Template for candidates for European Partnership (Co-programmed, Co-funded, Institutionalised) under Horizon Europe,

informing the structured consultation of Member States via the Shadow Strategic Configuration of the Programme Committee

General Information	
Preliminary title of the European Partnerships	European Partnership for Chemicals Risk Assessment
Short description of the partnership (max 30 words).	Research at the science/policy interface to tackle knowledge gaps and emerging challenges, provide new evidence and methodologies and stimulate uptake of results to support EU/national chemicals risk assessment and management.
Services directly involved	DG RTD, DG SANTE, DG ENV, DG GROW, DG AGRI, DG MARE, JRC EU Agencies: EFSA, EEA, ECHA
	To be confirmed: DG EMPL, EU-OSHA, EMA
Context and problem definition	The World Health Organization estimates the 'disease burden' preventable through sound management and reduction of chemicals in the environment at around 1.6 million lives per year¹ globally. Several of the sustainable development goals relate to risks from hazardous chemicals and their management. Especially target 3.9 calls for "by 2030, to substantially reduce the number of deaths and illnesses from hazardous chemicals and air, water and soil pollution and contamination". At the same time, according to the 2019 UNEP resolution², the production and use of chemicals in the world is expected to increase threefold by 2050. The resolution emphasises the need to strengthen the science-policy interface and the global evidence base for chemicals.
	The EU regulatory framework for chemicals is advanced by international standards. Many core pieces of legislation have been developed during the last decades, considerably improving the access to information on chemical properties and conditions of use, and the protection of human health and the environment. Despite this, there are concerns among citizens, civil society organisations, scientists, regulatory authorities and other stakeholders about the safety of chemicals in the environment, food and feed, consumer products and workplaces. According to the 2017 Eurobarometer report ³ , more than four in five Europeans are worried about the impact on their health of chemicals present in everyday products and nine in ten respondents are worried about the impact on the environment.
	Risk assessment agencies and regulatory bodies, at EU and national level; find themselves confronted with gaps in knowledge, information and methodology. This impedes the risk assessors from properly assessing chemical risks or advising policy makers on the scope and direction of new or existing regulatory frameworks. There are knowledge gaps as regards hazards, occurrence and exposures to chemicals and chemical mixtures occurring in various media and products. Testing and assessment methods for adverse health effects need to be developed and taken-up. Existing evidence is often scattered and not accessible, new tools and methods lack validation, causing duplication of efforts and inefficient use of resources. The uptake of scientific data and new tools and technologies in risk assessment needs stronger emphasis and acceleration, to allow the EU regulatory framework to remain a world reference. Risk communication

¹ WHO, 'The public health impact of chemicals: knowns and unknowns: data addendum for 2016', <u>www.who.int/iris/handle/10665/279001</u>
² United Nations Environment Programme (UN Environment; UNEP) resolution on 'Sound management of chemicals and waste', https://papersmart.unon.org/resolution/uploads/k1900787.pdf

³ Eurobarometer report 468 'Attitudes of European citizens towards the environment': $\underline{http://ec.europa.eu/commfrontoffice/publicopinionmobile/index.cfm/Survey/getSurveyDetail/surveyKy/2156}$

also becomes more and more important, with risk managers as well as the public and stakeholders. An example of the growing concern and the recognised need for better collaboration is the idea of an EU Toxicology Programme launched by the French Agency for Environmental and Occupational Health Safety and now discussed with other national agencies. The present proposal for a partnership takes account of this idea, but considers the challenges faced by risk assessors to be wider and span the entire risk assessment framework.

Since many years, the EU Research Framework Programmes have funded research on the health impact of chemicals and monitoring tools and technologies. Under Horizon 2020 a closer link between science and policy was developed: HBM4EU strives at providing human biomonitoring data useful for risk assessors and managers; EUTOXRISK aims for a proof of concept for animal-free toxicology testing; new projects on testing of endocrine disruptors include the OECD validation of developed methods in their work. The new partnership would establish an even stronger link between science and policy and push forward the uptake of research results into chemical regulatory processes.

Objectives and expected impacts

The aim of the partnership is to support the established regulatory processes and facilitate their adaptation to and preparedness for persistent or emerging challenges. It will bring together the European risk assessment and regulatory entities to set a joint research and innovation agenda, implemented through in house research or targeted open calls. Partners will join forces to tackle knowledge gaps and promote the development and uptake of new methods, tools, technologies and information in chemical hazard identification, exposure monitoring and risk assessment. The partnership will contribute to the sustainability of the human biomonitoring platform developed by HBM4EU, by incorporating it into a wider chemical risk assessment initiative as recommended during the 2018 HBM conference⁴. Joining forces in a partnership will optimise the use of available resources in Europe and the information flows between countries and with the EU level. The partnership will not replace already existing mandatory reporting or monitoring schemes but enrich them with new knowledge and tools where necessary. It will provide an EU-wide research platform complementing the EU regulatory system, thereby strengthening the EU-MS collaborations in this field.

The main building blocks of the partnership could include: human biomonitoring; environmental and food monitoring; toxicology and hazard assessments; exposure assessment; emerging chemicals; chemical mixtures; validation, standardisation and reference materials; risk assessment methodologies; data management and analysis; communication, dissemination and training; priority setting and sustainability.

The partnership will support the following EU policies or initiatives, the implementation of the outcome of the recent fitness checks and feed into the inception phase of new legislation:

- REACH regulation;
- Legislation on plant protection products and pesticides residues;
- Framework directive on occupational safety:
- General food law and other regulations in the area of food and feed;
- The water framework directive;
- Commission's proposal for a regulation on the transparency and sustainability of the EU risk assessment in the food chain;
- Commission Communication on options to address the interface

⁴ www.hbm4eu-vienna2018.com/#conclusions

between chemical, product and waste legislation; • Commission Communication on a comprehensive European Union framework on endocrine disruptors; • Commission Communication on a European Union strategic approach to pharmaceuticals in the environment; • The Commission Communication on combination effects of chemicals • The 7th Environment Action Programme and its possible follow-up; • A possible future EU strategy on the chemicals policy; • The EEA's state of the environment report. In addition, the partnership will contribute to the international fora, such as WHO, UNEP and OECD dealing with chemicals, pollution and the SDGs. The expected timeframe of the proposed partnership would be an initial 5 years, during which further sustainability should be prepared. Necessity test: rationale for A partnership for R&I in chemical risk assessment will be a joint activity a European Partnership between the risk assessment and regulatory entities, at EU and national level, reflecting political commitment and wish to coordinate by jointly developing and implementing a European programme. Therefore, a partnership is the optimal instrument; simple calls for research proposals will not achieve the same level of integration, strategic programming and co-shared responsibility. Structured collaboration between national (and EU) entities will optimise the use of available resources, ensure better information flows and best practice sharing. Coherent alignment with and between national and EU regulatory bodies will stimulate prioritised and harmonised approaches to regulatory actions across sectors and countries. Due to the EC's mandate on chemicals regulation, there is already a regulatory system in place spanning from national to the EU level. The proposed partnership will develop the corresponding supporting network for research and drive forward innovation. Pillar II 'Global Challenges and European Industrial Competitiveness' Relevant for the following parts of Horizon Europe □ Cluster Health ☐ Cluster Culture, creativity and inclusive society ☐ Cluster Civil Security for Society □ Cluster Digital, Industry and Space ☑ Cluster Climate, Energy and Mobility ☑ Cluster Food, Bioeconomy, Natural Resources, Agriculture and Environment Currently identified links This partnership is already a result of a merger of different proposals for with other partnership partnerships. Information on risks posed by chemicals is important for many candidates / Union of the other partnerships proposed across sectors, and this partnership should act as a reference point for research questions related to chemical programmes risks (complementing the available regulatory information). This partnerships links to the following 'programmes': • EU FPs: ongoing or completed projects on chemicals safety such as SOLUTIONS, NORMAN, EUROMIX, EDCMIXRISK, EUTOXRISK, the collaboration of these projects with the EC for a strategic approach to mixtures, the EURION cluster on testing methods for endocrine disruptors, mycotoxins projects MycoKey and MyToolbox, projects resulting from the WP2020 topics on plastics, plant protection products or food safety control • EU FPs and national programmes: Water Joint Programming Initiative, the NANOSAFETY cluster and the Malta initiative, HBM4EU

EC/Agencies: activities on chemical safety in JRC, EFSA, EEA and

ECHA, including IPCHEM • LIFE programme: e.g. LIFE APEX Does the proposed partnership build on currently active ones? The European Human Biomonitoring Initiative EJP, HBM4EU, ending 31.12.2021	
Does the proposed partnership build on currently active ones? The European Human Biomonitoring Initiative EJP, HBM4EU, ending 31.12.2021	
Expected type and composition of partners All EU Member States will be invited to participate, as well as of European countries. The main partners shall be the national organisation carrying out chemical risk assessment or regulatory enforcement mandate by the authorities in charge of chemical safety policy development. Involvement of academia and research organisations can be envisaged eit through open calls on specific research topics or as Linked Third Parties the grant signatories. Each country will have to establish a national network to ensure that information is spread, and input on research prioring athered.	ions lated The ither es to work
The equivalent actors at EU level, such as EFSA, ECHA, EEA or FOSHA, can either be part of the governance structure to ensure alignment with their infrastructures and activities or, if rules of participation Horizon Europe and their mandates allow so, envisage becoming partners the initiative. Coordination with JRC activities and use of JRC database will be managed through the governance structure.	ment n of rs of
HBM4EU has set an example of pro-active outreach to stakeholders a citizens, joint priority setting and open knowledge sharing, which can replicated for this new partnership. An International Advisory Board vensure links to international activities and explore possible collaborations	n be will
Contributions and commitments expected from partners Partners will have to co-finance costs incurred by carrying out in ho research or provide funds for joint open calls for targeted research shows they wish to use that mechanism. This may require support from nation research funding organisations. Activities covered 'in-house' by partnership should involve regulatory uptake of new tools and meth developed and communication to citizens and stakeholders. The co-fund wist to be discussed with the partners and their national authorities.	ould onal the hods
Currently envisaged implementation mode(s) ☐ Co-programmed European Partnership ☐ Co-funded European Partnership ☐ Institutionalised European Partnership	
Justification of the implementation mode A co-funded partnership is the best instrument to support collaborate between national entities, who are not funding agencies per se, but nation organisations in charge of regulatory activities, carrying out either resear activities themselves or through contracts with academia or other public funded research institutions. A co-funded partnership allows for national arrangements between funders for the involved agencies to cover incur costs not funded by the EU grant. Basing the financial contribution on continuous incurred leaves it up to partners to contribute according to their means a national capacities/interests. This will ensure inclusiveness. The concept of the HBM4EU governance structure can be used as a most for the new partnership. The highest governance board would involve national and EU authorities in political charge of risk assessment in management mandating the participating entities. The aim of governance board will be to set joint priorities and ensure alignment we policy agendas and complementary activities or programmes. The mandating the participating or programmes.	onal arch licly onal arred costs and odel the and the with lated joint
national entities will then be in charge of implementing the journal programme. A long-term sustainable partnership in Europe should not reliant on research funds only but needs a wider political endorsement investments. Proposed starting year 2022	