

1 **Concept paper on the revision of the 'Guidance
2 on submissions for safety evaluation of sources
3 of nutrients or of other ingredients proposed for
4 use in the manufacture of foods'**

5 **1. Problem statement**

6 Upon request from the European Commission, the EFSA Panel on Food Additives and
7 Nutrient Sources (ANS Panel) often performs scientific assessments on nutrient sources
8 or other ingredients added to foods because of their nutritional or physiological function.
9 Nutrient sources are typically used either as ingredients of food supplements or added to
10 normal food for fortification purposes or in foods for specific groups (e.g. infant formula,
11 food for special medical purposes).
12 The assessment performed by the ANS Panel is based on the information contained in a
13 dossier submitted by an applicant to the European Commission in agreement with the
14 currently applicable 'Guidance on submissions for safety evaluation of sources of
15 nutrients or of other ingredients proposed for use in the manufacture of foods' issued by
16 the former Scientific Committee on Food (SCF) in 2001.
17 This concept paper refers to the above guidance and discusses the need for its revision
18 in light of the experience accrued by the ANS Panel over recent years and the evolving
19 principles of risk assessment in the area of food ingredients.
20 The assessment of the nutritional and safety aspects of the nutrients will not be covered
21 in this revised guidance

22 **2. Discussion**

23 The aim of the assessment of nutrient sources carried out by the ANS Panel is two-fold:
24

- Evaluation of the safety of the intact source; and
- Evaluation of the bioavailability of the nutrient from the source

25 With respect to the **safety of the intact source**, the principle of the assessment is not
26 different from the safety assessment of food additives, for which updated guidance was
27 adopted by the ANS Panel in 2012. This introduced the principles of a tiered approach to
28 toxicological testing to reflect 3Rs principles (replacement, reduction, refinement). The
29 current Guidance on Nutrient Sources makes reference to the earlier Guidance on
30 Submissions for Food Additive Evaluations issued by the SCF in 2001, which uses an
31 older paradigm which needs to be replaced.
32 Recently, the ANS Panel has been requested by the European Commission to assess the
33 safety of nutrient sources that would also fall under the definition of 'novel food
34 ingredients'. Also in this case, the guidance needs to be updated to make reference to
35 the latest available guidance which is being issued by the EFSA Panel on Dietetic
36 Products, Nutrition and Allergies (NDA Panel).

39 Similarly, in the event of nutrient sources consisting of, containing, or produced from
40 genetically modified microorganisms or that would be classified as nanomaterial, the
41 guidance should be updated in order to refer to the latest currently applicable guidance.

42 With respect to the **bioavailability of the nutrient from the source**, the current
43 guidance neither provides a definition for this nor indicates which parameters should be
44 measured for demonstrating bioavailability of the nutrient (or other ingredient) from its
45 source following oral absorption. In its past assessments, the ANS Panel interpreted
46 bioavailability implicitly as the amount of the nutrient which is systematically available
47 (e.g. as measured in plasma or in urine following oral administration of the nutrient
48 sources) however an explicit definition should be given in the revised guidance.

49 In addition for comparison of different nutrient sources it would be desirable to provide a
50 definition of equivalent bioavailability.

51
52 In the majority of cases, nutrient sources are inorganic or organic salts, which have been
53 assumed to dissociate prior to absorption following oral intake into its components.

54
55 The current guidance indicates that data on the bioavailability should be submitted,
56 resulting from:

- 57 ■ Human studies
- 58 ■ *In vitro* studies
- 59 ■ Animal studies
- 60 ■ Information from analogous sources

61
62 In the revised guidance the relative merits and weaknesses of these approaches should
63 be described. This will include consideration of their associated uncertainties and
64 adequacy for risk assessment

65
66 Other points for consideration in the revised guidance would include:

- 67 ■ Revision of the technical data requirements, such as physicochemical
68 characterisation of the source;
- 69 ■ The fate of the non-nutrient part of the source and, when relevant, its
70 bioavailability;
- 71 ■ Data requirements on interaction between the source and different matrixes
72 in which it is intended to be used and effects on bioavailability;
- 73 ■ Effect of particle size on bioavailability;
- 74 ■ Comparative data on bioavailability of the source and a 'reference' nutrient
75 source

76
77 The Panel intends to base the new guidance on relevant existing approaches already
78 incorporated into other regulatory frameworks.

79 3. Recommendation

80 A new guidance of the ANS Panel will be drafted, elaborating the items described above
81 amongst others and taking into account issues raised and proposals submitted by
82 stakeholders based on this concept paper.

83 4. Proposed timetable

84 This concept paper has been released on 8 June 2016 for 6 weeks for public consultation
85 with all relevant stakeholders.

86 The comments received will be presented at a forthcoming Plenary meeting of the ANS
87 Panel and then considered during the elaboration of the draft guidance.

88 It is expected the new guidance will be endorsed for public consultation by the ANS
89 Panel in the first quarter of 2017, for final adoption by June 2017.

90 **5. Interested parties**

91 European Commission, EU Member States, National Competent Authorities, relevant food
92 sector industry associations, academia, consumers group.

93 **1. References.**

94 EFSA ANS Panel (EFSA Panel on Food Additives and Nutrient Sources added to Food),
95 2012; Guidance for submission for food additive evaluations. EFSA Journal
96 2012;10(7):2760. 60 pp. doi:10.2903/j.efsa.2012.2760.

97 SCF (Scientific Committee on Food), 2001. Guidance on submissions for safety
98 evaluation of nutrients or of other ingredients proposed for use in the manufacture of
99 foods. Opinion expressed on 11 July 2001. Available at:
100 http://ec.europa.eu/food/fs/sc/scf/out100_en.pdf