notifiable in animals, foodstuffs, feed and humans.

# 3.1.3.2 Salmonella in animal - Cattle (bovine animals)

# Additional information

Salmonellosis in other animals than poultry is not surveyed. Table shows results of investigations on request of the owner or veterinarian in case of clinical symptoms.

# 3.1.3.3 Salmonella in animal - Gallus gallus (fowl) - broilers

Monitoring system

Sampling strategy

#### Broiler flocks

Testing is carried out according to the sampling requirements of the:1)Regulation (EC)2160/2003 of the European Parliament and of the Council of 17 November 2003 on the control of salmonella and other specified food-borne zoonotic agents;2)Commission Regulation (EU) No 200/2012 of 8 March 2012 concerning a Union target for the reduction of Salmonella enteritidis and Salmonella typhimurium in flocks of broilers, as provided for in Regulation (EC) No 2160/2003 of the European Parliament and of the Council;3)Regulation of Cabinet of Ministers No 741, 6 November, 2007 Order of eradication of salmonella and other food-borne zoonotic agents in poultry flocks which are direct suppliers of small quantities to final consumer. Every flock is sampled within three weeks prior to slaughter.

# Frequency of the sampling

Broiler flocks: Before slaughter at farm

Every flock is sampled

# Type of specimen taken

Broiler flocks: Before slaughter at farm

Socks/boot swabs

#### Case definition

Broiler flocks: Before slaughter at farm

A positive case is a unit (flock) confirmed positive for Salmonella. In general, the flock is the epidemiological unit.

# Diagnostic/analytical methods used

Broiler flocks: Before slaughter at farm

Bacteriological method: Amendment 1 of EN/ISO 6579-2002/Amd1:2007

# Other preventive measures than vaccination in place

#### **Broiler flocks**

Bio-security measures are applied at the holdings.

# Measures in case of the positive findings or single cases

# Broiler flocks: At slaughter (flock based approach)

<sup>-</sup>Live animals from infected flock are not allowed to leave the holding except for slaughter.-The positive flock is slaughtered at the end of the working day or on a separate line. The slaughterhouse is thoroughly cleaned and disinfected afterwards.-The premises of the infected flock are cleaned and disinfected.

#### Notification system in place

All Salmonella serotypes are notifiable in animals, foodstuffs, feed and humans.

# 3.1.3.4 Salmonella in animal - Pigs

#### Additional information

Salmonellosis in other animals than poultry is not surveyed. Table shows results of investigations on request of the owner or veterinarian in case of clinical symptoms.

# 3.1.3.5 Salmonella in animal - Gallus gallus (fowl) - breeding flocks, unspecified

Monitoring system

#### Sampling strategy

Breeding flocks (separate elite, grand parent and parent flocks when necessary)

Testing is carried out according to the sampling requirements of the:1)Regulation (EC) 2160/2003 of the European Parliament and of the Council of 17 November 2003 on the control of salmonella and other specified food-borne zoonotic agents;2)Commission Regulation (EU) No 200/2010 of 10 March 2010 implementing Regulation (EC) No 2160/2003 of the European Parliament and of the Council as regards a Union target for the reduction of the prevalence of Salmonella serotypes in adult breeding flocks of Gallus gallus1. Samples in parent breeding flocks of Gallus gallus are taken:1.1. for day-old chicks:-rinses from the internal surfaces of the container in which the chicks have been transported to the establishment;-materials from chicks that have died during transportation: 1.2, four-week old birds; pooled faecal samples: 1.3, birds two weeks before starting of the laving cycle; pooled faecal samples. 2. Samples in adult breeding flocks of Gallus gallus are taken every third week: 2.1. in free-access flocks: -two pooled faecal samples from each building where birds are kept; or-five pairs of boots/"socks". 2.2. in cage breeding flocks, depending on how faeces are collected:-two pooled faecal samples from dropping belts;or-two pooled faecal samples from scrapers;or-two pooled faecal samples from deep pits.2.3. These samples are also taken from breeding flocks of Gallus gallus with less than 250 birds.2.4. The official samples mentioned in 2. are taken two times from adult breeding flocks of Gallus gallus by a FVS State veterinary inspector: 2.4.1. within four weeks following the start of laying cycle; 2.4.2. eight weeks before the end of the laying cycle: 2.4.3. at any time during the laying cycle, but not close to the samples mentioned in 2.4.1, and 2.4.2.3. Sampling at the hatchery: 3.1. one composite sample of visibly soiled hatcher basket liners taken at random from five separate hatcher baskets or locations in the hatcher to reach a total sampling surface of at least 1 m2; if the hatching eggs from a breeding flock occupy more than one hatcher, then such a composite sample shall taken from each hatcher up to a maximum of five; or 3.2. one sample taken with one or several moistened fabric swab(s) of at least 900 cm2 surface area in total, taken immediately after the removal of the chickens from the whole surface area of the bottom of at least a total of five hatcher baskets, or from fluff from five places, including on the floor, in each hatcher up to a maximum of five with hatched eggs from the flock, ensuring that at least one sample per flock from which eggs are derived, is taken; or 3.3. 10g of broken eggshells taken from a total of 25 separate hatcher baskets, namely 250g in the initial sample, in up to five hatchers with hatched eggs from the flock, crushed, mixed and sub-sampled to from a 25g subsample for testing.3.4. every 16 weeks, the sampling provided in 3.1. or 3.2. or 3.3 must be replaced by official sampling.

# Frequency of the sampling

Breeding flocks (separate elite, grand parent and parent flocks when necessary): Day-old chicks

Every flock is sampled.

Breeding flocks (separate elite, grand parent and parent flocks when necessary): Rearing period

Other: four-week old birds and young birds two weeks before the start of the laying cycle

Breeding flocks (separate elite, grand parent and parent flocks when necessary): Production period

Every third week

# Type of specimen taken

Breeding flocks (separate elite, grand parent and parent flocks when necessary): Day-old chicks

Rinses from the internal surfaces of the container and dead chickens

Breeding flocks (separate elite, grand parent and parent flocks when necessary): Rearing period

Pooled faecal samples or boots/"socks"

Breeding flocks (separate elite, grand parent and parent flocks when necessary): Production period

Boots/"socks"

#### Case definition

Breeding flocks (separate elite, grand parent and parent flocks when necessary): Day-old chicks

A positive case is a unit (flock) confirmed positive for Salmonella. In general, the flock is the epidemiological unit.

Breeding flocks (separate elite, grand parent and parent flocks when necessary): Rearing period

A positive case is a unit (flock, herd or individual animal) confirmed positive for Salmonella. In general, the flock is the epidemiological unit.

Breeding flocks (separate elite, grand parent and parent flocks when necessary): Production period

A positive case is a unit (flock) confirmed positive for Salmonella. In general, the flock is the epidemiological unit.

# Diagnostic/analytical methods used

Breeding flocks (separate elite, grand parent and parent flocks when necessary): Day-old chicks

Bacteriological method: Amendment 1 of EN/ISO 6579-2002/Amd1:2007

Breeding flocks (separate elite, grand parent and parent flocks when necessary): Rearing period

Bacteriological method: Amendment 1 of EN/ISO 6579-2002/Amd1:2007

Breeding flocks (separate elite, grand parent and parent flocks when necessary): Production period

Bacteriological method: Amendment 1 of EN/ISO 6579-2002/Amd1:2007

# Vaccination policy

Breeding flocks (separate elite, grand parent and parent flocks when necessary)

Preventive vaccination against zoonotic salmonellosis agents is permitted using inactivated vaccines or live marked vaccines.

Other preventive measures than vaccination in place

Breeding flocks (separate elite, grand parent and parent flocks when necessary)

-Bio-security measures are applied at the holdings.-Antibiotics are not used as a specific method to control Salmonella except under clearly defined exceptional circumstances as laid down in Commission Regulation (EC) No 1177/2006 of 1 August 2006 implementing Regulation (EC) No 2160/2003 of the European Parliament and of the Council as regards requirements for the use of specific control methods in the framework of national programmes for the control of Salmonella in poultry.

Measures in case of the positive findings or single cases

Breeding flocks (separate elite, grand parent and parent flocks when necessary)

-Official trade restrictions on the animals and the products thereof are applied to the infected flock.-Live animals from the infected flock are not allowed to leave the holding except for slaughter.-The positive flock is slaughtered at the end of the working day or on a separate line. The slaughterhouse is thoroughly cleaned and disinfected afterwards.- Meat of the positive flock is heat treated according to the Community legislation on food hygiene.-Hatching eggs are not allowed to leave the holding except for destruction or further processing at an establishment producing egg products.-The premises of the infected flock are cleaned and disinfected. Restocking is allowed after an official environmental sampling.-If Salmonella spp. are detected in a breeding flock, all other flocks in the same holding are officially sampled at the earliest convenience.-Official epidemiological investigations are carried out to clarify the origin of the Salmonella infection.

# 3.1.3.6 Salmonella in Ducks - breeding flocks and meat production flocks

Relevance of the findings in animals to findings in foodstuffs and to human cases (as a source of infection)

Look at Salmonella spp. in animal

# 3.1.3.7 Salmonella in Geese - breeding flocks and meat production flocks

Relevance of the findings in animals to findings in foodstuffs and to human cases (as a source of infection)

Look at Salmonella spp. in animal

# 3.1.3.8 Salmonella in Turkeys - breeding flocks and meat production flocks

Relevance of the findings in animals to findings in foodstuffs and to human cases (as a source of infection)

There were no registered commercial turkey holdings in Latvia.

# 3.2 CAMPYLOBACTERIOSIS

# 3.2.1 General evaluation of the national situation

# 3.2.1.1 Thermophilic Campylobacter spp., unspecified - general evaluation

Campylobacter in food has been monitored for the first time in 2004. Campylobacter in broiler flocks has been monitored for the first time in 2006 and following in 2007. In 2008 monitoring of Campylobacter in broiler flocks was carried out in the framework of the Baseline Survey on Campylobacter spp. in broiler flocks and Campylobacter spp. and Salmonella spp. in broiler carcasses (Commission Decision 2007/516/EC of 19 July 2007). From 2009 to 2015 there was no control programme in place for the thermophilic Campylobacter in food and animals. Campylobacteriosis is a notifiable disease in humans and animals.

National evaluation of the recent situation, the trends and sources of infection

Due to short and irregular monitoring of campylobacter no possible to evaluate the trends. The number of human cases is very low and presumably does not reflect the real situation.

# 3.3 LISTERIOSIS

# 3.3.1 General evaluation of the national situation

# 3.3.1.1 Listeria - general evaluation

History of the disease and/or infection in the country

Monitoring of Listeria monocytogenes in food has been started in 2003 in the frame of a national surveillance programme. It was the first targeted control programme that has been set up additionally to the laboratory control programme, because Listeria is considered to be one of the most important microorgansims to cause human disease that may have fatal outcome. Especially the risk groups like pregnant women, newborns and small children and older people are very sensitive to Listeria infections, and there have been fatal cases in humans in the past. In 2009, the national control programme on Listeria monocytogenes was based on the Regulation (EC) No 2160/2003 of the European Parliament and of the Council of 17 November 2003 on the control of Salmonella and other specified foodborne zoonotic agents.

National evaluation of the recent situation, the trends and sources of infection

Due to short time of controlling foodstuffs and risk products no possible to evaluate trends.

Relevance of the findings in animals, feedingstuffs and foodstuffs to human cases (as a source of infection)

Human cases are occurring sporadically.

# 3.4 YERSINIOSIS

#### 3.4.1 General evaluation of the national situation

# 3.4.1.1 Yersinia - general evaluation

History of the disease and/or infection in the country

There was no program in place to control or monitor Yersinia enterocolitica in animals or food.

#### 3.5 TRICHINELLOSIS

#### 3.5.1 General evaluation of the national situation

# 3.5.1.1 Trichinella - general evaluation

History of the disease and/or infection in the country

In 2004, the Food and Veterinary Service has elaborated methodological guidelines for the veterinary expertise of pigs, cows, sheep, goats, horses and farmed and wild game at slaughterhouses determining the order and methods for detection and identification of trichinellosis agents. Guidelines are based on the requirements of Regulation (EC) No 854/2004 of the European Parliament and of the Council of 29 April 2004 laying down specific rules for the organisation of official controls on products of animal origin intended for human consumption and etc normative acts. All the carcasses of pigs, horses, wild and farmed game are sampled and tested for Trichinella at slaughter. In cases when animals are slaughtered at home or hunted for personal consumption, it is the duty of the owner of the animals or the hunter, respectively, to ensure that meat samples are sent for laboratory testing.

# 3.6 ECHINOCOCCOSIS

# 3.6.1 General evaluation of the national situation

# 3.6.1.1 Echinococcus - general evaluation

History of the disease and/or infection in the country

Surveillance in productive animals is achieved trough the official meat inspection, where macroscopic investigation on hydatid cysts at the abattoir is part of the meat inspection procedure. Inspection is conducted according to the methodological guidelines of the Food and Veterinary Service for veterinary expertise of pigs, cows, sheep, goats, horses and farmed and wild game at slaughterhouses. These guidelines are based on requirements of Regulation (EC) No 854/2004 of the European Parliament and of the Council of 29 April 2004 laying down specific rules for the organisation of official controls on products of animal origin intended for human consumption. There are no official monitoring programmes for echinococcosis in the final hosts - dogs and cats. Treatment with anti-helmintic drugs is advised.

# 3.7 RABIES

# 3.7.1 General evaluation of the national situation

# 3.7.1.1 Lyssavirus (rabies) - general evaluation

History of the disease and/or infection in the country

After the First World War intensive spreading of rabies occured in 1923 - when were detected 308 cases of rabies in domestic animals from which 217 cases of rabies were detected in dogs. 260 dogs become ill with rabies in 1927. Till 1950 was observed rabies called - urban rabies - because rabies cases mostly detected in dogs. Since then "urban rabies" cases decreased and increased rabies cases in wild animals. The density of red foxes and racoon dogs in Latvia has been increasing from 1,16 per square kilometre in 1998 up to 1,7 per square kilometre in 2003. The main reservoir for rabies in Latvia are red foxes and racoon dogs. The rabies cases in red foxes varied between 71 and 144 in the years from 1993 until 1999, in racoon dogs there were between 20 and 39 cases of rabies. Since the year 2000, these numbers increased and had a peak in 2003 (471 cases in red foxes, 285 cases in racoon dogs). From the year 2004 until 2006, rabies cases in red foxes varied between 165 and 187, in racoon dogs there were between 126 and 153 cases of rabies. As a result of oral vaccination of wild animals (foxes and racoon dogs) rabies cases decreased about two times in 2007 - 95 rabies cases in red foxes and 33 rabies cases in racoon dogs were diagnosed. Also in 2008 and 2009 the number of cases continued to decrease - 44 cases and 24 rabies cases respectively in red foxes and 41 cases and 24 rabies cases accordingly in racoon dogs were detected. In 2010 there were only 16 cases of rabies from which 11 rabies cases were detected in red foxes and 1 rabies cases was detected in racoon dog. One rabies case reported in 2011 - in horse, but in 2012 registered two rabies cases - one in cattle and one in dog. From 2013 no rabies cases detected. Other animals infected with rabies in the last years were for example minks, roes, martens, badgers, polecats, dogs, cats and cattle.

#### National evaluation of the recent situation, the trends and sources of infection

Infection generally occurs through a bite from infected animals. Wild animals (foxes and racoon dogs) are the most common source of infection in Latvia.

#### Additional information

First field trials for the vaccination of rabies in wildlife have been started in 1991. In certain territories the oral vaccination was performed with parenteral vaccine produced in Russian Federation. Veterinarians injected vaccine in fitted baits (for instance - in fish or chicken head) and distributed them in forests. Second strategy for rabies eradication oral vaccination (ORV) by manufactured rabies vaccine has been started in 1998. Since 1998, twice per year in collaboration with hunters vaccine baits were distributed in a part of Latvia by hands (manual distribution) twice a year. From 2001 to 2003 entire territory of Latvia was covered with vaccine baits. However, the surveillance results showed that manual distribution of vaccine baits was not efficient. During 2004 ORV campaigns were not carried out as the new ORV strategy was elaborated. Third strategy - rabies ORV using aviation for distribution of vaccine baits were initiated in 2005 when the vaccination campaigns were carried out in spring and autumn covering the western part of the country. From 2006 till 2013 rabies bait distribution using aviation was implemented for all territory of Latvia twice a year. As average 1600000 vaccine baits were distributed per one campaign and 3.2 million per year, providing not less than 23 - 25 baits per km2. Latvian rabies elimination program is co-financed by the European Commission since 2005. From 2014, ORV campaign was carried out only in buffer zones (70 - 100 kilometers wide) at Eastern part of the country along Russian Federation and Belarus.

# 3.7.2 Lyssavirus (rabies) in animals

#### 3.7.2.1 Lyssavirus (rabies) in animal - Dogs

# Additional information

According to requirement of the "Veterinary Medicine Law" of 26 April 2001 -all dogs must be vaccinated against rabies in accordance with leaflet provided by producer.

#### 3.7.2.2 Rabies virus (RABV) in animal

#### Monitoring system

# Sampling strategy

In 2015, there were active and passive surveillance programmes in place regarding rabies. In case of suspicion of rabies in a wild animal, pet or productive animal, the owner or finder, respectively, has to report immediately to an authorized veterinarian or the FVS. In dead animals, a partial post mortem inspection is performed and brain material is taken for further investigations. For pets or productive animals under suspicion - see measures. Sampling is also performed in red foxes and racoon dogs to control the uptake of vaccine baits and to determine the antibody titer. These foxes and racoon dogs are hunted and submitted to the laboratory.

# Frequency of the sampling

Foxes and racoon dogs - during hunting season. Animals found dead, suspicions - throughout the year.

#### Case definition

A case that is laboratory confirmed.

# Diagnostic/analytical methods used

Detection of viral antigens by an immunofluorescence test in neurological tissue (brain) in connection to partial post-mortem examination. If the immunofluorescence test in neurological tissue (brain) is negative, isolation and identification of virus in cell culture is performed. Genotyping of the virus by PCR is used for further investigations.

# Vaccination policy

According to requirement of the "Veterinary Medicine Law" of 26 April 2001 -all cats, dogs and ferrets must be vaccinated against rabies in accordance with leaflet provided by producer. Rabies oral vaccination (ORV) of red foxes and raccoon dogs using aviation for distribution of vaccine baits were initiated in 2005 when the vaccination campaigns were carried out in spring and autumn covering the western part of the country. From 2006 till 2013 rabies bait distribution using aviation was implemented for all territory of Latvia twice a year. As average 1600000 vaccine baits were distributed per one campaign and 3.2 million per year, providing not less than 23 - 25 baits per km2. Latvian rabies elimination program is cofinanced by the European Commission since 2005. From 2014, ORV campaign was carried out only in buffer zones (70 - 100 kilometers wide) at Eastern part of the country along Russian Federation and Belarus.

# Control program/mechanisms

#### The control program/strategies in place

Food and veterinary service (FVS) performs rabies surveillance in Latvia. Rabies is notifiable disease in Latvia, animal owners must immediately notify to veterinarian on animal death, abortions, simultaneous affection of several animals and any case, which arise suspicions that animal are affected by infectious disease (Law of Veterinary medicine). All measures are carried out on basis of following documents: - Law of Veterinary Medicine, 2001 - Regulation of Cabinet of Ministers No 178, 23 February, 2010 Order of rabies eradication and control; - Food and Veterinary Service Instruction Order No 51 (28 March, 2011) Program on prophylaxis and eradication of Rabies; Above mentioned documents determine measures to be taken in situations when rabies case is suspected or confirmed. Regarding oral vaccination of wildlife, there is Animal Infectious Disease State Surveillance Program, approved annually by CVO, where Chapter on oral vaccination is included. Program defines area to be vaccinated, number of vaccine baits and campaigns per year, as well as efficiency evaluation of vaccination campaigns. All rabies laboratory diagnostic tests are performed in an accredited laboratory - Institute of Food Safety, Animal Health and Environment - "BIOR" that is also National Reference laboratory for rabies in Latvia. In order to evaluate the efficiency of ORV program annually 4 target animals (foxes and raccoon dogs) per 100 km2 of the vaccinated territory are tested for the presence of biomarker tetracycline (bait uptake) and antibody level (seroconversion).

# Measures in case of the positive findings or single cases

Suspected animals are put under observation for 10 days (cats, dogs and ferrets) or 15 days (other domestic animals). If the animal is vaccinated and no symptoms occur during observation, the animal is re-vaccinated. In case the animal is not vaccinated, it has to be euthanised. Brain tissue is submitted to the laboratory for further investigations. If the animal has not been vaccinated and the owner refuses to euthanise it, observation of animal for more longer period and vaccination is performed.

#### Notification system in place

Rabies is a notifiable disease in Latvia since 1929. According to The Veterinary Medicine Law, animal owner/keeper is obligated to immediately notify to the veterinarian on animal death, abortions, simultaneous illness of several animals and any other case, which arises suspicions that animal is affected by infectious disease. In accordance with requirements of the Regulation of Cabinet of Ministers No.178 (23.02.2010.) Procedures for prevention and eradication of the rabies: 1)In case of suspicion of rabies in a wild animals, pets or farm animals, any person within one day has to report to practicing veterinarian or Territorial unit of the Food and Veterinary Service (FVS). In dead animals, a partial post mortem inspection is performed and brain material is taken for further investigations. 2)Positive testing results on rabies should be immediately reported by the Laboratory to Chief Veterinary Officer of FVS, Territorial Unit of the Food and Veterinary Service and responsible veterinarian. 3)If rabies has been confirmed, a Territorial Unit of the Food and Veterinary service immediately provide information to The Centre for Disease Prevention and Control (CDPC, competent authority for human health). 4)As well as Territorial unit of the Food and Veterinary service within one day approve rabies eradication plan and provide this information to the branch of State Forest Service, municipality and CDPC regarding the location of the zoonosis outbreak and measures taken to contain the disease. Municipality then informs inhabitants on rabies case and measures taken.

Relevance of the findings in animals to findings in foodstuffs and to human cases (as a source of infection)

In accordance with the epidemiological surveillance data, since 1974 rabies cases in humans have been registered as follows: 1982 - 1 case in Kraslava district, source of infection: dog; 1986 - 1 case in Kraslava district, source of infection: fox; 1993 - 1 case in Saldus district, source of infection: fox; 2003 - 1 case in Daugavpils district, source of infection: dog.

# 3.8 Q-FEVER

# 3.8.1 General evaluation of the national situation

# 3.8.1.1 Coxiella (Q-fever) - general evaluation

History of the disease and/or infection in the country

In 2015, no control programme was existing in Latvia regarding Coxiella brunetii (Q fever) infections in animals. Samples are sent by private veterinarians.

#### 3.9 TOXOPLASMA

# 3.9.1 General evaluation of the national situation

# 3.9.1.1 Toxoplasma - general evaluation

History of the disease and/or infection in the country

In 2015, Latvia had no monitoring programme in place to control Toxoplasma spp. in animals. Samples were sent by private veterinarians.

# **3.10 VTEC**

# 3.10.1 General evaluation of the national situation

# 3.10.1.1 Verotoxigenic E. coli (VTEC) - general evaluation

History of the disease and/or infection in the country

In 2015, no control programme was existing in Latvia regarding VTEC infections in animals. Samples were sent by private veterinarians.

Additional information

The method used for detection of VTEC in animals is classical bacteriological method according to OIE Manual 2015 Chapter 2.9.11. More than 20 serogroups of E.coli were possible detected with antisera.

# 4 ANTIMICROBIAL RESISTANCE INFORMATION ON SPECIFIC ZOONOSES AND ZOONOTIC AGENTS

# 4.1 ESCHERICHIA COLI, NON-PATHOGENIC

# 4.1.1 Escherichia coli, non-pathogenic in foodstuffs

# 4.1.1.1 Antimicrobial resistance in E.coli, non-pathogenic, unspecified

#### Description of sampling designs

Sampling was carried at retail. In total 150 fresh pig meat and 150 fresh bovine meat samples were taken. The sampling plan was stratified per territorial units of Food and Veterinary service by allocating the number of samples collected per each territorial unit per month. Sampling was carried out from April until November 2015 and samples more or less were evenly distributed per month during this period.

# Stratification procedures per animal populations and food categories

Sampling was carried at retail. In total 150 fresh pig meat and 150 fresh bovine meat samples were taken. The sampling plan was stratified per territorial units of Food and Veterinary service by allocating the number of samples collected per each territorial unit per month. Sampling was carried out from April until November 2015 and samples more or less were evenly distributed per month during this period.

#### Randomisation procedures per animal populations and food categories

The sampling plan was stratified per territorial units of Food and Veterinary service by allocating the number of samples collected per each territorial unit per month. Territorial units of Food and Veterinary service collected these samples randomly at retail.

# Sampling strategy used in monitoring

# Frequency of the sampling

The sampling plan was stratified per territorial units of Food and Veterinary service by allocating the number of samples collected per each territorial unit per month. Sampling was carried out from April untill November 2015 and samples were evenly distributed per month during this period.

#### Type of specimen taken

Samples of fresh pig meat and bovine meat gathered at retail. Each sample consist of one unit, around 200 g.

# Methods of sampling (description of sampling techniques)

State food inspectors of the Food and veterinary service are taking the samples of fresh pig and bovine meat at retail. Every sample is packed and stored separately, and also laboratory testing is performed on each sample.

#### Procedures for the selection of isolates for antimicrobial testing

According to requirements of Commission implementing decision No 2013/652/EU of 12 November 2013 on the monitoring and reporting of antimicrobial resistance in zoonotic and commensal bacteria.

# Laboratory methodology used for identification of the microbial isolates

According to requirements of Commission implementing decision No 2013/652/EU of 12 November 2013 on the monitoring and reporting of antimicrobial resistance in zoonotic and commensal bacteria.

#### Laboratory used for detection for resistance

#### Antimicrobials included in monitoring

According to requirements of Commission implementing decision No 2013/652/EU of 12 November 2013 on the monitoring and reporting of antimicrobial resistance in zoonotic and commensal bacteria.

#### Cut-off values used in testing

According to requirements of Commission implementing decision No 2013/652/EU of 12 November 2013 on the monitoring and reporting of antimicrobial resistance in zoonotic and commensal bacteria.

# 4.1.2 Escherichia coli, non-pathogenic in animals

# 4.1.2.1 Antimicrobial resistance in E.coli, non-pathogenic, unspecified Pigs

# Description of sampling designs

Sampling was carried out in the slaughterhouses, started with the slaughterhouses of largest throughput. As far as possible one pooled caecal sample was taken per fattening pig holding with aim to reach in total 150 pooled caecal samples per year. 5 caecum (if possible) from 5 randomly selected pigs per holding were taken and pooled in one sample. As far as possible sampling distributed evenly throughout the year.

#### Stratification procedures per animal populations and food categories

The sampling plan was stratified per slaughterhouse by allocating the number of samples collected per slaughterhouse. Sampling was carried out from April until November 2015 and samples were evenly distributed per month during this period. Pooled caecal samples were collected from domestic pig holdings only.

# Randomisation procedures per animal populations and food categories

The sampling plan was stratified per slaughterhouse by allocating the number of samples collected per slaughterhouse. Pooled caecal samples were collected from domestic pig holdings only. As far as possible - only one representative pooled caecal sample per epidemiological unit was taken (epidemiological unit was a holding). As a Latvia have a relatively small number of commercial pig holdings then to reach planned number of samples, in some cases, more than one sample was taken from one pig holding.

#### Sampling strategy used in monitoring

# Frequency of the sampling

As far as possible - one representative pooled caecal sample per fattening pig holding was taken. Sampling was carried out in the slaughterhouses. As far as possible sampling distributed evenly throughout the year.

# Type of specimen taken

Pooled caecal sample (5 caecum) per fattening pig holding.

# Methods of sampling (description of sampling techniques)

Sampling was carried out in the slaughterhouses. As far as possible one pooled caecal sample was taken per fattening pig holding with aim to reach in total 150 pooled caecal samples per year. 5 caecum (if possible) from 5 randomly selected pigs per holding were taken and pooled in one sample. From each pig's intestinal tract was taken caecum with content, connector was put on the caecum and it was cut and placed in the strile bag. Five caecum were placed together in the one bag, created one pooled sample.

# Procedures for the selection of isolates for antimicrobial testing

According to requirements of Commission implementing decision No 2013/652/EU of 12 November 2013 on the monitoring and reporting of antimicrobial resistance in zoonotic and commensal bacteria.

# Laboratory methodology used for identification of the microbial isolates

According to requirements of Commission implementing decision No 2013/652/EU of 12 November 2013 on the monitoring and reporting of antimicrobial resistance in zoonotic and commensal bacteria.

# Laboratory used for detection for resistance

# Antimicrobials included in monitoring

According to requirements of Commission implementing decision No 2013/652/EU of 12 November 2013 on the monitoring and reporting of antimicrobial resistance in zoonotic and commensal bacteria.

#### Cut-off values used in testing

According to requirements of Commission implementing decision No 2013/652/EU of 12 November 2013 on the monitoring and reporting of antimicrobial resistance in zoonotic and commensal bacteria.

# **5 FOODBORNE OUTBREAKS**

Foodborne outbreaks are incidences of two or more human cases of the same disease or infection where the cases are linked or are probably linked to the same food source. Situation, in which the observed human cases exceed the expected number of cases and where a same food source is suspected, is also indicative of a foodborne outbreak.

# 5.1 Outbreaks

#### 5.1.1 Foodborne outbreaks

System in place for identification, epidemological investigations and reporting of foodborne outbreaks

Food-borne diseases are notified by clinicians in cases of suspected infectious disease, a change or discharge of diagnosis of an infectious disease, the final diagnosis and outcome of infectious disease and laboratory confirmation of the diagnosis. Epidemiologists of the Centre for Disease Prevention and Control of Latvia (in latvian - SPKC) receive information from clinicians and perform investigation of the cases (outbreaks), take environmental samples for laboratory investigation, collect, store and analyze the epidemiological data, organize preventive and control measures.

Description of the types of outbreaks covered by the reporting:

In 2015, altogether 164 food-borne outbreaks were registered, including 34 household, 127 general (registered mainly in kindergartens and schools), and 3 unknown (caused by Listeria and Giardia). For outbreaks of viral etiology the household contact could also be the cause of the disease.

National evaluation of the reported outbreaks in the country:

Trends in numbers of outbreaks and numbers of human cases involved

Altogether 870 cases were registered, including 5 strong evidence outbreaks for which the cohort data analysis was made. Among them were outbreak of gastroenteritis of unknown aethyology in a school with 128 cases involved (mainly stated by questionnaire), where rice with chopped meat were with the highest odds ratio, three S.Bovismorbificans outbreaks in guest houses and banquet hall with fish and chicken as sources, and S.Enteritidis outbreak in a guest house with fish as source. 287 of all cases were hospitalized (33%).

Relevance of the different causative agents, food categories and the agent/food category combinations

Among all outbreaks 20.1% were caused by Salmonella spp., 42.7% - by Rotavirus, 22.6% - by Norwalk virus and mixed viruses, 1.8% - by Giardia, 1.2% - by Listeria, as well Yersinia enterocolitica and Adenovirus, 0.6% - by non-pathogenic Escherichia coli, and 8.5% - were related to causative agents of unknown aethyology.

Evaluation of the severity and clinical picture of the human cases

We do not collect clinical information.

Descriptions of single outbreaks of special interest

The strong evidence outbreak caused by S.Enteritidis in a guest house with 15 cases where the same Salmonella detected with PFGE in cases, products, kitchen equipment. Products stated according to odds ratio were baked fish in different marinade brands.

Control measures or other actions taken to improve the situation

Outbreak investigation includes recommendations from the Public health specialists, as well control and penalty measures (if necessary - closure) by other control institutions, Food Veteriary Service and Health Inspection, working together with the Centre for Disease Prevention and Control of Latvia.

### **ANIMAL POPULATION TABLES**

# **Table Susceptible animal population**

		Population							
Animal species	Category of animals	holding	animal	slaughter animal (heads)	herd/flock				
Cattle (bovine animals)	Cattle (bovine animals)	26,286	419,084	91,816	26,286				
Deer	Deer - farmed	156	13,294		156				
Gallus gallus (fowl)	Gallus gallus (fowl) - broilers	3	1,801,523	17,819,603	70				
	Gallus gallus (fowl) - laying hens	9	2,660,057		50				
	Gallus gallus (fowl) - parent breeding flocks for broiler production line	1	257,623		36				
Goats	Goats	2,532	12,682	67	2,532				
Pigs	Pigs	3,691	327,694	407,228	3,691				
Quails	Quails - laying hens	25	6,970		25				
Sheep	Sheep	3,996	102,276	17,076	3,996				
Solipeds, domestic	Solipeds, domestic	3,720	9,598	274	3,720				

### **DISEASE STATUS TABLES**

Table Bovine brucellosis in countries and regions that do not receive Community co-financing for eradication programme

Region	Number of herds with status officially free	Number of infected herds	Total number of herds
LATVIJA (NUTS level 1)	26,286	0	26,286

Table Ovine or Caprine brucellosis in countries and regions that do not receive Community co-financing for eradication programme

Region	Number of herds with status officially free	Number of infected herds	Total number of herds
LATVIJA (NUTS level 1)	6,528	0	6,528

### **DISEASE STATUS TABLES**

Table Bovine tuberculosis in countries and regions that do not receive Community co-financing for eradication programme

Region	Number of herds with status officially free	Number of infected herds	Total number of herds		
LATVIJA (NUTS level 1)	26,286	0	26,286		

### **PREVALENCE TABLES**

### **Table BRUCELLA in animal**

Area of Sampling	Matrix - Sampling stage - Sampling origin - Sample type - Sampling context - Sampler - Sampling strategy	Sampling unit	units	Total units positive	Zoonoses	N of units positive
Not Available	Pigs - breeding animals - Farm - Latvia - animal sample - blood - Control and eradication programmes - Industry sampling - Objective sampling	animal	12891	0	Brucella suis	0
	Pigs - breeding animals - Farm - Latvia - animal sample - Control and eradication programmes - Industry sampling - Objective sampling	animal	4	0	Brucella suis	0
	Pigs - breeding animals - unspecified - sows - Farm - Latvia - animal sample - foetus/stillbirth - Control and eradication programmes - Industry sampling - Objective sampling	animal	13	0	Brucella suis	0
	Sheep - animals over 1 year - Farm - Latvia - animal sample - foetus/stillbirth - Control and eradication programmes - Industry sampling - Objective sampling	animal	23	0	Brucella melitensis	0

#### **Table CAMPYLOBACTER in animal**

Area of Sampling	Matrix - Sampling stage - Sampling origin - Sample type - Sampling context - Sampler - Sampling strategy	Sampling unit	Total units tested	Total units positive	Zoonoses	N of units positive
Not Available	Cats - pet animals - Veterinary clinics - Latvia - animal sample - faeces - Clinical investigations - Not applicable - Suspect sampling	animal	11	1	Campylobacter coli	1
	Cattle (bovine animals) - adult cattle over 2 years - Farm - Latvia - animal sample - faeces - Clinical investigations - Industry sampling - Suspect sampling	animal	4	2	Campylobacter jejuni	2
	Cattle (bovine animals) - adult cattle over 2 years - Farm - Latvia - animal sample - foetus/stillbirth - Clinical investigations - Industry sampling - Suspect sampling	animal	158	0	Campylobacter	0
	Cattle (bovine animals) - adult cattle over 2 years - Farm - Latvia - animal sample - organ/tissue - Clinical investigations - Industry sampling - Suspect sampling	animal	2	0	Campylobacter	0
	Dogs - pet animals - Veterinary clinics - Latvia - animal sample - faeces - Clinical investigations - Not applicable - Suspect sampling	animal	35	1	Campylobacter jejuni	1
	Sheep - Farm - Latvia - animal sample - foetus/stillbirth - Clinical investigations - Official sampling - Suspect sampling	animal	23	0	Campylobacter	0

#### **Table ECHINOCOCCUS in animal**

		Sampling		Total units		N of units
Area of Sampling	Matrix - Sampling stage - Sampling origin - Sample type - Sampling context - Sampler - Sampling strategy	unit		positive	Zoonoses	positive
Not Available	Cattle (bovine animals) - Slaughterhouse - Latvia - Not Available - Surveillance - Official sampling - Census	animal	91816	0	Echinococcus	0
	Goats - Slaughterhouse - Latvia - Not Available - Surveillance - Official sampling - Census	animal	67	0	Echinococcus	0
	Pigs - fattening pigs - Slaughterhouse - Latvia - Not Available - Surveillance - Official sampling - Census	animal	40722 8	0	Echinococcus	0
	Sheep - Slaughterhouse - Latvia - Not Available - Surveillance - Official sampling - Census	animal	17076	0	Echinococcus	0
	Solipeds, domestic - horses - Slaughterhouse - Latvia - Not Available - Surveillance - Official sampling - Census	animal	274	0	Echinococcus	0

#### **Table ESCHERICHIA COLI in food**

Area of Sampling	Matrix - Sampling stage - Sampling origin - Sample type - Sampling context - Sampler - Sampling strategy	Sampling unit	Sample weight	Sample weight unit	Total units tested	Total units positive	Zoonoses	N of units positive
Not Available	Meat from pig - meat products - raw and intended to be eaten raw - Retail - Latvia - food sample - Surveillance - Official sampling - Objective sampling	batch (food/fee d)	25	Gram	15	0	Verocytotoxigenic E. coli (VTEC)	0
	Meat, mixed meat - meat products - cooked, ready-to-eat - Retail - Estonia - food sample - Surveillance - Official sampling - Objective sampling	batch (food/fee d)	25	Gram	10	0	Verocytotoxigenic E. coli (VTEC)	0
	Meat, mixed meat - meat products - cooked, ready-to-eat - Retail - Latvia - food sample - Surveillance - Official sampling - Objective sampling	batch (food/fee d)	25	Gram	75	2	VTEC O26	2
	Meat, mixed meat - meat products - cooked, ready-to-eat - Retail - Lithuania - food sample - Surveillance - Official sampling - Objective sampling	batch (food/fee d)	25	Gram	15	0	Verocytotoxigenic E. coli (VTEC)	0
	Seeds, sprouted - Processing plant - Latvia - food sample - Surveillance - Official sampling - Objective sampling	batch (food/fee d)	25	Gram	40	0	Verocytotoxigenic E. coli (VTEC)	0

### Table ESCHERICHIA COLI, NON-PATHOGENIC in animal

			Total	Total		
		Sampling	units	units		N of units
Area of Sampling	Matrix - Sampling stage - Sampling origin - Sample type - Sampling context - Sampler - Sampling strategy	unit	tested	positive	Zoonoses	positive
Not Available	Pigs - fattening pigs - Slaughterhouse - Latvia - animal sample - caecum - Monitoring - Official sampling - Objective sampling	herd/floc	150	150	Escherichia coli, non-	150
		k			pathogenic	

#### **Table HISTAMINE in food**

Area of Sampling	Matrix - Sampling stage - Sampling origin - Sample type - Sampling context - Sampler - Sampling strategy	Sampling unit	Sample weight		Total units tested	Total units positive	Method	Zoonoses	N of units tested	N of units positive
Not Available	Fishery products, unspecified - non-ready-to-eat - chilled - Processing plant - Latvia - food sample - Surveillance - Official sampling - Objective sampling	batch (food/fee d)	10	Gram	72	0	>100 TO <= 200	Histamine	0	0
	Fishery products, unspecified - ready-to-eat - Processing plant - Latvia - food sample - Surveillance - Official sampling - Objective sampling	batch (food/fee d)	10	Gram	9	0	>200 TO <= 400	Histamine	0	0

#### **Table LISTERIA** in animal

		Sampling	Total units	Total units		N of units
Area of Sampling	Matrix - Sampling stage - Sampling origin - Sample type - Sampling context - Sampler - Sampling strategy	unit	tested		Zoonoses	positive
Not Available	Cattle (bovine animals) - adult cattle over 2 years - Farm - Latvia - animal sample - foetus/stillbirth - Clinical investigations - Industry sampling -	animal	158	22	Listeria innocua	5
	Suspect sampling				Listeria monocytogenes	17
					Listeria spp., unspecified	8
	Cattle (bovine animals) - calves (under 1 year) - Farm - Latvia - animal sample - organ/tissue - Clinical investigations - Industry sampling - Suspect sampling	animal	7	0	Listeria	0
	Cattle (bovine animals) - Farm - Latvia - animal sample - blood - Clinical investigations - Industry sampling - Suspect sampling	animal	591	3	Listeria monocytogenes	3
	Cattle (bovine animals) - Farm - Latvia - animal sample - organ/tissue - Clinical investigations - Industry sampling - Suspect sampling	animal	3	0	Listeria	0
	Goats - Farm - Latvia - animal sample - blood - Clinical investigations - Industry sampling - Suspect sampling	animal	3	0	Listeria	0
	Goats - Farm - Latvia - animal sample - organ/tissue - Clinical investigations - Industry sampling - Suspect sampling	animal	2	0	Listeria	0
	Pigs - breeding animals - unspecified - sows - Farm - Latvia - animal sample - foetus/stillbirth - Clinical investigations - Industry sampling - Suspect sampling	animal	13	1	Listeria monocytogenes	1
	Pigs - Farm - Latvia - animal sample - blood - Clinical investigations - Industry sampling - Suspect sampling	animal	9	0	Listeria	0
	Pigs - Farm - Latvia - animal sample - organ/tissue - Clinical investigations - Industry sampling - Suspect sampling	animal	11	0	Listeria	0
	Sheep - animals over 1 year - Farm - Latvia - animal sample - foetus/stillbirth - Clinical investigations - Industry sampling - Suspect sampling	animal	23	1	Listeria monocytogenes	1
	Sheep - animals over 1 year - Farm - Latvia - animal sample - organ/tissue - Clinical investigations - Industry sampling - Suspect sampling	animal	23	3	Listeria monocytogenes	3
	Sheep - Farm - Latvia - animal sample - blood - Clinical investigations - Industry sampling - Suspect sampling	animal	71	0	Listeria	0

### **Table LISTERIA** in food

Area of Sampling	Matrix - Sampling stage - Sampling origin - Sample type - Sampling context - Sampler - Sampling strategy	Sampling unit	Sample weight	Sample weight unit	Total units tested	Total units positive	Method	Zoonoses	N of units tested	N of units positive
Not Available	Cheeses made from cows' milk - fresh - made from pasteurised milk - Retail - Estonia - food sample - Surveillance - Official sampling - Objective sampling	batch (food/fee	1	Gram	5	0	<= 100	Listeria monocytogenes	5	0
	Sample - Surveillance - Official Sampling - Objective Sampling	d)					>100	Listeria monocytogenes	5	0
	Cheeses made from cows' milk - fresh - made from pasteurised milk - Retail - Latvia - food sample - Surveillance - Official sampling - Objective sampling	batch (food/fee	1	Gram	20	0	<= 100	Listeria monocytogenes	20	0
	Sample - Surveillance - Official Sampling - Objective Sampling	d)					>100	Listeria monocytogenes	20	0
	Cheeses made from cows' milk - fresh - made from pasteurised milk - Retail - Lithuania - food sample - Surveillance - Official sampling - Objective sampling	batch (food/fee	1	Gram	5	0	<= 100	Listeria monocytogenes	5	0
	Sample - Surveillance - Official Sampling - Objective Sampling	d)					>100	Listeria monocytogenes	5	0
	Cheeses made from cows' milk - fresh - made from pasteurised milk - Retail - Poland - food sample - Surveillance - Official sampling - Objective sampling	batch (food/fee	1	Gram	5	0	<= 100	Listeria monocytogenes	5	0
	Sample - Surveillance - Official Sampling - Objective Sampling	d)					>100	Listeria monocytogenes	5	0
	Cheeses made from goats' milk - fresh - made from pasteurised milk - Retail - Latvia - food sample - Surveillance - Official sampling - Objective sampling	batch (food/fee	1	Gram	5	0	<= 100	Listeria monocytogenes	5	0
	Sample - Surveillance - Official Sampling - Objective Sampling	d)					>100	Listeria monocytogenes	5	0
	Dairy products (excluding cheeses) - dairy products, not specified - made from pasteurised milk - Retail - Estonia - food sample - Surveillance - Official sampling - Objective sampling	batch (food/fee	1	Gram	10	0	<= 100	Listeria monocytogenes	10	0
	milk - Retail - Estonia - 1000 Sample - Surveillance - Official Sampling - Objective Sampling	d)					>100	Listeria monocytogenes	10	0
	Dairy products (excluding cheeses) - dairy products, not specified - made from pasteurised milk - Retail - Latvia - food sample - Surveillance - Official sampling - Objective sampling	batch (food/fee	1	Gram	55	0	<= 100	Listeria monocytogenes	55	0
		d)					>100	Listeria monocytogenes	55	0
	Dairy products (excluding cheeses) - yoghurt - Retail - Latvia - food sample - Surveillance -	batch	1	Gram	5	0	<= 100	Listeria monocytogenes	5	0
	Official sampling - Objective sampling	(food/fee d)					>100	Listeria monocytogenes	5	0
	Dairy products (excluding cheeses) - yoghurt - Retail - Poland - food sample - Surveillance -	batch	1	Gram	5	0	<= 100	Listeria monocytogenes	5	0
	Official sampling - Objective sampling	(food/fee d)					>100	Listeria monocytogenes	5	0
	Fish - smoked - Retail - Latvia - food sample - Surveillance - Official sampling - Objective	batch (food/fee	1	Gram	50	2	<= 100	Listeria monocytogenes	50	2
	sampling	d)	;e				>100	Listeria monocytogenes	50	0
	Fish - smoked - Retail - Lithuania - food sample - Surveillance - Official sampling - Objective	batch (food/fee	1	Gram	40	0	<= 100	Listeria monocytogenes	40	0
	sampling	d)					>100	Listeria monocytogenes	40	0
	Fishery products, unspecified - ready-to-eat - Retail - Latvia - food sample - Surveillance - Official sampling - Objective sampling	batch (food/foo	1	Gram	20	0	<= 100	Listeria monocytogenes	20	0
	Official sampling - Objective sampling	(food/fee d)					>100	Listeria monocytogenes	20	0
	Fishery products, unspecified - ready-to-eat - Retail - Lithuania - food sample - Surveillance - Official sampling - Objective sampling	batch (food/fee	1	Gram	10	0	<= 100	Listeria monocytogenes	10	0
	Official Sampling - Objective Sampling	d)					>100	Listeria monocytogenes	10	0
	Meat from pig - meat products - raw and intended to be eaten raw - Retail - Estonia - food sample - Surveillance - Official sampling - Objective sampling	batch (food/fee	1	Gram	10	0	<= 100	Listeria monocytogenes	10	0
	sample - Surveillance - Official sampling - Objective sampling	d)					>100	Listeria monocytogenes	10	0
	Meat from pig - meat products - raw and intended to be eaten raw - Retail - Latvia - food	batch	1	Gram	45	0	<= 100	Listeria monocytogenes	45	0
	sample - Surveillance - Official sampling - Objective sampling	(food/fee d)					>100	Listeria monocytogenes	45	0
	Meat from pig - meat products - raw and intended to be eaten raw - Retail - Lithuania - food	batch	1	Gram	5	0	<= 100	Listeria monocytogenes	5	0
	sample - Surveillance - Official sampling - Objective sampling	(food/fee d)					>100	Listeria monocytogenes	5	0
	Meat, mixed meat - meat preparation - intended to be eaten cooked - Processing plant -	batch	1	Gram	10	0	<= 100	Listeria monocytogenes	10	0
	Latvia - food sample - Surveillance - Official sampling - Objective sampling	(food/fee d)					>100	Listeria monocytogenes	10	0

Area of Sampling	Matrix - Sampling stage - Sampling origin - Sample type - Sampling context - Sampler - Sampling strategy	Sampling unit			Total units tested	Total units positive	Method	Zoonoses	N of units tested	N of units positive
Not Available	Meat, mixed meat - meat preparation - intended to be eaten cooked - Retail - Estonia - food sample - Surveillance - Official sampling - Objective sampling	batch (food/foo	1	Gram	20	0	<= 100	Listeria monocytogenes	20	0
	sample - Surveillance - Onicial sampling - Objective sampling	(food/fee d)				>100 List		Listeria monocytogenes	20	0
	Meat, mixed meat - meat preparation - intended to be eaten cooked - Retail - Latvia - food sample - Surveillance - Official sampling - Objective sampling	batch (food/foo	1	Gram	25	0	<= 100	Listeria monocytogenes	25	0
	sample - Surveillance - Onicial sampling - Objective sampling	g (food/fee d)			>100	Listeria monocytogenes	25	0		
	Meat, mixed meat - meat preparation - intended to be eaten cooked - Retail - Lithuania - food sample - Surveillance - Official sampling - Objective sampling	batch (food/fee	1	Gram	20	0	<= 100	Listeria monocytogenes	20	0
	Sample - Surveillance - Official Sampling - Objective Sampling	d)					>100	Listeria monocytogenes	20	0
	Meat, mixed meat - meat preparation - intended to be eaten cooked - Retail - Spain - food sample - Surveillance - Official sampling - Objective sampling	batch (food/foo	1	Gram	5	0	<= 100	Listeria monocytogenes	5	0
	Sample - Surveillance - Official Sampling - Objective Sampling	bjective sampling (food/fee d)			>100	Listeria monocytogenes	5	0		

#### **Table LYSSAVIRUS in animal**

			Total	Total		
Area of Sampling	Matrix - Sampling stage - Sampling origin - Sample type - Sampling context - Sampler - Sampling strategy	Sampling unit	units tested	units	Zoonoses	N of units positive
			iesieu	•		
Latvija (NUTS	Badgers - wild - Natural habitat - Latvia - animal sample - brain - Monitoring - passive - Official sampling - Suspect sampling	animal	4	0	Lyssavirus	0
level 2)	Cats - Veterinary clinics - Latvia - animal sample - brain - Monitoring - passive - Official sampling - Suspect sampling	animal	20	0	Lyssavirus	0
	Cattle (bovine animals) - Farm - Latvia - animal sample - brain - Monitoring - passive - Official sampling - Suspect sampling	animal	3	0	Lyssavirus	0
	Deer - wild - roe deer - Natural habitat - Latvia - animal sample - brain - Monitoring - passive - Official sampling - Suspect sampling	animal	1	0	Lyssavirus	0
	Dogs - Veterinary clinics - Latvia - animal sample - brain - Monitoring - passive - Official sampling - Suspect sampling	animal	10	0	Lyssavirus	0
	Foxes - wild - Natural habitat - Latvia - animal sample - brain - Monitoring - passive - Official sampling - Suspect sampling	animal	83	0	Lyssavirus	0
	Goats - Farm - Latvia - animal sample - brain - Monitoring - passive - Official sampling - Suspect sampling	animal	1	0	Lyssavirus	0
_	Hedgehogs - wild - Natural habitat - Latvia - animal sample - brain - Monitoring - passive - Official sampling - Suspect sampling	animal	1	0	Lyssavirus	0
	Jackals - wild - Natural habitat - Latvia - animal sample - brain - Monitoring - passive - Official sampling - Suspect sampling	animal	1	0	Lyssavirus	0
	Marten - wild - Natural habitat - Latvia - animal sample - brain - Monitoring - passive - Official sampling - Suspect sampling	animal	8	0	Lyssavirus	0
	Minks - wild - Natural habitat - Latvia - animal sample - brain - Monitoring - passive - Official sampling - Suspect sampling	animal	1	0	Lyssavirus	0
	Other animals - Veterinary clinics - Latvia - animal sample - brain - Monitoring - passive - Official sampling - Suspect sampling	animal	2	0	Lyssavirus	0
	Rabbits - pet animals - Veterinary clinics - Latvia - animal sample - brain - Monitoring - passive - Official sampling - Suspect sampling	animal	1	0	Lyssavirus	0
	Raccoon dogs - wild - Natural habitat - Latvia - animal sample - brain - Monitoring - passive - Official sampling - Suspect sampling	animal	66	0	Lyssavirus	0
R	Rats - pet animal - Veterinary clinics - Latvia - animal sample - brain - Monitoring - passive - Official sampling - Suspect sampling	animal	1	0	Lyssavirus	0
	Sheep - Farm - Latvia - animal sample - brain - Monitoring - passive - Official sampling - Suspect sampling	animal	1	0	Lyssavirus	0
	Squirrels - wild - Natural habitat - Latvia - animal sample - brain - Monitoring - passive - Official sampling - Suspect sampling	animal	1	0	Lyssavirus	0
	Wild boars - wild - Natural habitat - Latvia - animal sample - brain - Monitoring - passive - Official sampling - Suspect sampling	animal	1	0	Lyssavirus	0

#### **Table MYCOBACTERIUM in animal**

			Total	Total		
		Sampling	units	units		N of units
Area of Sampling	Matrix - Sampling stage - Sampling origin - Sample type - Sampling context - Sampler - Sampling strategy	unit	tested	positive	Zoonoses	positive
Not Available	Gallus gallus (fowl) - laying hens - adult - Farm - Latvia - Not Available - Surveillance - Industry sampling - Objective sampling	animal	51	0	Mycobacterium avium complex	0
	Pigs - Farm - Latvia - Not Available - Surveillance - Industry sampling - Objective sampling	animal	2328	0	Mycobacterium avium complex	0

### **Table SALMONELLA in animal**

Area of Sampling	Matrix - Sampling stage - Sampling origin - Sample type - Sampling context - Sampler - Sampling strategy	Sampling unit	N of flocks under contro programme	I Target verification	Total units tested	Total units positive	Zoonoses	N of units positive
Not Available	Cats - pet animals - Veterinary clinics - Latvia - animal sample - faeces - Clinical investigations - Industry sampling - Suspect sampling	animal		N_A	11	0	Salmonella	0
	Cats - pet animals - Veterinary clinics - Latvia - animal sample - organ/tissue - Clinical investigations - Industry sampling - Suspect sampling	animal		N_A	4	0	Salmonella	0
	Cattle (bovine animals) - calves (under 1 year) - Farm - Latvia - animal sample - faeces - Clinical investigations - Industry sampling - Suspect sampling	animal		N_A	180	0	Salmonella	0
	Cattle (bovine animals) - Farm - Latvia - animal sample - faeces - Clinical investigations - Industry sampling - Suspect sampling	animal		N_A	4	0	Salmonella	0
	Cattle (bovine animals) - Farm - Latvia - animal sample - foetus/stillbirth - Clinical investigations - Industry sampling - Suspect sampling	animal		N_A	158	0	Salmonella	0
	Cattle (bovine animals) - Farm - Latvia - animal sample - organ/tissue - Clinical investigations - Industry sampling - Suspect sampling	animal		N_A	150	0	Salmonella	0
	Dogs - pet animals - Veterinary clinics - Latvia - animal sample - faeces - Clinical investigations - Industry sampling - Suspect sampling	animal		N_A	36	3	Salmonella spp., unspecified	3
	Dogs - pet animals - Veterinary clinics - Latvia - animal sample - organ/tissue - Clinical investigations - Industry sampling - Suspect sampling	animal		N_A	13	0	Salmonella	0
	Fur animals - farmed - Farm - Latvia - animal sample - organ/tissue - Clinical investigations - Industry sampling - Suspect sampling	animal		N_A	17	0	Salmonella	0
]	Gallus gallus (fowl) - broilers - before slaughter - Farm - Latvia - environmental sample - boot swabs - Control and eradication programmes - Official and industry sampling - Census	herd/floc k	641	Υ	641	0	Salmonella	0
	Gallus gallus (fowl) - laying hens - adult - Farm - Latvia - environmental sample - boot swabs and dust - Control and eradication programmes - Official and industry sampling - Census	herd/floc k	47	Υ	47	0	Salmonella	0
	Gallus gallus (fowl) - laying hens - day-old chicks - Farm - Not Available - animal sample - organ/tissue - Control and eradication programmes - Industry sampling - Census	herd/floc k		N_A	21	0	Salmonella	0
	Gallus gallus (fowl) - laying hens - during rearing period - Farm - Latvia - animal sample - faeces - Control and eradication programmes - Industry sampling - Census	herd/floc k		N_A	26	0	Salmonella	0
	Gallus gallus (fowl) - parent breeding flocks for broiler production line - adult - Farm - Latvia - environmental sample - boot swabs - Control and eradication programmes - Official and industry sampling - Census	herd/floc k	36	Υ	36	0	Salmonella	0
	Gallus gallus (fowl) - parent breeding flocks for broiler production line - day-old chicks - Farm - Finland - animal sample - organ/tissue - Control and eradication programmes - Industry sampling - Census	herd/floc k		N_A	20	0	Salmonella	0
	Gallus gallus (fowl) - parent breeding flocks for broiler production line - during rearing period - Farm - Latvia - environmental sample - boot swabs - Control and eradication programmes - Industry sampling - Census	herd/floc k		N_A	26	0	Salmonella	0
	Pigs - Farm - Latvia - animal sample - foetus/stillbirth - Clinical investigations - Industry sampling - Suspect sampling	animal		N_A	13	0	Salmonella	0
	Pigs - Farm - Latvia - animal sample - organ/tissue - Clinical investigations - Industry sampling - Suspect sampling	animal		N_A	12	1	Salmonella spp., unspecified	1
	Quails - laying hens - Farm - Latvia - animal sample - faeces - Surveillance - Official sampling - Objective sampling	herd/floc k		N_A	25	1	Salmonella Enteritidis	1
1	Sheep - Farm - Latvia - animal sample - foetus/stillbirth - Clinical investigations - Industry sampling - Suspect sampling	animal		N_A	23	0	Salmonella	0
	Sheep - Farm - Latvia - animal sample - organ/tissue - Clinical investigations - Industry sampling - Suspect sampling	animal		N_A	26	1	Salmonella spp., unspecified	1

### **Table SALMONELLA in food**

Area of Sampling	Matrix - Sampling stage - Sampling origin - Sample type - Sampling context - Sampler - Sampling strategy	Sampling unit	Sample weight	Sample weight unit	Total units tested	Total units positive	Zoonoses	N of units positive
Not Available	Cheeses made from cows' milk - fresh - made from pasteurised milk - Retail - Estonia - food sample - Surveillance - Official sampling - Objective sampling	batch (food/fee d)	25	Gram	5	0	Salmonella	0
	Cheeses made from cows' milk - fresh - made from pasteurised milk - Retail - Latvia - food sample - Surveillance - Official sampling - Objective sampling	batch (food/fee d)	25	Gram	30	0	Salmonella	0
	Cheeses made from cows' milk - fresh - made from pasteurised milk - Retail - Lithuania - food sample - Surveillance - Official sampling - Objective sampling	batch (food/fee d)	25	Gram	5	0	Salmonella	0
	Cheeses made from cows' milk - fresh - made from pasteurised milk - Retail - Poland - food sample - Surveillance - Official sampling - Objective sampling	batch (food/fee d)	25	Gram	5	0	Salmonella	0
	Dairy products (excluding cheeses) - butter - made from pasteurised milk - Retail - Latvia - food sample - Surveillance - Official sampling - Objective sampling	batch (food/fee d)	25	Gram	20	0	Salmonella	0
	Dairy products (excluding cheeses) - dairy products, not specified - made from pasteurised milk - Retail - Estonia - food sample - Surveillance - Official sampling - Objective sampling	batch (food/fee d)	25	Gram	25	0	Salmonella	0
	Dairy products (excluding cheeses) - dairy products, not specified - made from pasteurised milk - Retail - Germany - food sample - Surveillance - Official sampling - Objective sampling	batch (food/fee d)	25	Gram	5	0	Salmonella	0
	Dairy products (excluding cheeses) - dairy products, not specified - made from pasteurised milk - Retail - Latvia - food sample - Surveillance - Official sampling - Objective sampling	batch (food/fee d)	25	Gram	90	0	Salmonella	0
	Dairy products (excluding cheeses) - dairy products, not specified - made from pasteurised milk - Retail - Lithuania - food sample - Surveillance - Official sampling - Objective sampling	batch (food/fee d)	25	Gram	35	0	Salmonella	0
	Dairy products (excluding cheeses) - dairy products, not specified - made from pasteurised milk - Retail - Poland - food sample - Surveillance - Official sampling - Objective sampling	batch (food/fee d)	25	Gram	15	0	Salmonella	0
	Egg products - liquid - Processing plant - Latvia - food sample - Surveillance - Official sampling - Objective sampling	batch (food/fee d)	25	Gram	30	0	Salmonella	0
	Fish - smoked - Retail - Estonia - food sample - Surveillance - Official sampling - Objective sampling	batch (food/fee d)	25	Gram	5	0	Salmonella	0
	Fish - smoked - Retail - Latvia - food sample - Surveillance - Official sampling - Objective sampling	batch (food/fee d)	25	Gram	65	0	Salmonella	0
	Fish - smoked - Retail - Lithuania - food sample - Surveillance - Official sampling - Objective sampling	batch (food/fee d)	25	Gram	25	0	Salmonella	0
	Fish - smoked - Retail - Norway - food sample - Surveillance - Official sampling - Objective sampling	batch (food/fee d)	25	Gram	10	0	Salmonella	0
	Fishery products, unspecified - ready-to-eat - Retail - Estonia - food sample - Surveillance - Official sampling - Objective sampling	batch (food/fee d)	25	Gram	5	0	Salmonella	0
	Fishery products, unspecified - ready-to-eat - Retail - Latvia - food sample - Surveillance - Official sampling - Objective sampling	batch (food/fee d)	25	Gram	65	0	Salmonella	0

Area of Sampling	Matrix - Sampling stage - Sampling origin - Sample type - Sampling context - Sampler - Sampling strategy	Sampling unit	Sample weight	Sample weight unit	Total units tested	Total units positive	Zoonoses	N of units positive
Not Available	Fishery products, unspecified - ready-to-eat - Retail - Lithuania - food sample - Surveillance - Official sampling - Objective sampling	batch (food/fee d)	25	Gram	60	0	Salmonella	0
	Meat from bovine animals - carcase - Slaughterhouse - Latvia - food sample - carcase swabs - Surveillance - Official sampling - Objective sampling	batch (food/fee d)	100	Square centimetre	150	0	Salmonella	0
	Meat from bovine animals - meat products - raw and intended to be eaten raw - Retail - Spain - food sample - Surveillance - Official sampling - Objective sampling	batch (food/fee d)	25	Gram	5	0	Salmonella	0
	Meat from broilers (Gallus gallus) - carcase - Slaughterhouse - Latvia - food sample - neck skin - Surveillance - Official sampling - Objective sampling	batch (food/fee d)	25	Gram	100	4	Salmonella Typhimurium	4
	Meat from broilers (Gallus gallus) - fresh - Retail - Estonia - food sample - Surveillance - Official sampling - Objective sampling	batch (food/fee d)	25	Gram	20	0	Salmonella	0
	Meat from broilers (Gallus gallus) - fresh - Retail - Latvia - food sample - Surveillance - Official sampling - Objective sampling	batch (food/fee d)	25	Gram	130	0	Salmonella	0
	Meat from broilers (Gallus gallus) - fresh - Retail - Lithuania - food sample - Surveillance - Official sampling - Objective sampling	batch (food/fee d)	25	Gram	55	2	Salmonella Enteritidis	2
	Meat from broilers (Gallus gallus) - fresh - Retail - Netherlands - food sample - Surveillance - Official sampling - Objective sampling	batch (food/fee d)	25	Gram	10	0	Salmonella	0
	Meat from broilers (Gallus gallus) - fresh - Retail - Poland - food sample - Surveillance - Official sampling - Objective sampling	batch (food/fee d)	25	Gram	15	0	Salmonella	0
	Meat from broilers (Gallus gallus) - fresh - Retail - United Kingdom - food sample - Surveillance - Official sampling - Objective sampling	batch (food/fee d)	25	Gram	10	0	Salmonella	0
	Meat from broilers (Gallus gallus) - meat preparation - intended to be eaten cooked - Retail - Estonia - food sample - Surveillance - Official sampling - Objective sampling	batch (food/fee d)	25	Gram	20	0	Salmonella	0
	Meat from broilers (Gallus gallus) - meat preparation - intended to be eaten cooked - Retail - Latvia - food sample - Surveillance - Official sampling - Objective sampling	batch (food/fee d)	25	Gram	15	0	Salmonella	0
	Meat from broilers (Gallus gallus) - meat products - raw and intended to be eaten raw - Retail - Estonia - food sample - Surveillance - Official sampling - Objective sampling	batch (food/fee d)	25	Gram	20	0	Salmonella	0
	Meat from broilers (Gallus gallus) - meat products - raw and intended to be eaten raw - Retail - Latvia - food sample - Surveillance - Official sampling - Objective sampling	batch (food/fee d)	25	Gram	30	0	Salmonella	0
	Meat from broilers (Gallus gallus) - meat products - raw and intended to be eaten raw - Retail - Lithuania - food sample - Surveillance - Official sampling - Objective sampling	batch (food/fee d)	25	Gram	5	0	Salmonella	0
	Meat from broilers (Gallus gallus) - minced meat - intended to be eaten cooked - Retail - Estonia - food sample - Surveillance - Official sampling - Objective sampling	batch (food/fee d)	25	Gram	10	0	Salmonella	0
	Meat from broilers (Gallus gallus) - minced meat - intended to be eaten cooked - Retail - Lithuania - food sample - Surveillance - Official sampling - Objective sampling	batch (food/fee d)	25	Gram	5	0	Salmonella	0
	Meat from duck - fresh - chilled - Retail - Poland - food sample - Surveillance - Official sampling - Objective sampling	batch (food/fee d)	25	Gram	5	4	Salmonella Give	4

Area of Sampling	Matrix - Sampling stage - Sampling origin - Sample type - Sampling context - Sampler - Sampling strategy	Sampling unit	Sample weight	Sample weight unit	Total units tested	Total units positive	Zoonoses	N of units positive
Not Available	Meat from pig - carcase - Slaughterhouse - Latvia - food sample - carcase swabs - Surveillance - Official sampling - Objective sampling	batch (food/fee d)	100	Square centimetre	350	3	Salmonella Derby	3
	Meat from pig - meat preparation - intended to be eaten cooked - Retail - Estonia - food sample - Surveillance - Official sampling - Objective sampling	batch (food/fee d)	10	Gram	5	0	Salmonella	0
	Meat from pig - meat preparation - intended to be eaten cooked - Retail - Latvia - food sample - Surveillance - Official sampling - Objective sampling	batch (food/fee d)	10	Gram	60	0	Salmonella	0
	Meat from pig - meat products - raw and intended to be eaten raw - Retail - Estonia - food sample - Surveillance - Official sampling - Objective sampling	batch (food/fee d)	25	Gram	5	0	Salmonella	0
	Meat from pig - meat products - raw and intended to be eaten raw - Retail - Latvia - food sample - Surveillance - Official sampling - Objective sampling	batch (food/fee d)	25	Gram	40	0	Salmonella	0
	Meat from pig - meat products - raw and intended to be eaten raw - Retail - Lithuania - food sample - Surveillance - Official sampling - Objective sampling	batch (food/fee d)	25	Gram	20	0	Salmonella	0
	Meat from pig - minced meat - intended to be eaten cooked - Retail - Lithuania - food sample - Surveillance - Official sampling - Objective sampling	batch (food/fee d)	10	Gram	20	0	Salmonella	0
	Meat from turkey - meat preparation - intended to be eaten cooked - Retail - Lithuania - food sample - Surveillance - Official sampling - Objective sampling	batch (food/fee d)	25	Gram	5	0	Salmonella	0
	Meat from turkey - minced meat - intended to be eaten cooked - Retail - Lithuania - food sample - Surveillance - Official sampling - Objective sampling	batch (food/fee d)	25	Gram	10	0	Salmonella	0
	Meat, mixed meat - meat preparation - intended to be eaten cooked - Retail - Estonia - food sample - Surveillance - Official sampling - Objective sampling	batch (food/fee d)	10	Gram	5	0	Salmonella	0
	Meat, mixed meat - meat preparation - intended to be eaten cooked - Retail - Latvia - food sample - Surveillance - Official sampling - Objective sampling	batch (food/fee d)	10	Gram	15	0	Salmonella	0
	Meat, mixed meat - meat preparation - intended to be eaten cooked - Retail - Lithuania - food sample - Surveillance - Official sampling - Objective sampling	batch (food/fee d)	10	Gram	15	0	Salmonella	0
	Meat, mixed meat - meat products - cooked, ready-to-eat - Retail - Estonia - food sample - Surveillance - Official sampling - Objective sampling	batch (food/fee d)	25	Gram	15	0	Salmonella	0
	Meat, mixed meat - meat products - cooked, ready-to-eat - Retail - Latvia - food sample - Surveillance - Official sampling - Objective sampling	batch (food/fee d)	25	Gram	30	0	Salmonella	0
	Meat, mixed meat - meat products - cooked, ready-to-eat - Retail - Lithuania - food sample - Surveillance - Official sampling - Objective sampling	batch (food/fee d)	25	Gram	30	0	Salmonella	0
	Meat, mixed meat - meat products - cooked, ready-to-eat - Retail - Poland - food sample - Surveillance - Official sampling - Objective sampling	batch (food/fee d)	25	Gram	5	0	Salmonella	0

#### **Table SALMONELLA in feed**

Area of Sampling	Matrix - Sampling stage - Sampling origin - Sample type - Sampling context - Sampler - Sampling strategy	Sampling unit	Sample weight	Sample weight unit	Total units tested	Total units positive	Zoonoses	N of units positive
Not Available	Compound feedingstuffs for pigs - final product - Feed mill - Latvia - feed sample - Surveillance - Official sampling - Objective sampling	batch (food/fee d)	25	Gram	3	0	Salmonella	0
	Compound feedingstuffs for poultry, breeders - final product - Feed mill - Latvia - feed sample - Surveillance - Official sampling - Objective sampling	batch (food/fee d)	25	Gram	1	0	Salmonella	0
	Compound feedingstuffs for poultry, broilers - final product - Feed mill - Latvia - feed sample - Surveillance - Official sampling - Objective sampling	batch (food/fee d)	25	Gram	4	0	Salmonella	0
	Compound feedingstuffs for poultry, laying hens - final product - Feed mill - Latvia - feed sample - Surveillance - Official sampling - Objective sampling	batch (food/fee d)	25	Gram	11	0	Salmonella	0
	Feed material of marine animal origin - fish meal - Feed mill - Latvia - feed sample - Surveillance - Official sampling - Objective sampling	batch (food/fee d)	25	Gram	8	1	Salmonella Meleagridis	1
	Feed material of oil seed or fruit origin - rape seed derived - Feed mill - Latvia - feed sample - Surveillance - Official sampling - Objective sampling	batch (food/fee d)	25	Gram	1	0	Salmonella	0
	Pet food - final product - Feed mill - Latvia - feed sample - Surveillance - Official sampling - Objective sampling	batch (food/fee d)	25	Gram	6	0	Salmonella	0

#### **Table TOXOPLASMA** in animal

Area of Sampling	Matrix - Sampling stage - Sampling origin - Sample type - Sampling context - Sampler - Sampling strategy	Sampling unit		Total units positive	Zoonoses	N of units positive
Not Available	Cats - pet animals - Veterinary clinics - Latvia - animal sample - blood - Clinical investigations - Not applicable - Suspect sampling	animal	97	25	Toxoplasma gondii	25
	Dogs - pet animals - Veterinary clinics - Latvia - animal sample - blood - Clinical investigations - Not applicable - Suspect sampling	animal	59	21	Toxoplasma gondii	21
	Goats - Farm - Latvia - animal sample - blood - Clinical investigations - Industry sampling - Suspect sampling	animal	3	3	Toxoplasma gondii	3
	Sheep - Farm - Latvia - animal sample - blood - Clinical investigations - Industry sampling - Suspect sampling	animal	66	18	Toxoplasma gondii	18

#### **Table TRICHINELLA in animal**

		Sampling	Total units	Total units		N of units
Area of Sampling	Matrix - Sampling stage - Sampling origin - Sample type - Sampling context - Sampler - Sampling strategy	unit	tested	positive	Zoonoses	positive
Not Available	Lynx - wild - Hunting - Latvia - animal sample - organ/tissue - Monitoring - Not applicable - Census	animal	1	1	Trichinella, unspecified sp.	1
	Pigs - fattening pigs - not raised under controlled housing conditions - Slaughterhouse - Latvia - animal sample - organ/tissue - Surveillance - Official sampling - Census	animal	40722 8	0	Trichinella	0
	Solipeds, domestic - horses - Slaughterhouse - Latvia - animal sample - organ/tissue - Surveillance - Official sampling - Census	animal	274	0	Trichinella	0
	Wild boars - wild - Hunting - Latvia - animal sample - organ/tissue - Surveillance - Official sampling - Census	animal	7947	38	Trichinella, unspecified sp.	38

#### **Table YERSINIA** in animal

Area of Sampling	Matrix - Sampling stage - Sampling origin - Sample type - Sampling context - Sampler - Sampling strategy	Sampling unit		Total units positive	Zoonoses	N of units positive
Not Available	Cats - pet animals - Veterinary clinics - Latvia - animal sample - faeces - Clinical investigations - Not applicable - Suspect sampling	animal	11	0	Yersinia	0
	Cattle (bovine animals) - Farm - Latvia - animal sample - faeces - Clinical investigations - Industry sampling - Suspect sampling	animal	8	0	Yersinia	0
	Dogs - pet animals - Veterinary clinics - Latvia - animal sample - faeces - Clinical investigations - Not applicable - Suspect sampling	animal	35	0	Yersinia	0
	Pigs - Farm - Latvia - animal sample - faeces - Clinical investigations - Industry sampling - Suspect sampling	animal	11	0	Yersinia	0
	Pigs - Farm - Latvia - animal sample - organ/tissue - Clinical investigations - Industry sampling - Suspect sampling	animal	5	0	Yersinia	0

## **FOODBORNE OUTBREAKS TABLES**

### **Foodborne Outbreaks: summarized data**

	Outbr stren		Stro	ng			Wea	k	
Causative agent	Food vehicle	N outbreaks	N human cases	N hospitalized	N deaths	N outbreaks	N human cases	N hospitalized	N deaths
Adenoviridae	Unknown					2	4	1	0
Giardia	Unknown					3	6	0	0
Listeria	Unknown					2	4	2	0
Norovirus	Unknown					37	262	57	0
Rotavirus	Unknown					4	8	8	0
Salmonella Bovismorbificans	Fish and fish products	3	35	18	0				
	Unknown					1	2	0	0
Salmonella Daytona	Unknown					1	2	1	0
Salmonella Enteritidis	Fish and fish products	1	15	11	0				
Salmonella Enteritidis Not typable	Unknown					20	134	38	0
Salmonella Typhimurium	Unknown					7	20	14	0
Unknown	Other or mixed red meat and products thereof	f 1	128	0	0				
	Fruit, berries and juices and other products the	ereof		·		1	2	2	0
	Unknown					13	31	13	0
Yersinia enterocolitica	Unknown	_	_	<u>-</u>	_	2	18	3	0

# **Strong Foodborne Outbreaks: detailed data**

Causative agent	Other Causative Agent	FBO nat. code	Outbreak type	Food vehicle	More food vehicle info	Nature of evidence	Setting	Place of origin of problem	Origin of food vehicle	Contributory factors	Comment	N outbreak	N human s cases		N p. deaths
Salmonell a Bovismor bificans	unknown	N_A	General	Fish and fish products	Beer battered fried fish	Analytical epidemiologic al evidence	Restaur ant or Cafe or Pub or Bar or Hotel or Catering service	Restaurant or Cafe or Pub or Bar or Hotel or Catering service	Latvia	Unprocessed contaminated ingredient	N_A	1	20	15	0
					Little salted s almon	Analytical epidemiologic al evidence	Restaur ant or Cafe or Pub or Bar or Hotel or Catering service	Restaurant or Cafe or Pub or Bar or Hotel or Catering service	Latvia	Unprocessed contaminated ingredient	N_A	1	7	2	0
					Stuffed chicken fillet with cheese	Analytical epidemiologic al evidence	Restaur ant or Cafe or Pub or Bar or Hotel or Catering service	Restaurant or Cafe or Pub or Bar or Hotel or Catering service	Latvia	Unprocessed contaminated ingredient	N_A	1	8	1	0
Salmonell a Enteritidis	unknown	N_A	General	Fish and fish products	Fried fish marinated	Detection of causative agent in food vehicle or its component - Detection of indistinguishable causative agent in humans	Restaur ant or Cafe or Pub or Bar or Hotel or Catering service	Restaurant or Cafe or Pub or Bar or Hotel or Catering service	Latvia	Cross- contamination	The same culture (with PFGE) detected in cases, products, kitchen equipment	1	15	11	0
Unknown	unknown	N_A	General	Other or mixed red meat and products thereof	Chopped meat meal with rice	Analytical epidemiologic al evidence	School or kinderga rten	School or kindergart en	Latvia	NOT AVAILABLE	N_A	1	128	0	0

## Weak Foodborne Outbreaks: detailed data

Causative agent	Other Causative Agent	FBO nat. code	Outbreak type	Food vehicle	More food vehicle info	Nature of evidence	Setting	Place of origin of problem	Origin of food	I Contributory factors	Comment	N outbreaks	N humar cases		
Adenoviri dae	unknown	N_A	General	Unknown	N_A	Unknown	School or kindergart en	School or kindergart en	Unknown	NOT AVAILABLE	N_A	2	4	1	0
Giardia	unknown	N_A	Househol d / domestic kitchen	Unknown	N_A	Unknown	Househol d	Unknown	Unknown	NOT AVAILABLE	N_A	2	4	0	0
			Unknown	Unknown	N_A	Unknown	Unknown	Travel abroad	Thailand	NOT AVAILABLE	N_A	1	2	0	0
Listeria	unknown	N_A	Unknown	Unknown	N_A	Unknown	Unknown	Unknown	Unknown	NOT AVAILABLE	N_A	2	4	2	0
Norovirus	Adenovirus	N_A	Househol d / domestic kitchen	Unknown	N_A	Unknown	Househol d	Househol d	Unknown	NOT AVAILABLE	Different combinations of viruses for each outbreak: N+As+Ad, 2(R+N), Ad+R, Ad+R+N	6	12	9	0
	Rotavirus	N_A	General	Unknown	N_A	Unknown	School or kindergart en	School or kindergart en	Unknown	NOT AVAILABLE	N_A	2	36	4	0
	unknown	N_A	General	Unknown	N_A	Unknown	Hospital or medical care facility	Hospital or medical care facility	Unknown	NOT AVAILABLE	N_A	4	24	20	0
							Restauran t or Cafe or Pub or Bar or Hotel or Catering service	Restauran t or Cafe or Pub or Bar or Hotel or Catering service	Unknown	NOT AVAILABLE	N_A	2	5	2	0
							School or kindergart en	School or kindergart en	Unknown	NOT AVAILABLE	N_A	23	185	22	0
Rotavirus	unknown	N_A	General	Unknown	N_A	Unknown	Hospital or medical care facility	Hospital or medical care facility	Unknown	NOT AVAILABLE	N_A	4	8	8	0
Salmonell a Bovismor bificans	unknown	N_A	Househol d / domestic kitchen	Unknown	N_A	Unknown	Househol d	Unknown	Unknown	NOT AVAILABLE	N_A	1	2	0	0
Salmonell a Daytona	unknown	N_A	Househol d / domestic kitchen	Unknown	N_A	Unknown	Househol d	Unknown	Unknown	NOT AVAILABLE	N_A	1	2	1	0

Causative agent	Other Causative Agent	FBO nat. code	Outbreak type	Food vehicle	More food vehicle info	Nature of evidence	Setting	Place of origin of problem	Origin of foo	d Contributory factors	Comment	N outbreaks	N humar s cases		
Salmonell a Enteritidis	unknown	1	General	Unknown	N_A	Unknown	School or kindergart en	Unknown	Unknown	NOT AVAILABLE	N_A	2	27	3	0
Not typable		2	General	Unknown	N_A	Unknown	School or kindergart en	Unknown	Unknown	NOT AVAILABLE	N_A	1	58	0	0
		N_A	General	Unknown	N_A	Unknown	Restauran t or Cafe or Pub or Bar or Hotel or Catering service	Unknown	Unknown	NOT AVAILABLE	N_A	1	2	1	0
							Temporar y mass catering (fairs or festivals)	Unknown	Unknown	NOT AVAILABLE	N_A	1	6	2	0
			Househol d / domestic kitchen	Unknown	N_A	Unknown	Househol d	Unknown	Unknown	NOT AVAILABLE	N_A	15	41	32	0
Salmonell a Typhimuri um	unknown	N_A	Househol d / domestic kitchen	Unknown	N_A	Unknown	Househol d	Unknown	Latvia	NOT AVAILABLE	N_A	7	20	14	0
Unknown	unknown	N_A	General	Unknown	N_A	Unknown	School or kindergart en	School or kindergart en	Unknown	NOT AVAILABLE	N_A	12	29	13	0
			Househol d / domestic kitchen	Fruit, berries and juices and other products thereof	N_A	Unknown	Househol d	Househol d	Latvia	Cross- contaminatio n	Escherichia coli, non-pathogenic was the causative agent	1	2	2	0
				Unknown	N_A	Unknown	Househol d	Unknown	Unknown	NOT AVAILABLE	Food toxicoinfection	1	2	0	0
Yersinia enterocoli tica	unknown	N_A	General	Unknown	N_A	Unknown	School or kindergart en	Unknown	Unknown	NOT AVAILABLE	N_A	2	18	3	0

### ANTIMICROBIAL RESISTANCE TABLES FOR CAMPYLOBACTER

#### ANTIMICROBIAL RESISTANCE TABLES FOR SALMONELLA

Table Antimicrobial susceptibility testing of Salmonella Derby in Meat from pig - carcase

Sampling Stage: Slaughterhouse Sampling Type: food sample - carcase swabs Sampling Context: Monitoring

Sampler: Official sampling Sampling Strategy: Objective sampling Programme Code: AMR MON

Analytical Method: Micromethod dilution (in microtiter plate)

Country of Origin: Latvia

AM substance	Ampicillin	Azithromycin	Cefotaxim	Ceftazidim	Chloramphenicol	Ciprofloxacin	Colistin	Gentamicin	Meropenem	Nalidixic acid	Sulfamethoxazole	Tetracycline	Tigecycline	Trimethoprim
ECOFF	8	16	0.5	2	16	0.064	2	2	0.125	16	256	8	1	2
Lowest limit	1	2	0.25	0.5	8	0.015	1	0.5	0.03	4	8	2	0.25	0.25
Highest limit	64	64	4	8	128	8	16	32	16	128	1024	64	8	32
N of tested isolates	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2
N of resistant MIC isolates	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	2	0	0
0.03						1								
0.5														1
1								1					2	
8		2												
>64												2		
>1024											2			
<=0.015						1								
<=0.03									2					
<=0.25			2											1
<=0.5				2				1						
<=1	2		_	_	_	_	2		_	_		_	-	
<=4										2				
<=8					2									

### ANTIMICROBIAL RESISTANCE TABLES FOR INDICATOR ESCHERICHIA COLI

Cofotavimo +

Table Antimicrobial susceptibility testing of Escherichia coli, non-pathogenic, unspecified in Meat from bovine animals - fresh

Sampling Stage: Slaughterhouse Sampling Type: food sample - meat Sampling Context: Monitoring

Coffazidimo +

Sampler: Official sampling Sampling Sampling Sampling Strategy: Objective sampling Programme Code: ESBL MON pnl2

Analytical Method: Micromethod dilution (in microtiter plate)

Country of Origin: Latvia

	AM			Cefotaxime +			Ceftazidime +				
	substance	Cefepime	Cefotaxim	Clavulanic acid	Cefoxitin	Ceftazidim	Clavulanic acid	Ertapenem	Imipenem	Meropenem	Temocillin
	Cefotaxime synergy test	Not Available	Not Available	Positive/Present	Not Available	Not Available	Not Available	Not Available	Not Available	Not Available	Not Available
	Ceftazidime synergy test	Not Available	Not Available	Not Available	Not Available	Not Available	Positive/Present	Not Available	Not Available	Not Available	Not Available
	ECOFF	0.125	0.25	0.25	8	0.5	0.5	0.06	0.5	0.125	32
	Lowest limit	0.06	0.25	0.06	0.5	0.25	0.12	0.015	0.12	0.03	0.5
	Highest limit	32	64	64	64	128	128	2	16	16	64
	N of tested isolates	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1
MIC	N of resistant isolates	1	1	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0
1						1					
4		1			1						1
16			1								
<=0.015								1			
<=0.03										1	
<=0.06				1							
<=0.12							1		1		

Sampling Stage: Slaughterhouse Sampling Type: food sample - meat Sampling Context: Monitoring

Sampler: Official sampling Sampling Sampling Sampling Strategy: Objective sampling Programme Code: ESBL MON

Analytical Method: Micromethod dilution (in microtiter plate)

Country of Origin: Latvia

	AM substance	Ampicillin .	Azithromyci	n Cefotaxim	Ceftazidim 0	Chloramphenic	ol Ciprofloxacir	n Colistin	Gentamicir	n Meropenem	Nalidixio acid	: Sulfamethoxazol	e Tetracycline	e Tigecycline	Trimethoprim
	ECOFF	8	16	0.25	0.5	16	0.064	2	2	0.125	16	64	8	1	2
	Lowest limit	1	2	0.25	0.5	8	0.015	1	0.5	0.03	4	8	2	0.25	0.25
	Highest limit	64	64	4	8	128	8	16	32	16	128	1024	64	8	32
	N of tested isolates	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1
MIC	N of resistant isolates	1	0	1	1	0	0	0	1	0	0	1	0	0	1
1					1										
4			1												
>4				1											
8									1						
>32															1
>64		1													
>1024												1			
<=0.015							1								
<=0.03										1					
<=0.25														1	
<=1								1							
<=2													1		
<=4											1				
<=8						1									

Sampling Stage: Retail Sampling Type: food sample - meat Sampling Context: Monitoring

Sampler: Official sampling Sampling Sampling Sampling Strategy: Objective sampling Programme Code: ESBL MON pnl2

Analytical Method: Micromethod dilution (in microtiter plate)

Country of Origin: Lithuania

	AM			Cefotaxime +			Ceftazidime +				
	substance	Cefepime	Cefotaxim	Clavulanic acid	Cefoxitin	Ceftazidim	Clavulanic acid	Ertapenem	Imipenem	Meropenem	Temocillin
	Cefotaxime synergy test	Not Available	Not Available	Positive/Present	Not Available	Not Available	Not Available	Not Available	Not Available	Not Available	Not Available
	Ceftazidime synergy test	Not	Not Available	Not Available	Not Available	Not Available	Positive/Present	Not Available	Not Available	Not Available	Not Available
	ECOFF	0.125	0.25	0.25	8	0.5	0.5	0.06	0.5	0.125	32
	Lowest limit	0.06	0.25	0.06	0.5	0.25	0.12	0.015	0.12	0.03	0.5
	Highest limit	32	64	64	64	128	128	2	16	16	64
	N of tested isolates	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1
MIC	N of resistant isolates	1	1	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0
0.25							1				
2						1					
4					1						1
16		1									
32			1								
<=0.015								1			
<=0.03										1	
<=0.06				1							
<=0.12									1		

Sampling Stage: Retail Sampling Type: food sample - meat Sampling Context: Monitoring

Sampler: Official sampling Sampling Sampling Sampling Strategy: Objective sampling Programme Code: ESBL MON

Analytical Method: Micromethod dilution (in microtiter plate)

Country of Origin: Lithuania

	AM substance	Ampicillin A	Azithromyci	n Cefotaxim	Ceftazidim C	Chloramphenic	ol Ciprofloxacir	n Colistin	Gentamicir		Nalidixi acid		e Tetracycline	Tigecycline	Trimethoprim
	ECOFF	8	16	0.25	0.5	16	0.064	2	2	0.125	16	64	8	1	2
	Lowest limit	1	2	0.25	0.5	8	0.015	1	0.5	0.03	4	8	2	0.25	0.25
	Highest limit	64	64	4	8	128	8	16	32	16	128	1024	64	8	32
	N of tested isolates	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1
MIC	N of resistant isolates	1	0	1	1	0	0	0	1	0	0	1	1	0	1
0.03							1								
2					1										
4			1												
>4				1											
8									1						1
16		1											1		
256												1			
<=0.03										1					
<=0.25														1	
<=1								1							
<=4											1				
<=8						1									

Sampling Stage: Retail Sampling Type: food sample - meat Sampling Context: Monitoring

Sampler: Official sampling Sampling Sampling Sampling Strategy: Objective sampling Programme Code: ESBL MON pnl2

Analytical Method: Micromethod dilution (in microtiter plate)

Country of Origin: Latvia

	AM				ne + Clavulanic				lime + Clavulanic				
	substance	Cefepime	Cefotaxim	<u> </u>	acid	Cefoxitin	Ceftazidim		acid	Ertapenem	Imipenem	Meropenem	Temocillin
	Cefotaxime synergy test	Not Available	Not Available	Not Available	Positive/Presen	Not t Available	Not Available	No	ot Available	Not Available	Not Available	Not Available	Not Available
	Ceftazidime synergy test	Not	Not Available	Not Available	Not Available	Not Available	Not Available	Not Available	Positive/Present	Not Available	Not Available	Not Available	Not Available
	ECOFF	0.125	0.25	0.25	0.25	8	0.5	0.5	0.5	0.06	0.5	0.125	32
	Lowest limit	0.06	0.25	0.06	0.06	0.5	0.25	0.12	0.12	0.015	0.12	0.03	0.5
	Highest limit	32	64	64	64	64	128	128	128	2	16	16	64
	N of tested isolates	8	8	8	8	8	8	8	8	8	8	8	8
MIC	N of resistant isolates	7	8	2	2	2	6	2	2	0	0	0	0
0.03										2			
0.12		1											
0.25		2						1	2		1		
0.5							1						
1		1					1						
2			1			1							
4		4	1	2		3	1	2					6
8			1			2							1
16			3				4						1
32			2										
64						2							
<=0.015										6			
<=0.03												8	
<=0.06					6								
<=0.12									3		7		
<=0.25							1						

Sampling Stage: Retail Sampling Type: food sample - meat Sampling Context: Monitoring

Sampler: Official sampling Sampling Sampling Sampling Strategy: Objective sampling Programme Code: ESBL MON

Analytical Method: Micromethod dilution (in microtiter plate)

Country of Origin: Latvia

	AM substance	Ampicillin .	∧zithromyci	n Cofotavim	Coftazidim C	hloramphonic	ol Ciprofloxacir	Colietin	Gontamicin	Morononom	Nalidixid	Sulfamethoxazol	o Totracycline	Tigocyclino	Trimotho
	ECOFF	8	16	0.25	0.5	16	0.064	2	2	0.125	16	64	8	1	2
	Lowest limit	1	2	0.25	0.5	8	0.015	1	0.5	0.03	4	8	2	0.25	0.25
	Highest limit	64	64	4	8	128	8	16	32	16	128	1024	64	8	32
	N of tested isolates	8	8	8	8	8	8	8	8	8	8	8	8	8	8
	N of resistant isolates	8	0	8	6	1	3	0	0	0	3	8	2	0	5
3							1								
:5							1								
							1								1
				1	1				6						
								4							
			6	1			1								
				6											
			2		2										
					3										
						1									
2															5
						1									
4		8											2		
3											1				
28											2				
)24												8			
0.015							4								
0.03										8					
0.25														8	2
).5					2				2						
<u> </u>								4							
2													6		

	AM										Nalidixi	С			
	substance /	Ampicillin	Azithromyci	n Cefotaxim	Ceftazidim (	Chloramphenic	ol Ciprofloxacir	n Colistin	Gentamicii	n Meropenem	acid	Sulfamethoxazole	e Tetracycline	e Tigecycline	Trimethoprim
	ECOFF	8	16	0.25	0.5	16	0.064	2	2	0.125	16	64	8	1	2
	Lowest limit	1	2	0.25	0.5	8	0.015	1	0.5	0.03	4	8	2	0.25	0.25
	Highest limit	64	64	4	8	128	8	16	32	16	128	1024	64	8	32
	N of tested isolates	8	8	8	8	8	8	8	8	8	8	8	8	8	8
MIC	N of resistant isolates	8	0	8	6	1	3	0	0	0	3	8	2	0	5
<=4											5				
<=8						6									

Sampling Stage: Catering Sampling Type: food sample - meat Sampling Context: Monitoring

Sampler: Official sampling Sampling Sampling Sampling Strategy: Objective sampling Programme Code: ESBL MON pnl2

Analytical Method: Micromethod dilution (in microtiter plate)

Country of Origin: Latvia

	AM substance	Cefepime	Cefotaxim	Cefotaxime + Clavulanic acid	Cefoxitin	Ceftazidim	Ceftazidime + Clavulanic acid	Ertapenem	Imipenem	Meropenem	Temocillin
	Cefotaxime synergy test	Not Available	Not Available	Positive/Present	Not Available	Not Available	Not Available	Not Available	Not Available	Not Available	Not Available
	Ceftazidime synergy test	Not Available	Not Available	Not Available	Not Available	Not Available	Positive/Present	Not Available	Not Available	Not Available	Not Available
	ECOFF	0.125	0.25	0.25	8	0.5	0.5	0.06	0.5	0.125	32
	Lowest limit	0.06	0.25	0.06	0.5	0.25	0.12	0.015	0.12	0.03	0.5
	Highest limit	32	64	64	64	128	128	2	16	16	64
	N of tested isolates	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1
MIC	N of resistant isolates	1	1	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0
0.03								1			
0.25									1		
4					1	1					1
32		1									
>64			1								
<=0.03										1	
<=0.06				1							
<=0.12							1				

Sampling Stage: Catering Sampling Type: food sample - meat Sampling Context: Monitoring

Sampler: Official sampling Sampling Sampling Sampling Strategy: Objective sampling Programme Code: ESBL MON

Analytical Method: Micromethod dilution (in microtiter plate)

Country of Origin: Latvia

	AM substance	Ampicillin	Azithromyci	n Cefotaxim	Ceftazidim C	hloramphenic	ol Ciprofloxaciı	n Colistin	Gentamicii	n Meropenem	Nalidixio acid	; Sulfamethoxazol	e Tetracycline	e Tigecycline	Trimethoprim
	ECOFF	8	16	0.25	0.5	16	0.064	2	2	0.125	16	64	8	1	2
	Lowest limit	1	2	0.25	0.5	8	0.015	1	0.5	0.03	4	8	2	0.25	0.25
	Highest limit	64	64	4	8	128	8	16	32	16	128	1024	64	8	32
	N of tested isolates	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1
ИIC	N of resistant isolates	1	0	1	1	1	0	1	0	0	0	1	0	0	1
4			1		1			1							
>4				1											
>32															1
64						1									
>64		1													
>1024												1			
<=0.015							1								
<=0.03										1					
<=0.25														1	
<=0.5									1						
<=2													1		
<=4											1				

### Table Antimicrobial susceptibility testing of Escherichia coli, non-pathogenic, unspecified in Pigs - fattening pigs

Sampling Stage: Slaughterhouse Sampling Type: animal sample - caecum Sampling C

Sampling Context: Monitoring

Sampler: Official sampling Sampling Sampling Strategy: Objective sampling Programme Code: AMR MON

Analytical Method: Micromethod dilution (in microtiter plate)

	AM	Ampioillin	A zithromyoi	n Cafatavim	Coftozidim C	Chloramphenic	al Cinroflavaci	a Caliatin	Contomioir	Morononom	Nalidixic	Sulfamethoxazol	o Totroovolina	Tigooyolino	Trimothonrim
	ECOFF	8	16	0.25	0.5	16	0.064	2	2	0.125	16	64	8	1	2
	Lowest limit	1	2	0.25	0.5	8	0.015	1	0.5	0.03	4	8	2	0.25	0.25
	Highest limit	64	64	4	8	128	8	16	32	16	128	1024	64	8	32
	N of tested isolates	150	150	150	150	150	150	150	150	150	150	150	150	150	150
MIC	N of resistant isolates	40	0	0	0	12	8	1	6	0	6	44	66	0	31
0.03							1								
0.12							2								
0.25							2								
0.5							2							20	40
1		2							54					3	3
2		53	1					1	8						1
4		48	80						1		1		1		
8		3	60				2	1	1		2				
16									3			42			1
32		1				6			1		3	16	2		1
>32															29
64		4				3					1	4	15		
>64		35											49		
128						2									
>128						1					2				
512												1			
>1024												43			
<=0.015							141								
<=0.03										150					
<=0.25				150										127	75
<=0.5					150				82						
<=1		4						148							
·- 201F										72					

	AM										Nalidixi	3			
	substance .	Ampicillin .	Azithromyci	n Cefotaxim	Ceftazidim (	Chloramphenic	ol Ciprofloxaci	n Colistin	Gentamicir	n Meropenem	acid	Sulfamethoxazol	e Tetracycline	Tigecycline	Trimethoprim
	ECOFF	8	16	0.25	0.5	16	0.064	2	2	0.125	16	64	8	1	2
	Lowest limit	1	2	0.25	0.5	8	0.015	1	0.5	0.03	4	8	2	0.25	0.25
	Highest limit	64	64	4	8	128	8	16	32	16	128	1024	64	8	32
	N of tested isolates	150	150	150	150	150	150	150	150	150	150	150	150	150	150
MIC	N of resistant isolates	40	0	0	0	12	8	1	6	0	6	44	66	0	31
<=2			9										83		
<=4											141				
<=8						138						44			

### Table Antimicrobial susceptibility testing of Escherichia coli, non-pathogenic, unspecified in Pigs - fattening pigs

Sampling Stage: Slaughterhouse Sampling Type: animal sample - caecum Sampling Context: Monitoring

Sampler: Official sampling Sampling Sampling Sampling Strategy: Objective sampling Programme Code: ESBL MON pnl2

Analytical Method: Micromethod dilution (in microtiter plate)

	AM substance	Cefepime	Cefotaxim		me + Clavulanic acid	Cefoxitin	Ceftazidim		lime + Clavulanic acid	Ertapenem	Imipenem	Meropenem	Temocillin
	Cefotaxime synergy test	Not Available	Not Available	Not Available	Positive/Present	Not t Available	Not Available	No	ot Available	Not Available	Not Available	Not Available	Not Available
	Ceftazidime synergy test	Not	Not Available	Not Available	Not Available	Not Available	Not Available	Not Available	e Positive/Present	Not Available	Not Available	Not Available	Not Available
	ECOFF	0.125	0.25	0.25	0.25	8	0.5	0.5	0.5	0.06	0.5	0.125	32
	Lowest limit	0.06	0.25	0.06	0.06	0.5	0.25	0.12	0.12	0.015	0.12	0.03	0.5
	Highest limit	32	64	64	64	64	128	128	128	2	16	16	64
	N of tested isolates	73	73	73	73	73	73	73	73	73	73	73	73
MIC	N of resistant isolates	61	73	14	14	16	66	14	14	0	0	0	0
0.03										12			
0.06										6		4	
0.12		9			7								
0.25		1			1				10		18		
0.5		3					5		2		3		
1		4	2	10			11						
2		17	8	2		14	20	8					4
4		13	6	1		28	15	4					29
8		13	8	1		15	14	2					33
16		3	12			2	6						5
32		6	13			9							
>32		1											
64			14			5							
>64			10										
<=0.015										55		•	
<=0.03					-1							69	
<=0.06		3			51								

	AM substance	Cefepime	Cefotaxim		ne + Clavulanic acid	Cefoxitin	Ceftazidim		ime + Clavulanic acid	Ertapenem	Imipenem	Meropenem	Temocillin
	Cefotaxime synergy test	Not Available	Not Available	Not Available	Positive/Present	Not Available	Not Available	No	t Available	Not Available	Not Available	Not Available	Not Available
	Ceftazidime synergy test	Not Available	Not Available	Not Available	Not Available	Not Available	Not Available	Not Available	Positive/Present	Not Available	Not Available	Not Available	Not Available
	ECOFF	0.125	0.25	0.25	0.25	8	0.5	0.5	0.5	0.06	0.5	0.125	32
	Lowest limit	0.06	0.25	0.06	0.06	0.5	0.25	0.12	0.12	0.015	0.12	0.03	0.5
	Highest limit	32	64	64	64	64	128	128	128	2	16	16	64
	N of tested isolates	73	73	73	73	73	73	73	73	73	73	73	73
MIC	N of resistant isolates	61	73	14	14	16	66	14	14	0	0	0	0
<=0.12								2	45		52		
<=0.25							2						
<=0.5													2

### Table Antimicrobial susceptibility testing of Escherichia coli, non-pathogenic, unspecified in Pigs - fattening pigs

Sampling Stage: Slaughterhouse Sampling Type: animal sample - caecum Sampl

Sampling Context: Monitoring

Sampler: Official sampling Sampling Sampling Strategy: Objective sampling F

Programme Code: ESBL MON

Analytical Method: Micromethod dilution (in microtiter plate)

		•	40	2.0=		40	ol Ciprofloxacir	_		0.40=		Sulfamethoxazol	•		_
	ECOFF	8	16	0.25	0.5	16	0.064	2	2	0.125	16	64	8	1	2
	Lowest limit	1	2	0.25	0.5	8	0.015	1	0.5	0.03	4	8	2	0.25	0.2
	Highest limit	64	64	4	8	128	8	16	32	16	128	1024	64	8	3
	N of tested isolates	73	73	73	73	73	73	73	73	73	73	73	73	73	7;
	N of resistant isolates	73	1	73	66	9	17	4	17	0	10	57	41	0	4
							6			-		-			
							1			5					
							1			1					
							5								
							4							12	12
				2	13		2		35					2	1
				9	14		1	29	9				1		
			46	3	19			1	2				7		
				59											
			15		14			3	1		7				
					6		4								
			4			5			5		3	7			
						3			3		1	4			
									6						41
			1			3						2	8		
		73											33		
						2						1			
						1					9	4			
4												1			
4 )15							49					55			

	AM										Nalidixi	С			
	substance A	Ampicillin	Azithromyci	n Cefotaxim	Ceftazidim (	Chloramphenic	ol Ciprofloxacir	n Colistin	Gentamiciı	n Meropenem	acid	Sulfamethoxazol	e Tetracyclin	e Tigecycline	Trimethoprim
	ECOFF	8	16	0.25	0.5	16	0.064	2	2	0.125	16	64	8	1	2
	Lowest limit	1	2	0.25	0.5	8	0.015	1	0.5	0.03	4	8	2	0.25	0.25
	Highest limit	64	64	4	8	128	8	16	32	16	128	1024	64	8	32
	N of tested isolates	73	73	73	73	73	73	73	73	73	73	73	73	73	73
MIC	N of resistant isolates	73	1	73	66	9	17	4	17	0	10	57	41	0	41
<=0.25														59	19
<=0.5					7				12						
<=1								40							
<=2			7										24		
<=4											53				
<=8						59						3			

### Table Antimicrobial susceptibility testing of Escherichia coli, non-pathogenic, unspecified in Meat from bovine animals - minced meat

Sampling Stage: Retail Sampling Type: food sample - meat Sampling Context: Monitoring

Sampler: Official sampling Sampling Sampling Sampling Strategy: Objective sampling Programme Code: ESBL MON pnl2

Analytical Method: Micromethod dilution (in microtiter plate)

Country of Origin: Latvia

	AM substance	Cefepime	Cefotaxim	Cefotaxime + Clavulanic acid	Cefoxitin	Ceftazidim	Ceftazidime + Clavulanic acid	Ertapenem	Imipenem	Meropenem	Temocillin
	Cefotaxime synergy test	Not Available	Not Available	Positive/Present	Not Available	Not Available	Not Available	Not Available	Not Available	Not Available	Not Available
	Ceftazidime synergy test	Not Available	Not Available	Not Available	Not Available	Not Available	Positive/Present	Not Available	Not Available	Not Available	Not Available
	ECOFF	0.125	0.25	0.25	8	0.5	0.5	0.06	0.5	0.125	32
	Lowest limit	0.06	0.25	0.06	0.5	0.25	0.12	0.015	0.12	0.03	0.5
	Highest limit	32	64	64	64	128	128	2	16	16	64
	N of tested isolates	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1
MIC	N of resistant isolates	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
0.25							1				
0.5						1					
2		1			1						
8											1
32			1								
<=0.015								1			
<=0.03										1	
<=0.06				1							
<=0.12									1		

# Table Antimicrobial susceptibility testing of Escherichia coli, non-pathogenic, unspecified in Meat from bovine animals - minced meat

Sampling Stage: Retail Sampling Type: food sample - meat Sampling Context: Monitoring

Sampler: Official sampling Sampling Sampling Sampling Strategy: Objective sampling Programme Code: ESBL MON

Analytical Method: Micromethod dilution (in microtiter plate)

Country of Origin: Latvia

	AM substance	Ampicillin	Azithromyci	n Cefotaxim	Ceftazidim (	Chloramphenic	ol Ciprofloxacir	n Colistin	Gentamicii	n Meropenem	Nalidixio acid	c Sulfamethoxazole	e Tetracycline	e Tigecycline	Trimethoprim
	ECOFF	8	16	0.25	0.5	16	0.064	2	2	0.125	16	64	8	1	2
	Lowest limit	1	2	0.25	0.5	8	0.015	1	0.5	0.03	4	8	2	0.25	0.25
	Highest limit	64	64	4	8	128	8	16	32	16	128	1024	64	8	32
	N of tested isolates	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1
MIC	N of resistant isolates	1	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	1	0	1
0.5														1	
1									1						
2								1							
4			1												
>4				1											
>32															1
>64		1											1		
>1024												1			
<=0.015							1								
<=0.03										1					
<=0.5					1										
<=4											1				
<=8						1									

Latvia - 2015

Sampling Stage: Slaughterhouse Sampling Type: food sample - meat Sampling Context: Monitoring

Sampler: Official sampling Sampling Sampling Sampling Strategy: Objective sampling Programme Code: ESBL MON pnl2

Analytical Method: Micromethod dilution (in microtiter plate)

Country of Origin: Latvia

	AM substance	Cefepime	Cefotaxim	Cefotaxime + Clavulanic acid	Cefoxitin	Ceftazidim	Ceftazidime + Clavulanic acid	Ertapenem	Imipenem	Meropenem	Temocillin
	Cefotaxime synergy test	Not Available	Not Available	Positive/Present	Not Available	Not Available	Not Available	Not Available	Not Available	Not Available	Not Available
	Ceftazidime synergy test	Not	Not Available	Not Available	Not Available	Not Available	Positive/Present	Not Available	Not Available	Not Available	Not Available
	ECOFF	0.125	0.25	0.25	8	0.5	0.5	0.06	0.5	0.125	32
	Lowest limit	0.06	0.25	0.06	0.5	0.25	0.12	0.015	0.12	0.03	0.5
	Highest limit	32	64	64	64	128	128	2	16	16	64
	N of tested isolates	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1
MIC	N of resistant isolates	1	1	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0
0.03								1			
4					1	1					1
8		1									
64			1								
<=0.03										1	
<=0.06				1							
<=0.12							1		1		

Sampling Stage: Slaughterhouse Sampling Type: food sample - meat Sampling Context: Monitoring

Sampler: Official sampling Sampling Sampling Sampling Strategy: Objective sampling Programme Code: ESBL MON

Analytical Method: Micromethod dilution (in microtiter plate)

Country of Origin: Latvia

	AM substance	Ampicillin A	Azithromyci	n Cefotaxim	Ceftazidim C	Chloramphenic	ol Ciprofloxacir	n Colistin	Gentamicir	n Meropenem	Nalidixi acid	c Sulfamethoxazol	e Tetracycline	Tigecycline	Trimethoprim
	ECOFF	8	16	0.25	0.5	16	0.064	2	2	0.125	16	64	8	1	2
	Lowest limit	1	2	0.25	0.5	8	0.015	1	0.5	0.03	4	8	2	0.25	0.25
	Highest limit	64	64	4	8	128	8	16	32	16	128	1024	64	8	32
	N of tested isolates	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1
MIC	N of resistant isolates	1	0	1	1	1	0	1	0	0	0	1	0	0	1
1									1						
4			1		1			1							
>4				1											
>32															1
64						1									
>64		1													
>1024												1			
<=0.015							1								
<=0.03										1					
<=0.25														1	
<=2												·	1		
<=4											1				

Sampling Stage: Retail Sampling Type: food sample - meat Sampling Context: Monitoring

Sampler: Official sampling Sampling Sampling Sampling Strategy: Objective sampling Programme Code: ESBL MON pnl2

Analytical Method: Micromethod dilution (in microtiter plate)

	AM		Cefotaxime + Clavulanic						ime + Clavulanic		Ertapenem Imipenem Meropenem Temocillin			
	substance	Cefepime	Cefotaxim		acid	Cefoxitin	Ceftazidim	<u> </u>	acid	Ertapenem	Imipenem	Meropenem	Temocillin	
	Cefotaxime synergy test	Not Available	Not Available	Not Available	Positive/Present	Not t Available	Not Available	No	t Available	Not Available	Not Available	Not Available	Not Available	
	Ceftazidime synergy test	Not	Not Available	Not Available	Not Available	Not Available	Not Available	Not Available	Positive/Present	Not Available	Not Available	Not Available	Not Available	
	ECOFF	0.125	0.25	0.25	0.25	8	0.5	0.5	0.5	0.06	0.5	0.125	32	
	Lowest limit	0.06	0.25	0.06	0.06	0.5	0.25	0.12	0.12	0.015	0.12	0.03	0.5	
	Highest limit	32	64	64	64	64	128	128	128	2	16	16	64	
	N of tested isolates	9	9	9	9	9	9	9	9	9	9	9	9	
MIC	N of resistant isolates	9	9	2	2	2	8	2	2	0	0	0	0	
0.03										1				
0.25		2									2			
0.5		2												
1		1					3							
2		1				2								
4		3	2	2		4	1	1					6	
8			3			1	3	1					3	
16			3				1							
32			1			1								
64						1								
<=0.015										8				
<=0.03												9		
<=0.06					7									
<=0.12									7		7			
<=0.25							1							

Sampling Stage: Retail Sampling Type: food sample - meat Sampling Context: Monitoring

Sampler: Official sampling Sampling Sampling Sampling Strategy: Objective sampling Programme Code: ESBL MON

Analytical Method: Micromethod dilution (in microtiter plate)

	AM										Nalidixic				
							ol Ciprofloxacir					Sulfamethoxazol			
	ECOFF	8	16	0.25	0.5	16	0.064	2	2	0.125	16	64	8	1	2
	Lowest limit	1	2	0.25	0.5	8	0.015	1	0.5	0.03	4	8	2	0.25	0.25
	Highest limit	64	64	4	8	128	8	16	32	16	128	1024	64	8	32
	N of tested isolates	9	9	9	9	9	9	9	9	9	9	9	9	9	9
MIC	N of resistant isolates	9	0	9	8	2	1	0	3	0	1	8	4	0	7
0.5														1	1
1					3				3						
2					1			4	1						
4			7	1											
>4				8											
8			2		3		1								
>8					1										
16									2						
32									1						
>32															7
64						1							1		
>64		9											3		
128						1									
>128											1				
>1024												8			
<=0.015							8								
<=0.03										9					
<=0.25														8	1
<=0.5					1				2						
<=1								5							
<=2													5		
<=4											8				

	AM							Nalidixic Nalidixic								
	substance A	Ampicillin	Azithromyci	n Cefotaxim	Ceftazidim (	Chloramphenic	ol Ciprofloxacir	Colistin	Gentamici	n Meropenem	acid	Sulfamethoxazole	Sulfamethoxazole Tetracycline Tigecycline Trimet			
	ECOFF	8	16	0.25	0.5	16	0.064	2	2	0.125	16	64	8	1	2	
	Lowest limit	1	2	0.25	0.5	8	0.015	1	0.5	0.03	4	8	2	0.25	0.25	
	Highest limit	64	64	4	8	128	8	16	32	16	128	1024	64	8	32	
	N of tested isolates	9	9	9	9	9	9	9	9	9	9	9	9	9	9	
	N of resistant	_	_		_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	
MIC	isolates	9	00	9	8	2	1	0	3	0	1	<u> </u>	4	0	7	
<=8						7						1				

Sampling Stage: Retail Sampling Type: food sample - meat Sampling Context: Monitoring

Sampler: Official sampling Sampling Sampling Sampling Strategy: Objective sampling Programme Code: ESBL MON pnl2

Analytical Method: Micromethod dilution (in microtiter plate)

Country of Origin: Poland

	AM substance	Cefepime	Cefotaxim	Cefotaxime + Clavulanic acid	Cefoxitin	Ceftazidim	Ceftazidime + Clavulanic acid	Ertapenem	Imipenem	Meropenem	Temocillin
	Cefotaxime synergy test	Not Available	Not Available	Positive/Present	Not Available	Not Available	Not Available	Not Available	Not Available	Not Available	Not Available
	Ceftazidime synergy test	Not	Not Available	Not Available	Not Available	Not Available	Positive/Present	Not Available	Not Available	Not Available	Not Available
	ECOFF	0.125	0.25	0.25	8	0.5	0.5	0.06	0.5	0.125	32
	Lowest limit	0.06	0.25	0.06	0.5	0.25	0.12	0.015	0.12	0.03	0.5
	Highest limit	32	64	64	64	128	128	2	16	16	64
	N of tested isolates	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2
MIC	N of resistant isolates	2	2	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0
0.5		1				1					
2		1	1		2						
4											1
8						1					1
16			1								
<=0.015								2			
<=0.03										2	
<=0.06				2							
<=0.12							2		2		

Sampling Stage: Retail Sampling Type: food sample - meat Sampling Context: Monitoring

Sampler: Official sampling Sampling Sampling Sampling Strategy: Objective sampling Programme Code: ESBL MON

Analytical Method: Micromethod dilution (in microtiter plate)

Country of Origin: Poland

	AM										<b>Nalidixic</b>				
		Ampicillin A	Azithromyci			hloramphenic		n Colistin	Gentamicir		acid	Sulfamethoxazol	e Tetracycline	Tigecycline	Trimethoprim
	ECOFF	8	16	0.25	0.5	16	0.064	2	2	0.125	16	64	8	1	2
	Lowest limit	1	2	0.25	0.5	8	0.015	1	0.5	0.03	4	8	2	0.25	0.25
	Highest limit	64	64	4	8	128	8	16	32	16	128	1024	64	8	32
	N of tested isolates	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2
MIC	N of resistant isolates	2	0	2	1	1	1	0	1	0	1	2	0	0	2
0.25							1								
1									1						
2				1											
4			1												
>4				1											
8			1												
>8					1										
32									1						
>32															2
>64		2													
>128						1					1				
>1024												2			
<=0.015							1								
<=0.03										2					
<=0.25														2	
<=0.5					1										
<=1								2							
<=2													2		
<=4											1				
<=8						1									

#### OTHER ANTIMICROBIAL RESISTANCE TABLES

