

CORRIGENDUM Nr. 1

Procurement call: GP/EFSA/NUTRI/2017/01

Call title: Entrusting preparatory work for the safety assessment on Novel Foods and Traditional Foods from third countries

CORRIGENDUM TEXT:

Modification of the following text:

- Page 11 "Budget for the Specific Agreements", point 1:
The staff assigned to the project have to be classified between these 3 4 categories
- Page 12 "Budget for the Specific Agreements", addition of points 5, 6, 7 and 8 as follows:

5. The general COORDINATION COSTS are eligible costs. These costs cover the cost of general management of the grant agreement by the beneficiary. These costs are to be indicated in the estimated budget at a flat rate of up to 10% of all eligible direct staff costs (both extramuros and intramuros, including the reinstallation costs in case of intramuros assignments).

For example: Staff X, Belgium Researcher (daily cost 360 €), working the whole year in EFSA premises, generates eligible staff costs $220 \text{ d} * 360 \text{ €} = 79.200 \text{ €}$, and on top of it EFSA recognises the reinstallation cost of 18.000 € ($1500 \text{ €} * 12 \text{ m}$). The coordination costs eligible on top of these intramuros staff costs are 10% of 97.200 € = 9.720 €.

6. By derogation to point 2.2 of Annex 1, the INDIRECT COSTS related to the costs of staff in intramuros are eligible only up to a flat rate of 5% of those costs (excluding the reinstallation costs).

For example: Staff X, Belgium Researcher (daily cost 360 €), working the whole year in EFSA premises, generates eligible staff costs $220 \text{ d} * 360 \text{ €} = 79.200 \text{ €}$, and on top of it EFSA recognises the reinstallation cost of 18.000 € ($1500 \text{ €} * 12 \text{ m}$). The indirect costs eligible on top of these intramuros staff costs are 5% of 79.200 € = 3.960 € (and not 5% of sum of 79.200 € & 18.000 €).

7. The specific agreements may also foresee the missions in the estimated budget, in line with Annex 1 - Rules on eligibility of costs. The mission and training expenses should be estimated in the estimated budget template for each Specific Agreement. The interest of the employee, in particular with a view to their reinstatement into their original administration after the completion of the specific agreement may also be considered when a decision is taken on whether to allow him to attend a training course.

8. Miscellaneous costs (costs arising directly from the requirements imposed by the grant agreement). EFSA will verify closely if these costs are

NUTRITION UNIT

eligible in the context of the call for proposal in question, in particular its objectives.

The above categories represent an exhaustive list of the possible eligible direct costs under this particular grant agreement.

The following documents have been updated in track changes and published on the EFSA website in order to illustrate the changes described above;

- The call for proposals, pages 11-13
- Annex 1: Rules on eligibility of costs

The name of responsible Authorizing Officer delegated to sign corrigendum:

Valeriu Curtui

Head of Nutrition Unit

[signed]

Date: 06/09/2017