

## SCIENTIFIC OPINION

### Statement on the safety of $\beta$ -carotene use in heavy smokers<sup>1</sup>

#### EFSA Panel on Food Additives and Nutrient Sources added to Food (ANS)<sup>2</sup>,

European Food Safety Authority (EFSA), Parma, Italy

#### ABSTRACT

Following a request by the European Commission the Scientific Panel on Food Additives and Nutrient Sources added to Food (ANS) was asked to conclude on the possible link between the ingestion of  $\beta$ -carotene and cancer enhancement in heavy smokers. The safety of (synthetic)  $\beta$ -carotene [E 160a (ii)] has been evaluated previously by JECFA (1975) and by the SCF (2000a). In 2000, the SCF concluded that there were insufficient data to set a precise figure for a Tolerable Upper Intake Level (UL) of  $\beta$ -carotene (SCF, 2000b). Unexpectedly, two independent trials revealed that heavy smokers (at least 1 package/day for 36 years on average) receiving long-term  $\beta$ -carotene (20 mg/day) supplementation or  $\beta$ -carotene (30 mg/day) + retinol (25 000 International Unit (IU) vitamin A) supplementation, showed increased rather than decreased incidences of lung cancer. A meta-analysis of randomized controlled trials (RCT) demonstrated absence of any protective effect associated with  $\beta$ -carotene supplementation with regard to cancer risk. Epidemiological studies reported no increased lung cancer incidence in heavy smokers at supplemental dose levels of  $\beta$ -carotene varying from 6 – 15 mg/day for about 5 up to 7 years. The Panel concluded that exposure to  $\beta$ -carotene from its use as food additive and as food supplement at a level below 15 mg/day do not give rise to concerns about adverse health effects in the general population, including heavy smokers.

© European Food Safety Authority, 2012

#### KEY WORDS

Safety,  $\beta$ -carotene, heavy smokers

<sup>1</sup> On request from European Commission, Question No EFSA-Q-2012-00880, adopted on 6 November 2012.

<sup>2</sup> Panel members: Fernando Aguilar, Riccardo Crebelli, Birgit Dusemund, Pierre Galtier, David Gott, Ursula Gundert-Remy, Jürgen König, Claude Lambré, Jean-Charles Leblanc, Alicja Mortensen, Pasquale Mosesso, Dominique Parent-Massin, Ivan Stankovic, Paul Tobback, Ine Waalkens-Berendsen, Rudolf Antonius Woutersen, Matthew Wright. Correspondence: [ans@efsa.europa.eu](mailto:ans@efsa.europa.eu)

Suggested citation: EFSA Panel on Food Additives and Nutrient Sources added to Food (ANS); Statement of the safety of  $\beta$ -carotene use in heavy smokers. EFSA Journal 2012;10(12):2953. [7 pp.] doi:10.2903/j.efsa.2012.2953. Available online: [www.efsa.europa.eu/efsajournal](http://www.efsa.europa.eu/efsajournal)

## SUMMARY

Following a request by the European Commission the Scientific Panel on Food Additives and Nutrient Sources added to Food (ANS) was asked to conclude on the possible link between the ingestion of  $\beta$ -carotene and cancer enhancement in heavy smokers.

The safety of (synthetic)  $\beta$ -carotene [E 160a (ii)] has been evaluated previously by JECFA (1975) and the SCF (2000a). In 2000, the SCF concluded that there were insufficient data to set a precise figure for a Tolerable Upper Intake Level (UL) of  $\beta$ -carotene (SCF, 2000b).

In the past, high serum  $\beta$ -carotene levels have been associated with a decrease in incidence of cancer, including lung cancer, in humans (Mayne, 1996; Ziegler et al., 1996). However, the ATBC study and CARET trials (ATBC Study group, 1994; Omenn et al., 1996a; 1996b; Omenn, 1998) unexpectedly revealed that heavy smokers (at least 1 package/day for 36 years on average) receiving long-term  $\beta$ -carotene (20 mg/day) supplementation (ATBC) or  $\beta$ -carotene (30 mg/day) + retinol (25 000 International Unit (IU) vitamin A) supplementation (CARET), showed increased rather than decreased incidences of lung cancer.

In 2010, Druesne-Pecollo et al. performed a meta-analysis of randomized controlled trials (RCT) investigating  $\beta$ -carotene supplementation and cancer risk. They found absence of any protective effect associated with  $\beta$ -carotene supplementation with regard to primary cancer risk. However, their analyses indicated an increased risk of lung cancers in individuals supplemented with  $\beta$ -carotene at dose levels equal to or greater than 20 mg/day as well as in smokers and asbestos workers supplemented with  $\beta$ -carotene. A statistically significant interaction was found between  $\beta$ -carotene intake and smoking status.

Epidemiological studies reported no increased lung cancer incidence in heavy smokers at supplemental dose levels of  $\beta$ -carotene varying from 6 – 15 mg/day for about 5 up to 7 years (Druesne-Pecollo et al., 2010).

The Panel concluded that exposure to  $\beta$ -carotene from its use as food additive and as food supplement at a level below 15 mg/day do not give rise to concerns about adverse health effects in the general population, including heavy smokers.

**TABLE OF CONTENTS**

Abstract .....	1
Summary .....	2
Table of contents .....	3
Background as provided by European Commission .....	4
Terms of reference as provided by European Commission.....	4
Evaluation.....	5
Conclusions .....	5
Documentation provided to EFSA .....	6
References .....	6
Glossary/Abbreviations .....	7

**BACKGROUND AS PROVIDED BY EUROPEAN COMMISSION**

On 14<sup>th</sup> of March 2012 the ANS Panel published the scientific opinion on the re-evaluation of mixed carotenes (E 160a (i) and  $\beta$ -carotene (E 160a (ii) as a food additive. However, in the view of the European Commission, this opinion did not specifically conclude on the possible link between the ingestion of  $\beta$ -carotene and cancer enhancement in heavy smokers, nor does it provide the Commission with an upper safe level for  $\beta$ -carotene for this category of the population, as requested in the initial mandate of 27<sup>th</sup> of February 2009.

The European Food Safety Authority (EFSA) has informed the Scientific Panel on Food Additives and Nutrient Sources added to food (ANS) that on 25<sup>th</sup> of June 2012, the European Commission has requested EFSA to give further guidance on the basis of the previous mandate on safe use of  $\beta$ -carotene sent to EFSA (ref: EFSA-Q-2009-00830); i.e. to review the existing data on the possible link between  $\beta$ -carotene and cancer enhancement in heavy smokers, to advise the Commission on an upper safe level of intake (UL) of  $\beta$ -carotene in heavy smokers, and if not possible to set an UL, to provide advice on a daily intake of  $\beta$ -carotene that does not give rise to concerns about adverse health effects in heavy smokers.

**TERMS OF REFERENCE AS PROVIDED BY EUROPEAN COMMISSION**

The ANS will produce a Panel Statement on the above request for the European Commission to provide advice on a daily intake of  $\beta$ -carotene that does not give rise to concerns about adverse health effects in heavy smokers.

## EVALUATION

Following a request by the European Commission the Scientific Panel on Food Additives and Nutrient Sources added to Food (ANS) was asked to conclude on the possible link between the ingestion of  $\beta$ -carotene and cancer enhancement in heavy smokers.

The safety of (synthetic)  $\beta$ -carotene [E 160a (ii)] has been evaluated previously by Joint FAO/WHO Expert Committee on Food Additives JECFA (1975) and by the Scientific Committee for Food (SCF) (2000a). In 2000, the SCF concluded that there was insufficient data to set a precise figure for a Tolerable Upper Intake Level (UL) of  $\beta$ -carotene (SCF, 2000b).

In the past, high serum  $\beta$ -carotene levels have been associated with a decrease in incidence of cancer, including lung cancer, in humans (Mayne, 1996; Ziegler et al., 1996). However, the ATBC study and CARET trials (ATBC Study group, 1994; Omenn et al., 1996a; 1996b; Omenn, 1998) unexpectedly revealed that heavy smokers (at least 1 package/day for 36 years on average) receiving long-term  $\beta$ -carotene (20 mg/day) supplementation (ATBC) or  $\beta$ -carotene (30 mg/day) + retinol (25 000 International Unit (IU) vitamin A) supplementation (CARET), showed increased rather than decreased incidences of lung cancer.

In 2009, DSM conducted an extensive review of the scientific literature, published since 2000 on the relationship between  $\beta$ -carotene supplementation and cancer risk. The negative effects observed in heavy smokers in the ATBC and CARET studies were not seen in any other intervention study. Therefore, the authors concluded that the increased lung cancer incidence in  $\beta$ -carotene supplemented smokers has been demonstrated to be specific to individuals who chronically smoke more than 20 cigarettes per day.

Druesne-Pecollo et al. (2010) performed a systematic review and meta-analysis of randomized controlled trials (RCT) investigating  $\beta$ -carotene supplementation and cancer risk. The meta-analysis from these studies, including 180 702 subjects and 1852 cases of lung cancer, gave a significant overall increased Relative Risk (RR) of 1.13 (95% Confidence Interval (CI), 1.04 – 1.24) in subjects supplemented with  $\beta$ -carotene compared to those receiving placebo. Compared to corresponding placebo groups, the risk of lung cancer was significantly increased in subjects supplemented either with  $\beta$ -carotene in combination with other antioxidants (RR of 1.16; 95% CI, 1.04 – 1.29) or with doses of 20 mg/day of  $\beta$ -carotene and above (RR, 1.16; 95% CI, 1.06 – 1.27). Significantly increased overall RR were also found for subjects supplemented with  $\beta$ -carotene in populations exclusively composed of smokers or asbestos workers (RR, 1.20; 95% CI, 1.07 – 1.34) as well as in populations with a majority of men (RR, 1.14; 95% CI, 1.04 – 1.25) compared to the control groups. No significant effect of  $\beta$ -carotene supplementation was observed in the other subgroup analyses.

The authors found absence of any protective effect associated with  $\beta$ -carotene supplementation with regard to primary cancer risk. However, their analyses indicated an increased risk of lung cancers in individuals supplemented with  $\beta$ -carotene at dose levels equal to or greater than 20 mg/day as well as in smokers and asbestos workers supplemented with  $\beta$ -carotene. A statistically significant interaction was found between  $\beta$ -carotene intake and smoking status.

Epidemiological studies reported no increased lung cancer incidence in heavy smokers at supplemental dose levels of  $\beta$ -carotene varying from 6 – 15 mg/day for about 5 up to 7 years (Druesne-Pecollo et al., 2010).

## CONCLUSIONS

The Panel concluded that exposure to beta-carotene from its use as food additive and as food supplement at a level below 15 mg/day do not give rise to concerns about adverse health effects in the general population. The Panel noted that as no sensitive groups were identified from the available evidence at this exposure, the term general population covers all groups including heavy smokers.

## DOCUMENTATION PROVIDED TO EFSA

1. DSM Nutritional Products 2009. Safety of  $\beta$ -carotene with particular references to smokers. Basel, Switzerland.

## REFERENCES

- ATBC Study group (The Alpha-Tocopherol,  $\beta$ -carotene Cancer Prevention Study Group), 1994. The effects of vitamin E and  $\beta$ -carotene on the incidence of lung cancer and other cancers in male smokers. *New England Journal of Medicine*. 330, 1029-1356.
- Druesne-Pecollo N, Latino-Martel P, Norat T, Barrandon E, Bertrais S, Galan P and Hercberg S, 2010. B-carotene supplementation and cancer risk: a systematic review and metaanalysis of randomized controlled trials. *International Journal of Cancer* 127, 172-184.
- EFSA (European Food Safety Authority), 2012. Scientific Opinion on the re-evaluation of mixed carotenes (E 160a (i)) and  $\beta$ -carotene (E 160a (ii)) as a food additive. *The EFSA Journal*, 10: 2593.
- JECFA, 1975. WHO/FAO Joint Expert Committee on Food Additives. Toxicological evaluation of some food colours, enzymes, flavour enhancers, thickening agents and certain food additives. WHO Food additives series, 6.
- Mayne ST, 1996. B-carotene, carotenoids, and disease prevention in humans. *FASEB J.* 10, 690-701.
- Omenn GS, 1998. Chemoprevention of lung cancer: the rise and demise of  $\beta$ -carotene. *Annual Review of Public Health* 19, 73-99.
- Omenn GS, Goodman GE, Thornquist M, Balmes J, Cullen MR, Glass A, Keogh JP, Meyskens FL, Valanis B, Williams JH, Barnhart S and Hammar S, 1996a. Effects of a combination of  $\beta$ -carotene and vitamin A on lung cancer incidence, total mortality, and cardiovascular mortality in smokers and asbestos-exposed workers. *The New England Journal of Medicine* 334, 1150-1155.
- Omenn GS, Goodman GE, Thornquist MD, Balmes J, Cullen MR, Glass A, Keogh JP, Meyskens FL, Valanis B, Williams JH, Barnhart S, Cherniack MG, Brodkint CA and Hammar S, 1996b. Risk factors for lung cancer and for intervention effects in CARET, the  $\beta$ -carotene and retinol efficiency trial. *Journal of the National Cancer Institute* 88, 1550-1559.
- SCF, 2000a. Opinion of the Scientific Committee on Food on  $\beta$ -carotene from *Blakeslea trispora* – Correction. (Adopted on 22 June 2000, and corrected on 7 September 2000) SCF/CS/ADD/COL 158 Final – correction.
- SCF, 2000b. Reports of the Scientific Committee for Food. Opinion on the safety of use of  $\beta$ -carotene from all dietary sources. Report no. SCF/CS/ADD/COL/159 Final. Opinion adopted by the SCF on 7 September 2000. [http://ec.europa.eu/food/fs/sc/scf/out71\\_en.pdf](http://ec.europa.eu/food/fs/sc/scf/out71_en.pdf)
- Ziegler RG, Mayne ST and Svanson CA, 1996. Nutrition and lung cancer. *Cancer causes and control* 7(1) 157-177.

## GLOSSARY/ABBREVIATIONS

ANS	Scientific Panel on Food Additives and Nutrient Sources added to Food
CARET	$\beta$ -Carotene and Retinol Efficacy Trial
CI	Confidence Interval
EFSA	European Food Safety Authority
IU	International Unit
JECFA	Joint FAO/WHO Expert Committee on Food Additives
UL	Tolerable Upper Intake Level
RR	Relative Risk
RCT	Randomized Controlled Trials
SCF	Scientific Committee for Food