

SCIENTIFIC OPINION

Guidance on the renewal of the authorisation of feed additives¹

EFSA Panel on Additives and Products or Substances used in Animal Feed (FEEDAP)^{2,3}

European Food Safety Authority (EFSA), Parma, Italy

Endorsed for public consultation on 18 June 2013

© European Food Safety Authority, 20YY

10 This guidance document follows the structure and definitions of [Regulation \(EC\) No 1831/2003](#) and
11 its implementing rules ([Regulation \(EC\) No 429/2008](#)). It is intended to assist the applicant in the
12 preparation and the presentation of its application, as foreseen in Article 7.6 of [Regulation \(EC\) No](#)
13 [1831/2003](#). This document does not substitute for the obligation of an applicant to comply with the
14 requirements of [Regulation \(EC\) No 1831/2003](#) and its implementing rules.

¹ On request from EFSA, Question No EFSA-Q-2012-00962, adopted on DD Month YYYY.

² Panel members: Gabriele Aquilina, Alex Bach, Vasileios Bampidis, Maria De Lourdes Bastos, Lucio Guido Costa, Gerhard Flachowsky, Mikolaj Antoni Gralak, Christer Hogstrand, Lubomir Leng, Secundino López-Puente, Giovanna Martelli, Baltasar Mayo, Fernando Ramos, Derek Renshaw, Guido Rychen, Maria Saarela, Kristen Sejrsen, Patrick Van Beelen, Robert John Wallace and Johannes Westendorf. Correspondence: FEEDAP@efsa.europa.eu

³ Acknowledgement: The Panel wishes to thank the members of the Working Group on Guidance on Renewal of Authorisation of Feed Additives for the preparatory work on this scientific opinion.

16 **BACKGROUND AS PROVIDED BY EFSA**

17 Regulation (EC) No 1831/2003 establishes the rules governing the Community authorisation of
18 additives for use in animal nutrition. According to Article 9 of this Regulation, the authorisation for a
19 given feed additive is valid for ten years and it can be renewed for ten year periods following the
20 provisions of Article 14.

21 During the last years, the FEEDAP Panel has developed several guidance documents to assist
22 applicants in the preparation and presentation of dossiers for the authorisation of feed additives. These
23 guidance documents have been prepared mainly to help applicants in the preparation of technical
24 dossiers for the authorisation of new feed additives/new uses of a feed additive (Article 4), the re-
25 evaluation of feed additives already authorised (Article 10) or the modification of terms of an
26 authorisation (Article 13). However, no guidance has been developed so far to help applicants in the
27 preparation of dossiers for the renewal of the authorisation (Article 14).

28 **TERMS OF REFERENCE AS PROVIDED BY EFSA**

29 The FEEDAP Panel is requested to produce a guidance document to help the applicants in the
30 preparation and submission of technical dossiers for the renewal of the authorisation of additives for
31 use in animal nutrition.

32

33

34 **THE TECHNICAL DOSSIER – GENERAL ASPECTS**

35 The dossiers must enable an assessment to be made of additives based on the current state of
36 knowledge and permit verification of the compliance of these additives with the fundamental
37 principles for the renewal of the authorisation, which are laid down in Article 14 of [Regulation \(EC\)](#)
38 [No 1831/2003](#).

39 The information to be submitted and the extent of it will depend on the additive nature, the functional
40 group, the substance itself, the target animals and the conditions of use. The applicant should refer to
41 [Regulation \(EC\) No 429/2008](#) in order to evaluate which information should be submitted with the
42 application. Reasons must be given for the omission from the dossier of any data prescribed there.

43 The dossier shall be structured in accordance with the numbering system proposed in the [Regulation](#)
44 [\(EC\) No 429/2008](#). The dossier shall include references and copies of all published scientific data
45 mentioned and the copies of any other relevant opinions which have already been produced by any
46 recognised scientific body. Where these studies have already been evaluated by a European scientific
47 body following the legislation in force in the European Union, a reference to the outcome of the
48 evaluation should be sufficient and a copy should be provided. Data from studies that have been
49 conducted and published previously or coming from peer review shall clearly refer to the same
50 additive as the one subject to the application for renewal of the authorisation.

51 **When preparing the application for the renewal of feed additives, the applicant should consider
52 the most up-to-date scientific knowledge, the current scientific/methodological approaches and
53 should follow the most updated guidance documents of EFSA and any other relevant guidance
54 documents.**

55

56 1 SECTION I: SUMMARY OF THE DOSSIER

57 1.1 Public summary according to Article 7(3)(h) of Regulation (EC) No 1831/2003

58 The applicant shall submit a summary indicating the main features of the additive concerned
59 and any new information that has become available since the previous authorisation/renewal
60 in terms of identity and safety, and when appropriate on efficacy. Any proposal for amending
61 or supplementing the conditions of the original authorisation should be detailed. All the
62 changes introduced since the last evaluation should be detailed and their consequences in
63 terms of identity and safety (and efficacy when relevant) considered. The summary should not
64 contain any confidential information.

65 1.2 Scientific summary of the dossier

66 A scientific summary including details of each part of the documents submitted to support the
67 application shall be provided. This summary should detail the scope of the application, and
68 include any new information that has become available since the previous
69 authorisation/renewal in terms of identity and safety (and when appropriate on efficacy). Any
70 proposal for amending or supplementing the conditions of the original authorisation should be
71 detailed. The consequences of these new elements in terms of identity and safety (and efficacy
72 when relevant) should be carefully considered.

73 The summary must follow the order of Annex II of [Regulation \(EC\) No 429/2008](#) and address
74 all the different parts with reference to the relevant pages of the dossier.

75 1.3 List of documents and other particulars

76 The applicant must identify the number and titles of volumes of documentation submitted in
77 support of the application. A detailed index with reference to volumes and pages should be
78 added.

79 1.4 List of parts of the dossier requested to be treated as confidential, where necessary

80 The list should make reference to the relevant volumes and pages of the dossier.

81 1.5 Information on the previous authorisation and use of the additive

82 A copy of the original Community authorisation for placing the feed additive on the market, or
83 the last renewal of authorisation, should be provided. Reference to previous assessments made
84 by EFSA should also be provided. Information of use of the feed additive in the European
85 Union (e.g., volume, geographical distribution and time) should be provided.

86 2 SECTION II: IDENTITY, CHARACTERISATION AND CONDITIONS OF USE OF 87 THE ADDITIVE; METHODS OF ANALYSIS

88 A complete Section II should be provided for each dossier following the guidance document for the
89 relevant category(ies): [technological additives](#), [sensory additives](#), [nutritional additives](#), [zootechnical
90 additives](#) or [coccidiostats and histomonostats](#).

91 Evidence should be presented to show that the additive has not been changed or altered in
92 composition, purity or activity with respect to the additive that was authorised. Any changes in the
93 manufacturing process, composition, purity or activity should be properly described and documented.
94 Their implications for the assessment of the feed additive should be considered. Recent (not older than
95 one year from the date of submission of the application) analytical data from at least five batches for
96 the composition of the additive and at least three for the purity should be provided. If the changes
97 introduced may have an impact on the physico-chemical properties, additional data on the physical
98 properties (e.g., particle size, dusting potential), stability or homogeneity should be provided, as
99 appropriate.

100 For microorganisms used as additives or as production strains, the name and taxonomic classification
101 of each micro-organism should be confirmed according to the latest published information in the
102 International Codes of Nomenclature. Compliance with the most recent guidance documents regarding
103 antibiotic resistance and virulence factors should be ensured (see [guidance on the assessment of](#)
104 [bacterial antimicrobial susceptibility](#), [guidance on *Bacillus*](#), [guidance on the assessment of](#)
105 [Enterococcus faecium](#)).

106 Any incompatibilities or interactions of the additive in feed with feed materials, carriers, other
107 approved additives, or medicinal products not previously described should be reported and
108 documented.

109 Any proposal for the modifications of the conditions of use of the additive should be properly
110 described.

111 **3 SECTION III: STUDIES CONCERNING THE SAFETY OF THE ADDITIVE**

112 Evidence shall be presented that in the light of the current knowledge the additive remains safe under
113 the approved conditions for target species, consumers, users/workers and the environment. An update
114 on the safety for the period since the original authorisation, or the last renewal of authorisation should
115 be presented including:

116 — reports on adverse effects including accidents (previously unknown effects, severe effects of
117 any type, increased incidence of known effects) for target animals, consumers, users and the
118 environment. The report on adverse effects should include the nature of the effect, number of
119 affected individuals/organisms, outcome, conditions of use, and causality assessment and
120 should consider the use in water for drinking when it is authorised.

121 — reports on previously unknown interactions and incompatibilities.

122 — data from residue monitoring, where appropriate.

123 — data from epidemiologic and/or toxicological studies, where available.

124 — any other information concerning the safety of the additive to animals, humans, and
125 environment.

126 This safety update should be prepared taking into consideration the new scientific developments and
127 updated regulatory requirements. If no further information is provided on any of these issues,
128 appropriate justification should be provided.

129 As a tool to provide information on the safety of the feed additive under the conditions of
130 authorisation, structured database searches may be used. Relevant scientific databases (including e.g.
131 agricultural/aquacultural, toxicological and medical/veterinary databases) should be searched in a
132 structured manner. The databases examined, search terms used, total and relevant hit rate and any
133 restrictions used should be stated. The database search should cover at least the period since the last
134 assessment of the additive. Copies of the relevant papers should be provided. In order to place the
135 results of database searches into context, where possible, information on use of the generic product
136 (e.g., volume, geographical distribution and time) should be provided.

137 A report on the results of the post-market monitoring program must be provided, if such a monitoring
138 requirement is included in the previous authorisation.

139 When the applicant includes a proposal for amending or supplementing the conditions of the original
140 authorisation that may have an impact on the safety of the additive (e.g., an increase in the maximum
141 recommended dose, increased bioavailability of the active substance), additional safety studies may be
142 required. In that case, the relevant guidance documents should be followed.

143 **4 SECTION IV: STUDIES CONCERNING THE EFFICACY OF THE ADDITIVE**

144 In general, efficacy studies are not required for applications for the renewal of authorisation unless the
145 applicant includes a proposal for amending or supplementing the conditions of the original
146 authorisation. In that case, if the proposal may have an impact on the efficacy of the additive (e.g., a
147 reduction of the minimum recommended dose), additional efficacy studies may be required. When
148 efficacy studies are required the guidance for the relevant category(ies) of feed additive should be
149 followed.

150 In the case of coccidiostats and histomonostats, evidence of the maintained susceptibility of *Eimeria*
151 spp. and *Histomonas meleagridis* to the coccidiostat and histomonostat, respectively, should be
152 provided in the form of sensitivity studies. These studies should be done not earlier than one year
153 before the submission of the application. For more information, see the [guidance on coccidiostats and](#)
154 [histomonostats](#).

155 **5 SECTION V: POST-MARKET MONITORING PLAN**

156 When a post-market monitoring plan was undertaken as a result of the original authorisation or the last
157 renewal of authorisation, the results of this plan should be reported under Section III. If the applicant
158 includes a proposal for amending or supplementing the conditions of the original authorisation
159 regarding the conditions concerning future monitoring, this should be clearly described. In that case,
160 the guidance for the relevant category(ies) of feed additive should be followed.