

**MINUTES OF THE 45TH PLENARY MEETING OF THE
SCIENTIFIC PANEL ON GENETICALLY MODIFIED ORGANISMS
HELD ON 29-30 OCTOBER 2008 IN PARMA, ITALY
(ADOPTED ON 3 DECEMBER 2008)**

8	1. WELCOME AND APOLOGIES FOR ABSENCE	2
9	2. ADOPTION OF THE AGENDA	2
10	3. DECLARATION OF INTERESTS.....	2
11	4. ADOPTION OF THE MINUTES OF THE 44 TH PLENARY MEETING HELD ON 10-11 SEPTEMBER	
12	2008	2
13	5. DISCUSSION AND POSSIBLE ADOPTION OF OPINIONS ON:	3
14	5.1. AVIZYME 1505 (EFSA-Q-2007-020 UNDER REGULATION (EC) NO 1831/2003; FEEDAP-GMO CO-OPINION)	
15	3	
16	5.2. RONOZYME NP(EFSA-Q-2007-133 UNDER REGULATION (EC) NO 1831/2003; FEEDAP-GMO CO-	
17	OPINION)3	
18	5.3. SAFEGUARD CLAUSE INVOKED BY FRANCE ACCORDING TO ARTICLE 23 OF DIRECTIVE 2001/18/EC IN	
19	RELATION TO MAIZE MON 810 (EFSA-Q-2008-077).....	4
20	5.4. REQUEST FROM THE EUROPEAN COMMISSION TO REVIEW SCIENTIFIC STUDIES RELATED TO THE IMPACT ON	
21	THE ENVIRONMENT OF THE CULTIVATION OF MAIZE Bt11 AND 1507 (EFSA-Q-2008-679).....	5
22	6. UPDATE ON APPLICATIONS RECEIVED UNDER REGULATION (EC) NO 1829/2003 AND	
23	REGULATION (EC) NO 1831/2003.....	6
24	7. NEW REQUESTS TO EFSA: DISCUSSION AND ADOPTION OF MANDATES.....	6
25	7.1. APPLICATIONS UNDER REGULATION (EC) NO 1829/2003	6
26	7.2. APPLICATIONS UNDER REGULATION (EC) NO 1831/2003	7
27	7.3. REQUEST FROM THE EC TO EFSA REGARDING THE SAFEGUARD CLAUSE INVOKED BY AUSTRIA ACCORDING TO	
28	ARTICLE 23 OF DIRECTIVE 2001/18/EC IN RELATION TO MAIZE LINES MON863 (REF. C/DE/02/9, COMMISSION	
29	DECISIONS 2007/608/EC AND 2006/68/EC).....	7
30	7.4. REQUEST FROM THE EC TO EFSA REGARDING THE SAFEGUARD CLAUSE INVOKED BY AUSTRIA ACCORDING TO	
31	ARTICLE 23 OF DIRECTIVE 2001/18/EC IN RELATION TO OILSEED RAPE LINES Ms8, Rf3, Ms8xRf3 (REF. C/BE/96/01,	
32	COMMISSION DECISION 2007/232/EC).....	7
33	8. UPDATE ON SELF TASK ACTIVITIES AND GUIDANCE FOR GMO RISK ASSESSMENT	8
34	8.1. UPDATED GUIDANCE DOCUMENT FOR THE ASSESSMENT OF GM PLANTS AND DERIVED FOOD OR FEED	8
35	8.2. SELF-TASKING ACTIVITY ON NON-TARGET ORGANISMS (EFSA-Q-2008-089)	8
36	8.3. UPDATED GUIDANCE FOR ENVIRONMENTAL RISK ASSESSMENT OF GM PLANTS AND DERIVED FOOD OR FEED	
37	(EFSA-Q-2008-262).....	8
38	8.4. GUIDANCE FOR THE RISK ASSESSMENT OF GM ANIMALS (EFSA-Q-2008-069).....	8
39	8.5. SELF-TASKING ON STATISTICS.....	8
40	9. FEEDBACK FROM EFSA AND THE SCIENTIFIC COMMITTEE	9
41	10. FEEDBACK FROM THE EUROPEAN COMMISSION.....	9
42	11. DATES OF FUTURE MEETINGS	9
43	12. ANY OTHER BUSINESS	9

47
48
49 **PARTICIPANTS**
50
51 *GMO Panel:*
52 Hans Christer Andersson, Salvatore Arpaia, Detlef Bartsch, Josep Casacuberta, Howard Davies,
53 Patrick du Jardin, Niels Bohse Hendriksen, Lieve Herman, Sirpa Kärenlampi, Jozsef Kiss, Gijs
54 Kleter, Ilona Kryspin-Sorensen, Harry Kuiper (Chair), Nickolas Panopoulos, Joe Perry¹, Annette
55 Pöting, Joachim Schiemann, Willem Seinen, Jeremy Sweet and Jean-Michel Wal.
56
57 *EFSA GMO Unit:*
58 Jaime Aguilera, Per Bergman, Anna Christodoulidou, Yann Devos, Zoltán Divéki, Christina Ehlert,
59 Antonio Fernández Dumont, Andrea Germini, Ana Gomes, Karine Lheureux, Yi Liu, Sylvie
60 Mestdagh, Claudia Paoletti, Reinhilde Schoonjans, Elisabeth Waigmann
61
62 *European Commission:*
63 Michael Walsh and Sébastien Goux² (DG SANCO); Bernadette Murray and Helen Clayton (DG
64 ENV)
65
66 **APOLOGIES**
67 *GMO Panel:* Ingolf Nes
68 *DG SANCO:* Sabine Pelsser

70
71
72 **1. WELCOME AND APOLOGIES FOR ABSENCE**
73
74 The Chair opened the meeting and welcomed all. Apologies for absence were received from one
75 Panel member and one colleague from DG SANCO as mentioned above.
76
77
78 **2. ADOPTION OF THE AGENDA**
79
80 The agenda was adopted as proposed.
81
82
83 **3. DECLARATION OF INTERESTS**
84
85 Panel members were invited to declare possible interests on topics included on the agenda.
86 Declarations have been registered through the standard document submitted by the Panel members.
87
88
89 **4. ADOPTION OF THE MINUTES OF THE 44TH PLENARY MEETING HELD ON 10-11**
90 **SEPTEMBER 2008**
91

¹ Only present on 29 October 2008

² Only present in the afternoon of 29th and on 30th September 2008

92 The minutes of the 44th Plenary meeting (10-11 September 2008) were adopted as proposed and
93 will be published at:
94 http://www.efsa.europa.eu/EFSA/efsa_locale-1178620753812_1178718032781.htm

95
96
97 **5. DISCUSSION AND POSSIBLE ADOPTION OF OPINIONS ON:**

98
99 **5.1. Avizyme 1505 (EFSA-Q-2007-020 under Regulation (EC) No 1831/2003; FEEDAP-
100 GMO co-opinion)**

101
102 *Introduction*

103 Within the framework of Regulation (EC) No 1831/2003 on additives for use in animal nutrition,
104 EFSA has been requested to deliver an opinion on the efficacy and the safety for the target animals,
105 the consumer, user and the environment of the product Avizyme 1505 which is a preparation of
106 endo-1,4-beta-xylanase produced by *Trichoderma reesei* (ATCC PTA 5588), alpha-amylase
107 produced by *Bacillus amyloliquefaciens* (ATCC 3978) and subtilisin (protease) produced by
108 *Bacillus subtilis* (ATCC 2107) when used under the proposed conditions.

109
110 *Discussion*

111 The GMO Panel has been asked to perform the assessment of the GM aspects of the microorganism
112 used for the production of this feed enzyme. The FEEDAP Panel will assess all other parts of the
113 feed enzyme application.

114 Avizyme 1505 is an enzyme preparation with three declared activities, i.e., endo-1,4- β xylanase, α -
115 amylase and alkaline protease (subtilisin). These three enzymes are produced by three genetically
116 modified micro-organisms: end-1,4- β xylanase by *Trichoderma reesei*, α -amylase by *Bacillus*
117 *amyloliquefaciens* and alkaline protease by *Bacillus subtilis*. In the *T. reesei* strain, no DNA
118 sequences causing concern have been introduced. In the *B. amyloliquefaciens* strain, resistance
119 genes for neomycin and bleomycin are present in a plasmid which has been made non-mobile. In
120 the *B. subtilis* strain, a chloramphenicol resistance gene has been inserted into the chromosome. The
121 production micro-organisms are removed after fermentation and are not detected in the final
122 enzyme preparations. The recombinant DNA is below the limit of detection in the final enzyme
123 preparations. With respect to the genetic modification, there are no environmental safety concerns
124 in relation to the final product.

125
126 *Adoption*

127 The opinion with regard to the risk assessment of the genetic modification of the application was
128 adopted unanimously by the Panel. Once the other part has been adopted by the FEEDAP Panel, the
129 co-opinion will be published on the EFSA website at:

130
131 http://www.efsa.europa.eu/en/science/gmo/gmo_opinions.html.

132
133 **5.2. Ronozyme NP (EFSA-Q-2007-133 under Regulation (EC) No 1831/2003; FEEDAP-
134 GMO co-opinion)**

135
136 *Introduction*

137
138

141 Within the framework of Regulation (EC) No 1831/2003 on additives for use in animal nutrition,
142 EFSA has been requested to deliver an opinion on the efficacy and the safety for the target
143 animal(s), the consumer, user and the environment of the product Ronozyme® NP which is a
144 preparation of 6-phytase produced by the genetically modified micro-organism *Aspergillus oryzae*
145 (DSM 17594), when used under the proposed conditions.

146
147 *Discussion*

149 The GMO Panel has been asked to perform the assessment of the GM aspects of the microorganism
150 used for the production of this feed enzyme. The FEEDAP Panel will assess all other parts of the
151 feed enzyme application.

152
153 Ronozyme® NP is produced by fermentation of *Aspergillus oryzae* PhME3-38. Multiple copies of
154 the 6-phytase gene cassette sequence are inserted in the genome of the production strain. No
155 exogenous antibiotic resistance marker sequences were added. The recipient organism is considered
156 as safe and no harmful sequences have been introduced in the recipient strain. After fermentation,
157 the enzyme is separated from the cells and concentrated. The final enzyme preparation contains no
158 cultivable production organisms, no antimicrobial activity or mycotoxins, and the level of the newly
159 introduced DNA is below the limit of detection of 0.4 ng of recombinant DNA g⁻¹ enzyme product.

160
161 *Adoption*

162
163 The opinion with regard to the risk assessment of the genetic modification of the application was
164 adopted unanimously by the Panel. Once the other part has been adopted by the FEEDAP Panel, the
165 co-opinion will be published on the EFSA website at:

166 http://www.efsa.europa.eu/en/science/gmo/gmo_opinions.html.

167
168 **5.3. Safeguard clause invoked by France according to Article 23 of Directive 2001/18/EC in
169 relation to maize MON 810 (EFSA-Q-2008-077)**

170
171 *Introduction*

172
173 On 27 February 2008, EFSA was requested by the European Commission, under Article 29(1) and
174 in accordance with Articles 22(5) and 22(5)(c) of Regulation (EC) No 178/2002, “To assess:

- 175 1. *the opinion of the “comité de préfiguration” of the High Authority for GMOs, dated
176 9 January 2008, which is mentioned as reference in the first “considérant” of the Order³;*
- 177 2. *the French position that the justifications presented by Monsanto on 30 January 2008 are
178 not sufficient to invalidate the data of the French Order, as presented in the second
179 “considérant” of the Order;*
- 180 3. *the scientific evidence which is presented in the accompanying note of the Order and in the
181 note forwarded to the European Commission under Regulation (EC) No 1829/2003;*
- 182 4. *the scientific justification of the duration of the measure, which is linked to the ongoing
183 procedure on the notification for the renewal of MON810, as referred to in Article 1 in the
184 Decree.”*

³ French Order of 7 February 2008 suspending the cultivation of seed varieties maize MON810.

185 Following the receipt of the mandate and upon request of EFSA, the aforementioned data package
186 was supplemented with missing documents as well as, at a later stage, with an additional report
187 from Professor Yvon Le Maho submitted in June 2008.

188
189 The GMO Panel considered and assessed all the written evidences provided by the French
190 authorities in support of their safeguard clause. On 9 June 2008, experts of the GMO Panel met
191 French scientists in order to discuss the scientific concerns and to identify whether there is new
192 scientific evidence which was not considered in earlier risk assessments of maize MON810.

193
194 *Discussion*

195
196 Having assessed the information package provided by France in support of its safeguard clause and
197 having considered all relevant publications on the subject, the GMO Panel concludes that, in terms
198 of risk to human and animal health and the environment, the provided information package does not
199 present new scientific evidence that would invalidate the previous risk assessments of maize
200 MON810. Therefore, no specific scientific evidence, in terms of risk to human and animal health
201 and the environment, was provided that would justify the invocation of a safeguard clause under
202 Article 23 of Directive 2001/18/EC and an emergency measure under Article 34 under Regulation
203 (EC) No 1829/2003.

204
205 *Adoption*

206
207 The opinion was adopted unanimously by the Panel. The opinion can be found on the EFSA
208 website at: http://www.efsa.europa.eu/EFSA/ScientificPanels/GMO/efsa_locale-1178620753812_GMOOpinions455.htm.

211 **5.4. Request from the European Commission to review scientific studies related to the
212 impact on the environment of the cultivation of maize Bt11 and 1507 (EFSA-Q-2008-679)**

213
214 *Introduction*

215
216 On 24 July 2008, EFSA was requested by the European Commission “*to review eleven scientific
217 studies published after the adoption of the EFSA opinions as well as any other relevant study and to
218 confirm its risk assessment of Bt11 and 1507 maize or comment on whether they would lead EFSA
219 to alter its conclusions or refine it*”.

220
221 *Discussion*

222 On 19 January 2005 and 20 April 2005, the Panel on Genetically Modified Organisms (GMO
223 Panel) of the European Food Safety Authority (EFSA) issued scientific opinions on genetically
224 modified maize Bt11 and 1507, both including the scope of cultivation.

225 At a meeting of the European Commission with national Competent Authorities on 19 June 2006,
226 some Member States raised objections to the original opinions of the GMO Panel. Most of these
227 objections related to potential effects of maize Bt11 and 1507 on non-target organisms and in
228 particular lepidopteran species and to post-market environmental monitoring. Following the
229 meeting with Competent Authorities and upon request of the European Commission, the GMO
230 Panel amended its previous scientific opinions on 7 November 2006 by adopting an Annex of
231 clarifications. In the Annex, the GMO Panel concluded that the information available for maize

232 Bt11 and 1507 addresses objections and questions raised by Member States, and confirmed that
233 maize Bt11 and 1507 are unlikely to have adverse effects on human and animal health or the
234 environment in the context of their proposed uses.

235 On 24 July 2008, the GMO Panel received a new request from the European Commission to review
236 the previous scientific opinions of maize Bt11 and 1507 in the light of 11 scientific publications,
237 published after the adoption of the previous scientific opinions of the GMO Panel, as well as any
238 other relevant study.

239 The GMO Panel concludes that neither the 11 scientific publications selected and provided by the
240 European Commission, nor recent peer-reviewed papers identified as relevant by the GMO Panel,
241 invalidate the former risk assessments of maize Bt11 and 1507 performed by the GMO Panel.

242 *Adoption*

244 The opinion was adopted unanimously by the Panel. The opinion can be found on the EFSA
245 website at: http://www.efsa.europa.eu/EFSA/ScientificPanels/GMO/efsa_locale-1178620753812_GMOOpinions455.htm

248 **6. UPDATE ON APPLICATIONS RECEIVED UNDER REGULATION (EC) NO 1829/2003
249 AND REGULATION (EC) NO 1831/2003**

251 *Ongoing applications*

253 • An overview of ongoing applications (including the applications for renewal of the
254 authorization of products already on the market) was given to the Panel with clear
255 indications on the timelines.

256 • Application 31 (NL-2006-31, maize LY038, Renessen): questions to the applicant for
257 additional information on the food/feed safety of LY038 maize were identified by the Panel
258 and discussed. In case additional information is requested from the applicant, the assessment
259 procedure is kept on hold (the clock is stopped).

260 • Application 41 (UK-2007-41, Cotton MON88913, Monsanto): applicant's responses were
261 received and discussed. The Panel will continue its evaluation.

266 **7. NEW REQUESTS TO EFSA: DISCUSSION AND ADOPTION OF MANDATES**

268 **7.1. Applications under Regulation (EC) No 1829/2003**

270 Application for authorisation of genetically modified PL73 Escherichia coli (LM) for feed use
271 (dried killed bacterial biomass) was received through France (EFSA-GMO-FR-2008-61) (EFSA-Q-
272 2008-669).

274 Member States Competent Authorities within the meaning of Directive 2001/18/EC as foreseen by
275 Articles 6 (4) and 18 (4) of Regulation (EC) No 1829/2003 will be consulted by EFSA once the
276 above mentioned application is valid. On its own initiative EFSA has broadened this consultation
277 also to Competent Authorities of the Member States under Regulation (EC) No 1829/2003 and

278 other national risk assessment bodies. The comments will be considered during the scientific
279 evaluation by the GMO Panel of the risk assessment performed by the applicant.
280

281 The summary of the application EFSA-GMO-FR-2008-61, as well as the information on the current
282 status can be found through the following webpage leading to EFSA's Register of Questions:
283 <http://registerofquestions.efsa.europa.eu/roqFrontend/questionsList.jsf>..
284

285 **7.2. Applications under Regulation (EC) No 1831/2003**

286 None
287

288 **7.3. Request from the EC to EFSA regarding the safeguard clause invoked by Austria
289 according to Article 23 of Directive 2001/18/EC in relation to maize lines MON863 (Ref.
290 C/DE/02/9, Commission Decisions 2007/608/EC and 2006/68/EC).**

291 With a letter dated 3 September 2008, the European Commission requested EFSA under Article
292 29(l) and in accordance with Articles 22(2) and 22(5)(c) of Regulation (EC) No 178/2002, to
293 provide a scientific opinion by 31 October 2008 as to:

294

- 295 • *“whether in accordance with Article 23 of the Directive 2001/18/EC, the statement and
296 documents submitted by the Austrian authorities comprise new information affecting the
297 environmental risk assessment, such that detailed grounds exist to consider that the above
298 authorised GMO, for the uses laid down in the corresponding consent, constitute a risk to
299 human health, animal health or the environment.”*

300 In the spirit of reinforcement of scientific cooperation with national institutions and in order to
301 ensure a more effective mode of collaboration on the scientific issues, DG ENV invited EFSA *“to
302 contact the Austrian experts to clarify all the requested information and the potential sources of
303 divergence before adopting the opinion”*.

304 When the supporting documentation is formally received, a technical meeting with Austrian
305 scientists will be convened. On the basis of the information provided and the discussion in the
306 technical meeting, the GMO Panel will conclude its scientific assessment.
307

308 **7.4. Request from the EC to EFSA regarding the safeguard clause invoked by Austria
309 according to Article 23 of Directive 2001/18/EC in relation to oilseed rape lines Ms8, Rf3,
310 Ms8xRf3 (Ref. C/BE/96/01, Commission Decision 2007/232/EC).**

311 With a letter dated 31 July 2008, the European Commission requested EFSA under Article 29(l)
312 and in accordance with Articles 22(2) and 22(5)(c) of Regulation (EC) No 178/2002, to provide a
313 scientific opinion by 15 October 2008 as to:

314

- 315 • *“whether in accordance with Article 23 of the Directive 2001/18/EC, the statement and
316 documents submitted by the Austrian authorities comprise new information affecting the
317 environmental risk assessment, such that detailed grounds exist to consider that the above
318 authorised GMO, for the uses laid down in the corresponding consent, constitute a risk to
319 human health or the environment.”*

320 In the spirit of reinforcement of scientific cooperation with national institutions and in order to
321 ensure a more effective mode of collaboration on the scientific issues, DG ENV invited EFSA *“to
322 contact the Austrian experts to clarify all the requested information and the potential sources of
323 divergence before adopting the opinion”*.

329

330 When the supporting documentation is formally received, a technical meeting with Austrian
331 scientists will be convened. On the basis of the information provided and the discussion in the
332 technical meeting, the GMO Panel will conclude its scientific assessment.

333

334

335 **8. UPDATE ON SELF TASK ACTIVITIES AND GUIDANCE FOR GMO RISK ASSESSMENT**

336

337 **8.1. Updated Guidance Document for the assessment of GM plants and derived food or** 338 **feed**

339

340 The European Commission informed the Panel about the progress in the preparation of EC
341 guidelines. To assist in the process the GMO Panel intends to evaluate comments from the public
342 consultation on the Draft Updated Guidance Document for the risk assessment of genetically
343 modified plants and derived food and feed and to discuss the comments, in a working group
344 meeting of the Standing Committee with Member states
345 (http://www.efsa.europa.eu/EFSA/efsa_locale-1178620753812_1211902079063.htm) organised by
346 the Commission.

347

348 **8.2. Self-tasking activity on Non-Target Organisms (EFSA-Q-2008-089)**

349

350 The draft document is in an advanced stage of development and the aim is to finalize the draft for
351 public consultation and present it to the GMO Panel in autumn 2009. The final outcome of this
352 EFSA self-task is to support the Updated Guidance for Environmental Risk Assessment of GM
353 plants and derived food or feed, see section 8.3 below.

354

355 **8.3. Updated Guidance for Environmental Risk Assessment of GM plants and derived food** 356 **or feed (EFSA-Q-2008-262)**

357 The work plan for this mandate of the DG Environment was presented to the GMO Panel. It
358 includes the 4 issues covered by the mandate and in addition an update of all sections of the current
359 ERA Guidance Document in light of the experience gained with the previous assessment of
360 applications, recent scientific development, outcome of the EFSA Colloquium on ERA challenges
361 and approaches, guidance on GM herbicide tolerant crops and guidance for GM stacked events.
362 Some of the sections of the Updated Guidance Document will be provided by the NTO self-task.
363 The timeline for this mandate is March 2008 to March 2010, and will include a public consultation
364 as is usual for EFSA risk assessment Guidance Documents.

365

366

367 **8.4. Guidance for the risk assessment of GM animals (EFSA-Q-2008-069)**

368 The work plan for this broad and complex mandate was presented to the Panel. For a guidance part
369 dealing with food/feed safety, the standing WG on food/feed supplemented with *ad hoc* experts will
370 elaborate on existing Guidance Documents available for example through *Codex Alimentarius*. For
371 the environmental part on the deliberate release of GM animals, guidance must be developed
372 focusing on GM fish, mammals, birds (poultry) and insects, according to the principles of the
373 Annexes II and III A of Directive 2001/18/EC. As a first step in the work plan the call for
374 procurement on GM fish is to be evaluated.

375

376 **8.5. Self-tasking on Statistics**

377 For the envisaged update of the environmental part of the Guidance Document, the EFSA working
378 group on statistics will ask a prolongation of its mandate for the duration of 2009-2010.

379

380
381
382
383

9. FEEDBACK FROM EFSA AND THE SCIENTIFIC COMMITTEE

384 The Chairman gave an overview of the outcome of the 32nd Plenary meeting of the Scientific
385 Committee held on 25-26 September 2008. The minutes of this meeting can be found on the EFSA
386 website:

387 http://www.efsa.europa.eu/EFSA/ScientificPanels/ScientificCommittee/efsa_locale-1178620753812_PanelMeetings414.htm

388
389
390

10. FEEDBACK FROM THE EUROPEAN COMMISSION

391
392

393 The representatives of the European Commission provided the Panel with the status of applications
394 that have been presented to the Standing Committee on the Food Chain and Animal Health for
395 possible authorisation, and for which no qualified majority was reached. It was also confirmed that
396 the presence of EFSA in the Council meeting on 20 October 2008 was beneficial to explain that
397 EFSA cooperates much more with Member States than is foreseen in the legal framework and
398 broadens its consultations to include Competent Authorities under Regulation (EC) No 1829/2003
399 and other national risk assessment bodies. Furthermore, EFSA consults for all applications. For
400 cultivation dossiers, EFSA delegates the environmental risk assessment to a Member State as
401 foreseen in the Art. 6 of Regulation (EC) No 1829/2003.

402
403

11. DATES OF FUTURE MEETINGS

404
405
406

Meeting dates for 2009 were agreed at earlier Plenary meetings.

407
408

12. ANY OTHER BUSINESS

409
410
411
412
413
414
415

An update on the progress of the mandate to be co-adopted by the GMO and BIOHAZ Panels on
“A consolidated opinion on the use of antibiotic resistance genes as marker genes in GM plants”
was presented to the Panel. Discussions between EFSA, EMEA and ECDC have resulted in good
progress and the draft opinion of the GMO and BIOHAZ Panels has been presented to the Panel for
discussion.

416
417
418
419
420
421
422
423

In addition to the two requests still pending on the safeguard clauses invoked by Austria on
MON810 and T25 (EFSA-Q-2008-314) and on GT73 (EFSA-Q-2008-315), there are two new
safeguard clauses invoked on Ms8, Rf3 and Ms8xRf3 (EFSA-Q-2008-743) and on MON863
(EFSA-Q-2008-742) as mentioned under sections 7.3 and 7.4. The Panel discussed practical
considerations related to these 4 pending Austrian safeguard clauses. The negotiated deadline for
the EFSA opinion on the safeguard clause on MON810 and T25 is December 31, 2008, whereas the
deadline for the safeguard clause on GT73 has been negotiated for March 31, 2009.