

## Final Minutes

### 34<sup>th</sup> MEETING OF THE FOCAL POINT NETWORK UTRECHT (THE NETHERLANDS), 8 February 2018

**Chair:** Jeff Moon

**Co-Chair:** Sérgio Potier Rodeia

#### Members

Austria	<i>Katja Herzog</i>
Belgium	<i>Frederic Denauw</i>
Bulgaria	<i>Donka Popova</i>
Croatia	<i>Sanja Miloš</i>
Cyprus	<i>Maro Christodoulidou</i>
Czech Republic	<i>Jitka Götzová</i>
Denmark	<i>Birgitte Helwigh</i>
Estonia	<i>Piret Priisalu</i>
Finland	<i>Kirsi-Maarit Siekkinen</i>
France	<i>Coralie Bultel</i>
Germany	<i>Lea Herges</i>
Greece	<i>Gorgias Garofalakis</i>
Hungary	<i>Judit Sali</i>
Iceland	<i>Grimur Olafsson</i>
Ireland	<i>Edel Smyth</i>
Italy	<i>Luca Busani</i>
Latvia	<i>Elina Ciekure</i>
Lithuania	<i>Giedre Ciuberkyte</i>
Luxembourg	<i>Elisa Barilozzi</i>
Malta	<i>Ingrid Borg</i>
Netherlands	<i>Jacqueline Castenmiller</i>
Norway	<i>Gisle Solstad</i>
Poland	<i>Katarzyna Floryanowicz – Czekalska</i>
Portugal	<i>Filipa Melo de Vasconcelos</i>
Slovak Republic	<i>Milo Bystrický</i>
Slovenia	<i>Blaža Nahtigal</i>
Spain	<i>Cristina Alonso Andicoberry</i>
Sweden	<i>Bitte Fagerlund Aspenström</i>
United Kingdom	<i>Patrick Miller</i>

## Observers

Albania	<i>Shpresa Ohri</i>
Bosnia-Herzegovina	<i>Nadja Ustamujic</i>
FYR of Macedonia	<i>Svetlana Tomeska Mickova</i>
Montenegro	<i>Jelena Vracar Filipovic</i>
Serbia	<i>Nadezda Dukic</i>
Turkey	<i>Muzaffer Nurseren Budak</i>
Switzerland	<i>Barbara Engeli</i>

## FP Secretariat

*Julia Finger*

## Guest Speaker

*Alisdair Wotherspoon*

## EFSA Staff

<i>Stef Bronzwaer</i>	<i>Jose Cortinas Abrahantes</i>
<i>Nicoline Le Gourierec (videoconference)</i>	<i>Kerstin Gross-Helmert</i>
<i>Marta Hugas</i>	<i>Drago Marojevic</i>
<i>James Ramsay</i>	<i>Hans Verhagen</i>

Apologies: Romania, Albania

## 1. OPENING OF MEETING

Jeff Moon, Chair of the 34<sup>th</sup> Focal Point (FP) meeting, welcomed participants to Utrecht. He particularly welcomed the new FP from Belgium, Frederic Denauw, and as well back to the plenary Cristina Alonso-Andicoberry, FP from Spain, and Jelena Vracar Filipović, who was working in EFSA by 2017 and is now FP representative for Montenegro.

Jeff briefly explained the organisational changes in the new EFSA COMCO (Communications, Engagement and Cooperation) Department, and in particular that the former AFSCO (Advisory Forum and Scientific Cooperation) Unit, hosting the AF and FP secretariat, have been incorporated in the ENCO (Engagement and Cooperation) Unit, headed by James Ramsay.

## 2. ADOPTION OF THE AGENDA

FPs received the most recent draft agenda at the meeting. Jeff asked if FPs would like to raise additional items. None were raised and the agenda was adopted. Jeff also informed that the final minutes of the 33<sup>rd</sup> FP meeting were published on EFSA's website on 14.12.2017.

## 3. MATTERS ARISING

### 3.1. Action Points

Jeff informed that action Points arising from the last FP meeting had all been concluded with two still ongoing: Action Point 5, concerning feedback from the Art.36 Task Force, to be provided at the next FP meeting in April; and Action Point 7, for EFSA to share its Social Media Guidelines with FPs, which will be done as soon as the guidelines are available.

### **3.2. Feedback from the 66<sup>th</sup> and 67<sup>th</sup> AF meetings**

Jeff gave feedback from the last two AF meetings. The 66<sup>th</sup> AF meeting was held on 5-6 December 2017 in EFSA with specific sessions on capacity building and joint projects under the EU-RAA, and on international cooperation, the latter concerning initiatives with China and through new liaison groups. An afternoon session was dedicated to sequencing technology and possible application to risk assessment with contributions from EFSA and MS. On the second day participants engaged in a breakout session on future input from MS into EFSA's work planning and strategy setting cycles. The 67<sup>th</sup> AF meeting took place on 6 February, just before the RARA conference and the FP meeting, with an update from the Chair of the EFSA Scientific Committee and discussions on the REFIT report as well as on the EFSA reputation barometer.

### **3.3. Competent MS Authorities requesting EFSA opinions**

Julia Finger explained the details regarding a recent request from the AF secretariat to AF and FP representatives, asking for nominations of national Competent Authorities (CA) that should be entitled to request scientific opinions from EFSA. According to Art.9 of the EFSA Founding Regulation, EFSA can be tasked by governmental authorities of the MS. These CA have to be nominated by the MS, which implies an involvement of the Permanent Representations (PR). FPs are asked to assist the AF member with the nominations of these CA and ensure the involvement of the PR. Luxembourg asked if having the PR in copy when sending the list of CA is sufficient, which was acknowledged. FPs that already had sent the list but did not inform the PR are asked to resend the list. The information about the PR must be in line with national rules, if via official letters or e-mail. Germany and Spain asked to which extent contact details should be included. Julia clarified that contact details are not required, as the respective update process would create unnecessary workload. It is possible to include the e-mail of the FP instead of the address of a CA. Portugal underlined that the FP should always be informed if a CA tasks EFSA. Norway asked about the procedure applicable to EEA countries. It was clarified that EEA countries have to send their request to EFSA via the EFTA Office in Brussels.

- **Action Point 1:** FPs to send nominations of national Competent Authorities entitled to task EFSA with scientific opinions to the AF Secretariat via the Permanent Representation until end of February.

### **3.4. EU- FORA update**

Nicoline Le Gourierec presented via videoconference an update on the EU-FORA. Nicoline noted that the first pilot year is running successfully and exceeded expectations regarding the scientific relevance and excellence of the work programmes proposed by the hosting sites, the good contributions and progress made by the fellows, as well as the excellent feedback received on the training modules delivered by the contractors consortium including the level of expertise and teaching skills of the tutors. The calls in preparation of the second round closed on 31 January 2018 and 70 applications for fellows were received. 16 competent MS organisations had applied for hosting sites with 23 work programmes and for 26 possible fellow placements, out of which 6 organisations applied for the first time and 6 organisations are already acting as a hosting site. Out of the 16 applicants, 6 have created a consortium with one or more partners, within their MS or with a competent partner from another MS. Nicoline underlined that the EU-FORA team is conscious of administrative hurdles which will be taken into account when developing a sustainable model for this fellowship programme. The Evaluation Committee will be assessing applications of both fellows and hosting sites, with ranking lists expected by end of March. In early April the "matching process" will take place, and the 15 highest ranked work programmes will receive two fellow applications for their choice. Thus, the entire Grant Agreement and placement process is expected to be finalized by end April with the purpose to give the fellows and hosting sites sufficient time to start dealing with logistical aspects of the placement.

Austria asked when hosting sites should expect the result of their application. Nicoline explained that within one month time organisations will be informed if their proposal was successful. Spain informed that the reported administrative problems have been

overcome. Belgium informed that some administrative problems still exist, thus a national meeting will take place to analyse how this could be overcome. France thanked for the assistance provided through a questionnaire that helped FPs to support the calls.

### **3.5. FP Evaluation of the 33<sup>rd</sup> FP meeting**

Julia Finger gave an overview on the evaluation of the last FP meeting. FPs were asked to comment on various aspects of each FP meeting and the results are measured against a baseline set on former evaluations. FPs expressed their appreciation of the quality and content of the event and the assistance provided. The key improvements suggested concerned the allocation of more time dedicated to discussions, including breakout sessions, instead of plenary discussions.

## **4. GUIDANCE ON UNCERTAINTY IN EFSA SCIENTIFIC ASSESSMENT**

Hans Verhagen, Head of the Risk Assessment & Scientific Assistance Department at EFSA, gave a presentation on uncertainty and on the recently adopted and published EFSA guidance on uncertainty analysis in scientific assessments. Hans introduced the terminology of uncertainty and the scientific context. He explained the content of the guidance document and its development. He also referred briefly to an upcoming guidance on communication of uncertainty, a first draft of which is being presented to the EFSA Scientific Committee (SC) the week after the FP meeting. Following also a public consultation, the final version is expected for autumn 2018. The guidance on uncertainty will be implemented from mid-2018 onwards, first in generic risk assessments, later for regulatory products. The roll out will also comprise trainings and presentation at conferences. Hans also gave insight on the role of expert judgement in assessing uncertainty. The choice of methods as well as the degree of refinement will remain flexible.

Austria asked who will implement the guidance. Norway informed about similar work on uncertainty ongoing, asking about possible impact on previous assessments. Hans explained that for this matter EFSA has guidance on when to re-open an Opinion (published 2017). Hans clarified that the implementation of the guidance is planned first in the non-regulated area, then in the regulated products area. A 2<sup>nd</sup> impact assessment is also envisaged. UK and France informed to also work on uncertainty, suggesting exchanging information in order to avoid duplication and align processes regarding training. Hans informed that, generally, many EFSA training courses are already open for MS experts, in particular to scientific network representatives; and that the opening of such courses to experts from Art.36 organisations, including AF and FP representatives, is a possibility. The Netherlands asked if one of the consequences of implementing the new guidance could be a call for better data. Hans explained that better data are always welcome. He indicated that it is a key issue is to explain uncertainty to risk managers. Hans explained that a first impact assessment especially in area of non-regulated products was conducted and appreciated by risk managers since it helped them to take their decisions.

- **Action Point 2:** UK Focal Point to share link on national ongoing consultation related to uncertainty.

## **5. RARA – TAKING STOCK AND FOLLOW-UP ACTIONS**

Jeff introduced Marta Hugas, Chief Scientist in EFSA, Stef Bronzwaer in his new role as Senior Research Coordinator, and Alisdair Wotherspoon, former UK FP and Research Consultant, who coordinated, the day before the FP meeting, the Risk Assessment Research Assembly (RARA). Stef underlined that the keyword for follow-up of the RARA is coordination. FPs will be asked to play an important role in this regard, particularly in facilitating and promoting the creation of consortia, and as well in supporting sustainability of research projects and communicating relevant outcomes. A follow-up event of the RARA that should involve again FPs is being considered for the EFSA Scientific Conference in September 2018.

Italy acknowledged the well organised event and its timeliness just after the launch of the Horizon 2020 Work Programme for Research & Innovation 2018-2020. Outcomes and results of previous projects should also be presented to show their added value and

sustainability. Estonia appreciated the time allocated for networking activities. Greece underlined that the new Horizon 2020 Work Programme includes a call on global health and that the upcoming EFSA Scientific Conference could be a good opportunity for EFSA and other organisations to present expectations and inspire proposals on this domain. Norway emphasised the opportunity for interactions during the event but that it is important to ensure that the EU-RAA catalogue of project ideas is kept up-to-date. Denmark pointed out that much time has been spent in plenary discussion while more focus and interaction on the project ideas presented would have been beneficial. Austria agreed, adding that time allocated to discussion of research ideas was too short. Montenegro remarked that the time spent in plenary sessions can be seen as an introduction on how to bring up priorities and raise awareness, and that workshop and practical events should follow, with topics tailored to key project proposals. To this end, FPs could approach national coordinators of Horizon 2020, which was also a comment on screen. France underlined to be important that MS and the EC get a report from the event, including of the ideas pitched.

Stef informed that follow-up to RARA will include a report that will also be sent to the EC to inform the EC about the main outcomes of the RARA event, including the importance of public funding and networking and how EFSA and partners can support policy makers informing them about research needs. Similar opportunities will be given in September at the Scientific Conference and also other RARA events will likely be repeated in the future. The report is expected by end of March and FPs are asked to liaise with their national Horizon 2020 contact points once the report is published. The event application will remain open for contacts to be established among participants. Reference was also made by Stef to a presentation done by Hungary at the last AF meeting, which aims to map the national agricultural research funding mechanisms for the Standing Committee on Agricultural Research (SCAR) - Food Systems SWG, an exercise that has also taken place in Belgium and Finland. This AF presentation will be shared with FPs.

- **Action Point 3:** EFSA to share with FPs the HU presentation on the SCAR project made at the last AF meeting.
- **Action Point 4:** FPs and EFSA to liaise with national Horizon 2020 contact points after the publication of the RARA event report (FPs at national level and EFSA at EC level).

## 6. 10<sup>th</sup> ANNIVERSARY OF THE FP NETWORK

On the last FP meeting, the plenary reflected on ways to celebrate the 10<sup>th</sup> anniversary of the FP Network in 2018. Kerstin Gross-Helmert shared with FPs the draft timeline developed to date, which had been chosen as the best tool to highlight the achievements of the network over the past 10 years. FPs are asked to comment on this timeline and suggest improvements e.g. key milestones not yet mapped and/or other relevant images. The final timeline will be shared with FPs for further dissemination and promotion of the FP network. FPs will be able to make use of the timeline template for creating a national FP timeline, should they wish to do so.

Montenegro welcomed this initiative. Estonia suggested including a reference to the FP task regarding the coordination of scientific networks. Germany added that it would be nice to include some explanatory information on the items displayed in the timeline e.g. via popup windows.

- **Action Point 5:** FPs to comment on the draft timeline for celebrating a decade of the Focal Point network by 28.02.2018.

## 7. COMMS UPDATE & REPUTATION BAROMETER

Jeff introduced James Ramsay, Head of ENCO Unit under the new COMCO configuration. James informed FPs on the latest COMCO activities, including the article of the EFSA Executive Director, Bernhard Url, in the science magazine "Nature", and the EFSA Reputation Barometer. This pilot project will be followed by an insider survey with the European Parliament (EP), a detailed stakeholder mapping and a second barometer survey in 2019.

Italy suggested to split results regarding NGOs and the public opinion, and asked why "Governance" scored low. Norway welcomed the article in "Nature", informing that it has been circulated widely among relevant national institutions. Gisle suggested improving the re-usability of EFSA press releases and of similar content on EFSA's website, which might be a task for the Communication Experts Network (CEN). Jeff added that it would also be beneficial if MS inform EFSA when these articles appear at national level. James explained that NGOs are not among EFSA's main stakeholders, being aware of the differences in perception between NGOs and the general public, which will be addressed in the future. The low scored items regarding governance target mainly EFSA management. Greece asked if any event during the survey may have influenced its outcome and if differences in answers from MS have been detected. James acknowledged that discussions around glyphosate might have had their influence on the final results; however, as it is the first time this exercise is carried out, no benchmark was available. He also clarified that no breakdown of answers per MS was done. Finland remarked that risk managers in MS have not been included in the study but would represent an important group. Germany asked about the insider survey and the strategy to reach the EP, offering also to provide the contact person in BfR for reputation barometer related activities. James explained that due to difficulties to reach EP members, the insider survey is based on face-to-face interviews. Luxembourg asked for ideas on how to respond to negative feedback from consumers and stakeholders. James underlined that EFSA has gone as far as possible in addressing concerns of consumers and stakeholders, who nonetheless might strive for more rules. In the next barometer, also national consumer organisations might be taken into consideration as the current exercise focused only on EU consumer organisations.

- **Action Point 6:** EFSA to share the link to the published report on the reputation barometer.
- **Action Point 7:** German Focal Point to share with EFSA contact point for reputation barometer related activities.

## 8. MAIN OUTCOMES FROM FP REPORTING 2017

Sérgio Potier Rodeia, Co-Chair of the meeting, introduced Drago Marojevic, new Colleague in the ENCO Unit and former FP Observer from Montenegro. Drago provided FPs with a summary of the key activities carried out by FPs during 2017, as reported by FPs in their annual reports. Sérgio explained that the conclusions on the outcomes presented (namely on activities trends) reflect also shifts on priority allocated to FP tasks, workload and interaction with partners on specific topics. Key recommendations made concern the prioritisation of information exchange, increasing its efficiency and avoid duplication. The role of FPs in pooling of expertise as well as on international cooperation should be carefully reviewed. The support of FPs in the promotion of calls for grants and procurement and the use of the national FP webpages for this and other purposes remains also highly important. The descriptive analysis of outcomes presented will feed into the current review of FP Agreements.

Denmark suggested presenting the number of MS having performed a task instead of percentages as these may be misleading. Malta added that the presentation only compares results with previous years, but does not reflect the evolution of tasks over the years. Malta made reference to the increase in the number of tasks entrusted to FPs, which may as well mean a decrease in performance for small FPs, which should be indicated in the report in order to give a potential context of results. Germany underlined that the exchange of questions through the FP network is of high importance for FPs. Spain suggested to add information on requests from EFSA to FPs on who has already been informed in the MS (e.g. AF, CEN and other scientific networks), in order to avoid overlap or duplication. Denmark proposed to change from the word file to a different platform to collect annual information in easier, more efficient and comparable way. Sérgio thanked FPs for the suggestions, adding that also the graphics could be displayed in a different way on these annual summaries. Setting concrete performance and impact indicators to monitor the FP activities will also be considered during the review process

of FP Agreements. All suggestions for improvement on the FP reporting will be brought to the attention of the EFSA FP Task Force.

## 9. STATE-OF-PLAY ON REVIEW OF FP GRANT AGREEMENTS

Sérgio briefed FPs on the current state-of-play of the plan for reviewing FP Agreements and developing new FP Agreements for the 2019 - 2022 cycle. The input provided by FPs during the breakout session on the 32<sup>nd</sup> FP meeting in Prague will be taken into consideration as well as aspects such as the increased workload requested to FPs overtime, ways to improve efficiency and prioritisation of FP tasks, the reinforcement of support of the FP network to the AF, and the way how FPs contribute to the building of scientific assessment capacity and the RA knowledge community at EU level. A final draft of the FP agreements should be ready by July 2018, which will then be sent for consultation to AF members at its 69th meeting in September 2018. AF members asked specifically for EFSA to consult first with FPs ahead of the consultation with the AF in September.

On question from Germany on the biggest difference to previous agreements, Sérgio explained that the initiative came from the EFSA ED to rethink tasks and priorities of the network. Thus mandatory and optional tasks may be reviewed and KPIs will be introduced to measure efficiency. The type of agreement (lump sum grant) will probably remain unchanged. Budgetary constraints should enable the review process to focus on efficiency instead of increased budget. Spain agreed, underlining that an increase of tasks not always calls for an increase in budget but rather for an adjustment of tasks. Italy noted that the renewal takes place in parallel with a change of the IT environment at EFSA, asking if new tools for FPs will be available. Sérgio explained that collaborative workspaces are already being piloted (e.g. Knowledge Junction and R4EU DB) and that other workspaces can be explored on a need basis. France expressed concerns regarding timelines, as discussions should better take place before summer holidays rather than only in September. The document drafted by the EFSA FP task force should be prepared well in advance and presented for discussion as soon as possible, especially taking into account that some important discussions will be required for the prioritisation of FP tasks. Sérgio agreed, informing that the work of the EFSA FP task force will be presented at the next FP meeting in April followed by consultation with AF in September. Spain asked for receiving the draft text of agreements as soon as possible, in order to initiate internal procedures, which can be arranged. Jeff concluded, noting that while overall funding was likely to remain the same, tasks could be developed, clustered and prioritised, with measurable indicators set. FPs are welcome to contribute with experiences and ideas.

## 10. DATABASE ON NATIONAL RA ACTIVITIES

### 10.1. Current status & outcome of pilot testing

Sérgio provided a short update on the development of the R4EU DB on planned national RA activities. The pilot phase was launched on 26.01.2018 and will run until 15.03.2018. By 02.02.2018 a total of 17 FPs had completed the registration procedure and were informed that they have access to the DB for testing purposes. During the pilot, FPs are asked to test procedures for updating and editing the DB as laid down on the respective user-manual. José Cortinas Abrahantes presented a live demo of the latest developments and features introduced in the DB, particularly on how to best map potential and ongoing collaboration among organisations.

- **Action Point 8:** FPs to continue to register to (if not yet done so) and test the new R4EU DB on planned national RA activities by 15.03.2018. Feedback on errors or bugs should be reported on the relevant link available in the user-manual.

### 10.2. Sharing of DB information with EFSA & AF

Jeff recalled the background on the sharing of information on national RA activities in MS that has been a standing item on AF meetings since 2014. The new DB will change the current setting, which will lead to rearrangements of notifications on these activities between AF, FP and EFSA. Jeff explained the current procedure in EFSA. Given the

launch of the DB, the question for FPs is how this procedure can be improved and whether FPs can/should be more involved in it. Arrangements might differ according to different organisations in MS.

## 11. ANY OTHER BUSINESS

### 11.1. Spanish Network of Nanotechnologies

Cristina Alonso-Andicoberry, FP from Spain, informed the plenary about the Spanish Network of Nanotechnologies. The network was created by the Spanish Government as a network of excellence to improve research results. To be part of the network, research groups must have participated in a research project founded in previous calls of the Spanish National Plan of Research. The network is promoting cutting-edge research among members, specialised training in the field of food nanotechnology, scientific dissemination about food nanotechnology and technology transfer.

### 11.2 ANSES policy orientations for 2018

Coralie Bultel, FP from France, presented the document on "ANSES Policy Orientations for 2018", which was shared with the FP network. It is proposed that FPs forward this information to national organisations to increase awareness of the ANSES Work Programme for 2018 and stimulate cooperation on subjects of common interest.

### 11.3 Health Risk Assessment: ANSES renews ten of its expert groups

Coralie Bultel explained the background of the renewal of 10 expert groups in ANSES and about the ongoing call for applications for six Expert Committees and four Working Groups in the areas of food, nutrition, animal feed, health and welfare, and plant health. Since all sessions of these expert groups are conducted in French, ANSES is looking for French-speaking experts. All nominations must be submitted online, in French, no later than 16 March 2018. FPs are invited to spread the information to French-speaking experts that may be potentially interested.

### 11.4 FSA workshop on Hepatitis E virus

Patrick Miller, FP from UK, informed the plenary about the FSA workshop on "Hepatitis E virus in Policy and Science" that will take place on 26-27 March 2018 in Amsterdam. FPs are asked to nominate two representatives per country, one for science and another for policy aspects. Costs for participation are covered, excluding travel, which has to be organised by the experts themselves. The deadline for submission of expressions of interest is 12 February 2018.

- **Action Point 9:** FPs to nominate two experts for the FSA Workshop on "Hepatitis E virus in Policy and Science" until 12 February 2018.

### 11.5 Upcoming FP and other national events

Julia gave an overview on upcoming FP meetings and national events. The 35<sup>th</sup> FP meeting will take place on 18-19.04.2018 in Oslo; the 36<sup>th</sup> FP meeting on 03-04.10.2018 in Bratislava; and the 37<sup>th</sup> FP meeting on 05-06.12.2018 in Parma. Reference was also made to the EFSA Conference 2018 - Science, Food, Society, that will occur on 18-19.09.2018 in Parma. Registrations opened on 23.01.2018 and will be accepted until 30.06.2018.

## CLOSURE OF THE MEETING

Sérgio Potier Rodeia closed the meeting, thanking participants for their attendance and active contribution. He also thanked specifically Stef Bronzwaer and Jeff Moon for their longstanding dedication, support and chairing of the FP network and wished, on behalf of the network, all the best successes to their new tasks at EFSA. Jeff Moon thanked all FPs for their support over the last few years highlighting he'll be looking forward to meet the network again in his new role as Team Leader on Global Cooperation.

## SUMMARY OF ACTION POINTS

Reference	Who	What
Action 1	FPs	<i>Send nominations of national Competent Authorities entitled to task EFSA with scientific opinions to the AF Secretariat via the Permanent Representation until end of February</i>
Action 2	UK FP	<i>Share link on national ongoing consultation related to uncertainty</i>
Action 3	EFSA	<i>Share with FPs the HU presentation on the SCAR project made at the last AF meeting</i>
Action 4	FPs/ EFSA	<i>Liaise with national Horizon 2020 contact points after the publication of the RARA event report (FPs at national level and EFSA at EC level)</i>
Action 5	FPs	<i>Comment on the draft timeline for celebrating a decade of the Focal Point network by 28.02.2018</i>
Action 6	EFSA	<i>Share the link to the published report on the reputation barometer</i>
Action 7	German FP	<i>Share with EFSA contact point for reputation barometer related activities</i>
Action 8	FPs	<i>Continue to register to (if not yet done so) and test the new R4EU DB on planned national RA activities by 15.03.2018. Feedback on errors or bugs should be reported on the relevant link available in the user-manual</i>
Action 9	FPs	<i>Nominate two experts for the FSA Workshop on "Hepatitis E virus in Policy and Science" until 12 February 2018</i>

### Document history

Document reference	Version 1.2
Prepared by	Julia Finger
Reviewed by	Sérgio Potier Rodeia
Last date modified	6 March 2018