

1	SCIENTIFIC OPINION
2	Scientific Opinion on Dietary Reference Values for energy ¹
3	EFSA Panel on Dietetic Products, Nutrition and Allergies (NDA) ^{2, 3}
4	European Food Safety Authority (EFSA), Parma, Italy
5	Abstract
6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	This Opinion of the EFSA Panel on Dietetic Products, Nutrition and Allergies (NDA) deals with the setting of Dietary Reference Values (DRVs) for energy. Only one DRV, the Average Requirement (AR) for energy was set for adults, infants and children, and pregnant and lactating women. For children and adults, total energy expenditure (TEE) was determined factorially from estimates of resting energy expenditure (REE) plus the energy needed for various levels of physical activity (PAL) observed in healthy individuals. To take into account the uncertainties inherent in the prediction of energy expenditure, ranges of the AR for energy were calculated with several predictive equations for REE for children and adults. For practical reasons, only the REE estimated by one equation was used in the setting of the AR. For the estimation of REE in adults, body heights measured in representative national surveys in 13 Member States of the European Union and body masses calculated from heights assuming a body mass index of 22 kg/m ² were used, whereas for children the medians of
16	reference body masses and heights of children in the European Union were used. In children, energy expenditure

derived from TEE estimated by regression equation based on doubly labelled water data, plus the energy needs for growth. For pregnant and lactating women, the additional energy needed for the deposition of newly formed tissue, and for milk output, was derived from data acquired with the doubly labelled water method, and from fortorial estimates respectively. © European Food Sefety Authority, 2012

for growth was accounted for by a 1 % increase of PAL values for each age group. For infants, the AR was

21 factorial estimates, respectively. © European Food Safety Authority, 2012

22

17

23 KEY WORDS

Energy, resting energy expenditure, prediction equation, physical activity level, total energy expenditure, factorial method, average requirement

26

¹ On request from the European Commission, Question No EFSA-Q-2008-465, endorsed for public consultation on 28 June 2012.

² Panel members: Carlo Agostoni, Jean-Louis Bresson, Susan Fairweather-Tait, Albert Flynn, Ines Golly, Hannu Korhonen, Pagona Lagiou, Martinus Løvik, Rosangela Marchelli, Ambroise Martin, Bevan Moseley, Monika Neuhäuser-Berthold, Hildegard Przyrembel, Seppo Salminen, Yolanda Sanz, Sean (J.J.) Strain, Stephan Strobel, Inge Tetens, Daniel Tomé, Hendrik van Loveren and Hans Verhagen.

Correspondence: <u>nda@efsa.europa.eu</u>

³ Acknowledgement: The Panel wishes to thank the members of the WG on Population Reference Intakes: Carlo Agostoni, Jean-Louis Bresson, Susan Fairweather-Tait, Albert Flynn, Ambroise Martin, Monika Neuhäuser-Berthold, Hildegard Przyrembel, Sean (J.J.) Strain, Inge Tetens and Daniel Tomé for the preparatory work on this scientific opinion.

Suggested citation: EFSA Panel on Dietetic Products, Nutrition and Allergies (NDA); Scientific Opinion on Dietary Reference Values for energy. EFSA Journal xx;(issue):NNNN. [106 pp.] doi:10.2903/j.efsa.2012.NNNN. Available online: www.efsa.europa.eu/efsajournal



27 SUMMARY

28 Following a request from the European Commission, the EFSA Panel on Dietetic Products, Nutrition

- and Allergies (NDA) was asked to deliver a scientific opinion on Population Reference Intakes for the
- 30 European population, including energy.

Reference values for energy are given as average requirements of specified age and sex groups. Total energy expenditure (TEE) in a steady state of body mass was chosen as the criterion on which to base the average requirement for energy. In practice, the adequacy of usual energy intakes is best monitored by measuring body mass. In terms of regulation of body mass, the overall energy balance over a prolonged period of time needs to be considered.

- TEE expended over 24 hours is the sum of basal energy expenditure, the energy expenditure of physical activity and the thermic effect of food. In this Opinion, resting energy expenditure (REE)
- 38 was used as a proxy for the slightly higher basal energy expenditure, as most studies measured REE.

39 TEE is best measured with the doubly labelled water method, which provides energy expenditure data 40 over biologically meaningful periods of time and under normal living conditions. One approach to 41 determine the average requirement for energy is to use TEE measured by the doubly labelled water 42 method and to derive regression equations which describe how TEE varies as a function of anthropometric variables (such as body mass and height) for defined population groups and of an 43 44 activity constant to account for the level of physical activity. However, this approach has been 45 criticised because of the inability of TEE prediction models to account for the variation in energy 46 expenditure of physical activity in a transparent way. In addition, limited TEE data generated with the 47 doubly labelled water method are available, and they may not be representative for the European 48 population; moreover, some age groups are underrepresented. Another approach to estimate TEE is by 49 the factorial method that adds to measured or predicted REE the energy spent in various activities. 50 This is done by using the physical activity level (PAL) which is defined as the 24-hour-ratio of TEE to REE and reflects the part of TEE that is due to physical activity. Accordingly, TEE is predicted as 51 52 PAL x REE. During growth, pregnancy and lactation, additional energy is needed for the synthesis of 53 new tissues, and for covering the energy deposited as fat or protein in the tissues, or for milk 54 production. In this Opinion, TEE of children and adults was estimated factorially to account for the 55 diversity in body size, body composition and habitual physical activity among children and adult 56 populations with different geographic, cultural and economic backgrounds.

57 To estimate REE, predictive equations are used that have been derived by regression analysis of 58 measured REE, and of body masses and heights, from groups of subjects. Body mass is the most 59 important determinant of REE and all predictive equations use this parameter. In addition to body mass, height, sex, age and ethnicity affect REE significantly and numerous equations have been 60 61 developed to take into account one or several of these parameters. Based on the results of various publications on the accuracy of these equations in specified population groups, five widely used 62 equations (Harris and Benedict, 1919; Henry, 2005; Mifflin et al., 1990; Müller et al., 2004; Schofield 63 64 et al., 1985) can be considered as equally valid for estimating REE of healthy adults in Europe. For healthy children and adolescents in Europe, the equations of Schofield et al. (1985) and Henry (2005) 65 derived from large datasets and covering wide age groups are considered to be the most suitable. 66

67 PAL can be estimated either from time-allocated lists of daily activities expressed as physical activity ratio values or, alternatively, from the ratio of TEE (measured by the doubly labelled water method) to 68 69 REE (either measured or estimated). However, the same limitations apply to the derivation of PAL values from doubly labelled water data as to the estimates of TEE with this method. Within the 70 71 general population, PALs associated with sustainable lifestyles have been observed to range between 72 1.35 and 2.5. PAL values decrease only marginally with age. When assigning PAL values to 73 descriptions of activities/lifestyles (such as light, moderate or heavy activity), the range of PAL values in each lifestyle category is large. Thus, the allocation of lifestyles to defined PAL values can be 74



considered only as a rough indication of PAL, but may be useful for decisions on which PAL valuesto apply in various circumstances and applications.

77 In the absence of arguments for the selection of one predictive equation best fitted to adults in the European Union (EU), REE was calculated with five widely applied predictive equations using 78 79 measured individual data on body heights of adults obtained in 13 representative national surveys in EU Member States with corresponding body masses calculated for a body mass index of 22 kg/m². 80 81 This yielded a range of average requirements calculated for PAL values from 1.4 through 2.4 in steps 82 of 0.2, and demonstrates the magnitude of uncertainty inherent in these values. However, for practical 83 reasons, only one average requirement is proposed for a defined age and sex group with a healthy 84 body mass, and for PAL values selected to approximate corresponding lifestyles. The predictive 85 equations of Henry (2005) were used to estimate REE. To derive TEE as REE x PAL, PAL values of 86 1.4, 1.6, 1.8 and 2.0 were chosen to reflect low active, moderately active, active and very active lifestyles. Because of a lack of anthropometric data from EU countries for age groups from 80 years 87 88 onwards, average requirements were not calculated for adults ≥ 80 years.

89 For infants during the first half year of life (from birth to six months of age) energy requirements were 90 considered to be equal to the supply from human milk, and no estimates of average requirements were 91 proposed in this Opinion. For infants aged 7-11 months, the average requirements for energy were 92 estimated from equations for TEE based on TEE measured by the DLW method in healthy, breast-fed, 93 non-stunted infants born at term with adequate body mass, adding the energy needs for growth. World 94 Health Organisation Growth Standard body masses were used to derive average requirements for 95 infants growing along the trajectory of this standard. Estimates of the energy expenditure for growth 96 were based on protein and fat gains reported in the literature.

97 The average requirement for energy for children and adolescents is based on predicted REE and PAL 98 adjusted for growth. REE was calculated by entering median reference body masses and heights of 99 children in Europe in the predictive equations of Henry (2005) and Schofield et al. (1985). For 100 practical reasons, and because the results obtained with these two equations were very similar, only 101 the equations of Henry (2005) were applied for the estimation of REE values. PAL values of 1.4, 1.6, 1.8 and 2.0 were used for three age groups (1 to 3 years, >3 to <10 years, and 10 to 18 years). Energy 103 expenditure for growth was accounted for by a 1 % increase of PAL values for each age group.

For pregnant women, a mean gestational increase in body mass of 12 kg has been reported to be associated with optimal maternal and foetal health outcomes. The additional amount of energy required during pregnancy to support this increase in body mass was estimated using the cumulative increment in TEE estimated with the doubly labelled water technique plus the energy deposited as protein and fat. Based on these data, the average additional energy requirement for pregnancy is 320 MJ divided into approximately 0.3 MJ/d, 1.1 MJ/d and 2.1 MJ/d during the first, second and third trimesters, respectively.

For women exclusively breastfeeding during the first six months after birth, the additional energy requirement during lactation was estimated factorially as 2.1 MJ/d over pre-pregnancy requirements, taking into account a requirement of 2.8 MJ/d for milk production and an energy mobilisation from maternal tissues of about 0.72 MJ/d. No additional energy requirement is proposed for women lactating beyond the first six months after birth because volumes of milk produced during this period are highly variable and depend on infants' energy intake from complementary foods.

117



118 **TABLE OF CONTENTS**

119	Abstract	1
120	Summary	2
121	Table of contents	4
122	Background as provided by the European Commission	7
123	Terms of reference as provided by the European Commission	7
124	Preamble	9
124	Δ scessment	ر و
125	1 Introduction	ر ۵
120	1. Infoduction	ج 0
127	1.1.1 Concert of dietery reference values (DBVs) for energy	🤊
120	1.1.1. Concept of dietary reference values (DK vs) for energy	9
129	1.1.2. Approach	10
130	2. Definition/Category	10
131	2.1. Components of total energy expenditure (TEE)	10
132	2.1.1. Basal energy expenditure (BEE)	10
133	2.1.2. Resting energy expenditure (REE)	11
134	2.1.3. Sleeping energy expenditure (SEE)	11
135	2.1.4. Cold-induced thermogenesis	11
136	2.1.5. Thermic effect of food (TEF)	11
137	2.1.6. Energy expenditure of physical activity (EEPA)	11
138	2.1.7. Adaptive thermogenesis	12
139	2.2. Methods of assessing energy expenditure and its components	12
140	2.2.1. General principles	12
141	2.2.1.1. Direct calorimetry	12
142	2.2.1.2. Indirect calorimetry	12
143	2.2.1.3. Doubly labelled water (DLW) method	13
144	2.2.1.4. Heart rate (HR) monitoring	13
145	2.2.2 Basal and resting energy expenditure (BEE and REE)	13
146	2.2.2. Dusta and resting energy expenditure (DDD and RDD)	13
147	2.2.5. Finerative expenditure of physical activity (FEPA)	13
1/18	2.2.4. Energy expenditure of physical activity (EEFT)	11
1/0	2.2.5. For an energy expenditure (TLL)	14
149	2.2.0. Energy expenditure of programmy	14
150	2.2.7. Energy experiation of pregnancy	14
151	2.2.6. Energy experiority of factation.	14
152	2.3. Determinants of energy expenditure	14
155	2.3.1. Body mass and body composition	14
154	2.3.2. Physical activity	15
155	2.3.3. Growth	15
156	2.3.4. Pregnancy	15
157	2.3.5. Lactation	16
158	2.3.6. Endocrinological factors	16
159	2.3.7. Ageing	16
160	2.3.8. Diet	16
161	2.3.9. Sex	17
162	2.3.10. Ethnicity	17
163	2.3.11. Environmental factors	17
164	2.4. Equations to predict resting energy expenditure (REE)	17
165	2.4.1. Predictive equations for adults	17
166	2.4.2. Predictive equations for children	19
167	3. Dietary sources of energy and intake data	19
168	3.1. Dietary sources of energy	19
169	3.2. Dietary intake data	20
170	4. Overview of dietary reference values and recommendations	$\frac{-0}{21}$
171	4.1. Adults	21
		-



172	4.2. Infants and children	. 22
173	4.3. Pregnancy	. 24
174	4.4. Lactation	. 25
175	5. Criteria and approaches for the derivation of the Average Requirement (AR) for energy	. 28
176	5.1. Criteria	. 28
177	5.1.1. Energy balance	. 28
178	5.1.2. Body mass, body mass index (BMI) and body composition	. 28
179	5.1.3. Body mass gain in pregnancy	. 29
180	5.1.4. Physical activity	. 30
181	5.2. Approaches	. 30
182	5.3. Derivation of energy requirements of various population groups	. 32
183	5.3.1. Adults	. 32
184	5.3.1.1. Calculation of resting energy expenditure (REE)	. 32
185	5.3.1.2. Selection of physical activity level (PAL) values	. 34
186	5.3.1.3. Ranges of Average Requirement (AR) for energy for adults	. 35
187	5.3.2. Infants	. 35
188	5.3.2.1. Total energy expenditure (TEE)	. 35
189	5.3.2.2. Energy deposition in new tissue	. 36
190	5.3.3. Children	. 36
191	5.3.3.1. Calculation of resting energy expenditure (REE)	. 37
192	5.3.3.2. Selection of physical activity level (PAL) values	. 37
193	5.3.3.3. Energy expenditure of children and adolescents for growth	. 38
194	5.3.3.4. Ranges of Average Requirement (AR) for energy for children and adolescents	. 38
195	5.3.4. Pregnant women	. 39
196	5.3.4.1. Energy requirement for the increase in tissue mass during pregnancy	. 40
197	5.3.4.2. Calculation of additional AR for energy for tissue deposition in pregnancy	. 40
198	5.3.5. Lactating women	. 41
199	6. Key data on which to base Dietary Reference Values (DRVs)	. 41
200	6.1. Adults	. 42
201	6.1.1. Calculation of resting energy expenditure (REE)	. 42
202	6.1.2. Derivation of physical activity level (PAL) values	. 42
203	6.2. Infants	. 42
204	6.3. Children and adolescents	. 43
205	6.3.1. Calculation of resting energy expenditure (REE)	. 43
206	6.3.2. Derivation of physical activity level (PAL) values	. 43
207	6.4. Pregnancy	. 43
208	6.5. Lactation	. 44
209	Conclusions	. 45
210	Recommendations for Research	. 47
211	References	. 48
212	Appendices	. 66
213	Appendix 1: Predictive equations for REE in adults	. 66
214	Appendix 2a: Population, methods and period of dietary assessment in children and adolescents	in
215	European countries	. 70
216	Appendix 2b: Energy intake of children aged $\sim 1-3$ years in European countries	. 73
217	Appendix 2c: Energy intake of children aged ~4-6 years in European countries	. 74
218	Appendix 2d: Energy intake of children aged \sim /-9 years in European countries	. 75
219	Appendix 2e: Energy intake of children aged ~10-14 years in European countries	. 76
220	Appendix 2f: Energy intake of adolescents aged ~15-18 years in European countries	. 77
221	Appendix 3a: Population, methods and period of dietary assessment in adults in European	-
222	countries	. /8
223	Appendix 3b: Energy intake of adults aged ~19-65 years in European countries	. 81
224	Appendix 3c: Energy intake of adults aged ~19-34 years in European countries	. 82
225	Appendix 3d: Energy intake of adults aged ~35-64 years in European countries	. 83
226	Appendix 3e: Energy intake of adults aged ~65 years and over in European countries	. 85



227 228	Appendix 4:	Overview of the approaches used by selected authorities for the estimation of average energy requirements for adults.
229	Appendix 5:	Overview of the approaches to estimate average energy requirements for infants and
230		young children of selected countries and authorities other than FAO/WHO/UNU and
231	Appendix 6:	Overview of the approaches of FAO/WHO/UNU (2004) and IoM (2005) to estimate
233		daily average energy requirements for infants, children and adolescents
234	Appendix 7:	Overview of the approaches to estimate daily average energy requirements for
235		children and adolescents of selected countries and authorities other than
236		FAO/WHO/UNU and IoM
237	Appendix 8:	REE calculated with five most used predictive equations using measured heights
238		from surveys in 13 EU Member States and body masses to yield a BMI of 22 94
239	Appendix 9:	Comparison of measured REE of GISELA subjects (last available measurements)
240		with REE calculated with various predictive equations
241	Appendix 10:	Selected predictive equations for REE in children and adolescents
242	Appendix 11:	Reference body heights and body masses for infants, children and adults
243	Appendix 12a	Ranges of Average Requirement (AR) for energy for adults based on the factorial
244		method and predicting REE with five most used equations
245	Appendix 12b	Ranges of Average Requirement (AR) for energy for children and adolescents based
246		on the factorial method and predicting REE with two predictive equations 100
247	Appendix 13:	Summary of Average Requirement (AR) for energy expressed in kcal/d 102
248	Glossary and Ab	breviations

249



250 **BACKGROUND AS PROVIDED BY THE EUROPEAN COMMISSION**

The scientific advice on nutrient intakes is important as the basis of Community action in the field of nutrition, for example such advice has in the past been used as the basis of nutrition labelling. The Scientific Committee for Food (SCF) report on nutrient and energy intakes for the European Community dates from 1993. There is a need to review and if necessary to update these earlier recommendations to ensure that the Community action in the area of nutrition is underpinned by the latest scientific advice.

In 1993, the SCF adopted an opinion on nutrient and energy intakes for the European Community⁴.
 The report provided Reference Intakes for energy, certain macronutrients and micronutrients, but it did not include certain substances of physiological importance, for example dietary fibre.

260 Since then new scientific data have become available for some of the nutrients, and scientific advisory bodies in many European Union Member States and in the United States have reported on 261 recommended dietary intakes. For a number of nutrients these newly established (national) 262 263 recommendations differ from the reference intakes in the SCF (1993) report. Although there is 264 considerable consensus between these newly derived (national) recommendations, differing opinions remain on some of the recommendations. Therefore, there is a need to review the existing EU 265 Reference Intakes in the light of new scientific evidence, and taking into account the more recently 266 267 reported national recommendations. There is also a need to include dietary components that were not covered in the SCF opinion of 1993, such as dietary fibre, and to consider whether it might be 268 appropriate to establish reference intakes for other (essential) substances with a physiological effect. 269

In this context the EFSA is requested to consider the existing Population Reference Intakes for energy, micro- and macronutrients and certain other dietary components, to review and complete the SCF recommendations, in the light of new evidence, and in addition advise on a Population Reference Intake for dietary fibre.

For communication of nutrition and healthy eating messages to the public it is generally more appropriate to express recommendations for the intake of individual nutrients or substances in foodbased terms. In this context the EFSA is asked to provide assistance on the translation of nutrient based recommendations for a healthy diet into food based recommendations intended for the population as a whole.

279 TERMS OF REFERENCE AS PROVIDED BY THE EUROPEAN COMMISSION

In accordance with Article 29 (1)(a) and Article 31 of Regulation (EC) No. 178/2002, the Commission requests EFSA to review the existing advice of the Scientific Committee for Food on population reference intakes for energy, nutrients and other substances with a nutritional or physiological effect in the context of a balanced diet which, when part of an overall healthy lifestyle, contribute to good health through optimal nutrition.

- In the first instance the EFSA is asked to provide advice on energy, macronutrients and dietary fibre.
 Specifically advice is requested on the following dietary components:
- Carbohydrates, including sugars;
- Fats, including saturated fatty acids, polyunsaturated fatty acids and monounsaturated fatty acids;
- Protein;

⁴ Scientific Committee for Food, Nutrient and energy intakes for the European Community, Reports of the Scientific Committee for Food 31st series, Office for Official Publication of the European Communities, Luxembourg, 1993.



• Dietary fibre.

Following on from the first part of the task, the EFSA is asked to advise on population reference intakes of micronutrients in the diet and, if considered appropriate, other essential substances with a nutritional or physiological effect in the context of a balanced diet which, when part of an overall healthy lifestyle, contribute to good health through optimal nutrition.

Finally, the EFSA is asked to provide guidance on the translation of nutrient based dietary advice into guidance, intended for the European population as a whole, on the contribution of different foods or categories of foods to an overall diet that would help to maintain good health through optimal nutrition (food-based dietary guidelines).

300



301 **PREAMBLE**

302 In the Opinion on General Principles (EFSA Panel on Dietetic Products Nutrition and Allergies 303 (NDA), 2010), the Panel distinguishes between reference values and recommendations: "Dietary 304 Reference Values are scientific references based on health criteria, taking into account dietary 305 requirements and health outcomes. [...] They represent one of the bases for establishing nutrient 306 recommendations and food based dietary guidelines. [...] Nutrient goals and recommendations may 307 differ between countries depending on health needs, nutritional status and known patterns of intake of 308 foods and nutrients in specific populations and the actual composition of available foods". In this 309 Opinion, the Panel proposes reference values that need to be adapted to specific objectives and target 310 populations.

311 In this Opinion on Dietary Reference Values (DRVs) for energy, the Panel decides to introduce the 312 scientifically correct term "body mass" instead of "body weight" which is in accordance with the

- 313 International System of Units (SI).
- 314 Assessment

315 **1.** Introduction

316 Human beings need energy to perform and regulate all biochemical processes that maintain body 317 structures and functions, and to perform physical activities.

Energy is provided in the diet by carbohydrates, fats, protein and alcohol and the individual contribution of these sources is variable. Thus, DRVs for energy are not specified as defined amounts of a single nutrient but are expressed in units of energy.

321 DRVs for energy differ from those for nutrients in that (a) there is a wide inter-individual variation in 322 the behavioural, physiologic and metabolic components of energy needs. The energy requirement of a 323 defined group cannot be applied to other groups or individuals who differ from the defined group in 324 sex, age, body mass, activity level and possibly other factors; (b) there are differences between the 325 energy supply needed to maintain current body mass and level of actual physical activity and the 326 energy supply needed to maintain desirable body mass and level of physical activity consistent with 327 good health.

The proposed DRVs for food energy provide a best estimate of the food energy needs of the European population and its subgroups and present criteria against which to judge the adequacy of their food energy intakes. They constitute the basis for policy-makers and authorities to make recommendations for populations which can be used for the development and monitoring of nutrition programmes, for planning agricultural production, food supplies and, as the case may be, the mobilisation and distribution of emergency food aid.

334 1.1. Definition of energy requirement

Energy requirement is the amount of food energy needed to balance energy expenditure in order to maintain body mass, body composition, and a level of physical activity consistent with long-term good health. This includes the energy needed for the optimal growth and development of children, for the deposition of tissues during pregnancy and for the secretion of milk during lactation consistent with good health of mother and child (FAO/WHO/UNU, 1985, 2004; IoM, 2005; SCF, 1993).

340 **1.1.1.** Concept of dietary reference values (DRVs) for energy

Following the definition of energy requirement, dietary reference values are based on estimates of the requirements of healthy individuals, representative for a particular population group. Due to usual

343 biological variability there is a distribution of energy requirements even within such groups. Whereas

- DRVs for protein and various micronutrients are given as population reference intakes (PRI)⁵, DRVs 344 345 for energy are provided as average requirements (ARs) of specified groups. Due to the very large 346 variation coefficients (CV) induced by large differences in physical activity levels (PAL) and 347 anthropometric parameters, the definition of a PRI would be inappropriate, since it implies an intake 348 above the requirement for nearly all subjects and would lead to a positive energy balance and promote 349 an unfavourable increase in body mass and the development of obesity in the long term. The AR for 350 energy as a reference value exceeds the requirement of half of the individuals of any specified group. 351 The AR for energy relates to groups of healthy people and is of limited use for individuals.
- The AR for energy is expressed on a daily basis but represents an average of energy needs assessed over a minimum of a week.
- In accordance with the International System of Units, the FAO/WHO/UNU consensus (1971) and the European regulations⁶, the AR for energy will be expressed in Joules (J); in addition, because of the continuing use of thermochemical energy units (calories, cal), equivalents⁷ will be given in brackets or in separate tables in the Appendix.

358 **1.1.2.** Approach

The AR for energy can be established by two approaches: either by measurements of energy intake or by measurements of energy expenditure of healthy reference populations. Because the day-to-day variation in energy intake is considerably larger than the day-to-day variation in total energy expenditure (TEE) in a steady state of body mass, measurements or estimates of TEE have been chosen by experts from FAO/WHO/UNU (1985, 2004) and the US Institute of Medicine (IoM, 2005) as the criterion on which to base the AR for energy. The Panel agrees with this approach.

365 **2. Definition/Category**

366 **2.1.** Components of total energy expenditure (TEE)

Total energy expenditure (TEE) expended over 24 hours is the sum of basal energy expenditure (BEE), the energy expenditure of physical activity (EEPA), the thermic effect of food (TEF) and in less frequent situations cold-induced thermogenesis.

370 **2.1.1. Basal energy expenditure (BEE)**

Basal energy expenditure (BEE) is the energy used to maintain the basic physiological functions of the body at rest under strictly defined conditions: after an overnight fast corresponding to 12-14 hours of food deprivation, awake, supine, resting comfortably, motionless, no strenuous exercise in the preceding day (or eight hours of physical rest), being in a state of "mental relaxation" and in a thermoneutral environment. BEE is the main component (45-70 %) of TEE (FAO/WHO/UNU, 2004).

⁵ The PRI is defined as the level of intake that is adequate for virtually all people in a population group, which is determined as the average requirement (AR) of the population group plus two standard deviations (EFSA Panel on Dietetic Products Nutrition and Allergies (NDA), 2010. Scientific Opinion on principles for deriving and applying Dietary Reference Values. EFSA Journal, 8(3):1458, 30 pp.)

⁶ Council Directive 90/496/EEC of 24 September 1990 on nutrition labelling for foodstuffs. OJ L 276, 6.10.1990, p. 40–44. Regulation (EU) No 1169/2011 of the European Parliament and of the Council of 25 October 2011 on the provision of food information to consumers, amending Regulations (EC) No 1924/2006 and (EC) No 1925/2006 of the European Parliament and of the Council, and repealing Commission Directive 87/250/EEC, Council Directive 90/496/EEC, Commission Directive 1999/10/EC, Directive 2000/13/EC of the European Parliament and of the Council, Commission Directives 2002/67/EC and 2008/5/EC and Commission Regulation (EC) No 608/200. OJ L 304, 22.11.2011, p. 18–63.

⁷ 1 joule (J) is the amount of mechanical energy required to displace a mass of 1 kg through a distance of 1 m with an acceleration of 1 m per second (1 J=1 kg×1 m²×1 sec⁻²). Multiples of 1,000 (kilojoules, kJ) or 1 million (megajoules, MJ) are used in human nutrition. The conversion factors between joules and calories are: 1 kcal=4.184 kJ, or conversely, 1 kJ=0.239 kcal.



376 **2.1.2.** Resting energy expenditure (REE)

By definition, resting energy expenditure (REE) is the energy expended when the body is at rest, which is when no extra energy is spent for muscular effort. In many studies, for practical reasons since conditions for measuring BEE are more stringent, REE instead of BEE is measured. Changes in REE are used to measure the expenditure of many processes such as thermoregulation, eating, and excess post-exercise oxygen consumption. Practically, REE is measured in conditions less stringent than the ones that prevail for measurement of BEE, so that REE is usually slightly higher than BEE (up to 10 %). In this Opinion REE is used as a proxy for BEE, as most studies measure REE.

384 **2.1.3.** Sleeping energy expenditure (SEE)

Sleeping energy expenditure (SEE) can be measured instead of BEE or REE to estimate daily energy requirements. SEE is usually considered to be lower than REE depending on the sleeping phase (Wouters-Adriaens and Westerterp, 2006). SEE can be considered as a practical means to approach BEE particularly in infants for whom the criteria related to measurements of BEE would be impractical.

390 **2.1.4.** Cold-induced thermogenesis

391 Cold-induced thermogenesis is the production of heat in response to environmental temperatures 392 below thermoneutrality. Cold-induced thermogenesis can be divided into two types: shivering 393 thermogenesis and non-shivering thermogenesis. The thermoneutral zone (or the critical temperature) 394 is the environmental temperature at which oxygen consumption and metabolic rate are lowest (IoM, 395 2005). The relative contribution of cold-induced thermogenesis to TEE has decreased in recent 396 decades due to the increase in time spent in enclosed and heated environments.

397 **2.1.5.** Thermic effect of food (TEF)

Eating requires energy for the digestion, absorption, transport, interconversion, and deposition of nutrients. These metabolic processes increase REE, and their energy expenditure is known as the thermic effect of food (TEF). It should be noted that the muscular work required for eating is not part of TEF.

402 **2.1.6.** Energy expenditure of physical activity (EEPA)

403 Physical activity can be defined as any body movement produced by skeletal muscles which results in 404 energy expenditure. In practice, physical activity in daily life can be categorised into obligatory and 405 discretionary activity. The term "obligatory" is more comprehensive than the term "occupational" that 406 was used in the 1985 report (FAO/WHO/UNU, 1985) because, in addition to occupational work, 407 obligatory activities include daily activities such as going to school, tending to the home and family 408 and other demands made on children and adults by their economic, social and cultural environment 409 (FAO/WHO/UNU, 2004). Levine (2004b) has divided the energy expended during physical activity into exercise activity thermogenesis and non-exercise activity thermogenesis (NEAT). Exercise 410 411 activity thermogenesis is the energy expended during voluntary exercise (discretionary) which is a type of physical activity that is planned, structured, and repetitive. NEAT is the energy expenditure of 412 413 all physical activities other than sleeping, eating or sports-like exercise. It includes the energy 414 expended during daily activities such as working, walking, doing housework, gardening, etc., and 415 fidgeting, which corresponds to small unconscious muscle movements (Levine, 2004b).

416 The physical activity level (PAL) is defined as the 24-hour-ratio of TEE to REE. It reflects the part of

417 TEE that is due to physical activity. The physical activity ratio (PAR) is used to express the increase in 418 energy expenditure per unit of time induced by a given activity and can also be expressed as a multiple 410 of PEE

419 of REE.



420 **2.1.7.** Adaptive thermogenesis

421 Adaptive thermogenesis is defined as the heat that can be added or not from the normal thermogenic 422 response to food and/or cold in order to best adjust energy expenditure to the requirements of energy 423 balance (Wijers et al., 2009). Several studies conducted in recent years suggest that mitochondrial 424 uncoupling protein in brown adipose tissue (Nedergaard et al., 2007) and skeletal muscle tissue in 425 adult humans can be the main effectors of adaptive thermogenesis. Other mechanisms such as futile 426 calcium cycling, protein turnover and substrate cycling may be involved (Harper et al., 2008). Under 427 normal circumstances in healthy individuals, adaptive thermogenesis does not account for a significant 428 component of TEE.

429 **2.2.** Methods of assessing energy expenditure and its components

430 **2.2.1.** General principles

431 2.2.1.1. Direct calorimetry

As body temperature is maintained constant, the energy expended by the body has to be dissipated as heat. Direct calorimetry measures the heat released by the subject by conduction, convection and evaporation. Direct calorimetry has been used in the past to validate the principle of indirect calorimetry but is less used presently because of its cost and complexity (Seale et al., 1991; Walsberg and Hoffman, 2005).

437 2.2.1.2. Indirect calorimetry

438 Indirect calorimetry is based on the principle that energy production by substrate oxidation in the body 439 is coupled to oxygen consumption (VO_2) and carbon dioxide production (VCO_2) and has become the

440 reference method to measure energy expenditure. Many equations have been derived to provide an

441 exact measure of energy expenditure from VO_2 and VCO_2 (Brouwer, 1957; Elia, 1992; Lusk, 1928;

442 Weir, 1949; Zuntz, 1897). The most widely used is the Weir formula; the other formulas give results

that all lie within ± 1 % of the results by Weir (1949).

444 <u>Closed-circuit indirect calorimetry:</u> At a time when no accurate automated gas analysers were 445 available, the closed-circuit system allowed a volumetric measurement of VO_2 to be performed. In the 446 closed-circuit design, VCO_2 is absorbed within the system, and VO_2 is measured either from the 447 decrease in the volume of gas in the system, or by the amount of oxygen required to maintain the 448 pressure in the chamber. Closed-circuit systems are no longer used for measurement of REE in 449 humans.

450 Open-circuit indirect calorimetry: The principle of the open-circuit device is that the respiratory gases of the subject are collected in a device ventilated at a known flow-rate, and VO₂ and VCO₂ are 451 computed by multiplying the changes in % O₂ and % CO₂ in the container by the air flow. Various 452 453 open-circuit systems have been designed based on this principle. Ventilated open-circuit systems such 454 as ventilated hood, canopy, and whole room calorimeters are most used for assessing BEE, REE, TEF 455 and TEE. Expiratory collection systems are systems where the subject inspires from the atmosphere 456 and expires via a non-return valve into a measurement unit. They are mostly used for exercise and 457 field measurements via portable systems. Open-circuit indirect calorimeters are reliable and have an error of 0.5–2 % (Compher et al., 2006; Schoeller, 2007; Wahrlich et al., 2006). 458

A main advantage of the open-circuit devices is that, since both VCO₂ and VO₂ are measured, it is possible to compute VCO₂ over VO₂ which is defined as the respiratory quotient (RQ). RQ values vary depending on the substrate mixture oxidised (0.7 for lipids, 0.82 for proteins and 1.0 for glucose). A precise computation of the respective levels of glucose, lipids and protein oxidation thus requires that protein oxidation be measured. This is usually done by measuring urinary nitrogen excretion assuming that, on average, nitrogen excreted multiplied by 6.25 is equivalent to the amount of protein oxidised (EFSA Panel on Dietetic Products Nutrition and Allergies (NDA), 2012).



466 2.2.1.3. Doubly labelled water (DLW) method

467 The doubly labelled water (DLW) method is used for determining TEE in free-living subjects. It is based on the disappearance rates in body fluids (usually urine sampled at three or more intervals) of 468 two orally administered stable isotopes of water ($H_2^{18}O$ and 2H_2O) during the 15 following days 469 470 (which corresponds to about two biological half-lives of the isotopes) (Schoeller, 1988). VCO₂ is calculated from the difference between the disappearance rates of ${}^{18}O$ and ${}^{2}H$. VO₂ is calculated from 471 472 VCO₂ by estimating the RQ from the food quotient (FQ; sum of RQ of individual foods/energy 473 contribution in 24 hours) of either the reported macronutrient intake of the subject or average data 474 from population surveys. TEE can then be calculated from the energy equivalent of VCO_2 for the 475 given diet (Elia, 1991) or from VCO₂ measured and VO₂ calculated with the use of the FQ using the 476 same standard equations as for indirect calorimetry. Computation of TEE with DLW relies on a series 477 of assumptions including the constancy of the water pool throughout the measurement period, the rate 478 of H₂O and CO₂ fluxes, the isotopic fractionation, and no label-re-entering the body (IDECG, 1990). 479 The reproducibility and accuracy of the DLW technique may vary markedly among analytical centres, 480 and estimates of \pm 8.5 % for the reliability of TEE have been reported (Goran et al., 1994b). The main advantages of DLW versus calorimetry are that (i) it provides energy expenditure estimations over 481 482 biologically meaningful periods of time, (ii) it captures energy expenditure of all kinds of activities 483 including spontaneous movements and fidgeting, and (iii) being non-invasive, measurements can be 484 made in subjects leading their usual daily lives.

485 2.2.1.4. Heart rate (HR) monitoring

486 Heart rate (HR) monitoring can be used to estimate TEE but individual calibrations of the relationship

between HR and oxygen consumption must be performed because the relationship between HR and 487

488 TEE varies between subjects (Bitar et al., 1996; Ceesay et al., 1989).

- 489 2.2.2. **Basal and resting energy expenditure (BEE and REE)**
- BEE and REE as a proxy for BEE are best determined by indirect calorimetry measurements under 490 491 standardised laboratory conditions (Compher et al., 2006; Harris and Benedict, 1919).

492 2.2.3. **Thermic effect of food (TEF)**

493 TEF is best measured in laboratory conditions from changes in REE induced by ingestion of a 494 standardised meal of known composition and of 1,700 kJ (~400 kcal) or greater. In practice, first REE 495 is measured (see Section 2.1.2.), then the meal is ingested, and the meal-induced increase in REE 496 versus the pre-meal value is measured.

2.2.4. 497 **Energy expenditure of physical activity (EEPA)**

498 As for TEF, energy expenditure of physical activity (EEPA) should be measured relative to REE or 499 relative to the energy expenditure of the reference activity (e.g. expenditure of office work relative to energy expenditure while seated, expenditure of walking or running relative to energy expenditure 500 501 while standing).

502 The measurement of average daily TEE by the DLW method combined with a measurement of REE 503 permits the calculation of the energy expenditure for the average physical activity of an individual 504 (Westerterp and Goran, 1997) but does not provide information on the expenditure and time spent in 505 the various activities. Energy expenditure of fidgeting has been assessed with indirect calorimetry measurements as the difference in energy expenditure at rest and various levels of activities with and 506 507 without fidgeting (Levine et al., 2000). At a population level, systematic data on the amount and 508 expenditure of NEAT are scarce.

509 Tables have been developed which ascribe to each type of activity a physical activity ratio (PAR) that 510 defines the energy expended while performing this activity relative to REE (e.g. FAO/WHO/UNU, 511 2005). Such tables are of limited value because of inconsistencies in the way the data were collected



513 REE and conditions of measurements (Vaz et al., 2005). As a result, PAR values of a given activity 514 can vary highly across studies.

515 **2.2.5.** Total energy expenditure (TEE)

TEE in normal living conditions is best estimated with the DLW method (Coward and Cole, 1991) that allows long-term measurements and better preserves normal behaviour than recording in room calorimeters, but it is an expensive method. Therefore, TEE in a population group is generally estimated by factorial methods that add to measured or calculated REE the energy spent in various activities.

521 The factorial method is based on the calculation of TEE from a record of the activities (type and 522 duration) in free-living conditions and the energy expenditure of each activity reported in compilation 523 tables and REE. The factorial method thus requires (i) accurate recording of daily activities, which is tedious (especially for children), (ii) accurate data on the energy expenditure of most individual daily 524 activities and (iii) a precise value for REE, either measured or calculated from either body mass, body 525 mass and body height, or body composition. The difficulty of complying with all three requirements is 526 a source of large potential errors at the individual level, but the factorial method can be applied to 527 528 estimate TEE in groups of people.

529 **2.2.6.** Energy expenditure for growth

530 The increase in energy expenditure induced by growth results from the expenditure for protein and lipid synthesis and their deposition in newly formed tissue. It is significant only in rapidly growing 531 infants and children. It cannot be measured by indirect calorimetry or the DLW method because there 532 is no means to have access to a reference "growth-free" REE. However, it can be evaluated from 533 534 changes in body composition measured in groups of healthy growing infants (Torun, 2005). A factorial method which consists of measuring changes in body composition and estimating the energy 535 requirements from the estimated energetic efficiencies of the biochemical pathways involved in 536 537 protein and lipid synthesis can also be used (Butte, 2005).

538 **2.2.7.** Energy expenditure of pregnancy

539 Energy expenditure related to pregnancy is calculated using two different approaches. Both require 540 that measurements be started before conception, which raises difficulties associated with recruiting 541 women likely to become pregnant in the near future. The first approach is based on serial 542 measurements of REE assuming that EEPA and TEF are not affected by pregnancy(Prentice et al., 543 1996a). In the second approach, calculations can be based on serial measurements of TEE using the 544 DLW method. This method not only includes the energy expenditure for tissue deposition but also any 545 changes in TEF and EEPA.

546 **2.2.8.** Energy expenditure of lactation

547 Energy expenditure for lactation can be computed from the amount of milk produced, the energy content of the milk, and the energetic efficiency of milk synthesis. The efficiency of converting dietary 548 energy into human milk has been estimated from theoretical biochemical efficiencies of synthesising 549 the constituents in milk, and from metabolic balance studies (Prentice and Prentice, 1988). 550 551 Biochemical efficiency can be calculated from the stoichiometric equations and the obligatory heat losses associated with the synthesis of lactose and protein, and fat. When the expenditure for digestion, 552 absorption, inter-conversion and transport is taken into account, the estimate of efficiency of milk 553 554 synthesis yields a figure of 80-85 % (Butte and King, 2005).

555 **2.3.** Determinants of energy expenditure

556 **2.3.1.** Body mass and body composition

557 The relationship of body mass and body composition to energy expenditure is not appropriately 558 reflected in the simple regression of REE and body mass, which does not regress through the zero



559 intercept and is not linear, because body composition does not evolve linearly with body mass (Müller 560 et al., 2002). The various tissues and organs of the body have very different mass-specific metabolic 561 rates, with very low or null values for plasma, collagen, tendons, fluids and bones, for example, low values for adipose tissues, average values for muscles and high values for brain, heart, liver and 562 kidneys (Elia, 1992; Müller et al., 2002; Wang et al., 2010). Thus, the contribution of fat mass (FM) to 563 564 energy expenditure is low in lean subjects, but cannot be neglected in overweight and obese subjects (Müller et al., 2004; Prentice et al., 1996b; Schulz and Schoeller, 1994). In addition, it has been 565 566 demonstrated that fat distribution is a key determinant for the contribution of body fat to REE. For example, abdominal fat has a greater metabolic activity than peripheral fat (Lührmann et al., 2001). 567 568 Prediction of REE can be improved by using multicomponent body composition models based on 569 various techniques. This may be particularly useful in populations for which the current equations may not properly predict REE (Wilms et al., 2010), and for reassessing the validity of ethnic and sex 570 571 differences.

572 2.3.2. Physical activity

573 EEPA is the most variable component of TEE, both within and between subjects, ranging from 15 % of TEE in very sedentary individuals to 50 % or more of TEE in highly active individuals. The energy 574 575 expended with exercise is often negligible or zero in individuals, but even in those who exercise 576 regularly, the energy expended with NEAT is far larger than the energy expended with exercise. Thus, 577 energy requirements related to physical activity mainly arise from NEAT. NEAT can vary between two people of similar size by more than 8 MJ/d (1,912 kcal/d) because of different occupations, 578 579 leisure-time activities and fidgeting. Fidgeting can increase daily energy expenditure above REE 580 levels by 20-40 % (Levine et al., 2000) and has been related to long-term control of body mass 581 (Levine and Kotz, 2005).

The energy expended with physical activity also depends on the energetic efficiency with which activities are performed, and these also vary between individuals. In general, the energy expenditure of body mass bearing activities (walking, running) increases with body mass (Bray et al., 1977; Levine, 2004a), but, when expressed on a per kilogram basis, the energy expended to walk a fixed distance or at a given speed can be as much as two to three times greater for smaller than for larger individuals (Weyand et al., 2010).

588 **2.3.3.** Growth

589 Growth increases energy expenditure through expenditure for synthesising new tissues. However, 590 except for the first months of life, the energy requirement for growth relative to the total energy 591 requirement is small; it decreases from about 40 % at age one month to about 3 % at the age of 12 592 months (Butte, 2005).

593 2.3.4. Pregnancy

The effect of pregnancy on energy expenditure varies during the course of pregnancy and differs considerably between individual women. Pregnancy increases REE due to the metabolic contribution of the uterus and foetus to the expenditure of tissue deposition, and to the increased work of the heart and lungs (Forsum and Löf, 2007; Hytten and Chamberlain, 1980). Pregnancy can also affect EEPA.

598 It is primarily related to the increased energy needed for tissue maintenance of the increased tissue 599 mass. For REE, an average cumulative increment of 147.8 MJ (35,130 kcal) for a gain in body mass of 600 12 kg was estimated from studies of well-nourished women who gave birth to infants with adequate body masses (Cikrikci et al., 1999; de Groot et al., 1994; Durnin et al., 1987; Forsum et al., 1988; 601 602 Goldberg et al., 1993; Kopp-Hoolihan et al., 1999; Piers et al., 1995; Spaaij et al., 1994b; van Raaij et 603 al., 1987). Corresponding cumulative average increases in REE have been observed to be around 5 %, 604 10 % and 25 % in the first, second and third trimesters, respectively. However, even within 605 populations of well nourished women, large variations in the effect of pregnancy on REE are observed 606 (Prentice et al., 1989).

Reviews of numerous studies in a variety of countries indicate that there is little evidence that women 607 608 are less active during pregnancy (IoM, 1992; Prentice et al., 1996a), although these studies do not give 609 information about changes in the intensity of the effort associated with habitual tasks. Compared with non-pregnant values, the energy expended for EEPA in the third trimester of pregnancy ranged from a 610 decrease of 22 % to an increase of 17 %, but on average did not differ significantly from non-pregnant 611 612 women (Butte and King, 2002). However, when expressed per unit of body mass, there was a tendency towards lower EEPA/kg per day. Three recent studies in healthy well nourished women 613 614 reviewed by Forsum and Löf (2007) also concluded that EEPA is not significantly increased during pregnancy. TEF, when expressed in proportion of energy intake, is generally assumed to remain 615 616 unchanged during pregnancy (Butte and King, 2005; Forsum and Löf, 2007; Kopp-Hoolihan et al., 617 1999; Prentice et al., 1996a) but considerable intra-individual variations do occur.

618 **2.3.5.** Lactation

The main factors that influence the impact of lactation on energy expenditure are the intensity and duration of (exclusive) breastfeeding; this may vary widely between individuals and populations. In exclusively breastfeeding women, the mean amount of breastmilk produced daily was reported to amount from 562 up to 854 g/d during the first 6 months *post partum* (Butte et al., 2002; FAO/WHO/UNU, 2004) with an average gross energy content of 2.8 kJ/g (0.67 kcal/g) (Butte and King, 2002; FAO/WHO/UNU, 2004; Garza and Butte, 1986; Goldberg et al., 1991; IoM, 1991; Panter-Brick, 1993; Prentice and Prentice, 1988; WHO, 1985).

Increases in REE of 4 to 5 % have been observed in lactating women (Butte et al., 1999; Forsum et al., 626 1992; IoM, 2005; Sadurskis et al., 1988; Spaaij et al., 1994a) which is consistent with the additional 627 energy cost of milk synthesis (IoM, 2005). However, others have reported similar REE in lactating 628 women compared to the non-lactating state (Frigerio et al., 1991; Goldberg et al., 1991; Illingworth et 629 630 al., 1986; Motil et al., 1990; Piers et al., 1995; van Raaij et al., 1991). Thus, during lactation there seem to be no significant changes in REE compared with non-pregnant, non-lactating women; 631 632 furthermore, there also seem to be no significant changes in the efficiency of work perfomance or TEE 633 (FAO/WHO/UNU, 2004; IoM, 2005).

634 2.3.6. Endocrinological factors

Several hormones, such as the thyroid hormone (al-Adsani et al., 1997; Danforth and Burger, 1984;
Silva, 2006), glucagon or epinephrine (Heppner et al., 2010), glucocorticoids (Silva, 2006), insulin,
leptin (Belgardt and Bruning, 2010), estrogens and progesterone (Bisdee et al., 1989; Webb, 1986) are
implicated in the regulation of energy expenditure but their impact on the energy expenditure of
healthy subjects is generally considered as minute.

640 **2.3.7.** Ageing

There is no clear evidence for a decrease in organ metabolic rate, i.e. per g of tissue mass, in healthy ageing (Gallagher et al., 1996; Gallagher et al., 2000). There is also no consistent evidence that TEF changes with ageing. If differences exist they are assumed to be too small to significantly affect energy requirements (Roberts and Dallal, 2005; Roberts and Rosenberg, 2006). Thus, assuming that REE corrected for body composition does not change in older adults but that sarcopenia and increased adiposity decrease the metabolically active mass, and considering the fact that EEPA decreases with ageing (Vaughan et al., 1991), the energy requirement in older adults is generally lower.

648 **2.3.8.** Diet

It has been hypothesized that when long-term energy intake surpasses energy expenditure a facultative component generated by stimulation of the sympathetic nervous system and heat dissipation in the brown adipose tissue can add to the obligatory TEF (see Section 2.2.3) to increase TEE. This phenomenon called "Luxuskonsumption" or diet-induced thermogenesis (DIT) was suspected primarily in laboratory rodents (Stock and Rothwell, 1981). The discovery that significant depots of brown fat exist in humans has reactivated the hypothesis that DIT exists in humans and thus that



- excess dietary intake can increase TEE in humans (Schutz et al., 1984; Wijers et al., 2009). However,
- the relevance of DIT and the role of the brown adipose tissue as an effector of energy balance has been
 challenged from the very beginning in rodents (Hervey and Tobin, 1983) and is now contested in
 humans (Kozak, 2010).
- 659 **2.3.9.** Sex

In general, absolute REE and in consequence TEE is higher in men than in women; these sex-specific
differences are mainly due to differences in body mass and body composition (Buchholz et al., 2001;
Klausen et al., 1997). There seem to be no significant differences in PAL values between men and
women (Roberts and Dallal, 2005).

664 **2.3.10.** Ethnicity

Differences in REE have been reported between groups of different ethnic background (e.g. Africans,
Asians and Caucasians) and specific predictive equations for REE have been developed more recently
to take such differences into account (Vander Weg et al., 2004) (see also Section 2.4.). However, these
differences in REE in relation to ethnicity are more the consequences of differences in body mass and
composition than related to specific ethnic differences in metabolism (Gallagher et al., 2006; Hunter et
al., 2000; Wang et al., 2010).

671 **2.3.11. Environmental factors**

Temperature is the main environmental factor that can affect energy expenditure. Humans regulate their body temperature within narrow limits (Danforth and Burger, 1984). This process of thermoregulation can elicit increases in energy expenditure when ambient temperature decreases below the zone of thermoneutrality (Valencia et al., 1992). However, because most people adjust their clothing and environment to maintain comfort, and thus thermoneutrality, the additional energy expenditure of thermoregulation rarely affects TEE to an appreciable extent.

678 **2.4.** Equations to predict resting energy expenditure (REE)

679 Predictive equations are used in practice to predict an individual's REE instead of directly measuring
680 it. Multiplication of REE with a predetermined factor for physical activity will give TEE and energy
681 needs. An accurate prediction of REE is a prerequisite for getting an accurate prediction of TEE.

682 **2.4.1. Predictive equations for adults**

Equations for predicting REE are historically based on easily measurable parameters such as body mass, height, sex, age and also ethnicity (see Appendix 1). Basically, these equations are derived by regression analysis of the data from a group of subjects whose REE is measured by direct or indirect calorimetry. The accuracy of an equation is usually estimated as the percentage of subjects that have an REE predicted by the equation within 10 % of the measured REE (Frankenfield et al., 2005). The mean percentage difference between the REE predicted and that measured is considered a measure of accuracy at a population group level.

690 Body mass is the most important determinant of REE and all predictive equations use this parameter. In addition to body mass, body height, body composition, sex, age and ethnicity can affect REE 691 692 significantly. Numerous equations have been developed and are still under development to take into 693 account one or several of these parameters. The first set of equations was proposed as early as 1919 694 (Harris and Benedict, 1919) and has been one of the equations most in use (Daly et al., 1985; 695 FAO/WHO/UNU, 1985, 2004; Schofield et al., 1985). Many new equations have been proposed since 696 then (see Appendix 1). Among these, the equations developed by Owen et al. (1986; 1987), Mifflin et 697 al. (1990), Schofield et al. (1985), Müller et al. (2004), and Henry (2005) are the most popular. The 698 multitude of new equations, their growing complexity, the fact that many equations have been 699 developed for specific categories of people, in particular overweight and obese subjects (Weijs, 2008), and the continuous use of the historical Harris-Benedict equation illustrate a persistent problem: none 700 701 of these equations is really satisfactory in the sense that, when applied to a group other than the one



from which it was derived, significant differences between measured and predicted values can be
 observed. Thus, the predictive value of equations can vary substantially according to sex, BMI (which
 reflects body composition), age and ethnicity of the subjects (Hasson et al., 2011).

In 1985, the two most frequently used equations were the Harris-Benedict and the Schofield equations. They have been suspected to overestimate REE. The Harris-Benedict database included a relatively small number of subjects with no children or adolescents below the age of 15 years and a significant number of measurements were obtained by the use of closed-circuit indirect calorimetry whilst the Schofield database included a large number (~40 %) of physically very active (Italian) subjects.

- 710 The main studies that reassessed the historical equations and generated new equations are as follows: 711 Owen et al. (1986; 1987) reported that the Harris-Benedict equation overestimated REE by 12.8 % in 712 women and by 6.4 % in men and proposed a new set of equations. Mifflin et al. (1990) observed that 713 the Harris-Benedict equation overestimated REE by 5 % in a group of 498 healthy men and women 714 and developed new predictive equations that are now considered to be among the most relevant and 715 extensively used equations. They also observed that the Owen equations predicted values very close to 716 the REE measured in their study (-4 % in women and 0.1 % in men). Müller et al. (2004) investigated the application of the FAO/WHO/UNU equations (1985) and concluded that the prediction of REE by 717 718 FAO/WHO/UNU formulas systematically over- and underestimated REE and proposed alternative 719 equations, some of which include the use of the BMI. Finally, Henry (2005) also developed a new 720 database including 5,794 males and 4,702 females from 166 studies (the Oxford database) that 721 excluded the very active (Italian) subjects of the Schofield database and included more individuals 722 from the tropics (n=4,018). In general, the equations proposed by Henry (2005) (Oxford equations) 723 predict lower REE values than the current FAO/WHO/UNU equations in 18-30 and 30-60 year-old 724 men, and in all women over 18 years of age.
- 725 Despite the development of numerous new equations intended to improve the predictive power of the 726 Harris-Benedict and Schofield equations, the FAO/WHO/UNU consultation in 2001 727 (FAO/WHO/UNU, 2004), after re-analysis of the data and attempts to define new equations (Cole, 728 2002; Henry, 2001), decided to keep the equations proposed by Schofield and colleagues in 1985 that 729 formed the basis for the equations used by FAO/WHO/UNU in 1985. Analysis of the literature 730 published between 2005 and 2011 in which the Harris-Benedict, Schofield or FAO/WHO/UNU 731 equations were tested and compared (among others) to the more recent Owen, Mifflin, Müller and/or Henry equations show that the conclusions can be very different between studies and suggest that the 732 733 more recent equations do not predict better than the 1919 Harris-Benedict or the present 734 FAO/WHO/UNU equations (Amirkalali et al., 2008; Boullata et al., 2007; Frankenfield et al., 2005; Hasson et al., 2011; Khalaj-Hedayati et al., 2009; Melzer et al., 2007; Weijs et al., 2008; Weijs, 2008; 735 Weijs and Vansant, 2010). Considering the discrepancies in the results of the various publications, 736 737 there is no reason to favour one set of predictive equation over another and the Panel concludes that 738 the equations by Harris-Benedict (1919), Schofield et al. (1985), Mifflin et al. (1990), Müller et al. 739 (2004) and Henry (2005) can be considered as equally valid, whereas the equations by Owen et al. 740 (1986; 1987) are not proposed because of the large BMI range and the very low number of subjects on 741 which they were based.
- 742 <u>Overweight and obese subjects:</u> Recently, Weijs (2008) compared the predictive power of 743 27 published equations in relation to the origin (USA versus the Netherlands) and the BMI of the 744 subjects. Using three validation criteria, Weijs reported that the Mifflin equation predicted best for 745 overweight (BMI 25-30) and class I and class II (BMI 30-40) obese US adults, but not for the taller 746 Dutch subjects for which there was no single accurate equation.
- 740 Dutch subjects for which there was no single accurate equation.
- <u>Ethnicity/environment:</u> Studies have reported lower levels of REE in African-American compared to
 European-American women (Gannon et al., 2000; Sharp et al., 2002; Weyer et al., 1999). Equations
- that fail to consider ethnicity may result in inappropriate reference values. In women of African and
- 750 European origin, Vander Weg et al. (2004) showed that the Owen equation predicted REE best in
- 751 African-American women but underestimated it in European-American women, whereas the Mifflin



equation predicted best in European-American women. They proposed a new equation including an ethnicity correction factor. As suggested by Müller et al. (2004), Henry (2005) and Frankenfield et al. (2005), the Harris-Benedict and Schofield equations over-predict REE, and more so in women of African rather than European origin. Recently, Yang (2010) showed that the Harris-Benedict, Schofield and Henry equations overpredict REE in Chinese healthy adults, and Nhung (2005) showed that the FAO/WHO/UNU equations overpredicted in Vietnamese adults. Studies on other racial or ethnic groups also demonstrated differences in REE (Benedict, 1932; Henry and Rees, 1991).

759 **2.4.2. Predictive equations for children**

760 For children and adolescents several equations based on age, body mass, height and sex are available to predict REE, among them those of Schofield et al. (1985), Maffeis et al. (1993), Molnar et al. 761 (1995), Müller et al. (2004) and Henry (2005). Predictive equations solely derived from 762 overweight/obese cohorts of children are not considered here. The equations of Schofield were the 763 764 mostly used in the past and have been cross-validated in various settings. While some studies have 765 suggested that the Schofield equations provide inadequate estimates for infants (Duro et al., 2002; 766 Thomson et al., 1995) and obese adolescents (Hofsteenge et al., 2010), they showed the best agreement with actual measurements in other studies which compared predicted to actual 767 768 measurements (Firouzbakhsh et al., 1993; Rodriguez et al., 2002). Both the Schofield and the Henry 769 equations were derived from large datasets covering the age groups from 0 to 18 years, whereas the equations of Maffeis et al. (1993), Molnar et al. (1995), and Müller et al. (2004) were developed from 770 smaller samples not including all age groups (see Table 1). The Panel concludes that the equations of 771 772 Schofield and Henry are equally valid for predicting REE in children with a wide age range.

Age (years)	Harris and Benedict (1919)	Schofield et al. (1985)	Maffeis et. al. (1993)	Molnar et al. (1995)	Müller et al. (2004)	Henry (2005)
< 3		162 m				277 m
		137 f				215 f
3-10		338 m	<u>6-10 y</u> : 62 m		<u>5-11 y</u> : 99 m	289 m
		413 f	68 f		89 f	403 f
10-18	Only few	734 m		<u>10-16 y</u> : 193 m	<u>12-17 y:</u> 28 m	863 m
	subjects	575 f		178 f	27 f	1063 f
	aged 15 y					
	and older					

773 **Table 1:** Number of male (m) and female (f) children in the data sets from which the prediction 774 equations for children and adolescents were derived

775

776 **3. Dietary sources of energy and intake data**

777 **3.1.** Dietary sources of energy

778 The energy available for metabolism – physiologically available energy – is primarily determined by 779 the chemical energy of the food, which is measured in the laboratory as the heat produced when its 780 organic molecules are fully oxidised. The energy content of food as measured by complete combustion 781 is termed gross energy (GE) or ingested energy (IE). Not all chemical energy in foods is available to 782 humans and the chemical energy value must therefore be corrected for losses due to incomplete 783 digestion and absorption and, as regards protein, for incomplete oxidation and losses as urea (FAO, 784 2003). The term metabolisable energy (ME) encompasses the energy available after accounting for 785 losses of the ingested energy in faeces, urine, gases from fermentation in the large intestine, and waste 786 products lost from surface areas. Not all ME is available for the production of ATP. When energy 787 losses like the heat of microbial fermentation and obligatory thermogenesis are subtracted from ME, 788 the result is an expression of the energy content of food that will be available to the body for ATP 789 production, which is referred to as net metabolisable energy (NME) (FAO, 2003). In European Union



(EU) legislation, the energy conversion factors for nutrients have been calculated as ME for labelling
 purposes. The standardised energy conversion factors are given in the Council Directive on nutrition
 labelling for foodstuffs⁸.

The energy carriers in food are carbohydrates, fats, proteins and alcohol. The digestibility and absorption of the respective nutrients, and the heat of combustion, differ depending on the composition and on the foods in which they are found. Correspondingly, energy conversion factors may vary considerably. Specific factors for calculating energy content in certain foodstuffs have been presented (FAO, 2003; Livesey et al., 1995).

- Carbohydrate and fibre: The energy conversion factor for carbohydrate presented in food composition 798 799 tables is in many cases determined by the 'difference method', which defines total carbohydrate as the 800 difference between the total dry matter and the sum of protein, fat and ash, and has a general value of 801 17 kJ/d (4 kcal/g). The energy conversion factor can also be expressed as monosaccharide equivalents 802 (FAO, 2003). The GE for carbohydrates depends on their composition and number of glycosidic 803 linkages, and ranges from 15.6 to 18 kJ/g (Elia and Cummings, 2007). The energy conversion factor 804 ranges from 16 kJ/g (3.75 kcal/g) to 17 kJ/g (4.0 kcal/g) for available mono- and disaccharides 805 (glucose, galactose, fructose, sucrose) and starch and glycogen, respectively (FAO, 2003). The GE of fibre that reaches the colon does not differ substantially from that of starch and glycogen, but due to 806 807 large differences in fermentability of fibres in the colon the energy contribution from fibre is less than 808 for other carbohydrates. With the assumption that an average of 70 % of the fibre reaching the colon is 809 fermented, the energy conversion factor for fibre is 8 kJ/g (2 kcal/g) (Elia and Cummings, 2007; FAO, 810 2003).
- 811 Protein: Protein is not fully oxidised in the body. The physiologically available energy from protein is therefore reduced due to both incomplete digestibility and urea losses in the urine. The digestibility of 812 813 protein is lowest in legumes (78 % of GE) and highest in animal products (97 % of GE). Protein in 814 food may be measured as the sum of individual amino acid residues. In case such values for protein 815 are not available, determination of protein based on total nitrogen by Kjeldahl (or a comparable 816 method) multiplied by a factor is generally accepted. Based on the different amino acid compositions 817 of various proteins, the nitrogen content of proteins varies from about 13 to 19 %. This would equate 818 to nitrogen conversion factors ranging from 5.26 to 7.69. As the average nitrogen content of proteins is 819 about 16 %, the general factor of 6.25 to convert nitrogen content to (crude) protein content is used. When protein is determined in this way, the general energy conversion factor of 17 kJ/g (4 kcal/g) 820 821 should be applied (FAO, 2003; Merrill and Watt, 1973).
- <u>Fat:</u> The GE of fat depends on the fatty acid composition of the triglycerides and the proportion of
 other lipids in the diet. On average, the ME from fat is calculated as 95 % of GE in most foodstuffs.
 Fats may be analysed as fatty acids and expressed as triglycerides. For dietary fats, a general energy
 conversion factor of 37 kJ/g (9 kcal/g) is used (FAO, 2003; Merrill and Watt, 1973).
- Alcohol: Although consumption of alcohol can contribute to the hepatic *de novo* lipogenesis pathway,
 about 80 % of the energy liberated contributes to ATP production (Prentice, 1995; Raben et al., 2003).
 Ethanol is promptly oxidised after ingestion and reduces the oxidation of other substrates used for
 ATP synthesis. The energy conversion factor for alcohol (ethanol) is 29 kJ/g (7 kcal/g).

830 **3.2.** Dietary intake data

831 Estimated energy intakes for children and adolescents in 21 countries and for adults in 24 countries in 832 the European Union are presented in Appendix 2 and Appendix 3, respectively. The data refer to food

⁸ Council Directive 90/496/EEC of 24 September 1990 on nutrition labelling for foodstuffs. OJ L 276, 6.10.1990, p. 40–44. Regulation (EU) No 1169/2011 of the European Parliament and of the Council of 25 October 2011 on the provision of food information to consumers, amending Regulations (EC) No 1924/2006 and (EC) No 1925/2006 of the European Parliament and of the Council, and repealing Commission Directive 87/250/EEC, Council Directive 90/496/EEC, Commission Directive 1999/10/EC, Directive 2000/13/EC of the European Parliament and of the Council, Commission Directives 2002/67/EC and 2008/5/EC and Commission Regulation (EC) No 608/200. OJ L 304, 22.11.2011, p. 18–63.



consumption surveys conducted from 1989 onwards. Most studies comprise national representativepopulation samples.

As shown in Appendices 2A and 3A, there is a large diversity in the methodology applied to assess the individual energy intakes of children, adolescents and adults. These differences in dietary assessment methods make direct comparisons difficult. Age classifications may not be uniform and comparability is also hindered by differences in food composition tables used for the conversion of food consumption data to nutrient intake data (Deharveng et al., 1999). Dietary intake data are prone to reporting errors and there may be a varying degree of underreporting in different surveys (Merten et al., 2011).

- Although the differences in methodology have an impact on the accuracy of between-country comparisons, the presented data give a rough overview of the energy intake in a number of European countries. Most studies reported mean intakes and standard deviations (SD) or mean intakes and intake distributions.
- 846 Available data show that average energy intakes in children aged 2 to 6 years vary between 4.5 (1.076) and 7.9 MJ/d (1,912 kcal/d). Boys usually have somewhat higher energy intakes than girls. In older 847 children, average daily energy intakes vary between 6.8 MJ/d (1,625 kcal/d) in boys aged 5-8 years 848 849 and 13.2 MJ/d (3,155 kcal/d) in boys aged 13-15 years, and between 6.1 MJ/d (1,458 kcal/d) in girls aged 10-14 years and 10.0 MJ/d (2,390 kcal/d) in girls aged 13-15 years. In adolescents, observed 850 851 average energy intakes are between 9.9 MJ/d (2,366 kcal/d) in boys aged 15-17 years and 14.7 MJ/d 852 (3,513 kcal/d) in boys aged 16-18 years and between 6.8 MJ/d (1,625 kcal/d) in girls aged 15-18 years 853 and 9.9 MJ/d (2,366 kcal/d) in girls aged 15-17 years.
- In adults, average energy intakes vary between 7.1 (1,697 kcal/d) and 15.3 MJ/d (3,657 kcal/d) in men and between 5.7 (1,362 kcal/d) and 11.4 MJ/d (2,725 kcal/d) in women. Ranges vary from 3.1-8.1 MJ/d (741-1,936 kcal/d) at the lower (2.5-10th percentile) end to 8.9-21.0 MJ/d (2,127-5,019 kcal/d) at the upper (90-97.5th percentile) end of the intake distributions. The lowest energy intakes are usually observed in older age groups.

859 4. Overview of dietary reference values and recommendations

A number of national and international organisations have estimated energy requirements for all age groups and for pregnant and lactating women. They have generally been estimated as TEE, and TEE has been calculated as the product of REE x PAL or from regression equations in which age, sex, body mass and, as the case may be, height are considered. REE (or BEE or BMR, according to the terminology used in the reports) is estimated from different equations and PAL values used vary between countries.

866 **4.1.** Adults

Most authorities (AFSSA, 2001; D-A-CH, 2012; FAO/WHO/UNU, 2004; Health Council of the 867 Netherlands, 2001; NNR, 2004; SACN, 2011; SCF, 1993) determined average energy requirements 868 using the factorial approach (Appendix 4). Usually REE was estimated using Schofield's predictive 869 870 equations based on body mass (FAO/WHO/UNU, 1985). However, AFSSA (2001) used the predictive 871 equations by Black (1996), whereas SACN estimated REE with the equations by Henry (2005), 872 because they predict slightly lower values compared to Schofield's equations and estimate REE with a higher accuracy (as determined by Weijs et al. (2008) in overweight/obese subjects). The body masses 873 874 entered into these equations were either derived from observed heights in the respective country and 875 calculated for a desirable BMI within the healthy BMI range, were based on mean population body masses (NNR, 2004), or used incremental body masses within a defined body mass range 876 877 (FAO/WHO/UNU, 2004). The calculated REE values were then multiplied with PAL values ranging between 1.4 and 2.4. Some authorities assumed lower PAL values for older people (AFSSA, 2001; D-878 879 A-CH, 2012; Health Council of the Netherlands, 2001; SACN, 2011; SCF, 1993), used desirable PAL 880 values (D-A-CH, 2012; Health Council of the Netherlands, 2001; SCF, 1993), and/or defined PAL



values or ranges of PAL values representing certain lifestyle activity levels. SACN (2011) derived
PAL values based on two DLW studies measuring TEE and measuring (Moshfegh et al., 2008) or
calculating (Tooze et al., 2007) REE in middle-aged US adults. The resulting distribution of PAL
values was assumed to also represent PAL values of the UK population, and the median PAL value
was used to derive average energy requirements according to age and sex.

IoM (2005) collected DLW data on adults separately for those with normal body mass and for 886 overweight/obese subjects. The normal body mass database comprised 169 men and 238 women with 887 888 a BMI between 18.5 to 25 kg/m². Based on this database, the IoM derived prediction equations of TEE by nonlinear regression analysis taking into account age, sex, height, body mass, and a physical 889 890 activity constant. Four physical activity constants were defined as equivalents to a range of PAL values appropriate for sedentary, low active, active, and very active lifestyles. Individual PAL values 891 892 were determined by dividing the measured individual TEE values by the measured or predicted 893 individual REE values, and PAL values less than 1.0 or greater than 2.5 were omitted.

894 **4.2.** Infants and children

For the determination of energy requirements for infants, it was generally considered that TEE is different for breast-fed and formula-fed infants. Some authorities derived values for formula-fed infants only (AFSSA, 2001; D-A-CH, 2012; SCF, 1993), whereas others estimated energy requirements according to feeding mode (FAO/WHO/UNU, 2004; SACN, 2011) (Appendices 5, 6).

Older estimates of energy requirements of infants were based on measurements of energy intake (FAO/WHO/UNU, 1985; SCF, 1993). More recent estimated energy requirements have been based on measurements of energy expenditure using DLW data from healthy, well-nourished, term infants available from 1987 onwards. The DLW database has subsequently been extended to comprise also older infants and young children up to 24 months of age. The energy expended for growth was estimated from changes in body mass and body composition, i.e. gains in protein and fat mass during growth, and added to the estimated TEE.

906 The DLW database used by FAO/WHO/UNU (2004) comprised 13 studies with DLW measurements 907 performed on a total of 417 healthy, well-nourished, non-stunted infants from 0 to 12 months of age 908 and growing along the trajectory of the WHO reference standard (1983). Most (11/13) studies were 909 done in the UK, the US, and the Netherlands. Linear regression analysis using body mass as the 910 predictor for TEE was applied. An allowance for energy deposition in tissues during growth was 911 added, which was calculated by gains in protein and fat, and corresponding energy deposition, 912 assuming that the energy equivalents of protein and fat deposition are 23.6 and 38.7 kJ/g (5.64 and 9.25 kcal/g), respectively (Butte et al., 2000b). Since formula-fed infants had higher TEE during the 913 914 first year of life, separate predictive equations for breast-fed and formula-fed infants were also 915 proposed.

For infants and children up to 2 years of age, the DLW database of IoM (2005) comprised children 916 917 within the 3rd and 97th percentile for US body mass-for-length values. A single equation involving only body mass was found suitable to predict TEE in all individuals irrespective of sex. Because of the 918 919 small sample size of the data used and the limited range of estimated physical activity, PAL was not 920 included in the TEE equation. The IoM calculated the estimated energy requirements (EERs) for infants and very young children as TEE plus energy deposition for growth. The energy requirement for 921 deposition was computed from rates of protein and fat deposition in a longitudinal study of infants 922 (0.5-24 months of age) (Butte et al., 2000b), and applied to the 50th percentile of gain in body mass for 923 924 boys and girls of similar ages (Guo et al., 1991). In children aged 0 to 36 months, a single equation 925 involving only body mass was found suitable to predict TEE in all individuals irrespective of sex 926 (IoM, 2005). EERs were provided for each sex, for each month between one and 35, taking into 927 account reference body masses for the United States (Kuczmarski et al., 2000) and calculated energy deposition allowance (Butte et al., 2000b; Guo et al., 1991). 928



For older children and adolescents (Appendix 6), FAO/WHO/UNU (2004) derived quadratic predictive equations with body mass as the single predictor from studies of TEE with a total of 801 boys and 808 girls using either the DLW method or HR monitoring (Torun, 2001). Using these predictive equations, TEE was calculated based on the WHO reference values of body mass-for-age (Torun, 2001; WHO, 1983). Predicted values were about 7 % higher than the actual measurements of TEE for infants and young children between one and two years of age, therefore TEE estimates were reduced by that percentage.

Energy deposited in growing tissues was estimated by multiplying the mean daily gain in body mass at each year of age by the average energy deposited in growing tissues (8.6 kJ or 2 kcal per gram of gain in body mass) using the WHO reference values of body mass-for-age (WHO, 1983). A set of values for mean daily energy requirement (MJ or kcal/d) was calculated for each sex, requirements being the sum of energy deposition and TEE. This was then divided by the median body mass at each year to express requirements as energy units per kilogram of body mass.

To account for less or more physically active lifestyles in children aged 6 years and older, it was recommended to subtract or add 15 % of energy requirements as estimated with the use of the predictive equations valid for children and adolescents with "average" physical activity. Examples of activities performed with less active than average physical lifestyles as well as for those performed with vigorous lifestyles were given.

947 For US children aged 3 to 18 years, EERs were set for boys and girls separately, because of variations 948 in growth rate and physical activity (IoM, 2005) (Appendix 6). DLW data from the normative database with US children within the 5th and 85th percentile of BMI were used to develop equations to 949 predict TEE based on a child's sex, age, height, body mass and PAL category. The estimated energy 950 951 deposition was computed based on published rates of gain in body mass (Baumgartner et al., 1986) 952 and estimated rates of protein and fat deposition of children (Fomon et al., 1982) and adolescents 953 (Haschke, 1989). An average of 84 kJ/d (20 kcal/d) for estimated energy deposition for children aged 954 3 to 8 years, and of 105 kJ/d (25 kcal/d) for children and adolescents aged 9 to 18 years (IoM, 2005) 955 was therefore added to the calculated TEE. Considering American reference body masses and heights 956 (Kuczmarski et al., 2000), a set of EER values was proposed for each sex, each age and the four PAL 957 categories.

958 For children aged up to 9 years, other authorities used the factorial approach to derive estimated 959 energy requirements, except for the SCF (1993) who based them on measurements of energy intake following the approach of FAO/WHO/UNU (1985) but without adding an allowance of 5 % to allow 960 961 for a desirable level of physical activity (Appendix 7). Energy expenditure for growth was either 962 accounted for by adding a fixed percentage to the amount of REE x PAL (NNR, 2004) by slightly 963 increasing the PAL value to be multiplied with the REE (SACN, 2011), or by adding average amounts 964 considering deposited protein and fat as well as gain in body mass for the various age groups and 965 considering expenditure for synthesis (AFSSA, 2001; Health Council of the Netherlands, 2001; SCF, 966 1993).

967 For older children and adolescents aged 10-17 years, other authorities mostly used the factorial 968 approach to derive energy requirements. REE was usually predicted with the equations developed by 969 Schofield (FAO/WHO/UNU, 1985; Schofield et al., 1985), except for SACN (2011) who used the 970 predictive equations of Henry (2005). PAL values to be multiplied with estimated REE were either 971 based on data from Torun et al. (1996) using the DLW technique (D-A-CH, 2012; Health Council of the Netherlands, 2001; NNR, 2004), were based on calculated average energy expenditure with 972 973 various daily activities (AFSSA, 2001; SCF, 1993), or were derived from a data set of all published 974 DLW studies of children aged over one year published until 2006 (SACN, 2011).



975 **4.3. Pregnancy**

- Table 2 lists DRVs for pregnant women set by various authorities (referring to energy intakes abovethe values for non-pregnant women).
- 978 Table 2: Overview of Dietary Reference Values (DRVs) for energy during pregnancy in addition to
 979 those for non-pregnant, non-lactating women

	SCF (1993)	Health Council of the Netherlands (2001)	NNR (2004)	FAO/ WHO/UNU (2004)	IoM (2005)	SACN (2011)	D-A-CH (2012)
1 st trimester	. 0.75 MI/J		negligible	+ 0.35 MJ/d (+ 85 kcal/d)	0	/	+ 1.1 MJ/d (+ 255 kcal/d)
2 nd trimester	(+ 180 kcal/d) from the 10 th week of	+ 1.2 MJ/d (+ 290 kcal/d)	+ 1.56 MJ/d (+ 350 kcal/d)	+ 1.2 MJ/d (+ 285 kcal/d)	+ 1.4 MJ/d (+ 340 kcal/d)	/	(whole pregnancy). To be corrected
3 rd trimester	pregnancy for women of normal body mass	(whole pregnancy)	+ 2.1 MJ/d (+ 500 kcal/d)	+ 2.0 MJ/d (+ 475 kcal/d)	+ 1.9 MJ/d (+ 452 kcal/d)	+ 0.8 MJ/d (+ 191 kcal/d)	in case of a change in PAL during pregnancy compared to the non-pregnant state

980

981 In the FAO/WHO/UNU report (2004) the extra amount of energy required during pregnancy was 982 calculated, assuming a mean gestational gain in body mass of 12 kg (WHO, 1995a), by two factorial 983 methods, using either the cumulative increment in REE during pregnancy or the cumulative increment in TEE, plus the energy deposited as protein and fat. In the calculation using the increment in REE, it 984 985 was assumed that the efficiency in energy utilisation to synthesize protein and fat was 90 %. 986 Adjustments for efficiency of energy utilisation were not necessary in the calculations that used the 987 increment in TEE, as TEE measured with DLW includes the energy expenditure of synthesis. The 988 estimates of the additional energy required during pregnancy were very similar using either REE or 989 TEE for the calculation: 323 MJ (77,100 kcal) and 320 MJ (76,500 kcal), respectively. These values, 990 which were based on experimental data, differ by only 4 % from the theoretical estimate of 335 MJ 991 (80,000 kcal) made by the 1981 FAO/WHO/UNU expert consultation (FAO/WHO/UNU, 1985). 992 Averaging the two factorial calculations, the extra energy expenditure of pregnancy is 321 MJ 993 (77,000 kcal) divided into approximately 0.35 MJ/d, 1.2 MJ/d and 2.0 MJ/d (85 kcal/d, 285 kcal/d and 994 475 kcal/d) during the first, second and third trimesters, respectively.

995 IoM (2005) determined the EERs during pregnancy from the sum of the TEE of non-pregnant women 996 plus a median change in TEE of 33.5 kJ/week (8 kcal/week) plus the energy deposition during 997 pregnancy of 753 kJ/d (180 kcal/d) (factorial method). The median TEE change per gestational week 998 was calculated based on a dataset of pregnant women with normal pre-pregnancy BMIs (18.5-999 25 kg/m²) and longitudinal DLW measurements of TEE throughout pregnancy. The average TEE per 1000 gestational week was computed for each woman and the median values of these data were assumed to 1001 represent the general trend. TEE was considered to change little and gain in body mass was considered 1002 to be minor during the first trimester, so no increase in energy intake during the first trimester was 1003 recommended. It was found that the energy expenditure of pregnancy was not equally distributed over 1004 pregnancy. For pregnant women aged 19 to 50 years the EERs were calculated as follows:

- 1005 EER_{pregnant}=EER_{nonpregnant} + additional energy expenditure during pregnancy + energy deposition
- 1006 1^{st} trimester: EER_{pregnant} = EER_{nonpregnant} + 0 + 0
- 1007 2^{nd} trimester: $EER_{pregnant} = EER_{nonpregnant} + 0.7$ MJ + 0.7 MJ $(EER_{pregnant} = EER_{nonpregnant} + 160$ kcal 1008 (=8 kcal/wk x 20 wk) + 180 kcal)



- 1009 3^{rd} trimester: EER_{pregnant} = EER_{nonpregnant} + 1.2 MJ + 0.7 MJ (EER_{pregnant} = EER_{nonpregnant} + 272 kcal 1010 (=8 kcal/wk x 34 wk) + 180 kcal)
- 1011 For pregnant women aged 14 to 18 years the same equations were applied, taking into account the 1012 adolescent $\text{EER}_{nonpregnant}$ instead of the adult $\text{EER}_{nonpregnant}$.

In the SACN report (2011) it was considered that the energy reference values for pregnancy estimated by the factorial method (as in the reports of FAO/WHO/UNU (2004) and IoM (2005)) exceed energy intakes observed in populations of well-nourished pregnant women giving birth to infants with an average body mass in the healthy range. Consequently, it was considered that there was no reason to amend the increment of 0.8 MJ/d in the last trimester previously recommended (DoH, 1991). It was also indicated that women entering pregnancy as overweight may not require the increment but data were insufficient to derive a recommendation for this subgroup.

1020 The Nordic Nutrition Recommendations (2004) indicated that energy requirement during pregnancy 1021 based on total energy expenditure and total energy deposition had been estimated for women of 1022 normal body mass. They considered that the increase in EER was negligible in the first trimester and 1023 increased by 1.56 MJ/d (350 kcal/d) and 2.1 MJ/d (500 kcal/d) in the second and third trimesters, 1024 respectively (NNR, 2004).

The German-Swiss-Austrian reference values (D-A-CH, 2012) stated that for the whole duration of pregnancy additional 300 MJ (71,100 kcal) were needed, and recommended to distribute this amount evenly throughout pregnancy. This corresponds to an additional energy intake of 1.1 MJ/d (255 kcal/d). In case of a change in physical activity levels during pregnancy compared to the nonpregnant state, the additional energy intakes had to be corrected accordingly.

1030 AFSSA (2001) did not set any reference values for energy during pregnancy and commented on the 1031 spontaneous adaptation of the energy intakes of women during pregnancy and the importance of a 1032 weight gain in the recommended range.

1033 The Health Council of the Netherlands (2001) concluded that the average extra energy expenditure of 1034 pregnancy was 1.5 MJ/d (based on the factorial method and assuming an unchanged pattern of 1035 activity). However, as women generally tend to be less physically active during pregnancy, the extra 1036 energy requirement during pregnancy was estimated to be 1.2 MJ/d, derived from data based on the 1037 DLW technique applied in small sets of Swedish, British and US pregnant women.

1038 SCF (1993) provided estimates of the additional daily energy requirements (from 10^{th} week of 1039 pregnancy) according to pre-pregnancy BMI (18.5-19.9, 20.0-25.9, >25.9 kg/m²), considering the 1040 corresponding gain in body mass (12.5-18 kg, 11.4-16 kg, 7-11.5 kg), and assuming that all women 1041 were 1.65 m in height and moderately active (average PAL of 1.64 before pregnancy). Because of 1042 possible adjustment in either physical activity or metabolism by the second trimester of pregnancy, the 1043 SCF considered it reasonable to halve the supposed extra energy demand, which therefore would be 1044 0.75 MJ/d (179 kcal/d) from the 10^{th} week of pregnancy for women with a normal body mass.

1045 **4.4. Lactation**

1046 Table 3 lists DRVs for energy for lactating women set by various organisations (referring to energy 1047 intakes above the values for non-pregnant women).



1048 Table 3: Overview of Dietary Reference Values for energy during lactation in addition to those for1049 non-pregnant women

	0-6 months post partum	From 6 months post partum onwards
SCF (1993)	0-1 months: + 1.5 MJ/d (+ 359 kcal/d) 1-2 months: + 1.8 MJ/d (+ 430 kcal/d)	Minor weaning practice from 6 months: + 1.92 MJ/d (+ 459 kcal/d)
	2-3 months: + 1.92 MJ/d (+ 459 kcal/d) 3-6 months: + 1.71 MJ/d (+ 409 kcal/d)	Substantial weaning practice from 6 months: + 0.88 MJ/d (+ 210 kcal/d)
Health Council of	+ 2.1 MJ/d (+ 502 kcal/d)	
the Netherlands (2001)		
NNR (2004)	+ 2.0 MJ/d (+ 478 kcal/d)	
FAO/ WHO/UNU (2004)	First 6 months: In well-nourished women with adequate gain in body mass: $+ 2.1 \text{ MJ/d}$ (+ 505 kcal/d).	Second 6 months: variable.
IoM (2005)	First 6 months: + 1.4 MJ/d (+ 330 kcal/d)	Second 6 months: + 1.7 MJ/d (+ 400 kcal/d)
SACN (2011)	First 6 months: + 1.4 MJ/d (+ 330 kcal/d)	Second 6 months: depends on breast milk intake of infant and maternal body composition
D-A-CH (2012)	First four months: + 2.7 MJ/d (+ 635 kcal/d). After four months: + 2.2 MJ/d (+ 525 kcal/d) in women exclusively breastfeeding); + 1.2 MJ/d (+ 285 kcal/d) in women gradually introducing complementary feeding). To be corrected in case of change in PAL compared to the non-pregnant state.	

1050

1051 According to FAO/WHO/UNU (2004), total energy requirements during lactation are equal to those of 1052 the pre-pregnancy period, plus the additional demands imposed by the need for adequate milk 1053 production and secretion. For women who feed their infants exclusively with human milk during the 1054 first six months of life, the mean energy expenditure over the six-month period is 2.8 MJ/day (675 kcal/d; 807 g milk/d x 2.8 kJ/g / 80 % efficiency). From the age of six months onwards, when 1055 1056 infants are partially breastfed and milk production is on average 550 g/d, the energy expenditure 1057 imposed by lactation is 1.9 MJ/d (460 kcal/d). Fat stores accumulated during pregnancy may cover 1058 part of the additional energy needs in the first months of lactation. Assuming an energy factor of 27.2 MJ/kg body mass (Butte and Hopkinson, 1998; Butte and King, 2002), the rate of loss in body 1059 1060 well-nourished women would correspond mobilisation mass in to the of 1061 27.2 x 0.8 kg/month = 21.8 MJ/month, or 0.72 MJ/d (170 kcal/d) from body energy stores. This 1062 amount of energy can be subtracted from the 2.8 MJ/d (675 kcal/d) required during the first six months of lactation for milk production in well-nourished (but not in undernourished) women. The result, 1063 1064 2.1 MJ/d (505 kcal/d), is similar to the additional energy required when infants are partially breast-fed 1065 after six months of lactation. Undernourished women and those with insufficient gestational gain in 1066 body mass should increase their energy intake by 2.8 MJ/d (675 kcal/d) during the first six months of lactation. Energy requirements for milk production in the second six months are dependent on rates of 1067 1068 milk production, which are highly variable among women and populations.

In the report of IoM (2005), TEE values were derived from DLW data on lactating women with normal pre-pregnancy BMIs (18.5-25 kg/m²) and fully breast-feeding their infants at one, two, three, four and six months *post partum*. These TEE values include the energy needed for milk synthesis. A comparison of the measured TEE of lactating women and the TEE calculated from age, height, body mass and PAL (using the IoM prediction equation for adult women) showed that the differences were minimal.

1075 Therefore, using a factorial approach, the IoM estimated the EERs during lactation from the EER for 1076 adult women with a normal body mass, taking into account milk energy outputs, and energy



- 1077 mobilisation from tissue stores (loss of body mass). In the first six months *post partum* it was 1078 considered that well-nourished lactating women experienced an average loss of body mass of 1079 0.8 kg/month equivalent to 0.7 MJ/d (170 kcal/d). Stability of body mass was assumed after six 1080 months *post partum*. The milk energy output was considered to be around 2.1 MJ/d (500 kcal/d) in the 1081 first six months and 1.7 MJ/d (400 kcal/d) in the second six months (calculated from the milk 1082 production rate and its energy content). For lactating women (19 years or older), the EERs were set as 1083 follows:
- 1084 EER_{lactation}=EER_{pre-pregnancy} + milk energy output energy from body mass loss
- $1087 \quad \ \ 7-12 \ \ months: \ EER_{lactation} = adult \ EER_{pre-pregnancy} + 1.7 \ \ MJ 0 \ (EER_{lactation} = adult \ EER_{pre-pregnancy} + 1.088 \ \ \ 400 \ kcal 0)$
- For lactating women aged 14 to 18 years the same equations apply, taking into account the adolescent
 EER_{pre-pregnancy} instead of the adult EER_{pre-pregnancy}.
- 1091 SACN (2011) followed the same factorial method as IoM (2005) for the first six months of lactation.
- 1092 The Nordic Nutrition Recommendations (2004) estimated the extra need for energy during lactation 1093 based on the energy content of breast milk per gram, which was considered as approximately 2.8 kJ/g
- 1094 (0.67 kcal/g), multiplied by the production of breast milk during the whole weaning period. The
- 1095 average mobilisation of fat from stores to satisfy energy needs was taken into account. A reference
- 1096 value of 2.0 MJ/d (478 kcal/d) for an extra energy intake during lactation was suggested.
- 1097 The German-Swiss-Austrian reference values (D-A-CH, 2012) calculated an additional energy 1098 requirement for lactating women of 2.7 MJ/d (635 kcal/d) for the first four months *post partum*. After 1099 four months, a distinction was made between women exclusively breastfeeding and women partially 1100 breastfeeding. For the first group, an additional energy requirement of 2.2 MJ/d (525 kcal/d) was 1101 estimated, and for the latter 1.2 MJ/d (285 kcal/d). In case PAL was changed during lactation 1102 compared to the pre-pregnant state, the additional energy intake was to be corrected accordingly.
- 1103 AFSSA (2001) did not set any reference values for energy during lactation and commented on the 1104 adaptation of the energy expenditure during lactation and the use of body stores.
- 1105 The Health Council of the Netherlands (2001) calculated the average extra energy requirement during 1106 lactation based on the energy value of human milk plus the energy required to produce it. Considering 1107 the total energy content of human milk to be approximately 2.7 kJ/mL (0.65 kcal/mL) and the average 1108 amount of milk secreted to be 800 mL/d, the amount of energy secreted via human milk was 1109 calculated to be approximately 2.2 MJ/d (525 kcal/d). Assuming an efficiency of conversion of energy 1110 from food to human milk of 80 %, the energy expenditure of lactation was considered to be 2.7 MJ/d (635 kcal/d). Taking into account the average decrease in body fat of 0.5 kg per month of lactation, the 1111 Health Council of the Netherlands estimated the average extra energy requirement during lactation to 1112 be 2.1 MJ/d (502 kcal/d). 1113
- The Scientific Committee on Food (1993) proposed values for additional energy requirements for 1114 1115 lactation derived from the UK COMA Committee (DoH, 1991), but applied an efficiency value of 1116 95 % for milk production. In case of full breast-feeding, total extra requirements were set for zero to one, one to two, two to three and three to six months, taking into account milk volume, energy 1117 expenditure and an average allowance for loss of body mass (0.5 kg/month following delivery). From 1118 1119 6 months onwards, minor or substantial complementary feeding practices were considered separately, 1120 taking into account the same previous three parameters (milk volume, energy expenditure and an 1121 average allowance for loss of body mass).



- 11225.Criteria and approaches for the derivation of the Average Requirement (AR) for1123energy
- 1124 **5.1.** Criteria

1125 **5.1.1. Energy balance**

1126 Energy balance is achieved when metabolisable energy intake is equal to TEE, which includes the 1127 energy deposited in new tissue in growth and in pregnancy and the energy secreted in milk in 1128 lactation. A positive energy balance occurs when energy intake is in excess of these requirements, 1129 whereas a negative energy balance occurs when energy needs are not met by intake. When energy 1130 balance is maintained over a prolonged period, an individual is considered to be in a steady state. This 1131 can include short periods during which the day-to-day balance between intake and expenditure is not 1132 obtained. Short-term, day-to-day energy imbalances are associated with the deposition and 1133 mobilisation of glycogen and fat. In terms of regulation of body mass it is important to consider the 1134 overall energy balance over a prolonged period of time.

1135 Within certain limits humans can adapt to transient or long-term changes in energy intake through 1136 various physiological and behavioural responses related to energy expenditure and/or changes in 1137 growth. Energy balance is then achieved at a new steady state. However, adjustments to low or high 1138 energy intakes entail biological and behavioural penalties, such as reduced growth velocity, loss of lean body mass, excessive accumulation of body fat, increased risk of disease, forced rest periods, and 1139 1140 physical or social limitations in performing certain activities and tasks. Therefore, estimated energy 1141 requirements should be based on the amounts of energy necessary and sufficient to maintain energy 1142 balance in healthy adult men and women who are maintaining a desirable body mass and level of 1143 activity (FAO/WHO/UNU, 2004). Correspondingly, the increments in energy requirements for 1144 growth, pregnancy and lactation should be ascertained in healthy children and women with desirable 1145 growth rates and development or desirable courses of pregnancy and lactation, respectively. Ageing is accompanied by changes in energy balance. The heterogeneity in the alteration of body mass, body 1146 1147 composition, and physical activity during the course of biological ageing should be taken into account 1148 in the derivation of the AR for older adults.

1149 **5.1.2.** Body mass, body mass index (BMI) and body composition

Because mortality and risk of diseases increases with both high and low BMI values, a stable body mass within target BMI values is desirable. An obesity task force has defined the healthy BMI of adults to be between 18.5 and 24.9 kg/m² (WHO, 2000). BMI values outside this target range have been found to be associated with increased morbidity and mortality. In this Opinion, a BMI of 22 kg/m², as the midpoint of this range of healthy BMI, will be used for the calculation of average energy requirements of adults.

1156 Stable body mass is a simple indicator of the adequacy of energy intake that matches energy 1157 expenditure in the long term. The main disadvantages of relying on body mass and BMI are that they 1158 do not reliably reflect body fat, which is an independent predictor of disease risk (IoM, 2005; Willett 1159 et al., 1999). Although sophisticated techniques are available to precisely measure FFM and FM of individuals, these techniques have not generally been applied in clinical and epidemiological studies 1160 investigating the associations with morbidity and mortality. Therefore, BMI, although only an indirect 1161 indicator of body composition, is used to classify underweight and overweight individuals, and as the 1162 1163 target parameter for AR for energy.

BMI has a different relation to fat and muscle mass among the elderly than among younger individuals due to age-related changes in body mass and its composition. There is also a reduction in stature with age of 1-2 cm/decade, which has been reported to begin at about 30 years of age and to become more rapid at older ages (Sorkin et al., 1999). Because of these age-related changes in elderly populations, the BMI may not have the same associations with morbidity and mortality as in younger to middle aged adults. As BMI by itself seems to have only limited explanatory power with regard to morbidity



and mortality in older persons, the Panel concludes that additional indices such as body composition
(i.e. FM, FFM, muscle mass, fat distribution, age-related changes in body height) should also be
considered.

There are specific target BMI values for children because desirable BMI changes with age. On 1173 1174 average, a rapid increase of the BMI occurs during the first year of life. The BMI subsequently declines, reaches a minimum around four to six years, and then gradually increases up to the end of 1175 growth ("adiposity rebound") (IoM, 2005; Kuczmarski et al., 2000; Rolland-Cachera et al., 2006). 1176 1177 Cut-off points to define underweight and overweight can be established by using growth charts of 1178 healthy children living in an environment that supports optimal growth and development such as the 1179 most recent WHO Child Growth Standards (Butte et al., 2007; WHO, 2007; WHO Multicentre Growth Reference Study Group, 2006). According to the WHO classifications for overweight and 1180 1181 obesity in younger children (0-5 years), children above +1 SD of the age-specific mean BMI are 1182 described as being "at risk of overweight", above +2 SD as overweight, and above +3 SD as obese. 1183 For school-aged children and adolescents, growth curves that accord with the WHO Child Growth 1184 Standards for preschool children and the BMI cut-offs for adults were constructed with merged data 1185 from the 1977 National Center for Health Statistics (NCHS/WHO) growth reference (1-24 years) and 1186 data from the under-fives growth standards' cross-sectional sample (18-71 months). Overlapping of 1187 the age ranges allowed to smooth the transition between the two samples (de Onis et al., 2007). For older children, the WHO adolescence BMI-for-age curves at 19 years closely coincide with adult 1188 1189 overweight (BMI 25) at +1 SD and with adult obesity (BMI 30) at +2 SD. As a result, these SD 1190 classifications to define overweight and obesity were applied to children aged 5-19 years (de Onis and 1191 Lobstein, 2010).

1192**5.1.3.Body mass gain in pregnancy**

1193 There is substantial variance in reported gestational increases in body mass (Fraser et al., 2011; 1194 Herring et al., 2008) that is the major determinant of the incremental energy needs during pregnancy. 1195 The WHO Collaborative Study on Maternal Anthropometry and Pregnancy Outcomes identified 1196 gestational increase in body mass associated with an optimal ratio of maternal and foetal health 1197 outcomes⁹ to be between 10–14 kg (mean, 12 kg) (WHO, 1995a).

1198 Both low and excessive gestational increases in body mass are related to adverse outcomes of 1199 pregnancy (IOM/NRC, 2009). Higher maternal gestational increases in body mass are associated with 1200 a decreased risk for small-for-gestational-age (SGA) infants (especially among underweight women) 1201 but are associated with increased risk for large-for-gestational-age (LGA) infants, low 5-minutes 1202 Apgar scores, gestational diabetes, preeclampsia, failed labour induction, ceasarean delivery, post 1203 partum infection and post partum body mass retention; on the other hand, an inadequate gestational increase in body mass increases the risk of foetal death, preterm labour and delivery, and infants with 1204 1205 low body mass at birth (DeVader et al., 2007).

Evidence from the scientific literature is consistent in showing that pre-pregnancy BMI is an 1206 independent predictor of many adverse outcomes of pregnancy (IOM/NRC, 2009; Kiel et al., 2007; 1207 1208 Stotland et al., 2006). Thus, in the most recent IOM report (IOM/NRC, 2009), ranges for the increase in body mass have been recommended by pre-pregnancy BMI (<18.5 kg/m²: 12.5-18 kg, 18.5-24.9 1209 kg/m^2 : 11.5-16 kg, 25.0-29.9 kg/m²: 7-11.5 kg, > 30.0 kg/m²: 5-9 kg). However, lower gestational 1210 1211 increases in body mass of 2-10 kg in women with a pre-pregnancy BMI between 20-24.9 kg/m² 1212 (Cedergren, 2007) and even moderate losses of body mass in overweight (0.03 kg/week) and obese 1213 (0.019 kg/week) women (Oken et al., 2009) have also been associated with optimal maternal and 1214 foetal outcomes.

⁹ For the mother in terms of maternal mortality, complications of pregnancy, labour and delivery, *post partum* weight retention and lactational performance, and for the infant in terms of foetal growth, gestational duration, mortality and morbidity.



1215 The Panel concludes that an intake corresponding to ARs for energy for pregnancy based on a target 1216 gestational increase in body mass of around 12 kg is most likely to be associated with optimal 1217 maternal and foetal health outcomes in women with pre-pregnant BMIs in the range between 18.5 and 1218 24.9 kg/m² (WHO, 1995a).

1219 **5.1.4. Physical activity**

A certain amount of habitual physical activity is desirable for biological and social well-being. The health benefits of regular physical activity and improved physical fitness are well documented (Blair et al., 2001) and many of the known health benefits of physical exercise result, either directly or indirectly, from the beneficial effects on the maintenance of a healthy body mass and body composition. Regular exercise may help to preserve (Forbes, 2000) or to increase (Teixeira et al., 2003) FFM. Because FFM has a relatively high metabolic activity, it is an important determinant of energy expenditure at rest (Halliday et al., 1979).

There is consensus among experts that a habitual PAL of 1.70 or higher is associated with a lower risk
of overweight and obesity, cardiovascular disease, diabetes and several types of cancer, osteoporosis,
and sarcopenia (FAO/WHO/UNU, 2004).

- 1230 Habitual physical activity, and hence TEE, decreases after a given age (Black et al., 1996; Roberts, 1231 1996), and in advanced age PAL values can be very low. In free- and independently living healthy Swedish men and women aged 91-96 years PAL values were on average only 1.38 (Rothenberg et al., 1232 2000). In a cohort of community-dwelling US older adults (aged 70-82 years) who are described as 1233 1234 high-functioning, able to independently perform activities of daily living, and with no evidence of life-1235 threatening illnesses, a wide variation of PAL values was observed, with an overall mean PAL value 1236 of 1.70 (Moshfegh et al., 2008; Tooze et al., 2007). Some elderly individuals who have remained physically active are even able to maintain high levels of energy expenditure, with PAL values as high 1237 1238 as 2.48 (Reilly et al., 1993; Withers et al., 1998). This indicates that the age at which TEE and energy 1239 requirements start decreasing depends on individual, social and cultural factors that promote or limit 1240 habitual physical activity among older adults. Information on the relationship between PAL and 1241 mortality has been published in a prospective study of healthy older adults (aged 70-82 years) (Manini 1242 et al., 2006). Over an average of 6.15 years of follow-up, participants in the upper tertile of EEPA 1243 (PAL greater than 1.78) had a significantly reduced risk of all-cause mortality than those in the lowest 1244 tertile (PAL less than 1.57).
- In children, the regular performance of physical activity in conjunction with good nutrition is associated with health, adequate growth and well-being, improved academic performances, and probably with lower risk of disease in adult life (Boreham and Riddoch, 2001; Torun and Viteri, 1994; Viteri and Torun, 1981). Children who are physically active explore their environment and interact socially more than their less active counterparts. There may also be a behavioural carry-over into adulthood, whereby active children are more likely to be active adults, with the ensuing health benefits of exercise (Boreham and Riddoch, 2001).
- The level of physical activity within a population is very variable and may deviate from what is desirable. Thus, ARs for energy based on desirable PALs may promote an energy intake exceeding the actual energy expenditure, and thereby favour an undesirable increase in body mass. The Panel concludes that AR for energy should be given for specified activity levels in consideration of the actual rather than desirable PALs of population groups.

1257 **5.2.** Approaches

1258 In principle there are two approaches for determining the AR for energy:

1259 The **first** one is the factorial method to estimate TEE. It was originally proposed by FAO/WHO/UNU 1260 (1985) and adopted by the most recent FAO/WHO/UNU report for calculating energy requirements of

adults (FAO/WHO/UNU, 2004). This approach involves the calculation of TEE as PAL x REE, where



REE is predicted from anthropometric measures, and PAL can be estimated either from time-allocated lists of daily activities expressed as PAR values or, alternatively, by dividing TEE (measured by the DLW method) by REE which was measured by indirect calorimetry or calculated with predictive equations. Advantages of this approach are that it accounts for the diversity in body size, body composition and habitual physical activity among adult populations with different geographic, cultural and economic backgrounds, and therefore can be universally applied (FAO/WHO/UNU, 2004).

1268 The second approach is to use TEE, as measured by the DLW method, directly to derive regression 1269 equations which describe how TEE varies as a function of anthropometric variables (such as body mass and height) for defined population groups. This approach has been applied by FAO/WHO/UNU 1270 (FAO/WHO/UNU, 2004) for children and by IoM (2005) for the US Dietary Reference Intake (DRI) 1271 1272 values for energy for all population groups except lactating women. For children and non-pregnant adults (IoM, 2005), the level of physical activity was accommodated within the regression by 1273 1274 designating an activity constant for each individual calculated from TEE and REE values in the data 1275 sets. One of four physical activity constants representing a predefined PAL range was used (sedentary, 1276 low active, active, very active). In this way, sex-specific regression equations for the prediction of 1277 TEE were identified based on age, body mass, height and physical activity categories.

1278 Although the DLW data set assembled for the US DRI report (IoM, 2005) includes most of the UK 1279 studies published up until the writing of that report, SACN (2011) considered this data set as not being 1280 suitable for their approach because study subjects were not recruited explicitly as a representative 1281 sample of the UK or any other adult population; furthermore, several of these DLW studies involved 1282 investigations of physical activity measurement devices (e.g. accelerometers), and specifically 1283 recruited subjects with relatively high activity lifestyles. Instead, for adults, SACN (2011) considered 1284 two studies of energy expenditure measured using the DLW method, the OPEN study (n=451, 1285 40-69 years) (Subar et al., 2003; Tooze et al., 2007) and the Beltsville study (n=476; 30-70 years) (Moshfegh et al., 2008). Both studies comprised an urban population with subjects recruited from the 1286 1287 Washington DC metropolitan area who were considered as comparable to the current UK population as regards distribution of BMI values and ethnic mixture. However, no objective measures of physical 1288 activity were made in either study. Therefore, regression modelling as an approach to derive AR for 1289 1290 energy was abandoned by SACN because of the inability of TEE prediction models to account for 1291 variation in EEPA.

1292 The Panel notes that in addition to these objections, the normative database from which the regression 1293 equations were derived by IoM (2005) includes only a small number of individuals who were not 1294 randomly selected (adults aged 19 to 96 years: 238 women, 169 men, children 3 to 18 years: 358 girls, 167 boys). Furthermore, although SACN considered subjects of the OPEN study (Subar et al., 2003, 1295 1296 Tooze et al., 2007) and the Beltsville study (Moshgfegh et al, 2008) to be comparable to the UK 1297 population, in a validation study with DLW measurements in a small adult population in the UK 1298 (n=66) PAL values (1.81 and 1.74 for men and women, respectively) were on average higher than 1299 those of the OPEN and Beltsville studies (mean PAL value 1.63) (Ruston et al., 2004). This could 1300 indicate either recruitment bias or, in fact, differences between the populations. It is also questionable 1301 whether this limited number of subjects from an urban population of the Washington DC area is representative of the European population. Moreover, data are lacking for some age groups (18-29 1302 1303 years and >70 years), or these age groups are underrepresented and interpolations would have to be 1304 performed.

1305 Therefore, consistent with SACN (2011), the Panel decides not to use regression modelling to 1306 determine AR for energy for children and adults and to follow the factorial approach which is 1307 supported by larger data sets. For similar reasons related to the available DLW data, the Panel decides 1308 not to derive PAL values by dividing TEE (from DLW studies) by REE (measured or estimated).



1309 Derivation of energy requirements of various population groups 5.3.

1310 5.3.1. Adults

1311 For adults the application of the factorial method for estimating TEE is considered to be the most 1312 suitable as it accounts for the diversity in body size, body composition and habitual physical activity among adult populations with different geographic, cultural and economic backgrounds, and therefore 1313 1314 allows a universal application (FAO/WHO/UNU, 2004).

5.3.1.1. Calculation of resting energy expenditure (REE) 1315

The Panel calculated REE for men and women aged between 18 and 79 years based on individual 1316 body heights measured in nationally representative surveys in 13 EU countries, and body masses 1317 1318 calculated to yield a BMI of 22 kg/m² (see Table 4).

Median of measured body heights and body masses of 16,500 men and 19,696 women in 1319 Table 4: 13 EU Member States¹⁰ compared to body masses calculated for a BMI of 22 kg/m² 1320

Age (years)	n	Measured body height (cm) Median	Measured body mass (kg) Median	Body mass (kg) assuming a BMI of 22 kg/m ^{2 1} Median
Men				
18 - 29	2,771	178	77.0	69.7
30 - 39	2,971	178	83.0	69.3
40 - 49	3,780	176	84.0	68.1
50 - 59	3,575	175	84.0	67.3
60 - 69	2,611	174	81.0	66.2
70 - 79	792	172	81.0	65.1
Women				
18 - 29	3,589	164	61.4	59.2
30 - 39	3,866	164	64.4	58.8
40 - 49	4,727	163	67.0	58.5
50 - 59	4,066	161	69.4	57.2
60 - 69	2,806	160	68.3	56.3
70 - 79	915	159	70.1	55.6

1321

1322

¹ Body masses calculated for individual measured body heights assuming a BMI of 22 kg/m².

1323 For the prediction of REE with the equations of Harris-Benedict (1919), Schofield et al. (1985), 1324 Mifflin et al. (1990), Müller et al. (2004) and Henry (2005) (see Section 2.4.1.), individual data from 1325 36,469 subjects were used (for details of the database and calculation see Appendices 8 and 11). Figure 1 illustrates the median REE values according to age group and sex obtained with the 1326 1327 respective equations. Predicted REE decreases with age for both sexes. For women, the Mifflin 1328 predictive equation predicted lowest in all age groups > 30 y. For men, there is no equation always 1329 predicting lowest. For both sexes, the Harris-Benedict equation predicted highest for the ages 18-29 1330 and 30-39 years, whereas Schofield predicted highest for the ages 40-49 and 50-59 years.

1331 As is illustrated in Figure 1, the discrepancy in the results for REE calculated with the various 1332 prediction equations becomes larger with increasing age (from 5 % at age 18-29 years to 11-13 % at 1333 age 70-79 years).

¹⁰Bulgaria, Czech Republic, Finland, France, Germany, Ireland, Luxembourg, Poland, Portugal, Slovakia, Spain, The Netherlands, United Kingdom



1334



1335

Figure 1: REE (median) for adult men (n=16,500) and adult women (n=19,969) calculated with the equations of Harris-Benedict (1919), Schofield et al. (1985), Mifflin et al. (1990), Müller et al. (2004) and Henry (2005) using body heights measured in nationally representative surveys in 13 EU Member States and corresponding body masses to yield a BMI of 22 kg/m²

1340

1341 The predictive validity of these equations with regard to older adults has been tested in a sample of free-living older persons. A data set of measurements of REE by indirect calorimetry in 551 elderly 1342 1343 subjects (385 women and 165 men, age range 60-96 years) participating in the GISELA study (Lührmann et al., 2010) was used. Agreement between REE predicted with the equations listed above 1344 1345 and measured REE was assessed by the method of Bland-Altman (Bland and Altman, 1987). The results confirm the differences in the accuracy of the various equations (Appendix 9). In the female 1346 1347 subjects the equation of Schofield et al. (1985) performed best, followed by the equations of Müller et 1348 al. (2004), Henry (2005), Harris-Benedict (1919) and Mifflin et al. (1990), while in the male subjects 1349 the equation of Müller et al. (2004) performed best, followed by the equations of Henry (2005), Schofield et al. (1985), Harris-Benedict (1919) and Mifflin et al. (1990). With the exceptions of the 1350 1351 equation of Schofield et al. (1985) for females and the equation of Müller et al. (2004) for males, all 1352 equations underestimated REE as compared to measured values. This evaluation also confirms that the 1353 equation of Mifflin et al. (1990) underestimates REE expenditure considerably, at least in GISELA 1354 subjects (who, however, are not a representative sample for this age range and can be considered as 1355 above average active and health conscious). The accuracy of REE values predicted by these equations with REE measured by indirect calorimetry varied from 74 % (Schofield equation) to 33 % (Mifflin 1356 1357 equation) and from 72 % (Müller equation) to 57 % (Mifflin equation) for female and male subjects, 1358 respectively.

The Panel considers that there is presently no equation to accurately predict REE, even at a group level, and no prospect that such an equation will be developed in the near future. Differences in average body mass, height and body composition between populations, the influence of sex and ethnicity on body mass and composition, and the decrease in REE that occurs with ageing combine to create a complex figure that cannot be resolved by one single equation.



- For this Opinion, the Panel applied all five predictive equations. The range of predicted REE obtained 1364 1365 with the equations of Harris and Benedict (1919), Schofield et al. (1985), Mifflin et al. (1990), Müller 1366 et al. (2004) and Henry (2005) is obvious from the respective lowest and highest median values calculated with these equations (Table 5) and points to the variability in REE of populations. 1367 Depending on the equation used, respective results for lowest and highest median REE differ between 1368 1369 0.3 and 0.7 MJ/d within a sex and age group. Because FFM is the main determinant of REE, at a given 1370 BMI equations yielding values for REE at the lower end may be more appropriate for populations with 1371 a higher percentage of body fat, compared to those with a higher percentage of FFM.
- 1372 5.3.1.2. Selection of physical activity level (PAL) values
- 1373 FAO/WHO/UNU (1985), as well as SACN (2011), identified the lower limit of PAL to be 1.27, which is consistent with studies in non-ambulatory chair-bound and non-exercising subjects performed in a 1374 calorimeter (where PAL values of 1.17-1.27 were observed) (Black, 1996). The lower limit of energy 1375 1376 expenditure in subjects performing only the minimal activities associated with daily living is between 1377 1.35 and 1.4 (Alfonzo-Gonzalez et al., 2004; Goran et al., 1994a; SACN, 2011; Warwick, 2006). The 1378 upper limit to human physical activity is that exhibited for limited periods of time by elite endurance 1379 athletes and soldiers on field exercises, for whom PAL values between 3 and 4.7 have been reported 1380 (Black, 1996; Hoyt and Friedl, 2006). The maximum PAL value associated with a sustainable lifestyle 1381 within the general population appears to be about 2.5 (Black et al., 1996; SACN, 2011; Westerterp and 1382 Plasqui, 2004). Low active people can increase their PAL significantly by regular exercise. Examples of the extent of changes in PAL associated with various activities can be extracted from studies that 1383 1384 imposed a programme of training on free-living people normally undertaking very little strenuous 1385 exercise (Bingham et al., 1989; Blaak et al., 1992; Westerterp et al., 1992). For example, in these 1386 studies, 60 minutes of brisk walking at between >6 and <7.5 km/h daily resulted in an increase in PAL 1387 of 0.2 while 60 minutes of jogging at 9 km/h daily increased PAL value by 0.4.
- 1388 SACN derived PAL values from the combined data set of the OPEN (Subar et al., 2003, Tooze et al., 1389 2007) and the Beltsville study (Moshfegh et al., 2008) by dividing TEE by REE measurements 1390 (SACN, 2011). There was no evidence of any significant variation of PAL with either body mass or 1391 sex. Regression analysis did show that PAL values decrease slightly with age. However, age explained 1392 < 1 % of the variance (i.e. PAL = 1.69 at 30 years and 1.63 at 70 years). It was concluded that energy 1393 reference values can be defined independently of age at least up to the age of 70 years.
- A meta-analysis of studies that involved a total of 319 men and women from 18 to 64 years of age showed a modal value for PAL of 1.60 (range 1.55 to 1.65) for both men and women (Black et al., 1396). For the most part, subjects were from affluent societies in developed countries. Typical subpopulations included students, housewives, white-collar or professional workers, and unemployed or retired individuals; only three persons were specifically identified as manual workers. Hence, the authors of the meta-analysis defined the study participants as people living a "predominantly sedentary Western lifestyle".
- 1401 SACN (2011) used the distribution of PALs observed in the combined OPEN (Subar et al., 2003; 1402 Tooze et al., 2007) and Beltsville (Moshfegh et al., 2008) data sets and defined the median PAL (1.63) as the assumed population activity level (categorized as moderate active) and the 25th (1.49) and 75th 1403 percentile (1.78) boundary PAL values as reference values for population groups of men and women 1404 1405 thought to be less (low) or more (high) active than average. Although this approach of deriving PAL 1406 values took advantage of the measurement of TEE in free living conditions by the DLW method, the 1407 Panel decided not to adopt it for defining reference PAL values for the population of the EU because 1408 of its limitations outlined under Section 5.2.
- Furthermore, within the data set of DLW studies in healthy adults assembled for the SACN report, all of the studies which report PAL values were examined for descriptions of the activities/lifestyles of the subjects. PAL values were assigned to three categories of light, moderate or heavy activity. The values show that the range of PAL values is considerable within subjects classified as exhibiting



- 1413 similar lifestyles, and demonstrate only a weak relationship between lifestyle or self-reported physical 1414 activity and PAL.
- 1415 The Panel therefore decided to apply PAL values of equal steps within the observed range of physical
- activity levels associated with a sustainable lifestyle for calculating AR for energy. In this way, PAL 1416 values can be allocated to lifestyles where values of 1.4, 1.6, 1.8, 2.0 and >2.0 indicate low active,
- 1417
- moderately active, active, very active and highly active lifestyles, respectively (Table 5). 1418
- 1419 5.3.1.3. Ranges of Average Requirement (AR) for energy for adults

1420 Estimated ARs of adults in the EU, based on the factorial method using respective lowest and highest median REE calculated as described above (Section 5.3.1.1. and Appendix 12A) and PAL values of 1421 1.4 through 2.4 in steps of 0.2 increments, are presented in Table 5. The figures illustrate the 1422 1423 variability in AR among the population of the EU as a function of REE and PAL. The impact of the 1424 variability in REE, resulting from the use of the different predictive equations, on AR requirements at 1425 a given BMI and PAL is in the range of 0.4 MJ/d up to 1.7 MJ/d.

1426 Ranges of Average Requirement (AR) for energy for adults with six different physical Table 5: activity levels (PALs) 1427

Age	Lowest	Highest	Range of	Range of	Range of	Range of	Range of	Range of
(years)	median	median	AR at PAL	AR at PAL	AK at PAL	AR at PAL	AR at PAL	AK at PAL
	KEE	KEE	= 1.4	= 1.0	= 1.8	= 2.0	= 2.2	= 2.4
	(MJ/d)	(MJ/d)	(MJ/d) ²	$(MJ/d)^2$	(MJ/d) ⁻	$(MJ/d)^2$	$(\mathbf{MJ/d})^2$	$(MJ/d)^2$
Men								
18-29	7.0	7.4	9.8 - 10.4	11.2 - 11.8	12.6 - 13.3	14.0 - 14.8	15.4 - 16.3	16.8 - 17.8
30-39	6.7	7.0	9.4 - 9.8	10.7 - 11.2	12.1 - 12.6	13.4 - 14.0	14.7 - 15.4	16.1 - 16.8
40-49	6.6	6.9	9.2 - 9.7	10.6 - 11.0	11.9 - 12.4	13.2 - 13.8	14.5 - 15.2	15.8 - 16.6
50-59	6.2	6.9	8.7 - 9.7	9.9 - 11.0	11.2 - 12.4	12.4 - 13.8	13.6 - 15.2	14.9 - 16.6
60-69	5.9	6.4	8.3 - 9.0	9.4 - 10.2	10.6 - 11.5	11.8 - 12.8	13.0 - 14.1	14.2 - 15.4
70-79	5.5	6.2	7.7 - 8.7	8.8 - 9.9	9.9 - 11.2	11.0 - 12.4	12.1 - 13.6	13.2 - 14.9
Women								
18-29	5.6	5.9	7.8 - 8.3	9.0 - 9.4	10.1 - 10.6	11.2 - 11.8	12.3 - 13.0	13.4 - 14.2
30-39	5.3	5.7	7.4 - 8.0	8.5 - 9.1	9.5 - 10.3	10.6 - 11.4	11.7 - 12.5	12.7 - 13.7
40-49	5.1	5.5	7.1 - 7.7	8.2 - 8.8	9.2 - 9.9	10.2 - 11.0	11.2 - 12.1	12.2 - 13.2
50-59	4.8	5.5	6.7 - 7.7	7.7 - 8.8	8.6 - 9.9	9.6 - 11.0	10.6 - 12.1	11.5 - 13.2
60-69	4.6	5.0	6.4 - 7.0	7.4 - 8.0	8.3 - 9.0	9.2 - 10.0	10.1 - 11.0	11.0 - 12.0
70-79	4.3	5.0	6.0 - 7.0	6.9 - 8.0	7.7 - 9.0	8.6 - 10.0	9.5 - 11.0	10.3 - 12.0

- 1428 ¹Based on lowest and highest median REE (see Appendix 8).
- 1429
- 1430 The ranges in kcal/d of AR for energy for adults are tabled in Appendix 12A.

1431 5.3.2. Infants

5.3.2.1. Total energy expenditure (TEE) 1432

1433 Published mean data on the TEE of infants living in developed and developing countries showed that TEE increases linearly with age, and, standardised by body mass, ranged from 255 to 393 kJ/kg (61-1434 94 kcal/kg) per day (Butte, 2005). TEE of breast-fed infants was shown to be lower than that of 1435 formula-fed infants (Butte et al., 1990; Butte et al., 2000a; Davies et al., 1990; Jiang et al., 1998), 1436 however differences in TEE between feeding groups diminished beyond the first year of life (Butte et 1437 1438 al., 2000a).

1439 Because of the differences described above between breast-fed and formula-fed infants, separate 1440 regression equations for TEE as a function of body mass were obtained for these two groups (Butte, 1441 2005).

1442 According to Butte (2005), for breast-fed infants TEE can be predicted as follows:



- 1443 TEE (MJ/d) = -0.635 + 0.388 kg; n = 195, r=0.87, s.e.e. = 0.453 MJ/d
- 1444 TEE (kcal/d) = -152.0 + 92.8 kg; s.e.e. = 108 kcal/d
- 1445 (n = number of observations; s.e.e. = standard error of estimate)
- 1446

The Panel considers that the data on which the equation for formula-fed infants is based may no longer be appropriate because of recent significant changes in the composition of infant formula (e.g. a

1449 protein to energy ratio closer to human milk), and therefore decided to apply the equation for breast-

1450 fed infants when calculating TEE of infants.

1451 5.3.2.2. Energy deposition in new tissue

TEE measured using the DLW method includes the energy expended in tissue synthesis, but not the energy deposited in growing tissues. Therefore, the latter should be added when calculating the energy reference values for infants. Energy deposited in new tissue was estimated from a multi-component body composition model (total body water, total body potassium and bone mineral content) (Butte et al., 2000b) based on a modified version of Fomon's term infant reference (Fomon et al., 1982) describing changes in body composition during growth. Estimates of protein and fat gain over threemonth periods were used to predict energy accrued per g of gain in body mass (Table 6).

Table 6: Energy content of tissue deposition during the second half of infancy (Butte et al., 2000b;
Butte, 2005; FAO/WHO/UNU, 2004)

Age interval (months)	Protein gain (g/d)	Fat mass gain (g/d)	Gain in body mass (g/d)	Energy deposited in growing tissues ¹ (kJ/g)
Boys				
6-9	2.3	0.5	11.8	6.2
9-12	1.6	1.7	9.1	11.4
Girls				
6-9	2.0	0.8	10.6	7.4
9-12	1.8	1.1	8.7	9.8

^{1461 &}lt;sup>1</sup>Taking into account that 1 g protein = 23.6 kJ; 1 g fat = 38.7 kJ

1463 The estimates of energy deposited in new tissue are applied to the gain in body mass observed in the WHO Growth Standards for infants (2006) to estimate rates of energy deposition at monthly intervals. 1464 1465 These predictions of energy deposited during growth derive from a relatively small study by Butte et al. (2000b) which was validated against other data sets (Butte, 2005). The Panel notes that the 1466 1467 evolution of body mass and composition studied by Butte (2005), especially regarding gains in fat mass and fat-free mass during the first year of life, differs from other studies (de Bruin et al., 1998; 1468 1469 Fields et al., 2011; Fomon et al., 1982). Since the impact on energy requirements was only marginal, the Panel decided to use the values proposed by Butte in line with FAO/WHO/UNU (2004) and SACN 1470 (2011). It is assumed that these values for the energy deposited in new tissue are appropriate for 1471 infants growing according to the WHO body mass velocity values, even though in the original study 1472 1473 (Butte et al., 2000b) the pattern of breastfeeding followed was not fully described and the growth of infants did not fully reflect the WHO growth trajectory (WHO Multicentre Growth Reference Study 1474 1475 Group, 2006).

1476 **5.3.3. Children**

As for adults, the application of the factorial method for estimating TEE seems the most suitable for children as the advantages mentioned previously make it an approach well-fitted for the European context. Moreover, this approach allows estimating AR for energy for children and adolescents based on the recently obtained reference body masses and heights for EU children (van Buuren et al., 2012)

1481 (Table 7).

¹⁴⁶²


1482	Table 7:	Median body	heights a	and body	masses	from	harmonised	growth	curves	for	height	and
1483	body mass	of children in t	he EU (va	an Buurer	n et al., 2	012)						

Age (years)	Median bo	dy height (m)	Median boo	ly mass (kg)
	Boys	Girls	Boys	Girls
1	0.76	0.75	10.2	9.5
2	0.88	0.87	12.7	12.1
3	0.97	0.96	14.7	14.2
4	1.04	1.03	17.0	16.4
5	1.11	1.10	19.2	18.7
6	1.17	1.16	21.5	21.1
7	1.23	1.22	24.3	23.8
8	1.30	1.28	27.4	26.8
9	1.35	1.34	30.6	30.0
10	1.40	1.40	33.8	33.7
11	1.45	1.46	37.3	37.9
12	1.51	1.52	41.5	42.6
13	1.58	1.58	46.7	47.5
14	1.65	1.61	52.7	51.6
15	1.71	1.63	59.0	54.6
16	1.75	1.64	64.1	56.4
17	1.77	1.64	67.5	57.4

1484

1485	5.3.3.1.	Calculation	of resting	energy	expenditure	(REE)
------	----------	-------------	------------	--------	-------------	-------

1486 From the available prediction equations for REE of children, those of Schofield et al. (1985) and 1487 Henry (2005) (Appendix 10) were derived from a large number of subjects covering the age range 1488 from 0 to 18 years and therefore – for comparison – are both used to calculate REE for estimating TEE by the factorial method. For the ages 1 to 17 years, the 50th percentiles of recently calculated reference 1489 body masses and heights for children in the EU (van Buuren et al., 2012) were used in the equations to 1490 1491 calculate the REE (for details of the database and computation of reference body heights and body 1492 masses for infants and children see Appendix 11). Because the equations of Schofield et al. (1985) and 1493 Henry (2005) have overlapping age bands (0-3, 3-10, 10-18 years) the choice of equation is 1494 ambiguous at the age boundaries. Following the approach of SACN (2011) and the observation that 1495 the transition of the predicted values for the three age bands is smoother, the REE equation for 3-10 1496 year-olds is used for the 3 year-olds, and the equation for 10-18 year-olds is used for those aged 10 1497 years. The results reveal that REE calculated with these two equations are very similar and differ at 1498 most by 0.3 MJ/d in some age and sex groups (Table 8).

1499 5.3.3.2. Selection of physical activity level (PAL) values

PAL values for children and adolescents were derived from measurements of TEE and REE. These values vary considerably according to lifestyle, geographic habitat and socioeconomic conditions, and inter-individual coefficients of variability as high as ± 34 % (Torun, 2001) have been reported. As indicated in the FAO/WHO/UNU report (2004), most studies were carried out on random or convenient samples, and therefore may not have captured the full range of potential physical activity.

1505 SACN (2011) derived PAL values from a data set of all published DLW studies in children aged over 1506 one year including those studies assembled by Torun (2005) and other studies published up until 2006. 1507 Among the studies, seven were from Sweden, six from the UK and two from the Netherlands. The analysis revealed no influence of sex but an increase in PAL values with age. From an early age, 1508 1509 however, there was a wide range of mean PAL values so that variation in PAL at any age was much greater than variation with age itself. Nevertheless, three age groups were identified within which the 1510 distribution of PAL values could be observed: 1-3 years, >3-<10 years and 10-18 years. These age 1511 1512 ranges also correspond to the age ranges for which REE prediction equations have been generated by 1513 both Schofield et al. (1985) and Henry (2005).



- 1514 As for adults, SACN (2011) calculated the AR for children using the median (PALs 1.39, 1.57 and
- 1515 1.73 for ages 1-3, >3-<10 and 10-18 years, respectively), 25th (PALs 1.35, 1.42 and 1.66 for ages 1-3, >3-<10 and 10-18 years, respectively) and 75th (PALs 1.43, 1.69 and 1.85 for ages 1-3, >3-<10 and 10-18 years, respectively) and 75th (PALs 1.43, 1.69 and 1.85 for ages 1-3, >3-<10 and 10-18 years, respectively) and 75th (PALs 1.43, 1.69 and 1.85 for ages 1-3, >3-<10 and 10-18 years, respectively) and 75th (PALs 1.43, 1.69 and 1.85 for ages 1-3, >3-<10 and 10-18 years, respectively) and 75th (PALs 1.43, 1.69 and 1.85 for ages 1-3, >3-<10 and 10-18 years, respectively) and 75th (PALs 1.43, 1.69 and 1.85 for ages 1-3, >3-<10 and 10-18 years, respectively) and 75th (PALs 1.43, 1.69 and 1.85 for ages 1-3, >3-<10 and 10-18 years, respectively) and 75th (PALs 1.43, 1.69 and 1.85 for ages 1-3, >3-<10 and 10-18 years, respectively) and 75th (PALs 1.43, 1.69 and 1.85 for ages 1-3, >3-<10 and 10-18 years, respectively) and 75th (PALs 1.43, 1.69 and 1.85 for ages 1-3, >3-<10 and 10-18 years, respectively) and 75th (PALs 1.43, 1.69 and 1.85 for ages 1-3, >3-<10 and 10-18 years, respectively) and 75th (PALs 1.43, 1.69 and 1.85 for ages 1-3, >3-<10 and 10-18 years, respectively) and 75th (PALs 1.43, 1.69 and 1.85 for ages 1-3, >3-<10 and 10-18 years, respectively) and 75th (PALs 1.43, 1.69 and 1.85 for ages 1-3, >3-<10 and 10-18 years, respectively) and 75th (PALs 1.43, 1.69 and 1.85 for ages 1-3, >3-<10 and 10-18 years, respectively) and 75th (PALs 1.43, 1.69 and 1.85 for ages 1-3, >3-<10 and 1.85 for ages 1-3, >3-<10 and 10-18 years, respectively) and 75th (PALs 1.43, 1.69 and 1.85 for ages 1-3, >3-<10 and 1.85 for ages 1-3, >
- 1517 10-18 years, respectively) percentile PAL values.

The Panel decided to rely on these results for defining the ranges of PAL values in children, for the reasons mentioned already in Section 5.3.1.2., and not to use the observed median and centile PAL values but, analogously to adults, to apply PAL values of equal steps within the observed ranges of PALs in the respective age groups for computing AR for energy. Thus, PAL values applied for estimating AR are as follows: 1.4 and 1.6 for the age group 1 to 3 years; 1.4, 1.6, 1.8, 2.0 and 2.2 for the age group >3 to <10 years; and 1.4, 1.6, 1.8, 2.0, 2.2 and 2.4 for the age group 10 to 18 years as (Table 8).

1525 5.3.3.3. Energy expenditure of children and adolescents for growth

1526 Energy needs for growth have two components: 1) the energy used to synthesise growing tissues and1527 2) the energy deposited in those tissues.

Energy spent in tissue synthesis is part of TEE. Due to the marked fall of deposited energy during the first year of life, the deposited energy accounts for only a relatively small proportion (< 2 %) of the total energy needs of children at all ages after the first year of life (see Section 2.3.3.).

- 1531 The composition of newly accrued tissue mass during growth was based on measurements at one and two years of age (Butte et al., 2000a; Butte et al., 2000b; Butte, 2001). Assuming that the composition 1532 1533 of normally growing tissues does not change much between the end of infancy and the onset of 1534 puberty, the average amount of energy deposited in growing tissues is about 8.6 kJ (2 kcal) per g of gain in body mass (Butte et al., 2000b; Butte, 2001; Torun, 2005). Even if this amount of energy was 1535 1536 over- or underestimated by 50 %, it would only produce an error of about ± 1 % in the calculations of 1537 energy requirements in childhood and adolescence. In the report by FAO/WHO/UNU (2004), the 1538 energy deposited in growing tissues was estimated by multiplying the mean daily body mass gain at 1539 each year of age between 1 and 17 years by the average energy deposited in growing tissues. It was 1540 estimated that the amount of energy deposited is covered by an average increase of PAL of 1 % 1541 (FAO/WHO/UNU, 2004; James and Schofield, 1990).
- 1542 5.3.3.4. Ranges of Average Requirement (AR) for energy for children and adolescents

Estimated AR of children and adolescents in the EU, based on the factorial method using median REE calculated as described above (Section 5.3.3.1.) and PAL values of 1.4 through 2.4 in steps of 0.2 increments, are presented in Table 8. The figures illustrate the variability in AR among children and adolescents in the EU depending on age, sex and PAL. The figures also reveal that estimated AR based on REE values calculated with the two equations are very similar and differ only in some age and sex groups by at most 0.6 MJ/d.



- **Table 8:** Range of Average Requirement (AR) for energy for children and adolescents based on the factorial method using the equations of Schofield et al. (1985) and Henry (2005) for predicting REE,
- 1550 factorial method using1551 at different PAL values

Age (years)	REE ¹ (Henry) (MJ/d)	REE ¹ (Schofield et al.) MJ/d	Range of AR^2 at PAL = 1.4 (MJ/d)	Range of AR^2 at PAL = 1.6 (MJ/d)	Range of AR^2 at PAL = 1.8 (MJ/d)	Range of AR^2 at PAL = 2.0 (MJ/d)	Range of AR^2 at PAL = 2.2 (MJ/d)	Range of AR^2 at PAL = 2.4 (MJ/d)
Boys								· · · ·
1	2.4	2.3	3.2 - 3.4	3.6 - 3.9				
2	3.1	3.0	4.2 - 4.4	4.8 - 5.0				
3	3.5	3.5	4.9 - 4.9	5.6 - 5.6				
4	3.7	3.7	5.2 - 5.3	6.0 - 6.0	6.7 - 6.8	7.5 - 7.5	8.2 - 8.3	
5	3.9	3.9	5.5 - 5.6	6.3 - 6.4	7.1 - 7.2	7.9 - 8.0	8.7 - 8.8	
6	4.2	4.1	5.8 - 5.9	6.7 - 6.7	7.5 - 7.6	8.4 - 8.4	9.2 - 9.3	
7	4.4	4.4	6.2 - 6.3	7.1 - 7.2	8.0 - 8.1	8.9 - 9.0	9.8 - 9.8	
8	4.7	4.7	6.6 - 6.7	7.6 - 7.6	8.5 - 8.6	9.5 - 9.5	10.4 - 10.5	
9	5.0	5.0	7.0 - 7.0	8.1 - 8.1	9.1 - 9.1	10.1 - 10.1	11.1 - 11.1	
10	5.0	5.3	7.1 - 7.4	8.1 - 8.5	9.1 - 9.6	10.1 - 10.6	11.1 - 11.7	12.1 - 12.1
11	5.3	5.5	7.5 - 7.8	8.5 - 8.9	9.6 - 10.0	10.7 - 11.2	11.8 - 12.3	12.8 - 13.
12	5.6	5.8	8.0 - 8.3	9.1 - 9.4	10.2 - 10.6	11.4 - 11.8	12.5 - 13.0	13.6 - 14.
13	6.0	6.2	8.5 - 8.8	9.8 - 10.1	11.0 - 11.3	12.2 - 12.6	13.4 - 13.9	14.6 - 15.
14	6.5	6.7	9.2 - 9.5	10.5 - 10.8	11.8 - 12.2	13.1 - 13.5	14.5 - 14.9	15.8 - 16.
15	7.0	7.1	9.9 - 10.1	11.3 - 11.6	12.7 - 13.0	14.1 - 14.4	15.5 - 15.9	16.9 - 17.
16	7.4	7.5	10.4 - 10.6	11.9 - 12.2	13.4 - 13.7	14.9 - 15.2	16.4 - 16.7	17.9 - 18.
17	7.6	7.8	10.8 - 11.0	12.3 - 12.5	13.8 - 14.1	15.4 - 15.7	16.9 - 17.3	18.4 - 18.
Girls								
1	2.2	2.1	3.0 - 3.1	3.4 - 3.6				
2	2.9	2.8	4.0 - 4.1	4.5 - 4.7				
3	3.2	3.2	4.5 - 4.6	5.2 - 5.2				
4	3.5	3.4	4.8 - 4.9	5.5 - 5.6	6.2 - 6.3	6.9 - 7.0	7.6 - 7.7	
5	3.7	3.6	5.1 - 5.2	5.9 - 5.9	6.6 - 6.7	7.3 - 7.4	8.0 - 8.1	
6	3.9	3.8	5.4 - 5.5	6.2 - 6.3	7.0 - 7.1	7.7 - 7.8	8.5 - 8.6	
7	4.1	4.1	5.8 - 5.8	6.6 - 6.7	7.4 - 7.5	8.2 - 8.3	9.0 - 9.2	
8	4.4	4.3	6.1 - 6.2	7.0 - 7.1	7.9 - 7.9	8.7 - 8.8	9.6 - 9.7	
9	4.6	4.6	6.5 - 6.6	7.4 - 7.5	8.3 - 8.4	9.3 - 9.4	10.2 - 10.3	
10	4.7	4.7	6.7 - 6.7	7.6 - 7.7	8.6 - 8.6	9.5 - 9.6	10.5 - 10.5	11.4 – 11.
11	4.9	5.0	7.0 - 7.1	8.0 - 8.1	9.0 - 9.1	10.0 - 10.1	11.0 - 11.1	12.0 - 12
12	5.2	5.3	7.3 - 7.5	8.4 - 8.6	9.4 - 9.6	10.5 - 10.7	11.5 - 11.8	12.6 - 12.
13	5.4	5.6	7.7 - 7.9	8.8 - 9.0	9.9 - 10.1	11.0 - 11.2	12.1 - 12.4	13.2 - 13.
14	5.6	5.8	8.0 - 8.2	9.1 - 9.3	10.2 - 10.5	11.4 - 11.7	12.5 - 12.8	13.7 - 14
15	5.8	5.9	8.2 - 8.4	9.3 - 9.6	10.5 - 10.8	11.7 - 12.0	12.8 - 13.2	14.0 - 14
16	5.9	6.0	8.3 - 8.5	9.5 - 9.7	10.6 - 10.9	11.8 - 12.1	13.0 - 13.3	14.2 - 14
17	59	6.0	83-86	95-98	10.7 - 11.0	11.9 - 12.2	13.1 - 13.4	143 - 14

 2 Based on REE predicted with both equations, and taking into account a coefficient of 1.01 for growth.

1556 The Range of Average Requirements (AR) for energy for children and adolescents based on the 1557 factorial method using the equations of Schofield et al. (1985) and Henry (2005) for predicting REE, 1558 at different PAL values expressed in kcal/d, is tabled in Appendix 12B.

1559 **5.3.4. Pregnant women**

The additional energy requirement for pregnancy arises from increases in maternal and foeto-placental tissue mass, the rise in energy expenditure attributable to increased REE (see Section 2.3.4.) and changes in physical activity. TEF has been shown to be unchanged (Bronstein et al., 1995; Nagy and King, 1984; Spaaij et al., 1994b) or lower (Schutz et al., 1988) than for non-pregnant women, and therefore is not considered in the determination of the additional AR for energy for pregnancy.

¹⁵⁵⁵



1565 5.3.4.1. Energy requirement for the increase in tissue mass during pregnancy

Based on the findings that gestational increases in body mass between 10 to 14 kg were associated with optimal maternal and foetal health outcomes (WHO, 1995a) (see Section 5.1.3.), in this Opinion, assuming a pre-pregnancy BMI within the recommended range, a mean gestational increase in body mass of 12 kg is taken as a basis for the calculation of the energy requirement for the increase in tissue mass.

The corresponding protein and fat gains associated with a mean body mass gain of 12 kg (range 10 to 1572 14 kg) observed in the WHO collaborative study would be 597 g (range 497 to 696 g) and 3.7 kg (range 3.1 to 4.4 kg) respectively (FAO/WHO/UNU, 2004). Based on an energy value of 23.6 kJ/g (5.65 kcal/g) for protein deposited, and 38.7 kJ/g (9.25 kcal/g) for fat deposited, this would result in an energy storage of 14.1 MJ (3,370 kcal) for protein and of 144.8 MJ (34,600 kcal) for fat (Table 9).

The accretion of tissue mass is not distributed equally throughout the gestational period. The deposition of protein occurs primarily in the second (20 %) and third trimesters (80 %). Assuming that the rate of fat deposition follows the same pattern as the rate of gestational body mass gain, 11 %, 47 % and 42 % of fat is deposited in the first, second and third trimesters, respectively (IoM, 1990). Accordingly, the daily requirement of energy for protein and fat deposition is estimated as 0 and 202 kJ (0 and 48 kcal), 30 and 732 kJ (7 and 175 kcal), and 121 and 654 kJ (29 and 156 kcal) throughout the first, second and third trimesters, respectively (FAO/WHO/UNU, 2004).

1583 5.3.4.2. Calculation of additional AR for energy for tissue deposition in pregnancy

As discussed in Section 2.3.4., on average EEPA is not significantly increased during pregnancy. Thus, apart from the energy stored in newly synthesised tissues, the increase in TEE during pregnancy is mainly due to the increase in REE. The cumulative increment of TEE as estimated with the DLW technique was 161.4 MJ (38,560 kcal). When subtracting from this value the energy estimated for the efficiency of energy utilisation of 15.9 MJ (3,800 kcal), which is included in the measurement of TEE by DLW, the remaining cumulative TEE of 145.5 MJ (34,760 kcal) is nearly equal to the estimated cumulative increase of REE (147.8 MJ (35,130 kcal), see Section 2.3.4.).

1591 Table 9 reports on the additional energy expenditure during pregnancy.

Table 9: Additional energy expenditure of pregnancy in women with an average gestational increase in body mass of 12 kg¹ (adapted from FAO/WHO/UNU (2004))

		A. Rates of tissue deposition								
	1 st trimester	2 nd trimester	3 rd trimester	Total de	position					
	g/d	g/d	g/d	g/2	80 d					
Body mass gain	17	60	54	12,	000					
Protein deposition ²	0	1.3	5.1		597					
Fat deposition ²	5.2	18.9	16.9	3,741						
B. Additional energy expenditure of pregnancy estimated from the increment in										
	TEE and energy deposition									
	1 st trimester 2 nd trimester 3 rd trimester Energy expenditure durin									
	kJ/d	kJ/d	kJ/d	whole p	regnancy					
				MJ	kcal					
Protein deposition ²	0	30	121	14.1	3,370					
Fat deposition ²	202	732	654	144.8	34,600					
Total energy expenditure	85	350	1,300	161.4	38,560					
Total energy expenditure	287	1,112	2,075	320.2	76,530					
plus energy content of										
protein and fat deposited										



¹ Calculated as suggested by Butte and King (2002). Increase in body mass and tissue deposition in first trimester computed from last menstrual period (i.e. an interval of 79 days). Second and third trimesters computed as 280/3 = 93 days each.

² Protein and fat deposition estimated from longitudinal studies of body composition during pregnancy, and an energy value of 23.6 kJ (5.65 kcal)/g protein deposited, and 38.7 kJ (9.25 kcal)/g fat deposited.



1598 5.3.5. Lactating women

DRVs for energy during lactation are estimated from TEE, milk energy output, and energy mobilisation from tissue stores that have been accumulated during pregnancy. Compared with nonpregnant, non-lactating women, there are no significant changes in REE, efficiency in work performance, or TEE (Butte and King, 2002), and in most societies women resume their usual level of physical activity in the first month *post partum* or shortly thereafter (Goldberg et al., 1991; Panter-Brick, 1993; Roberts et al., 1982; Tuazon et al., 1987; van Raaij et al., 1990).

1605 TEE of lactating women can be calculated either by the factorial method as described above for non-1606 pregnant and non-lactating women, or from DLW measurements. TEEs of lactating women have been 1607 measured by the DLW method in five studies (Butte et al., 2001; Forsum et al., 1992; Goldberg et al., 1991; Kopp-Hoolihan et al., 1999; Lovelady et al., 1993). Measurements were performed at various 1608 1609 stages of lactation (one to six months); however, there are several potential sources of error using the 1610 DLW method in lactation studies, which may be attributed to isotope exchange and sequestration that 1611 occurs during the *de novo* synthesis of milk, fat and lactose, and to increased water flux into milk (Butte et al., 2001). Underestimation of carbon dioxide by 1.0 to 1.3 % may theoretically occur due to 1612 1613 the export of exchangeable hydrogen bound to solids in milk (IDECG, 1990). This underestimation 1614 may increase to 1.5 to 3.4 % due to ²H sequestration. Furthermore, the number of subjects in these 1615 studies was rather small (9 to 24). Therefore, in this Opinion, the Panel based the estimation of the 1616 additional AR for energy during lactation on the factorial method.

1617 Mean milk intakes of infants through six months *post partum* measured by the test-weighing technique 1618 were 769 g/d for women exclusively breastfeeding (Butte and King, 2002). Correction of the mean 1619 milk intakes for the infant's insensible water loss (assumed to be equal to 5 %) gives a mean milk 1620 secretion over the first six months *post partum* of 807 g/d (FAO/WHO/UNU, 2004) for exclusively 1621 breastfeeding women

1621 breastfeeding women.

1622 In well-nourished women it has been estimated that on average the equivalent of 0.72 MJ/d of tissue 1623 stores may be utilised to support lactation during the first six months *post partum* (Butte and King, 1624 2002), based on a rate of body mass loss of 0.8 kg per month (Butte and Hopkinson, 1998). This will 1625 vary depending on the amount of fat deposited during pregnancy, and on the lactation pattern and 1626 duration.

1627 During the second half of infancy and the second year of life, volumes of breast milk intake are highly 1628 variable and depend on energy intake from complementary foods (FAO/WHO/UNU, 2004). In one 1629 study in which up to 12 infants from the US were still breast-fed during the second half of infancy, breast milk intakes had a range of 486-963 mL/d at seven months, 288-1006 mL/d at eight months, 1630 1631 242-889 mL/d at nine months, 143-896 mL/d at 10 months, 132-861 mL/d at 11 months and 1632 73-772 mL/d at 12 months (Neville et al., 1988). In another study with 40 children from an 1633 industrialised country, mean breast milk intake in the second year of life (12-23 months) was 448 ± 251 g/d (WHO, 1998). 1634

1635 6. Key data on which to base Dietary Reference Values (DRVs)

1636 The Panel decided to define only one DRV for energy, namely the AR, and to use the factorial method based on REE x PAL to obtain the average energy requirements for adults, children and adolescents. 1637 1638 For infants, TEE is derived by regression equations based on DLW measurements. The additional 1639 energy requirements associated with growth during infancy, childhood and adolescence, and with 1640 pregnancy, are accounted for by estimates of the energy content of the newly-accrued tissue mass, as well as of the energy for its synthesis. For the additional energy requirement during lactation, milk 1641 1642 energy output and energy mobilisation from tissue stores accumulated during pregnancy have been 1643 taken into account. As explained in Section 5, different equations and/or databases could be used, and 1644 this would lead to a range of ARs for various situations (see Tables 5 and 8). However, for ease of use, 1645 the Panel decided to propose only one AR for a defined age and sex group with a healthy body mass



and for PAL values selected to approximate qualitatively defined situations (low active, moderately active, active and very active).

1648 **6.1.** Adults

1649 In this Opinion, the AR for energy for adults is based on predicted REE and PAL (see Section 5.3.1).

1650 6.1.1. Calculation of resting energy expenditure (REE)

1651 Although several predictive equations may be appropriate for estimating REE of various populations 1652 (as outlined in Sections 2.4. and 5.3.1.), for practical reasons the Panel decided to calculate REE as a function of age, sex, body mass and height by means of only one set of equations, namely those of 1653 1654 Henry (2005). These equations were chosen because, at present, the underlying database is the most comprehensive as regards number of subjects, their nationalities and age groups. As described in 1655 Section 5.3.1.1., measured heights (obtained in 13 nationally representative surveys of adults in 13 EU 1656 countries) and corresponding body masses to yield a BMI of 22 kg/m² were used to calculate the REE 1657 (see Table 11). It is noted that there is a lack of anthropometric data from EU countries for age groups 1658 from 80 years onwards. Therefore, it was decided not to calculate AR for adults \geq 80 years. 1659

1660 **6.1.2.** Derivation of physical activity level (PAL) values

From the range of observed PAL values, the Panel decided to use PAL values of 1.4, 1.6, 1.8 and 2.0 to reflect low active, moderately active, active and very active lifestyles, respectively, and proposes to apply these PAL values in the factorial method to determine ARs for energy (Table 11). However, the Panel notes that for population groups which are highly active, PAL values above 2.0 may be more appropriate (see Section 5.3.1.2., Table 5).

Available data indicate that it is difficult to generalise about the energy requirements of older adults (see Section 5.1.4.). However, in advanced age with reduced mobility, it can be assumed that PAL values are likely to be lower than in younger adults.

1669 **6.2.** Infants

1670 Exclusive breastfeeding to the age of about six months with continued breastfeeding as part of a 1671 progressively varied diet after six months is nutritionally adequate for most healthy infants born at 1672 term (EFSA Panel on Dietetic Products Nutrition and Allergies (NDA), 2009). For infants during the 1673 first half year of life (0-6 months of age), energy requirements are considered to be equal to the supply 1674 from human milk.

The Panel decided to use the equation for estimation of TEE derived from data of breast-fed infants (see 5.3.2.1.). Energy requirements during infancy were estimated from TEE measured by the DLW method in healthy, breast-fed, non-stunted infants born at term with adequate body mass, plus the energy needs for growth (Table 10). The WHO Growth Standard body masses (2006) were used to derive the AR for energy for infants growing along the trajectory of the WHO Growth standard. Estimates of energy deposition were based on measured protein and fat gains (see 5.3.2.2.).



Age (months)	Body mass (kg) ¹	Gain in body mass $(g/d)^2$	Energy deposition (kJ/g) ³	Energy deposition (kJ/d) ⁴	TEE (kJ/d) ⁵	AR (kJ/d) ⁶	AR (kJ/kg per day)
Boys							
7	8.3	11.9	6.2	73.8	2,585	2,659	320
8	8.6	10.5	6.2	65.3	2,702	2,767	322
9	8.9	9.5	6.2	58.9	2,818	2,877	323
10	9.2	8.6	11.4	98.4	2,935	3,033	330
11	9.4	8.1	11.4	92.3	3,012	3,105	330
Girls							
7	7.6	11.5	7.4	84.9	2,314	2,399	316
8	7.9	10.4	7.4	76.7	2,430	2,507	317
9	8.2	9.1	7.4	67.3	2,547	2,614	319
10	8.5	8.2	9.8	80.0	2,663	2,743	323
11	8.7	7.8	9.8	76.1	2,741	2,817	324

Table 10: Derivation of the Average Requirement (AR) for energy for infants aged 7-11 months

1682 $^{1}50^{th}$ percentile of WHO Growth Standards; 2 Calculation from 1-month body mass increments from 50^{th} percentile of WHO1683Growth Standards, assuming that 1 month = 30 days; 3 see Table 6; 4 Body mass gain × energy accrued in normal growth;1684 5 Total Energy Expenditure (TEE) (MJ/d) = -0.635 + 0.388 body mass (kg); 6 AR = TEE + energy deposition.

1686 6.3. Children and adolescents

1687 In this Opinion, ARs for energy for children and adolescents are based on predicted REE and PAL 1688 adjusted for growth.

1689 6.3.1. Calculation of resting energy expenditure (REE)

Although, in principle, both the equations of Schofield et al. (1985) and Henry (2005) are considered as eligible for the estimation of REE for children and adolescents, for practical reasons and because the results obtained with these equations are very similar, only the equation of Henry (2005) is applied for the estimation of REE values to calculate ARs for energy for children and adolescents as described in Section 5.3.3.1. The Henry equations were chosen because their database comprises more subjects than the one underlying the Schofield equations. For the calculation, the median reference body masses and heights of children in EU countries (van Buuren et al., 2012) are used.

1697 6.3.2. Derivation of physical activity level (PAL) values

From the range of observed PAL values in children and adolescents (see Section 5.3.3.2. and Table 8), the Panel proposes to use the following PAL values: 1.4 for the age group 1 to 3 years; 1.4, 1.6 and 1.8 for the age group >3 to <10 years; and 1.6, 1.8 and 2.0 for the age group 10 to 18 years (Table 13).

1701 In this Opinion, energy expenditure for growth is accounted for by a 1 % increase of PAL values for 1702 each age group.

1703 **6.4. Pregnancy**

The extra amount of energy required during pregnancy is calculated using the cumulative increment in TEE plus the energy deposited as protein and fat (see 5.3.4.). Based on these data, the average extra energy requirement for pregnancy is 320 MJ (76,530 kcal) divided into approximately 0.3 MJ/d,

EFSA Journal xx;(issue):NNNN



1707 1.1 MJ/d and 2.1 MJ/d (70 kcal/d, 260 kcal/d and 500 kcal/d) during the first, second and third trimesters, respectively (Tables 9 and 14).

There may be a large variation in the requirements for energy between individual pregnant women. A high variability is seen in the rates of gestational increase in body mass and energy expenditure of pregnant women depending on differences in pre-pregnant body mass and composition, lifestyle and underlying nutritional status. The coefficient of variability of the cumulative increase in REE was 16 % between studies, but the variability between women in each study was higher, namely 45 to 70 % in many cases (WHO/FAO/UNU, 2004). This variability should be taken into account when using the AR for additional energy intake during pregnancy on an individual basis.

1716 **6.5.** Lactation

For exclusive breastfeeding during the first six months of life, the mean energy expenditure of lactation over the six month period is 2.8 MJ/d (675 kcal/d) based on a mean milk production of 807 g/d, an energy density of milk of 2.8 kJ/g (0.67 kcal/g), and an energetic efficiency of 80 %. Energy mobilisation from tissues in the order of 0.72 MJ/d (172 kcal/d) (Butte and King, 2002) may contribute to this energy expenditure and reduce the additional energy requirement during lactation to 2.1 MJ/d (500 kcal/d) over pre-pregnancy requirements.

During the second half of infancy and the second year of life, volumes of breast milk secreted are highly variable and depend on an infants' energy intake from complementary foods. Thus, the Panel decided not to propose an AR for additional energy intake for women lactating beyond the first six

1726 months after birth.



1728 CONCLUSIONS

1729 The Panel concludes that one Dietary Reference Value for energy, namely an Average Requirement, 1730 can be derived for adults, infants and children, and pregnant and lactating women. For infants, this is 1731 based on estimates of total energy expenditure determined with doubly labelled water studies, whereas 1732 for children and adults total energy expenditure is determined factorially from estimates of resting 1733 energy expenditure using the predictive equations of Henry (2005) and the energy needed for various 1734 levels of physical activity. For pregnant and lactating women, the additional energy needed for the deposition of newly formed tissue, and for milk output, was derived from data acquired with the 1735 1736 doubly labelled water method, or on factorial estimates, respectively. Summary tables with the 1737 proposed Average Requirement expressed as kcal/d can be found in Appendix 13.

For the user, before applying these values, there is a need to specify the objective (such as dietary assessment (for groups or individuals), dietary planning (for groups or individuals specifying also the goal for body mass: maintenance, increase, decrease), labelling dietary reference values, development of food-based dietary guidelines) and a need to define the target population (homogeneous, heterogeneous, in relation to age, sex, ethnicity, physical activity, body mass) and to carefully characterise it. The detailed information provided in Section 5 should constitute a help to perform the adaptation of these values to specific objectives and populations/individuals.

Age (years)	REE ¹ (MJ/d)	AR at PAL = 1.4 (MJ/d)	AR at PAL = 1.6 (MJ/d)	AR at PAL = 1.8 (MJ/d)	AR at PAL = 2.0 (MJ/d)
Men					
18-29	7.0	9.8	11.2	12.6	14.0
30-39	6.7	9.4	10.8	12.1	13.5
40-49	6.6	9.3	10.6	12.0	13.3
50-59	6.6	9.2	10.5	11.8	13.2
60-69	6.0	8.4	9.6	10.8	12.0
70-79	5.9	8.3	9.5	10.7	11.9
Women					
18-29	5.6	7.8	9.0	10.1	11.2
30-39	5.4	7.6	8.6	9.7	10.8
40-49	5.4	7.5	8.6	9.7	10.7
50-59	5.3	7.4	8.5	9.5	10.6
60-69	4.9	6.8	7.8	8.8	9.7
70-79	4.8	6.8	7.7	8.7	9.6

1745 Table 11: Summary of Average Requirement (AR) for energy for adults

¹REE, resting energy expenditure predicted with the equations of Henry (2005) using body mass and height. Because these have overlapping age bands (18-30 years, ≥ 60 years) (see Appendix 1), the choice of equation is ambiguous at

the age boundaries. The REE equations for 18-30 year-olds are used for adults aged 18-29 years, the equations for 30-

1749 60 year-olds are used for adults aged 30-39, 40-49 and 50-59 years, and the equations for \geq 60 year-olds are used for adults aged 60-69 and 70-79 years.



Age	А	AR AR					
_	(MJ/d)		(MJ/kg body mass per day)				
	Boys	Girls	Boys	Girls			
7 months	2.7	2.4	0.32	0.32			
8 months	2.8	2.5	0.32	0.32			
9 months	2.9	2.6	0.32	0.32			
10 months	3.0	2.7	0.33	0.32			
11 months	3.1	2.8	0.33	0.32			

1752 Table 12: Summary of Average Requirement (AR) for energy for infants

1753

1754 Table 13: Summary of Average Requirement (AR) for energy for children and adolescents

Age	REE ¹	AR ² at PAL ³	AR² at PAL	AR² at PAL	AR² at PAL
(years)	(MJ/d)	= 1.4 (MJ/d)	= 1.6 (MJ/d)	= 1.8 (MJ/d)	= 2.0 (MJ/d)
Boys					
1	2.4	3.4			
2	3.1	4.4			
3	3.5	4.9			
4	3.7	5.3	6.0	6.8	
5	3.9	5.6	6.4	7.2	
6	4.2	5.9	6.7	7.6	
7	4.4	6.3	7.2	8.1	
8	4.7	6.7	7.6	8.6	
9	5.0	7.0	8.1	9.1	
10	5.0		8.1	9.1	10.1
11	5.3		8.5	9.6	10.7
12	5.6		9.1	10.2	11.4
13	6.0		9.8	11.0	12.2
14	6.5		10.5	11.8	13.1
15	7.0		11.3	12.7	14.1
16	7.4		11.9	13.4	14.9
17	7.6		12.3	13.8	15.4
Girls					
1	2.2	3.1			
2	2.9	4.1			
3	3.2	4.6			
4	3.5	4.9	5.6	6.3	
5	3.7	5.2	5.9	6.7	
6	3.9	5.5	6.3	7.1	
7	4.1	5.8	6.7	7.5	
8	4.4	6.2	7.1	7.9	
9	4.6	6.6	7.5	8.4	
10	4.7		7.6	8.6	9.5
11	4.9		8.0	9.0	10.0
12	5.2		8.4	9.4	10.5
13	5.4		8.8	9.9	11.0
14	5.6		9.1	10.2	11.4
15	5.8		9.3	10.5	11.7
16	5.9		9.5	10.6	11.8
17	5.9		9.5	10.7	11.9

1755 1756 1757 ¹ REE, resting energy expenditure computed with the predictive equations of Henry (2005) using median heights and body masses of children in EU countries (van Buuren et al., 2012). Because these have overlapping age bands (0-3, 3-10, 10-18 years), the choice of equation is ambiguous at the age boundaries. The REE equation for 3-10 year-olds is used for the 3 year-1758 olds and the equation for 10-18 year-olds is used for those aged 10 years.

1759 ² Taking into account a coefficient of 1.01 for growth.

1760 ³ PAL, physical activity level.





1761 Table 14: Summary of Average Requirement (AR) for energy for pregnant and lactating women (in1762 addition to the AR for non-pregnant women)

	AR (MJ/d)	
Pregnant women		
1 st trimester	+ 0.3	
2 nd trimester	+ 1.1	
3 rd trimester	+ 2.1	
Lactating women		
0-6 months post partum	+ 2.1	

1763

1764 **Recommendations for Research**

The Panel suggests that differences in body composition (i.e. fat mass and fat-free mass) in relation to ethnicity should be explored further, and that predictive equations for resting energy expenditure should be adjusted to take this into account if needed. In the future, imaging techniques (such as whole body magnetic resonance imaging and echocardiography methods) and specific metabolic rates of major tissues and organs will allow the development of organ/tissue-based predictive equations for resting energy expenditure with a higher accuracy compared to predictive equations for resting energy expenditure based on body mass (index), fat mass and fat-free mass.

1772 Since a precise estimate of the energy expenditure of physical activity is essential to give correct 1773 advice on the energy requirements of populations, the Panel stresses the need for the standardisation of 1774 the conditions for recording activity expenditure in order to fix reliable and reproducible values for the 1775 energy expenditure of physical activity taking into account sex and age.

For a more precise estimate of energy requirements at the European level, the Panel suggests generating and collecting more doubly labelled water data in conjunction with resting energy expenditure measurements in healthy adult and children populations in the EU representative of various geographical regions, including individuals of all ages with a broad range of physical activity levels corresponding to well-defined lifestyles.

In addition, the Panel suggests addressing diverging data with respect to body composition in infants,especially regarding gains in fat mass and fat-free mass during the first year of life.



1784 **References**

- Abrasheva M, Angelova K, Baikova D, Duleva V, Ivanova L, Jordanov B, Ovcharova D, Petrova S,
 Vatralova K and Vukov M, 1998. Dietary and nutritional status survey of the population in
 Bulgaria. National Center of Hygiene, Medical Ecology and Nutrition, 230 pp.
- AFSSA (Agence Française de Sécurité Sanitaire des Aliments), 2001. Apports nutritionnels conseillés
 pour la population française [Nutritional recommendations for the French population]. Editions
 Tec&Doc, Paris, France, 605 pp.
- AFSSA (Agence Française de Sécurité Sanitaire des Aliments), 2009. Étude Individuelle Nationale
 des Consommations Alimentaires 2 (INCA 2) (2006-2007). Rapport. [Individual and National
 Study on Food Consumption 2 (INCA 2) 2006-2007. Report]. 228 pp.
- Ainsworth BE, Haskell WL, Whitt MC, Irwin ML, Swartz AM, Strath SJ, O'Brien WL, Bassett DR,
 Jr., Schmitz KH, Emplaincourt PO, Jacobs DR, Jr. and Leon AS, 2000. Compendium of physical
 activities: an update of activity codes and MET intensities. Medicine and Science in Sports and
 Exercise, 32, S498-504.
- al-Adsani H, Hoffer LJ and Silva JE, 1997. Resting energy expenditure is sensitive to small dose
 changes in patients on chronic thyroid hormone replacement. Journal of Clinical Endocrinology
 and Metabolism, 82, 1118-1125.
- Alfonzo-Gonzalez G, Doucet E, Almeras N, Bouchard C and Tremblay A, 2004. Estimation of daily
 energy needs with the FAO/WHO/UNU 1985 procedures in adults: comparison to whole-body
 indirect calorimetry measurements. European Journal of Clinical Nutrition, 58, 1125-1131.
- Alkerwi A, Sauvageot N, Donneau AF, Lair ML, Couffignal S, Beissel J, Delagardelle C, Wagener Y,
 Albert A and Guillaume M, 2010. First nationwide survey on cardiovascular risk factors in Grand Duchy of Luxembourg (ORISCAV-LUX). BMC Public Health, 10, 468.
- Amirkalali B, Hosseini S, Heshmat R and Larijani B, 2008. Comparison of Harris Benedict and Mifflin-ST Jeor equations with indirect calorimetry in evaluating resting energy expenditure.
 Indian Journal of Medical Sciences, 62, 283-290.
- Andersen E, Hutchings B, Jansen J and Nyholm M, 1982. Højde og vægt hos danske børn. [Heights
 and weights of Danish children] Ugeskrift for Læger [The Journal of the Danish Medical
 Association], 144, 1760-1765.
- Andersen N, Fagt S, Groth M, Hartkopp H, Møller A, Ovesen L and Warming D, 1996. Danskernes
 kostvaner 1995. Hovedresultater [The Danish diet 1995. Main results]. Levnedsmiddelstyrelsen,
 Søborg, Denmark, 298 pp.
- Avdičová M, Hrubá F and Námešná J, 2005. Surveillance of Chronic diseases in the Republic. CINDI
 programme in the SR. Regional Authority of Public Health in Banska Bystrica and WHO Regional Office for Europe. Banska Bystrica: Dali BB, s.r.o: 153.
- Bates E, Lennox A, Bates C and Swan G, 2011. National Diet and Nutrition Survey. Headline results
 from Years 1 and 2 (combined) of the Rolling Programme (2008/2009 2009/2010). A Survey
 carried out on the behalf of the Food Standards Agency and the Department of Health, 68 pp.
- Baumgartner RN, Roche AF and Himes JH, 1986. Incremental growth tables: supplementary to previously published charts. American Journal of Clinical Nutrition, 43, 711-722.
- Becker W and Pearson M (Livsmedelsverket), 2002. Riksmaten 1997-1998. Kostvanor och näringsintag i Sverige. Metod- och resultatanalys [Riksmaten 1997-1998. Dietary habits and nutrient intake in Sweden. Benchmarking analysis]. Livsmedelsverket, 201 pp.
- Belgardt BF and Bruning JC, 2010. CNS leptin and insulin action in the control of energy
 homeostasis. Annals of the New York Academy of Sciences, 1212, 97-113.
- Benedict F, 1932. The racial element in human metabolism. American Journal of PhysicalAnthropology, 16, 463-473.



- Bingham SA, Goldberg GR, Coward WA, Prentice AM and Cummings JH, 1989. The effect of
 exercise and improved physical fitness on basal metabolic rate. British Journal of Nutrition, 61,
 155-173.
- Biro L, Regoly-Merei A, Nagy K, Peter S, Arato G, Szabo C, Martos E and Antal M, 2007. Dietary
 habits of school children: representative survey in metropolitan elementary schools. Part two.
 Annals of Nutrition and Metabolism, 51, 454-460.
- Bisdee JT, James WP and Shaw MA, 1989. Changes in energy expenditure during the menstrualcycle. British Journal of Nutrition, 61, 187-199.
- Bitar A, Vermorel M, Fellmann N, Bedu M, Chamoux A and Coudert J, 1996. Heart rate recording
 method validated by whole body indirect calorimetry in 10-yr-old children. Journal of Applied
 Physiology, 81, 1169-1173.
- Blaak EE, Westerterp KR, Bar-Or O, Wouters LJ and Saris WH, 1992. Total energy expenditure and
 spontaneous activity in relation to training in obese boys. American Journal of Clinical Nutrition,
 55, 777-782.
- Black AE, Coward WA, Cole TJ and Prentice AM, 1996. Human energy expenditure in affluent
 societies: an analysis of 574 doubly-labelled water measurements. European Journal of Clinical
 Nutrition, 50, 72-92.
- Black AE, 1996. Physical activity levels from a meta-analysis of doubly labeled water studies for validating energy intake as measured by dietary assessment. Nutrition Reviews, 54, 170-174.
- Blair SN, Cheng Y and Holder JS, 2001. Is physical activity or physical fitness more important in defining health benefits? Medicine and Science in Sports and Exercise, 33, S379-399.
- Bland JM and Altman DG, 1987. Statistical methods for assessing agreement between measurements.
 Biochimica clinica, 11, 399-404.
- Blokstra A, Vissink P, Venmans LMAJ, Holleman P, van der Schouw YT, Smit HA and Verschuren
 WMM, 2011. Nederland de Maat genomen, 2009-2010. Monitoring van risicofactoren in de
 algemene bevolking. RIVM report 260152001/2011. National Institute for Public Health and the
 Environment., 27 pp.
- Boreham C and Riddoch C, 2001. The physical activity, fitness and health of children. Journal of
 Sports Sciences, 19, 915-929.
- Boullata J, Williams J, Cottrell F, Hudson L and Compher C, 2007. Accurate determination of energy needs in hospitalized patients. Journal of the American Dietetic Association, 107, 393-401.
- Bray GA, Whipp BJ, Koyal SN and Wasserman K, 1977. Some respiratory and metabolic effects of
 exercise in moderately obese men. Metabolism: Clinical and Experimental, 26, 403-412.
- Bronstein MN, Mak RP and King JC, 1995. The thermic effect of food in normal-weight and overweight pregnant women. British Journal of Nutrition, 74, 261-275.
- Brouwer E, 1957. On simple formulae for calculating the heat expenditure and the quantities of
 carbohydrate and fat oxidized in metabolism of men and animals, from gaseous exchange (Oxygen
 intake and carbonic acid output) and urine-N. Acta Physiologica et Pharmacologica Neerlandica, 6,
 795-802.
- Buchholz AC, Rafii M and Pencharz PB, 2001. Is resting metabolic rate different between men and women? British Journal of Nutrition, 86, 641-646.
- Butte NF, Wong WW, Ferlic L, Smith EO, Klein PD and Garza C, 1990. Energy expenditure and deposition of breast-fed and formula-fed infants during early infancy. Pediatric Research, 28, 631-640.
- Butte NF, 1996. Energy requirements of infants. European Journal of Clinical Nutrition, 50 Suppl 1,
 S24-36.



- Butte NF, Henry CJ and Torun B, 1996. Report on the working group on energy requirements of infants, children and adolescents. European Journal of Clinical Nutrition, 50 Suppl 1, S188-189.
- 1879 Butte NF and Hopkinson JM, 1998. Body composition changes during lactation are highly variable among women. Journal of Nutrition, 128, 381S-385S.
- Butte NF, Hopkinson JM, Mehta N, Moon JK and Smith EO, 1999. Adjustments in energy
 expenditure and substrate utilization during late pregnancy and lactation. American Journal of
 Clinical Nutrition, 69, 299-307.
- Butte NF, Wong WW, Hopkinson JM, Heinz CJ, Mehta NR and Smith EO, 2000a. Energy requirements derived from total energy expenditure and energy deposition during the first 2 y of life. American Journal of Clinical Nutrition, 72, 1558-1569.
- Butte NF, Hopkinson JM, Wong WW, Smith EO and Ellis KJ, 2000b. Body composition during the
 first 2 years of life: an updated reference. Pediatric Research, 47, 578-585.
- Butte NF, 2001. Energy requirements of infants. Background paper prepared for the joint
 FAO/WHO/UNU Expert consultation on Energy in Human nutrition.
- Butte NF, Wong WW and Hopkinson JM, 2001. Energy requirements of lactating women derivedfrom doubly labeled water and milk energy output. Journal of Nutrition, 131, 53-58.
- Butte NF, Lopez-Alarcon MG and Garza C, 2002. Nutrient adequacy of exclusive breastfeeding for
 the term infant during the first six months of life. World Health Organization, 57 pp.
- Butte NF and King JC, 2002. Energy requirements during pregnancy and lactation. Energy background paper prepared for the joint FAO/WHO/UNU Consultation on Energy in Human Nutrition.
- 1898 Butte NF, 2005. Energy requirements of infants. Public Health Nutrition, 8, 953-967.
- Butte NF and King JC, 2005. Energy requirements during pregnancy and lactation. Public HealthNutrition, 8, 1010-1027.
- Butte NF, Garza C and de Onis M, 2007. Evaluation of the feasibility of international growth
 standards for school-aged children and adolescents. Journal of Nutrition, 137, 153-157.
- Cedergren MI, 2007. Optimal gestational weight gain for body mass index categories. Obstetrics andGynecology, 110, 759-764.
- Ceesay SM, Prentice AM, Day KC, Murgatroyd PR, Goldberg GR, Scott W and Spurr GB, 1989. The
 use of heart rate monitoring in the estimation of energy expenditure: a validation study using
 indirect whole-body calorimetry. British Journal of Nutrition, 61, 175-186.
- Cifková R and Škodová Z, 2004. Dlouhodobé trendy hlavnich rizikovych faktorů kardiovaskulárnich
 onemocněni v české populaci [Longitudinal trends in major cardiovascular risk factors in the Czech
 population]. Casopis Lekaru Ceskych [Czech Medical Journal], 143, 219–226.
- Cikrikci E, Gokbel H and Bediz CS, 1999. Basal metabolic rates of Turkish women during pregnancy.
 Annals of Nutrition and Metabolism, 43, 80-85.
- Cole T, 2002. The Oxford Brookes BMR database a reanalysis. Report commissioned by FAO for
 the joint FAO/WHO/UNU Expert Consultation on Energy in Human Nutrition.
- Compher C, Frankenfield D, Keim N, Roth-Yousey L and Evidence Analysis Working G, 2006. Best
 practice methods to apply to measurement of resting metabolic rate in adults: a systematic review.
 Journal of the American Dietetic Association, 106, 881-903.
- Coward W and Cole T, 1991. The doubly labeled water method for the measurement of energy
 expenditure in humans: risks and benefits. In: New Techniques in Nutritional Research. Eds
 Whitehead R, Prentice A. Academic, San Diego/London, USA/UK, 139–176.
- Cynober L, Alix E, Arnaud-Battandier F, Bonnefoy M, Brocker P, Cals MJ, Cherbut C, Coplo C,
 Ferry M, Ghisolfi-Marque A, Kravtchenko T, Lesourd B, Mignot C, P. PM and 2000. Apports



- nutritionnels conseillés chez la personne âgée [Nutritional intake in the elderly]. Nutrition clinique
 et métabolisme, 14, 3s-60s.
- 1925 D-A-CH (Deutsche Gesellschaft für Ernährung Österreichische Gesellschaft für Ernährung Schweizerische Gesellschaft für Ernährungsforschung Schweizerische Vereinigung für Ernährung), 2012. Referenzwerte für die Nährstoffzufuhr [Reference values for nutrient intakes].
 1928 Umschau Braus Verlag, Frankfurt am Main, Germany, 251 pp.
- Daly JM, Heymsfield SB, Head CA, Harvey LP, Nixon DW, Katzeff H and Grossman GD, 1985.
 Human energy requirements: overestimation by widely used prediction equation. American Journal of Clinical Nutrition, 42, 1170-1174.
- 1932 Danforth E, Jr. and Burger A, 1984. The role of thyroid hormones in the control of energy expenditure. Clinics in Endocrinology and Metabolism, 13, 581-595.
- Davies PSW, Ewing G, Coward WA and Lucas A, 1990. Energy metabolism in breast-fed and
 formula-fed infants. In: Breast-feeding, nutrition, infection and infant growth in developed and
 emerging countries. Eds Atkinson SA, Hanson LÅ, Chandra RK. Arts Biomedical, St. John's,
 Newfoundland, Canada, 521.
- de Boer E, Hulshof K and ter Doest D, 2006. Voedselconsumptie van jonge peuters [Food consumption of young children]. TNO report V6269, 37 pp.
- de Bruin NC, Degenhart HJ, Gal S, Westerterp KR, Stijnen T and Visser HK, 1998. Energy utilization
 and growth in breast-fed and formula-fed infants measured prospectively during the first year of
 life. American Journal of Clinical Nutrition, 67, 885-896.
- de Groot LC, Boekholt HA, Spaaij CK, van Raaij JM, Drijvers JJ, van der Heijden LJ, van der Heide
 D and Hautvast JG, 1994. Energy balances of healthy Dutch women before and during pregnancy:
 limited scope for metabolic adaptations in pregnancy. American Journal of Clinical Nutrition, 59,
 827-832.
- 1947 De Lorenzo A, Tagliabue A, Andreoli A, Testolin G, Comelli M and Deurenberg P, 2001. Measured
 1948 and predicted resting metabolic rate in Italian males and females, aged 18-59 y. European Journal
 1949 of Clinical Nutrition, 55, 208-214.
- de Onis M, Onyango AW, Borghi E, Siyam A, Nishida C and Siekmann J, 2007. Development of a
 WHO growth reference for school-aged children and adolescents. Bulletin of the World Health
 Organization, 85, 660-667.
- de Onis M and Lobstein T, 2010. Defining obesity risk status in the general childhood population:
 which cut-offs should we use? International Journal of Pediatric Obesity, 5, 458-460.
- 1955 De Vriese S, Huybrechts I, Moreau M and van Oyen H, 2006. De Belgische Voedselconsumptiepeiling 1 – 2004 [The Belgian food consumption survey 1 - 2004]. Afdeling 1956 Epidemiologie, Wetenschappelijk Instituut Volksgezondheid, Depotnummer : D/2006/2505/17, 1957 N° 1958 IPH/EPI REPORTS 2006 016. Available from: https://www.wiv-1959 isp.be/epidemio/epinl/foodnl/table04.htm, accessed June 2012.
- Deharveng G, Charrondiere UR, Slimani N, Southgate DA and Riboli E, 1999. Comparison of nutrients in the food composition tables available in the nine European countries participating in EPIC. European Prospective Investigation into Cancer and Nutrition. European Journal of Clinical Nutrition, 53, 60-79.
- DeVader SR, Neeley HL, Myles TD and Leet TL, 2007. Evaluation of gestational weight gain
 guidelines for women with normal prepregnancy body mass index. Obstetrics and Gynecology,
 110, 745-751.
- do Carmo I, Dos Santos O, Camolas J, Vieira J, Carreira M, Medina L, Reis L, Myatt J and GalvaoTeles A, 2008. Overweight and obesity in Portugal: national prevalence in 2003-2005. Obesity
 Reviews, 9, 11-19.



- 1970 DoH (Department of Health), 1991. Dietary reference values for food energy and nutrients for the
 1971 United Kingdom. Report of the Panel on Dietary Reference Values of the Committee on Medical
 1972 Aspects of Food Policy. HMSO, London, UK, 212 pp.
- Durnin JV, McKillop FM, Grant S and Fitzgerald G, 1987. Energy requirements of pregnancy in
 Scotland. Lancet, 2, 897-900.
- 1975 Duro D, Rising R, Cole C, Valois S, Cedillo M and Lifshitz F, 2002. New equations for calculating the
 1976 components of energy expenditure in infants. Journal of Pediatrics, 140, 534-539.
- 1977 EFSA Panel on Dietetic Products Nutrition and Allergies (NDA), 2009. Scientific Opinion on the
 appropriate age for introduction of complementary feeding of infants EFSA Journal, 7(12):1423, 19
 pp.
- EFSA Panel on Dietetic Products Nutrition and Allergies (NDA), 2010. Scientific Opinion on
 principles for deriving and applying Dietary Reference Values. EFSA Journal, 8(3):1458, 30 pp.
- EFSA Panel on Dietetic Products Nutrition and Allergies (NDA), 2012. Scientific Opinion on Dietary
 Reference Values for protein. EFSA Journal, 10(2):2557, 66 pp.
- Elia M, 1991. Energy equivalents of CO2 and their importance in assessing energy expenditure when
 using tracer techniques. American Journal of Physiology, 260, E75-88.
- Elia M, 1992. Organ and tissue contributions to metabolic rate. In: Energy Metabolism: Tissue
 Determinants and Cellular Corollaries. Eds Kinney JM, Tucker HN. Raven, New York, USA, 6180.
- Elia M and Cummings JH, 2007. Physiological aspects of energy metabolism and gastrointestinal
 effects of carbohydrates. European Journal of Clinical Nutrition, 61 Suppl 1, S40-74.
- Elmadfa I, Freisling H, Nowak V, Hofstädter D, Hasenegger V, Ferge M, Fröhler M, Fritz K, Meyer
 AL, Putz P, Rust P, Grossgut R, Mischek D, Kiefer I, Schätzer M, Spanblöchel J, Sturtzel B,
 Wagner K-H, Zilberszac A, Vojir F and Plsek K, 2009a. Österreichischer Ernährungsbericht 2008
 [Austrian Nutrition Report 2008]. Institut für Ernährungswissenschaften der Universität Wien,
 Bundesministerium für Gesundheit, 454 pp.
- 1996 Elmadfa I, Meyer A, Nowak V, Hasenegger V, Putz P, Verstraeten R, Remaut-DeWinter AM, 1997 Kolsteren P, Dostalova J, Dlouhy P, Trolle E, Fagt S, Biltoft-Jensen A, Mathiessen J, Velsing 1998 Groth M, Kambek L, Gluskova N, Voutilainen N, Erkkila A, Vernay M, Krems C, Strassburg A, 1999 Vasquez-Caicedo AL, Urban C, Naska A, Efstathopoulou E, Oikonomou E, Tsiotas K, 2000 Bountziouka V, Benetou V, Trichopoulou A, Zajkas G, Kovacs V, Martos E, Heavey P, Kelleher C, Kennedy J, Turrini A, Selga G, Sauka M, Petkeviciene J, Klumbiene J, Holm Totland T, 2001 2002 Andersen LF, Halicka E, Rejman K, Kowrygo B, Rodrigues S, Pinhao S, Ferreira LS, Lopes C, Ramos E, Vaz Almeida MD, Vlad M, Simcic M, Podgrajsek K, Serra Majem L, Roman Vinas B, 2003 2004 Ngo J, Ribas Barba L, Becker V, Fransen H, Van Rossum C, Ocke M and Margetts B, 2009b. 2005 European Nutrition and Health Report 2009. Forum of Nutrition, 62, 1-412.
- Enghardt-Barbieri H, Pearson M and Becker W, 2006. Riksmaten Barn 2003. Livsmedels och näringsintag bland barn i Sverige [Riksmaten Children 2003. The food and nutritional intake among children in Sweden]. Livsmedelsverket, 216 pp.
- FAO (Food and Agriculture Organization of the United Nations), 1971. Joint FAO/WHO/UNU Expert
 Consultation on Energy and Protein Requirements: The adoption of Joules as unit of energy
 Available at: <u>http://www.fao.org/docrep/meeting/009/ae906e/ae906e17.htm</u>. Accessed on June
 2012
- FAO (Food and Agriculture Organization of the United Nations), 2003. Food energy methods of
 analysis and conversion factors. Report of a technical workshop, Rome, 3–6 December 2002. FAO
 Food and Nutrition Paper 77, 93 pp.
- 2016FAO/WHO/UNU (Food and Agriculture Organization of the United Nations/World Health2017Organization/United Nations University), 1985. Energy and protein requirements. Report of a Joint





- WHO/FAO/UNU Expert Consultation, Rome, 5-17 October 1981. World Health Organization
 Technical Report Series 724, 206 pp.
- FAO/WHO/UNU (Food and Agriculture Organization of the United Nations/World Health
 Organization/United Nations University), 2004. Human energy requirements. Report of a Joint
 FAO/WHO/UNU Expert Consultation: Rome, 17–24 October 2001. FAO food and nutrition
 technical report series, 103 pp.
- Ferro-Luzzi A, 1987. The application of energy costs to activities and overall energy expenditure over 2025 24 hours (including BMR levels) of the elderly. Report to FAO.
- Fields DA, Gilchrist JM, Catalano PM, Gianni ML, Roggero PM and Mosca F, 2011. Longitudinal
 body composition data in exclusively breast-fed infants: a multicenter study. Obesity (Silver
 Spring), 19, 1887-1891.
- Firouzbakhsh S, Mathis RK, Dorchester WL, Oseas RS, Groncy PK, Grant KE and Finklestein JZ,
 1993. Measured resting energy expenditure in children. Journal of Pediatric Gastroenterology and
 Nutrition, 16, 136-142.
- Fomon SJ, Haschke F, Ziegler EE and Nelson SE, 1982. Body composition of reference children from
 birth to age 10 years. American Journal of Clinical Nutrition, 35, 1169-1175.
- Forbes GB, 2000. Body fat content influences the body composition response to nutrition and exercise. Annals of the New York Academy of Sciences, 904, 359-365.
- Forsum E, Sadurskis A and Wager J, 1988. Resting metabolic rate and body composition of healthy
 Swedish women during pregnancy. American Journal of Clinical Nutrition, 47, 942-947.
- Forsum E, Kabir N, Sadurskis A and Westerterp K, 1992. Total energy expenditure of healthy
 Swedish women during pregnancy and lactation. American Journal of Clinical Nutrition, 56, 334342.
- Forsum E and Löf M, 2007. Energy metabolism during human pregnancy. Annual Review of
 Nutrition, 27, 277-292.
- Frankenfield D, Roth-Yousey L and Compher C, 2005. Comparison of predictive equations for resting
 metabolic rate in healthy nonobese and obese adults: a systematic review. Journal of the American
 Dietetic Association, 105, 775-789.
- Fraser A, Tilling K, Macdonald-Wallis C, Hughes R, Sattar N, Nelson SM and Lawlor DA, 2011.
 Associations of gestational weight gain with maternal body mass index, waist circumference, and
 blood pressure measured 16 y after pregnancy: the Avon Longitudinal Study of Parents and
 Children (ALSPAC). American Journal of Clinical Nutrition, 93, 1285-1292.
- Fredriks AM, van Buuren S, Burgmeijer RJF, Verloove-Vanhorick SP and Wit JM, 1998. Nederlandse
 groeidiagrammen 1997 in historisch perspectief [Dutch growth diagrams 1997 in historical
 perspective]. In: De vierde Landelijke Groeistudie (1997). Presentatie nieuwe groeidiagrammen.
 [The 4th nationwide growth study (1997). Presentation of new growth charts]. Bureau Boerhaave
 Commissie. Ed Wit JM. Rijksuniversiteit Leiden, Leiden, The Netherlands, 1-14.
- Fredriks AM, van Buuren S, Wit JM and Verloove-Vanhorick SP, 2000a. Body index measurements
 in 1996-7 compared with 1980. Archives of Disease in Childhood, 82, 107-112.
- Fredriks AM, van Buuren S, Burgmeijer RJ, Meulmeester JF, Beuker RJ, Brugman E, Roede MJ,
 Verloove-Vanhorick SP and Wit JM, 2000b. Continuing positive secular growth change in The
 Netherlands 1955-1997. Pediatric Research, 47, 316-323.
- Freeman JV, Cole TJ, Chinn S, Jones PR, White EM and Preece MA, 1995. Cross sectional stature
 and weight reference curves for the UK, 1990. Archives of Disease in Childhood, 73, 17-24.
- Frigerio C, Schutz Y, Whitehead R and Jequier E, 1991. A new procedure to assess the energy requirements of lactation in Gambian women. American Journal of Clinical Nutrition, 54, 526-533.



- Gabrijelčič Blenkuš M, Gregorič M, Tivadar B, Koch V, Kostanjevec S, Turk Fajdiga V, Žalar A,
 Lavtar D, Kuhar D and Rozman U, 2009. Prehrambene navade odraslih prebivalcev Slovenije z
 vidika varovanja zdravja [Food habits of the adult population of Slovenia in terms of health].
 Inštitut za varovanje zdravja Republike Slovenije, Pedagočska faculteta, Univerza v Ljubljani, 186
 pp.
- Gallagher D, Visser M, Wang Z, Harris T, Pierson RN, Jr. and Heymsfield SB, 1996. Metabolically
 active component of fat-free body mass: influences of age, adiposity, and gender. Metabolism:
 Clinical and Experimental, 45, 992-997.
- Gallagher D, Ruts E, Visser M, Heshka S, Baumgartner RN, Wang J, Pierson RN, Pi-Sunyer FX and
 Heymsfield SB, 2000. Weight stability masks sarcopenia in elderly men and women. American
 Journal of Physiology Endocrinology and Metabolism, 279, E366-375.
- Gallagher D, Albu J, He Q, Heshka S, Boxt L, Krasnow N and Elia M, 2006. Small organs with a high
 metabolic rate explain lower resting energy expenditure in African American than in white adults.
 American Journal of Clinical Nutrition, 83, 1062-1067.
- Gannon B, DiPietro L and Poehlman ET, 2000. Do African Americans have lower energy expenditure
 than Caucasians? International Journal of Obesity and Related Metabolic Disorders, 24, 4-13.
- Garza C and Butte NF, 1986. Energy concentration of human milk estimated from 24-h pools and
 various abbreviated sampling schemes. Journal of Pediatric Gastroenterology and Nutrition, 5, 943 948.
- Goldberg GR, Prentice AM, Coward WA, Davies HL, Murgatroyd PR, Sawyer MB, Ashford J and
 Black AE, 1991. Longitudinal assessment of the components of energy balance in well-nourished
 lactating women. American Journal of Clinical Nutrition, 54, 788-798.
- 2086 Goldberg GR, Prentice AM, Coward WA, Davies HL, Murgatroyd PR, Wensing C, Black AE,
 2087 Harding M and Sawyer M, 1993. Longitudinal assessment of energy expenditure in pregnancy by
 2088 the doubly labeled water method. American Journal of Clinical Nutrition, 57, 494-505.
- Goran MI, Calles-Escandon J, Poehlman ET, O'Connell M and Danforth E, Jr., 1994a. Effects of
 increased energy intake and/or physical activity on energy expenditure in young healthy men.
 Journal of Applied Physiology, 77, 366-372.
- Goran MI, Poehlman ET and Danforth E, Jr., 1994b. Experimental reliability of the doubly labeled
 water technique. American Journal of Physiology, 266, E510-515.
- Guo SM, Roche AF, Fomon SJ, Nelson SE, Chumlea WC, Rogers RR, Baumgartner RN, Ziegler EE
 and Siervogel RM, 1991. Reference data on gains in weight and length during the first two years of
 life. Journal of Pediatrics, 119, 355-362.
- Halliday D, Hesp R, Stalley SF, Warwick P, Altman DG and Garrow JS, 1979. Resting metabolic rate,
 weight, surface area and body composition in obese women. International Journal of Obesity, 3, 16.
- Hamill PV, Drizd TA, Johnson CL, Reed RB and Roche AF, 1977. NCHS growth curves for children
 birth-18 years. United States. Vital and Health Statistics. Series 11: Data from the National Health
 Survey, i-iv, 1-74.
- Harper ME, Green K and Brand MD, 2008. The efficiency of cellular energy transduction and its
 implications for obesity. Annual Review of Nutrition, 28, 13-33.
- Harrington KE, Robson PJ, Kiely M, Livingstone MB, Lambe J and Gibney MJ, 2001. The
 North/South Ireland Food Consumption Survey: survey design and methodology. Public Health
 Nutrition, 4, 1037-1042.
- Harris JA and Benedict FG, 1919. A biometric study of basal metabolism in man. Carnegie Institution
 of Washington; Publication No. 279, Washington, D. C., USA, 266 pp.



- Haschke F, 1989. Body composition during adolescence. Body composition measurements in Infants
 and Children: Report of the 98th Ross conference on pediatrice Research. Ross Laboratories, 7683.
- Hasson RE, Howe CA, Jones BL and Freedson PS, 2011. Accuracy of four resting metabolic rate
 prediction equations: effects of sex, body mass index, age, and race/ethnicity. Journal of Science
 and Medicine in Sport, 14, 344-351.
- Health Council of the Netherlands, 2001. Dietary Reference Intakes: energy, proteins, fats and digestible carbohydrates. Publication no. 2001/19, 168 pp.
- Henderson L, Gregory J and Swan G, 2002. National Diet and Nutrition Survey: adults aged 19 to 64
 years, Volume 1: Types and quantities of foods consumed. The Stationery Office (TSO), 88 pp.
- Henry C, 2001. Basal metabolic rate studies in humans: measurement and application. Background
 document prepared for the joint FAO/WHO/UNU Expert Consultation on Energy in Human
 Nutrition, 2001.
- Henry CJ and Rees DG, 1991. New predictive equations for the estimation of basal metabolic rate in
 tropical peoples. European Journal of Clinical Nutrition, 45, 177-185.
- Henry CJ, 2005. Basal metabolic rate studies in humans: measurement and development of new
 equations. Public Health Nutrition, 8, 1133-1152.
- Heppner KM, Habegger KM, Day J, Pfluger PT, Perez-Tilve D, Ward B, Gelfanov V, Woods SC,
 DiMarchi R and Tschop M, 2010. Glucagon regulation of energy metabolism. Physiology and
 Behavior, 100, 545-548.
- Herring SJ, Oken E, Haines J, Rich-Edwards JW, Rifas-Shiman SL, Kleinman Sc DK and Gillman
 MW, 2008. Misperceived pre-pregnancy body weight status predicts excessive gestational weight
 gain: findings from a US cohort study. BMC Pregnancy Childbirth, 8, 54.
- Hilbig A and Kersting M, 2006. Effects of age and time on energy and macronutrient intake in
 German infants and young children: results of the DONALD study. Journal of Pediatric
 Gastroenterology and Nutrition, 43, 518-524.
- Hofman A, Boerlage PA and Bots ML, 1995. Prevalentie van chronische ziekten bij ouderen; het
 ERGO-onderzoek. [Prevalence of chronic diseases in the elderly, the Rotterdam study]. Nederlands
 Tijdschrift voor Geneeskunde [Dutch Journal of Medicine], 139, 1975-1978.
- Hofsteenge GH, Chinapaw MJ, Delemarre-van de Waal HA and Weijs PJ, 2010. Validation of
 predictive equations for resting energy expenditure in obese adolescents. American Journal of
 Clinical Nutrition, 91, 1244-1254.
- Hoyt RW and Friedl KE, 2006. Field studies of exercise and food deprivation. Current Opinion in
 Clinical Nutrition and Metabolic Care, 9, 685-690.
- Hunter GR, Weinsier RL, Darnell BE, Zuckerman PA and Goran MI, 2000. Racial differences in
 energy expenditure and aerobic fitness in premenopausal women. American Journal of Clinical
 Nutrition, 71, 500-506.
- Huybrechts I and De Henauw S, 2007. Energy and nutrient intakes by pre-school children in FlandersBelgium. British Journal of Nutrition, 98, 600-610.
- Hytten FE and Chamberlain G, 1980. Clinical Physiology in Obstetrics. Blackwell Scientific
 Publications, Oxford, UK, 518 pp.
- IDECG (International Dietary Energy Consultancy Group), 1990. The doubly-labelled water method
 for measuring energy expenditure: technical recommendations for use in humans. A consensus
 report. NAHRES-4, International Atomic Energy Acency, 301 pp.
- Illingworth PJ, Jung RT, Howie PW, Leslie P and Isles TE, 1986. Diminution in energy expenditure
 during lactation. British Medical Journal (Clinical Research Edition), 292, 437-441.



- IoM (Institute of Medicine), 1990. Nutrition During Pregnancy: Part I: Weight Gain, Part II: Nutrient
 Supplements. National Academy Press, Washington, D. C., USA, 468 pp.
- IoM (Institute of Medicine), 1991. Nutrition during lactation. National Academy Press, Washington,
 D. C., USA, 310 pp.
- IoM (Institute of Medicine), 1992. Nutrition Issues in Developing Countries. National Academy Press,
 Washington, D. C., USA, 189 pp.
- IoM (Institute of Medicine), 2005. Dietary reference intakes for energy, carbohydrate, fiber, fat, fatty
 acids, cholesterol, protein, and amino acids (Macronutrients). National Academies Press,
 Washington D. C., USA, 1357 pp.
- IOM/NRC (Institute of Medicine/National Research Council), 2009. Weight Gain During Pregnancy:
 Reexamining the Guidelines. The National Academies Press, Washington D. C., USA, 854 pp.
- 2167 IUNA (Irish Universities Nutrition Alliance), 2011. National Adult Nutrition Survey.
- IUNA (Irish Universities Nutrition Alliance), a. National Children's Food Survey 2003-2004.
 Available from: <u>http://www.iuna.net/</u>. Accessed on June 2012.
- IUNA (Irish Universities Nutrition Alliance), b. The National Teens' Food Survey 2005-2006.
 Available from: <u>http://www.iuna.net/</u>. Accessed on June 2012.
- James WTP, Ralph A and Ferro-Luzzi A, 1989. Energy need of the elderly. A new approach. Vol. 6:
 nutrition, ageing and the elderly. In: Human nutrition: a comprehensive treatise. Eds Munro HN,
 Danford DE. Plenum press, New York, USA, 129-151.
- James WTP and Schofield C, 1990. Human energy requirements. A manual for planners and nutritionists. Oxford Medical Publications under arrangement with FAO, Oxford, UK, 172 pp.
- Jiang Z, Yan Q, Su Y, Acheson KJ, Thelin A, Piguet-Welsch C, Ritz P and Ho ZC, 1998. Energy
 expenditure of Chinese infants in Guangdong Province, south China, determined with use of the
 doubly labeled water method. American Journal of Clinical Nutrition, 67, 1256-1264.
- Joffe R, Ozolinš G, Šantare D, Bartkevics V, L. M and Briška I, 2009. Latvijas iedzivotaju
 visaptverošais partikas paterina petijums, 2007-2009 [The National Food Consumption Survey of
 Latvia, 2007 2009]. Nacionalais diagnostikas centrs, Partikas un veterinara dienesta Partikas
 centrs, 115 pp.
- Johansson L and Sovoll K, 1999. Norkost, 1997. Landsomfattende kostholdsundersøkelse blant menn
 og kvinner i alderen 16-79 år [Norkost, 1997. Nationwide dietary survey among men and women
 aged 16-79 years]. Rapport nr.2/1999. Statens råd för ernæring og fysisk aktivitet, 84 pp.
- Khalaj-Hedayati K, Bosy-Westphal A, Muller MJ and Dittmar M, 2009. Validation of the BIOPAC
 indirect calorimeter for determining resting energy expenditure in healthy free-living older people.
 Nutrition research, 29, 531-541.
- Kiel DW, Dodson EA, Artal R, Boehmer TK and Leet TL, 2007. Gestational weight gain and
 pregnancy outcomes in obese women: how much is enough? Obstetrics and Gynecology, 110, 752 758.
- Kiely M, Flynn A, Harrington KE, Robson PJ and Cran G, 2001. Sampling description and procedures
 used to conduct the North/South Ireland Food Consumption Survey. Public Health Nutrition, 4,
 1029-1035.
- Klausen B, Toubro S and Astrup A, 1997. Age and sex effects on energy expenditure. American
 Journal of Clinical Nutrition, 65, 895-907.
- Knudtzon J, Waaler PE, Skjærven R, Solberg LK and Steen J, 1988. Nye norske percentil kurver for
 høyde, vekt og hodeomkrets for alderen 0 17 år [New Norwegian percentile curves for height,
 weight and head circumference of aged 0 17 years]. Tidsskrift for den Norske lægeforening
 [Journal of the Norwegian Medical Association], 26, 2125-2135.



- Kobe H, Krzisnik C and Mis NF, 2011. Under- and Over-Reporting of Energy Intake in Slovenian
 Adolescents. Journal of Nutrition Education and Behavior, 1-10.
- Kopp-Hoolihan LE, van Loan MD, Wong WW and King JC, 1999. Longitudinal assessment of energy
 balance in well-nourished, pregnant women. American Journal of Clinical Nutrition, 69, 697-704.
- Kratěnová J, Lustigová M, Malý M and Žejglicová K, 2007. Health status and selected parameters of demographic and health statistics. Summary Report – 2006. In: Environmental Health Monitoring System in the Czech Republic. Ed Puklová V. National Institute of Public Health, Prague, Prage, Czech Republic, 61-75.
- Kristiansen AL and Andersen LF, 2009. Småbarnskost 2 år. Landsomfattende kostholdsundersøkelse
 blant 2 år gamle barn Småbarnskost 2007 [Nationwide diet survey among 2-year-old children].
 IS-1731, Helsedirektoratet, Mattilsynet og Universitetet i Oslo, 94 pp.
- Kuczmarski RJ, Ogden CL, Grummer-Strawn LM, Flegal KM, Guo SS, Wei R, Mei Z, Curtin LR,
 Roche AF and Johnson CL, 2000. CDC growth charts: United States. Advance Data, 1-27.
- Kyttälä P, Ovaskainen M, Kronberg-Kippilä C, Erkkola M, Tapanainen H, Tuokkola J, Veijola R,
 Simell O, Knip M and Virtanen SM, 2008. The Diet of Finnish Preschoolers. B32/2008, National
 Public Health Institute, 158 pp.
- Kyttälä P, Erkkola M, Kronberg-Kippila C, Tapanainen H, Veijola R, Simell O, Knip M and Virtanen
 SM, 2010. Food consumption and nutrient intake in Finnish 1-6-year-old children. Public Health
 Nutrition, 13, 947-956.
- Levine JA, Schleusner SJ and Jensen MD, 2000. Energy expenditure of nonexercise activity.
 American Journal of Clinical Nutrition, 72, 1451-1454.
- Levine JA, 2004a. Non-exercise activity thermogenesis (NEAT). Nutrition Reviews, 62, S82-97.
- Levine JA, 2004b. Nonexercise activity thermogenesis (NEAT): environment and biology. American
 journal of physiology. Endocrinology and metabolism, 286, E675-685.
- Levine JA and Kotz CM, 2005. NEAT--non-exercise activity thermogenesis--egocentric & geocentric environmental factors vs. biological regulation. Acta Physiologica Scandinavica, 184, 309-318.
- Livesey G, Smith T, Eggum BO, Tetens IH, Nyman M, Roberfroid M, Delzenne N, Schweizer TF and
 Decombaz J, 1995. Determination of digestible energy values and fermentabilities of dietary fibre
 supplements: a European interlaboratory study in vivo. British Journal of Nutrition, 74, 289-302.
- Lopes C, Oliveira A, Santos AC, Ramos E, Gaio AR, Severo M and Barros H, 2006. Consumo
 alimentar no Porto. Faculdade de Medecina da Universidade do Porto, 172 pp.
- Lovelady CA, Meredith CN, McCrory MA, Nommsen LA, Joseph LJ and Dewey KG, 1993. Energy
 expenditure in lactating women: a comparison of doubly labeled water and heart-rate-monitoring
 methods. American Journal of Clinical Nutrition, 57, 512-518.
- Lührmann PM, Herbert BM and Neuhäuser-Berthold M, 2001. Effects of fat mass and body fat distribution on resting metabolic rate in the elderly. Metabolism: Clinical and Experimental, 50, 972-975.
- Lührmann PM, Edelmann-Schafer B and Neuhäuser-Berthold M, 2010. Changes in resting metabolic
 rate in an elderly German population: cross-sectional and longitudinal data. Journal of Nutrition,
 Health and Aging, 14, 232-236.
- Lusk G, 1928. The Element of the Science of Nutrition. W. B. Saunders Company, London, UK, 844 pp.
- Maffeis C, Schutz Y, Micciolo R, Zoccante L and Pinelli L, 1993. Resting metabolic rate in six- to
 ten-year-old obese and nonobese children. Journal of Pediatrics, 122, 556-562.



- Manini TM, Everhart JE, Patel KV, Schoeller DA, Colbert LH, Visser M, Tylavsky F, Bauer DC,
 Goodpaster BH and Harris TB, 2006. Daily activity energy expenditure and mortality among older
 adults. JAMA, 296, 171-179.
- 2249 Manios Y, 2006. Design and descriptive results of the "Growth, Exercise and Nutrition 2250 Epidemiological Study In preSchoolers": the GENESIS study. BMC Public Health, 6, 32.
- Manios Y, Grammatikaki E, Papoutsou S, Liarigkovinos T, Kondaki K and Moschonis G, 2008.
 Nutrient intakes of toddlers and preschoolers in Greece: the GENESIS study. Journal of the
 American Dietetic Association, 108, 357-361.
- Matthys C, De Henauw S, Devos C and De Backer G, 2003. Estimated energy intake, macronutrient
 intake and meal pattern of Flemish adolescents. European Journal of Clinical Nutrition, 57, 366 375.
- Melzer K, Laurie Karsegard V, Genton L, Kossovsky MP, Kayser B and Pichard C, 2007. Comparison
 of equations for estimating resting metabolic rate in healthy subjects over 70 years of age. Clinical
 Nutrition, 26, 498-505.
- Mensink GBM, Heseker H, Richter A, Stahl A and Vohmann C, 2007. Forschungsbericht:
 Ernährungsstudie als KiGGS-Modul (EsKiMo) [Research report: nutritional study as KiGGS module]. 143 pp.
- Merrill AL and Watt BK, 1973. Energy value of foods basis and derivation. Handbook no. 74.
 United States Department of Agriculture, Washington D. C., USA, 105 pp.
- Merten C, Ferrari P, Bakker M, Boss A, Hearty A, Leclercq C, Lindtner O, Tlustos C, Verger P,
 Volatier JL and Arcella D, 2011. Methodological characteristics of the national dietary surveys
 carried out in the European Union as included in the European Food Safety Authority (EFSA)
 Comprehensive European Food Consumption Database. Food Additives & Contaminants. Part A,
 Chemistry, Analysis, Control, Exposure & Risk Assessment, 28, 975-995.
- Mifflin MD, St Jeor ST, Hill LA, Scott BJ, Daugherty SA and Koh YO, 1990. A new predictive
 equation for resting energy expenditure in healthy individuals. American Journal of Clinical
 Nutrition, 51, 241-247.
- Molnar D, Jeges S, Erhardt E and Schutz Y, 1995. Measured and predicted resting metabolic rate in
 obese and nonobese adolescents. Journal of Pediatrics, 127, 571-577.
- Moreira P, Santos S, Padrao P, Cordeiro T, Bessa M, Valente H, Barros R, Teixeira V, Mitchell V,
 Lopes C and Moreira A, 2010. Food patterns according to sociodemographics, physical activity,
 sleeping and obesity in Portuguese children. International Journal of Environmental Research and
 Public Health, 7, 1121-1138.
- Moshfegh AJ, Rhodes DG, Baer DJ, Murayi T, Clemens JC, Rumpler WV, Paul DR, Sebastian RS,
 Kuczynski KJ, Ingwersen LA, Staples RC and Cleveland LE, 2008. The US Department of
 Agriculture Automated Multiple-Pass Method reduces bias in the collection of energy intakes.
 American Journal of Clinical Nutrition, 88, 324-332.
- Motil KJ, Montandon CM and Garza C, 1990. Basal and postprandial metabolic rates in lactating and
 nonlactating women. American Journal of Clinical Nutrition, 52, 610-615.
- MRI, 2008a. Ergebnisbericht, Teil 1. Nationale Verzehrsstudie II [Report, Part 1. National Nutrition
 Survey II]. Max Rubner Institut, Bundesforschungsinstitut für Ernährung und Lebensmittel, 193
 pp.
- MRI, 2008b. Ergebnisbericht, Teil 2. Nationale Verzehrsstudie II [Report, Part 2. National Nutrition
 Survey II]. Max Rubner Institut, Bundesforschungsinstitut für Ernährung und Lebensmittel, 307
 pp.
- Müller MJ, Bosy-Westphal A, Kutzner D and Heller M, 2002. Metabolically active components of fat free mass and resting energy expenditure in humans: recent lessons from imaging technologies.
 Obesity Reviews, 3, 113-122.



- Müller MJ, Bosy-Westphal A, Klaus S, Kreymann G, Luhrmann PM, Neuhauser-Berthold M, Noack
 R, Pirke KM, Platte P, Selberg O and Steiniger J, 2004. World Health Organization equations have
 shortcomings for predicting resting energy expenditure in persons from a modern, affluent
 population: generation of a new reference standard from a retrospective analysis of a German
 database of resting energy expenditure. American Journal of Clinical Nutrition, 80, 1379-1390.
- Nagy LE and King JC, 1984. Postprandial energy expenditure and respiratory quotient during early
 and late pregnancy. American Journal of Clinical Nutrition, 40, 1258-1263.
- Nedergaard J, Bengtsson T and Cannon B, 2007. Unexpected evidence for active brown adipose tissue
 in adult humans. American journal of physiology. Endocrinology and metabolism, 293, E444-452.
- Neville MC, Keller R, Seacat J, Lutes V, Neifert M, Casey C, Allen J and Archer P, 1988. Studies in
 human lactation: milk volumes in lactating women during the onset of lactation and full lactation.
 American Journal of Clinical Nutrition, 48, 1375-1386.
- Nhung BT, Khan NC, Hop LT, Lien DT, Le DS, Hien VT, Kunii D, Sakai T, Nakamori M and
 Yamamoto S, 2005. FAO/WHO/UNU equations overestimate resting metabolic rate in Vietnamese
 adults. European Journal of Clinical Nutrition, 59, 1099-1104.
- NNR (Nordic Nutrition Recommendations), 2004. Integrating nutrition and physical activity. Nordic
 Council of Ministers, Copenhagen, Denmark, 436 pp.
- Ocké MC, van Rossum CTM, Fransen HP, Buurma EJM, de Boer EJ, Brants HAM, Niekerk EM, van der Laan JD, Drijvers JJMM and Ghameshlou Z, 2008. Dutch National Food Consumption Survey
 Young Children 2005/2006. RIVM Report 350070001/2008, National Institute for Public Health and the Environment, 105 pp.
- Oken E, Kleinman KP, Belfort MB, Hammitt JK and Gillman MW, 2009. Associations of gestational
 weight gain with short- and longer-term maternal and child health outcomes. American Journal of
 Epidemiology, 170, 173-180.
- Ortega RM, Lopez-Sobaler AM, Ballesteros JM, Perez-Farinos N, Rodriguez-Rodriguez E, Aparicio
 A, Perea JM and Andres P, 2011. Estimation of salt intake by 24 h urinary sodium excretion in a
 representative sample of Spanish adults. British Journal of Nutrition, 105, 787-794.
- Owen OE, Kavle E, Owen RS, Polansky M, Caprio S, Mozzoli MA, Kendrick ZV, Bushman MC and
 Boden G, 1986. A reappraisal of caloric requirements in healthy women. American Journal of
 Clinical Nutrition, 44, 1-19.
- Owen OE, Holup JL, D'Alessio DA, Craig ES, Polansky M, Smalley KJ, Kavle EC, Bushman MC,
 Owen LR, Mozzoli MA and et al., 1987. A reappraisal of the caloric requirements of men.
 American Journal of Clinical Nutrition, 46, 875-885.
- Panter-Brick C, 1993. Seasonality of energy expenditure during pregnancy and lactation for rural
 Nepali women. American Journal of Clinical Nutrition, 57, 620-628.
- Paturi M, Tapanainen H, Reinivuo H and Pietinen P, 2008. The National FINDiet 2007 Survey. Report
 B23/2008, KTL-National Public Health Institute, 230 pp.
- Pedersen AN, Fagt S, Groth MV, Christensen T, Biltoft-Jensen A, Matthiessen J, Lyhne Andersen N,
 Kørup K, Hartkopp H, Hess Ygil K, Hinsch HJ, Saxholt E and Trolle E, 2010. Danskernes
 kostvaner 2003-2008. Hovedresultater [Dietary habits in Denmark 2003-2008. Main results]. DTU
 Fødevareinstituttet, 200 pp.
- Petrova S and Angelova K, 2006. Food-based dietary guidelines for Bulgarian adults scientific
 background for development and formulation. Advances in Bulgarian Science, 4, 19-33.
- Piers LS, Diggavi SN, Thangam S, van Raaij JM, Shetty PS and Hautvast JG, 1995. Changes in
 energy expenditure, anthropometry, and energy intake during the course of pregnancy and lactation
 in well-nourished Indian women. American Journal of Clinical Nutrition, 61, 501-513.



- Pietinen P, Paturi M, Reinivuo H, Tapanainen H and Valsta LM, 2010. FINDIET 2007 Survey: energy
 and nutrient intakes. Public Health Nutrition, 13, 920-924.
- Pomerleau J, McKee M, Robertson A, Kadziauskiene K, Abaravicius A, Vaask S, Pudule I and
 Grinberga D, 2001. Macronutrient and food intake in the Baltic republics. European Journal of
 Clinical Nutrition, 55, 200-207.
- 2345 Prentice AM and Prentice A, 1988. Energy costs of lactation. Annual Review of Nutrition, 8, 63-79.
- Prentice AM, Goldberg GR, Davies HL, Murgatroyd PR and Scott W, 1989. Energy-sparing
 adaptations in human pregnancy assessed by whole-body calorimetry. British Journal of Nutrition,
 62, 5-22.
- Prentice AM, 1995. Alcohol and obesity. International Journal of Obesity and Related Metabolic
 Disorders, 19 Suppl 5, S44-50.
- Prentice AM, Spaaij CJ, Goldberg GR, Poppitt SD, van Raaij JM, Totton M, Swann D and Black AE,
 1996a. Energy requirements of pregnant and lactating women. European Journal of Clinical
 Nutrition, 50 Suppl 1, S82-110; discussion S110-111.
- Prentice AM, Goldberg GR, Murgatroyd PR and Cole TJ, 1996b. Physical activity and obesity:
 problems in correcting expenditure for body size. International Journal of Obesity and Related
 Metabolic Disorders, 20, 688-691.
- Raben A, Agerholm-Larsen L, Flint A, Holst JJ and Astrup A, 2003. Meals with similar energy densities but rich in protein, fat, carbohydrate, or alcohol have different effects on energy expenditure and substrate metabolism but not on appetite and energy intake. American Journal of Clinical Nutrition, 77, 91-100.
- Ramirez-Zea M, 2005. Validation of three predictive equations for basal metabolic rate in adults.
 Public Health Nutrition, 8, 1213-1228.
- RCPCH, 2011. UK-WHO Growth Charts: early years. Royal College of Paediatrics and Child Health.
 Available at: <u>http://www.rcpch.ac.uk/growthcharts</u>. Accessed on June 2012.
- Reilly JJ, Lord A, Bunker VW, Prentice AM, Coward WA, Thomas AJ and Briggs RS, 1993. Energy
 balance in healthy elderly women. British Journal of Nutrition, 69, 21-27.
- Roberts SB, Paul AA, Cole TJ and Whitehead RG, 1982. Seasonal changes in activity, birth weight
 and lactational performance in rural Gambian women. Transactions of the Royal Society of
 Tropical Medicine and Hygiene, 76, 668-678.
- Roberts SB, 1996. Energy requirements of older individuals. European Journal of Clinical Nutrition,
 50 Suppl 1, S112-117.
- Roberts SB and Dallal GE, 2005. Energy requirements and aging. Public Health Nutrition, 8, 10281036.
- Roberts SB and Rosenberg I, 2006. Nutrition and aging: changes in the regulation of energy
 metabolism with aging. Physiological Reviews, 86, 651-667.
- Rodler I, Bíró L, Greiner E, Zajkás G, Szórád I, Varga A, Domonkos A, Ágoston H, Balázs A,
 Mozsáry E, Vitrai J, Hermann D, Boros J, Németh R and Kéki Z, 2005. Táplálkozási vizsgálát
 Magyarországon, 2003–2004. Energia- és makrotápanyagbevitel [Dietary survey in Hungary,
 2003–2004. Energy and macro-nutrient intake]. Orvosi Hetilap [Hungarian Medical Journal], 146,
 1781–1789.
- Rodriguez G, Moreno LA, Sarria A, Fleta J and Bueno M, 2002. Resting energy expenditure in
 children and adolescents: agreement between calorimetry and prediction equations. Clinical
 Nutrition, 21, 255-260.
- Rolland-Cachera MF, Deheeger M, Maillot M and Bellisle F, 2006. Early adiposity rebound: causes
 and consequences for obesity in children and adults. International Journal of Obesity, 30 Suppl 4,
 S11-17.

- Rothenberg EM, Bosaeus IG, Westerterp KR and Steen BC, 2000. Resting energy expenditure,
 activity energy expenditure and total energy expenditure at age 91-96 years. British Journal of
 Nutrition, 84, 319-324.
- Roza AM and Shizgal HM, 1984. The Harris Benedict equation reevaluated: resting energy
 requirements and the body cell mass. American Journal of Clinical Nutrition, 40, 168-182.
- Ruston D, Hoare J, Henderson L, Gregory J, Bates C, Prentice A, Birch M, Swan G and Farron M,
 2004. The National Diet and Nutrition Survey: adults aged 19 to 64 years. Volume 4: Nutritional
 Status (anthropometry and blood analytes), blood pressure and physical activity. TSO, London, 166
 pp.
- SACN (Scientific Advisory Committee on Nutrition), 2011. Dietary recommendations for energy.
 TSO, 220 pp.
- Sadurskis A, Kabir N, Wager J and Forsum E, 1988. Energy metabolism, body composition, and milk
 production in healthy Swedish women during lactation. American Journal of Clinical Nutrition, 48,
 44-49.
- SCF (Scientific Committe on Food), 1993. Report on nutrient and energy intakes for the European
 Community, 31st Series. Food Science and Technique, European Commission, 255 pp.
- Schoeller DA, 1988. Measurement of energy expenditure in free-living humans by using doubly
 labeled water. Journal of Nutrition, 118, 1278-1289.
- Schoeller DA, 2007. Making indirect calorimetry a gold standard for predicting energy requirements
 for institutionalized patients. Journal of the American Dietetic Association, 107, 390-392.
- Schofield WN, Schofield C and James WTP, 1985. Basal metabolic rate: Review and prediction, together with an annotated bibliography of source material. Human Nutrition. Clinical Nutrition, 39C Suppl 1, 1-96.
- Schulz LO and Schoeller DA, 1994. A compilation of total daily energy expenditures and body
 weights in healthy adults. American Journal of Clinical Nutrition, 60, 676-681.
- Schutz Y, Bessard T and Jequier E, 1984. Diet-induced thermogenesis measured over a whole day in
 obese and nonobese women. American Journal of Clinical Nutrition, 40, 542-552.
- Schutz Y, Golay A and Jequier E, 1988. 24 h energy expenditure (24-EE) in pregnant women with a standardised activity level. Experentia, 44, A31.
- Seale JL, Rumpler WV and Moe PW, 1991. Description of a direct-indirect room-sized calorimeter.
 American Journal of Physiology, 260, E306-320.
- Serra-Majem L, Ribas-Barba L, Salvador G, Jover L, Raido B, Ngo J and Plasencia A, 2007. Trends in energy and nutrient intake and risk of inadequate intakes in Catalonia, Spain (1992-2003). Public Health Nutrition, 10, 1354-1367.
- Sette S, Le Donne C, Piccinelli R, Arcella D, Turrini A and Leclercq C, 2010. The third Italian
 National Food Consumption Survey, INRAN-SCAI 2005-06 Part 1: Nutrient intakes in Italy.
 Nutrition, Metabolism and Cardiovascular Diseases, 1-11.
- Sharp TA, Bell ML, Grunwald GK, Schmitz KH, Sidney S, Lewis CE, Tolan K and Hill JO, 2002.
 Differences in resting metabolic rate between white and African-American young adults. Obesity
 Research, 10, 726-732.
- Shetty PS, Henry CJ, Black AE and Prentice AM, 1996. Energy requirements of adults: an update on
 basal metabolic rates (BMRs) and physical activity levels (PALs). European Journal of Clinical
 Nutrition, 50 Suppl 1, S11-23.
- Silva JE, 2006. Thermogenic mechanisms and their hormonal regulation. Physiological Reviews, 86, 435-464.



- Smit HA, Verschuren WMM and Bueno de Mesquita HB, 1994. Monitoring van risicofactoren en gezondheid in Nederland (MORGEN-project): Doelstellingen en werkwijze [Monitoring of risk factors and health in the Netherlands (MORGEN-project): Objectives and methods]. RIVM-rapport nummer 263 200 001, 104 pp.
- Sorkin JD, Muller DC and Andres R, 1999. Longitudinal change in height of men and women:
 implications for interpretation of the body mass index: the Baltimore Longitudinal Study of Aging.
 American Journal of Epidemiology, 150, 969-977.
- Spaaij CJ, van Raaij JM, de Groot LC, van der Heijden LJ, Boekholt HA and Hautvast JG, 1994a.
 Effect of lactation on resting metabolic rate and on diet- and work-induced thermogenesis.
 American Journal of Clinical Nutrition, 59, 42-47.
- Spaaij CJ, van Raaij JM, Van der Heijden LJ, Schouten FJ, Drijvers JJ, De Groot LC, Boekholt HA
 and Hautvast JG, 1994b. No substantial reduction of the thermic effect of a meal during pregnancy
 in well-nourished Dutch women. British Journal of Nutrition, 71, 335-344.
- Stock MJ and Rothwell NJ, 1981. Sympathetic control of brown adipose tissue in the regulation of
 body weight. Biochemical Society Transactions, 9, 525-527.
- Stotland NE, Cheng YW, Hopkins LM and Caughey AB, 2006. Gestational weight gain and adverse
 neonatal outcome among term infants. Obstetrics and Gynecology, 108, 635-643.
- Subar AF, Kipnis V, Troiano RP, Midthune D, Schoeller DA, Bingham S, Sharbaugh CO, Trabulsi J,
 Runswick S, Ballard-Barbash R, Sunshine J and Schatzkin A, 2003. Using intake biomarkers to
 evaluate the extent of dietary misreporting in a large sample of adults: the OPEN study. American
 Journal of Epidemiology, 158, 1-13.
- Szponar L, Sekula W, Nelson M and Weisell RC, 2001. The Household Food Consumption and
 Anthropometric Survey in Poland. Public Health Nutrition, 4, 1183-1186.
- Szponar L, Sekuła W, Rychlik E, Ołtarzewski M and Figurska K, 2003. Badania indywidualnego
 spożycia żywności i stanu odżywienia w gospodarstwach domowych [Household food
 consumption and anthropometric survey]. Instytut Żywności i Żywienia, Warsaw, Poland, 900 pp.
- Teixeira PJ, Going SB, Houtkooper LB, Metcalfe LL, Blew RM, Flint-Wagner HG, Cussler EC,
 Sardinha LB and Lohman TG, 2003. Resistance training in postmenopausal women with and
 without hormone therapy. Medicine and Science in Sports and Exercise, 35, 555-562.
- Tennefors C, Coward WA, Hernell O, Wright A and Forsum E, 2003. Total energy expenditure and physical activity level in healthy young Swedish children 9 or 14 months of age. European Journal of Clinical Nutrition, 57, 647-653.
- Thomson MA, Bucolo S, Quirk P and Shepherd RW, 1995. Measured versus predicted resting energy
 expenditure in infants: a need for reappraisal. Journal of Pediatrics, 126, 21-27.
- TNO/LUMC (Nederlandse Organisatie voor toegepast-natuurwetenschappelijk onderzoek/Leids
 Universitair Medisch Centrum), 1998. Groeidiagrammen van de vierde landelijke groeistudie
 [Growth charts for the fourth nationwide growth study]. Bohn Stafleu Van Loghum.
- Tooze JA, Schoeller DA, Subar AF, Kipnis V, Schatzkin A and Troiano RP, 2007. Total daily energy
 expenditure among middle-aged men and women: the OPEN Study. American Journal of Clinical
 Nutrition, 86, 382-387.
- Torun B and Viteri FE, 1994. Influence of exercise on linear growth. European Journal of Clinical
 Nutrition, 48 Suppl 1, S186-189.
- Torun B, Davies PS, Livingstone MB, Paolisso M, Sackett R and Spurr GB, 1996. Energy requirements and dietary energy recommendations for children and adolescents 1 to 18 years old.
 European Journal of Clinical Nutrition, 50 Suppl 1, S37-80.
- Torun B, 2001. Energy requirements of children and adolescents. Background paper prepared for the
 joint FAO/WHO/UNU Expert consultation on Energy in Human Nutrition, 2001.



- 2479 Torun B, 2005. Energy requirements of children and adolescents. Public Health Nutrition, 8, 968-993.
- Troiano RP, Frongillo EA, Jr., Sobal J and Levitsky DA, 1996. The relationship between body weight
 and mortality: a quantitative analysis of combined information from existing studies. International
 Journal of Obesity and Related Metabolic Disorders, 20, 63-75.
- Tuazon MA, van Raaij JM, Hautvast JG and Barba CV, 1987. Energy requirements of pregnancy in
 the Philippines. Lancet, 2, 1129-1131.
- Valencia ME, McNeill G, Brockway JM and Smith JS, 1992. The effect of environmental temperature
 and humidity on 24 h energy expenditure in men. British Journal of Nutrition, 68, 319-327.
- van Buuren S, Schönbeck Y and van Dommelen P, 2012. CT/EFSA/NDA/2010/01: Collection,
 collation and analysis of data in relation to reference heights and reference weights for female and
 male children and adolescents (0-18 years) in the EU, as well as in relation to the age of onset of
 puberty and the age at which different stages of puberty are reached in adolescents in the EU.
 Technical Report submitted to EFSA, 59 pp.
- van Raaij JM, Vermaat-Miedema SH, Schonk CM, Peek ME and Hautvast JG, 1987. Energy
 requirements of pregnancy in The Netherlands. Lancet, 2, 953-955.
- van Raaij JM, Schonk CM, Vermaat-Miedema SH, Peek ME and Hautvast JG, 1990. Energy cost of
 walking at a fixed pace and self-paced before, during, and after pregnancy. American Journal of
 Clinical Nutrition, 51, 158-161.
- van Raaij JM, Schonk CM, Vermaat-Miedema SH, Peek ME and Hautvast JG, 1991. Energy cost of
 lactation, and energy balances of well-nourished Dutch lactating women: reappraisal of the extra
 energy requirements of lactation. American Journal of Clinical Nutrition, 53, 612-619.
- van Rossum CTM, Fransen HP, Verkaik-Kloosterman J, Buurma-Rethans EJM and Ocké MC, 2011.
 Dutch National Food Consumption Survey 2007-2010: Diet of children and adults aged 7 to 69
 years. RIVM Report number: 350050006/2011, National Institute for Public Health and the
 Environment, 148 pp.
- Vander Weg MW, Watson JM, Klesges RC, Eck Clemens LH, Slawson DL and McClanahan BS,
 2004. Development and cross-validation of a prediction equation for estimating resting energy
 expenditure in healthy African-American and European-American women. European Journal of
 Clinical Nutrition, 58, 474-480.
- Vaughan L, Zurlo F and Ravussin E, 1991. Aging and energy expenditure. American Journal of
 Clinical Nutrition, 53, 821-825.
- Vaz M, Karaolis N, Draper A and Shetty P, 2005. A compilation of energy costs of physical activities.
 Public Health Nutrition, 8, 1153-1183.
- Viteri F and Torun B, 1981. Nutrition, physical activity and growth. In: The biology of normal human
 growth. Eds Ritzén M, Aperia A, Hall K, Larsson A, Zetterberg A, Zetterström R. Raven Press,
 New York, USA, 265-273.
- Wahrlich V, Anjos LA, Going SB and Lohman TG, 2006. Validation of the VO2000 calorimeter for measuring resting metabolic rate. Clinical Nutrition, 25, 687-692.
- 2517 Walsberg GE and Hoffman TC, 2005. Direct calorimetry reveals large errors in respirometric 2518 estimates of energy expenditure. Journal of Experimental Biology, 208, 1035-1043.
- Wang Z, Ying Z, Bosy-Westphal A, Zhang J, Schautz B, Later W, Heymsfield SB and Muller MJ,
 2520 2010. Specific metabolic rates of major organs and tissues across adulthood: evaluation by
 mechanistic model of resting energy expenditure. American Journal of Clinical Nutrition, 92, 13691377.
- Warwick PM, 2006. Factorial estimation of daily energy expenditure using a simplified method was
 improved by adjustment for excess post-exercise oxygen consumption and thermic effect of food.
 European Journal of Clinical Nutrition, 60, 1337-1340.



- Webb P, 1986. 24-hour energy expenditure and the menstrual cycle. American Journal of Clinical Nutrition, 44, 614-619.
- Weijs PJ, Kruizenga HM, van Dijk AE, van der Meij BS, Langius JA, Knol DL, Strack van Schijndel
 RJ and van Bokhorst-de van der Schueren MA, 2008. Validation of predictive equations for resting
 energy expenditure in adult outpatients and inpatients. Clinical Nutrition, 27, 150-157.
- Weijs PJ, 2008. Validity of predictive equations for resting energy expenditure in US and Dutch
 overweight and obese class I and II adults aged 18-65 y. American Journal of Clinical Nutrition,
 88, 959-970.
- Weijs PJ and Vansant GA, 2010. Validity of predictive equations for resting energy expenditure in
 Belgian normal weight to morbid obese women. Clinical Nutrition, 29, 347-351.
- Weir JB, 1949. New methods for calculating metabolic rate with special reference to proteinmetabolism. The Journal of physiology, 109, 1-9.
- 2538 Westerterp KR, Meijer GA, Janssen EM, Saris WH and Ten Hoor F, 1992. Long-term effect of 2539 physical activity on energy balance and body composition. British Journal of Nutrition, 68, 21-30.
- Westerterp KR and Goran MI, 1997. Relationship between physical activity related energy
 expenditure and body composition: a gender difference. International Journal of Obesity and
 Related Metabolic Disorders, 21, 184-188.
- Westerterp KR and Plasqui G, 2004. Physical activity and human energy expenditure. Current Opinion
 in Clinical Nutrition and Metabolic Care, 7, 607-613.
- Weyand PG, Smith BR, Puyau MR and Butte NF, 2010. The mass-specific energy cost of human walking is set by stature. Journal of Experimental Biology, 213, 3972-3979.
- Weyer C, Snitker S, Bogardus C and Ravussin E, 1999. Energy metabolism in African Americans:
 potential risk factors for obesity. American Journal of Clinical Nutrition, 70, 13-20.
- WHO (World Health Organization), 1983. Measuring change in nutritional status. Guidelines for assessing the nutritional impact of supplementary feeding programmes for vulnerable groups. 100 pp.
- WHO (World Health Organization), 1985. Energy and protein requirements: Report of a joint
 FAO/WHO/UNU expert consultation. WHO Technical Report Series No. 724, 206 pp.
- WHO (World Health Organization), 1995a. Maternal anthropometry and pregnancy outcomes. A
 WHO Collaborative Study. Bulletin of the World Health Organization, 73 Suppl, 1-98.
- WHO (World Health Organisation), 1995b. Physical status: the use and interpretation of
 anthropometry. Report of a WHO Expert Committee. WHO Technical Report Series. No. 854, 452
 pp.
- WHO (World Health Organization), 1998. Complementary feeding of young children in developing
 countries. A review of current scientific knowledge. WHO/NUT/98.1, 248 pp.
- WHO (World Health Organization), 2000. Obesity: preventing and managing the global epidemic.
 Report of a WHO consultation. WHO Technical Report Series No. 894, 253 pp.
- WHO (World Health Organization), 2007. Growth reference data for 5-19 years. Available from:
 <u>http://www.who.int/growthref/en/</u>. Accessed on June 2012.
- WHO Multicentre Growth Reference Study Group (World Health Organization), 2006. WHO (World Health Organization) Child Growth Standards: Length/height-for-age, weight-for-age, weight-for-length, weight-for-height and body mass index-for-age: Methods and development. 336 pp.
- WHO Working Group on Infant Growth (World Health Organization), 1994. An evaluation of infant
 growth. WHO/NUT/94.8, 85 pp.
- 2570 Wijers SL, Saris WH and van Marken Lichtenbelt WD, 2009. Recent advances in adaptive 2571 thermogenesis: potential implications for the treatment of obesity. Obesity Reviews, 10, 218-226.



- Willett WC, Dietz WH and Colditz GA, 1999. Guidelines for healthy weight. New England Journal of Medicine, 341, 427-434.
- Wilms B, Schmid SM, Ernst B, Thurnheer M, Mueller MJ and Schultes B, 2010. Poor prediction of
 resting energy expenditure in obese women by established equations. Metabolism: Clinical and
 Experimental, 59, 1181-1189.
- 2577 Withers RT, Smith DA, Tucker RC, Brinkman M and Clark DG, 1998. Energy metabolism in 2578 sedentary and active 49- to 70-yr-old women. Journal of Applied Physiology, 84, 1333-1340.
- Wouters-Adriaens MP and Westerterp KR, 2006. Basal metabolic rate as a proxy for overnight energy
 expenditure: the effect of age. British Journal of Nutrition, 95, 1166-1170.
- Yang X, Li M, Mao D, Zeng G, Zhuo Q, Hu W, Piao J, Yang X and Huang C, 2010. Basal energy
 expenditure in southern Chinese healthy adults: measurement and development of a new equation.
 British Journal of Nutrition, 104, 1817-1823.
- Zuntz N, 1897. Uber den Stoffverbrauch des Hundes bei Muskelarbeit. Pflügers Archiv für die gesammte Physiologie des Menschen und der Thiere, 68, 191-211.
- 2586
- 2587



2588 APPENDICES

2589 APPENDIX 1: PREDICTIVE EQUATIONS FOR REE IN ADULTS

Author	Year	Number of subjects	Age range or mean	BMI range or mean	Remarks on large database	Sex	Statistics and cross validation	REE predictive equations
Harris and	(1919)	239	27 (M)	-	-	136 M	M: r=0.86, CL=±211 kcal ^a	M: BM x 13.7516 + HTCM x 5.0033 - AGE x 6.755+66.473
Benedict ^a (kcal/d) (United States)			31 (F)			103 F	F: r=0.77, CL=±212 kcal	F: BM x 9.5634 + HTCM x 1.8496 - AGE x 4.6756+655.0955
Schofield et al. ^b	(1985)	7,173,	n=4,814	mean BMIs	n=3,388 Italians (47 %),	4,809 M	M: r=0.65, se=0.64, n=2879	M: AGE 18-30 y: 0.063 x BM + 2.896
(body mass)		children	>18 y	groups:	n=322 Indians;		M: r=0.60, se=0.70, n=646	M: AGE 30-60 y: 0.048 x BM + 3.653
(MJ/d)		(about 11,000 values,		between 21 and 24	1 114 published studies, most European and North American subjects (Italian, closed circuit calorimetry)		M: r=0.71, se=0.69, n=50	M: AGE ≥60 y: 0.049 x BM + 2.459
		including group mean				2,364 F	F: r=0.73, se=0.49, n=829	F: AGE 18-30 y: 0.062 x BM + 2.036
		values)					F: r=0.68, se=0.47, n=372	F: AGE 30-60 y: 0.034 x BM + 3.538
							F: r=0.68, se=0.45, n=38	F: AGE ≥60 y: 0.038 x BM + 2.755
Schofield et al. ^b	(1985)	7,173,	n=4,814	mean BMIs	n=3,388 Italians (47 %),	4,809 M	M: r=0.65, se=0.64, n=2879	M: AGE 18-30 y: 0.063 x BM – 0.042 x HTM + 2.953
(body mass		children	>18 y	of the 6 groups:	n=615 tropical residents, n=322 Indians;		M: r=0.60, se=0.70, n=646	M: AGE 30-60 y: 0.048 x BM – 0.011 x HTM + 3.67
and		(about 11,000 values.		between 21 and 24	114 published studies, most European and North		M: r=0.74, se=0.66, n=50	M: AGE ≥60 y: 0.038 x BM + 4.068 x HTM - 3.491
height)		including			American subjects	2,364 F	F: r=0.73, se=0.49, n=829	F: AGE 18-30 y: 0.057 x BM + 1.184 x HTM + 0.411
(MJ/d)		values)			calorimetry)		F: r=0.68, se=0.47, n=372	F: AGE 30-60 y: 0.034 x BM + 0.006 x HTM + 3.53
							F: r=0.73, se=0.43, n=38	F: AGE ≥ 60 y: 0.033 x BM + 1.917 x HTM + 0.074
FAO ^b	(1985)	This report mer	ntions that t	he equations a	re based on Schofield et al		M: r=0.65, SD=0.632	M: AGE 18–30 y: 0.0640 x BM + 2.84
(body mass)		however, the fi	gures in Sch	nofield et al. (1	985) differ slightly from		M: r=0.60, SD=0.686	M: AGE 30–60 y: 0.0485 x BM + 3.67
(MJ/d)		because additio	onal data we	ere included by	the authors of that analysis		M: r=0.79, SD=0.619	M: AGE ≥60 y: 0.0565 x BM + 2.04
		alter the FAO f	eport was c	ompried.			F: r=0.72, SD=0.506	F: AGE 18–30 y: 0.0615 x BM + 2.08
							F: r=0.70, SD=0.452	F: AGE 30–60 y: 0.0364 x BM + 3.47
							F: r=0.74, SD=0.452	F: AGE ≥60 y: 0.0439 x BM + 2.49
(body mass) (MJ/d) Schofield et al. ^b (body mass and height) (MJ/d) FAO ^b (body mass) (MJ/d)	(1985)	children (about 11,000 values, including group mean values) 7,173, including children (about 11,000 values, including group mean values) This report men (1985); however, the fi, those of the FA because additio after the FAO r	n=4,814 >18 y ntions that t gures in Sch O, mal data we eport was c	groups: between 21 and 24 mean BMIs of the 6 groups: between 21 and 24 he equations at nofield et al. (1 pre included by ompiled.	n=322 Indians; 114 published studies, most European and North American subjects (Italian, closed circuit calorimetry) n=3,388 Italians (47 %), n=615 tropical residents, n=322 Indians; 114 published studies, most European and North American subjects (Italian, closed circuit calorimetry) re based on Schofield et al 985) differ slightly from the authors of that analysis	2,364 F 4,809 M 2,364 F	M: r=0.60, se=0.70, n=646 M: r=0.71, se=0.69, n=50 F: r=0.73, se=0.49, n=829 F: r=0.68, se=0.47, n=372 F: r=0.68, se=0.45, n=38 M: r=0.65, se=0.64, n=2879 M: r=0.60, se=0.70, n=646 M: r=0.74, se=0.66, n=50 F: r=0.73, se=0.49, n=829 F: r=0.73, se=0.49, n=372 F: r=0.73, se=0.43, n=38 M: r=0.60, SD=0.632 M: r=0.60, SD=0.686 M: r=0.72, SD=0.506 F: r=0.70, SD=0.452 F: r=0.74, SD=0.452	M: AGE 30-60 y: $0.048 \times BM + 3.653$ M: AGE ≥ 60 y: $0.049 \times BM + 2.459$ F: AGE 18-30 y: $0.062 \times BM + 2.036$ F: AGE 30-60 y: $0.034 \times BM + 3.538$ F: AGE ≥ 60 y: $0.038 \times BM + 2.755$ M: AGE 18-30 y: $0.063 \times BM - 0.042 \times HTM + 2.953$ M: AGE 30-60 y: $0.048 \times BM - 0.011 \times HTM + 3.67$ M: AGE ≥ 60 y: $0.038 \times BM + 4.068 \times HTM - 3.491$ F: AGE 18-30 y: $0.057 \times BM + 1.184 \times HTM + 0.411$ F: AGE 18-30 y: $0.033 \times BM + 1.006 \times HTM + 3.53$ F: AGE ≥ 60 y: $0.033 \times BM + 1.917 \times HTM + 0.074$ M: AGE ≥ 60 y: $0.033 \times BM + 1.917 \times HTM + 0.074$ M: AGE ≥ 60 y: $0.0565 \times BM + 2.04$ F: AGE $18-30$ y: $0.0645 \times BM + 2.08$ F: AGE $18-30$ y: $0.0364 \times BM + 3.47$ F: AGE ≥ 60 y: $0.0364 \times BM + 2.49$



Dietary Reference Values for energy

Author	Year	Number of	Age	BMI range	Remarks on large	Sex	Statistics and cross validation	REE predictive equations
		subjects	range or mean	or mean	database			
FAO ^b	(1985)	This report me (1985);	ntions that th	he equations are	based on Schofield et al		M: r=0.65, RSD=0.632	M: AGE 18–30 y: 0.0644 x BM – 0.1130 x HTM + 3.0
(body mass		however, the fit those of the FA	gures in Sch O,	nofield et al. (19	85) differ slightly from		M: r=0.60, RSD=0.686	M: AGE 30–60 y: 0.0472 x BM + 0.0669 x HTM + 3.769
and		because addition after the FAO	onal data we report was c	re included by th ompiled.	ne authors of that analysis		M: r=0.84, RSD=0.552	M: AGE ≥60 y: 0.0368 x BM + 4.7195 x HTM – 4.481
height)			•	*			F: r=0.73, RSD=0.502	F: AGE 18–30 y: 0.0556 x BM + 1.3974 x HTM + 0.146
(MJ/d)							F: r=0.70, RSD=0.452	F: AGE 30–60 y: 0.0364 x BM – 0.1046 x HTM + 3.619
							F: r=0.82, RSD=0.393	F: AGE ≥60 y: 0.0385 x BM + 2.6652 x HTM – 1.264
Owen et al.	(1987) (M)	104	18-82 y (M)	20.4-58.7 (M)	-	60 M (including 16 obese, BMI>30 kg/m ²)	M: r=0.75	M: BM x 10.2 + 879
(Kcal/d) (United States)	(1986) (F)		18-65 (F)	18.2-49.6 (F)		44 F (including 16 obese, BMI>30 kg/m ²)	F: r=0.74	F: BM x 7.18 + 795
Mifflin et al. (kcal/d) (United States)	(1990)	498 (264 normal body mass, 234 obese)	19-78 y	17-42	-	251 M (129 normal body mass, 122 obese), 247 F (135 normal body mass, 112 obese)	R ² =0.71	9.99 x BM + 6.25 x HTCM – 4.92 x AGE + 166 x SEX - 161
De Lorenzo et al. (kJ/d) (Italy)	(2001)	320	18–59 y	Mean: about 27 (range: 18.6–40)	-	127 M, 193 F	M: R ² =0.597, s.e.e.=650 kJ/d F: R ² =0.597, s.e.e.=581 kJ/d	M: 53.284 x BM + 20.957 x HTCM – 23.859 x AGE + 487 F: 46.322 x BM + 15.744 x HTCM – 16.66 x AGE + 944
Müller et al. ^b (MJ/d) (Germany)	(2004)	2,528 (development of equation in sub- population 1: n=1,046)	5–91 y	Mean BMI: 27	-	1,027 M, 1,501 F (development: 388 M, 658 F)	Development: R ² =0.73, s.e.e.=0.83. Cross-validation in sub- population 2: n=1,059 (410 M, 649 F) ^e , r=0.83	0.047 x BM – 0.01452 x AGE + 1.009 x SEX + 3.21
Müller et al. ^b (BMI ^f , MJ/d) (Germany)	(2004)	2,528 (development of equation in sub- population 1: n=1,046)	5–91 y	Mean BMI: 27	-	1,027 M, 1,501 F (development: 388 M, 658 F)	$\begin{array}{l} \mbox{Development: } R^2 = 0.52, \ s.e. = 0.79 \\ (n = 444, \ for \ BMI > 18.5 \ to \ 25). \\ \ Cross-validation \ in \ sub-population \ 2: \ r = 0.72. \\ \mbox{Development: } R^2 = 0.62, \ s.e. = 0.77 \\ (n = 266, \ for \ BMI > 25 \ to \ <30). \\ \ Cross-validation \ in \ sub-population \ 2: \ r = 0.79 \\ \mbox{Development: } R^2 = 0.75, \ s.e. = 0.91 \\ (n = 278, \ for \ BMI \ge 30). \ Cross-validation \ 12: \ r = 0.84 \\ \end{array}$	BMI >18.5 to 25: 0.02219 x BM +0.02118 x HTCM - 0.01191 x AGE + 0.884 x SEX + 1.233 BMI >25 to <30: 0.04507 x BM - 0.01553 x AGE + 1.006 x SEX + 3.407 BMI ≥30: 0.05 x BM - 0.01586 x AGE + 1.103 x SEX + 2.924



Author	Year	Number of subjects	Age range or mean	BMI range or mean	Remarks on large database	Sex	Statistics and cross validation	REE predictive equations	
Müller et al. ^b (FFM ^d , MJ/d) (Germany)	(2004)	2,528 (development of equation in sub- population 1: n=1,046)	5–91 y	Mean BMI: 27	-	1,027 M, 1,501 F (development: 388 M, 658 F),	Development: R ² =0.71, s.e.e.=0.77. Cross-validation in sub- population 2: n=1,059 (410 M, 649 F) ^e : r=0.83	0.05192 x FFM + 0.04036 x FM + 0.869 x SEX - 0.01181 x AGE + 2.992	
	(2004)	2,528 (development of equation in	5–91 y	Mean BMI: 27	-	1,027 M, 1,501 F (development: 388 M, 658 F),	Development: R^2 =0.54, s.e.e.=0.78, (n=444, for BMI > 18.5 to 25). Cross-validation in sub-	BMI > 18.5 to 25: 0.0455 x FFM + 0.0278 x FM + 0.879 x SEX - 0.01291 x AGE + 3.634	
Müller et al. ^b (BMI ^f and FFM ^d , MJ/d)		population 1: n=1,046)					Development: R^2 =0.65, s.e.e.=0.62 (n=266, for BMI >25 to <30). Cross-validation in sub-	BMI >25 to <30: 0.03776 x FFM + 0.03013 x FM + 0.93 x SEX - 0.01196 x AGE + 3.928	
(Germany)							Development: R^2 =0.70, s.e.e.=0.87 (n=278, for BMI ≥30). Cross- validation in sub-population 2: r=0.84.	BMI \geq 30: 0.05685 x FFM + 0.04022 x FM + 0.808 x SEX - 0.01402 x AGE + 2.818	
Vander Weg et al. (Memphis equation) (kJ/d) (United States)	(2004)	471 women (development of equation in sub- population 1: 239 women)	18-39 y	Mean BMI: 25.2		471 women (205 African American, 266 European American)	Development: 239 women (97 African American, 142 European American); adjusted R ² : 0.51. Cross-validation in sub-population 2: 232 women (108 African American, 124 European American); adjusted R ² : 0.55 for African American, 0.31 for European American	616.93 - 14.9 x AGE + 35.12 x BM + 19.83 x HTCM – 271.88 x ETHNICITY	
Henry ^b	(2005)	10,552	-	-	166 separate	5,794 M	M: r= 0.760, se=0.652; n=2,821	M: AGE 18–30 y: 0.0669 x BM + 2.28	
(body mass)		including			individual data points; all		M: r=0.742, se=0.693; n=1,010	M: AGE 30–60 y: 0.0592 x BM + 2.48	
(MJ/d)		children			Italian, closed circuit data excluded; 4,018 subjects		M: r=0.776, se=0.685; n=534	M: AGE ≥60 y: 0.0563 x BM + 2.15	
					from the tropics included		M: r=0.766, se=0.697; n=270	M: AGE 60-70 y: 0.0543 x BM + 2.37	
							M: r=0.779, se=0.667; n=264	M: AGE ≥70 y: 0.0573 x BM + 2.01	
(Oxford						4,702 F (4,708)	F: r=0.700, se=0.564; n=1,664	F: AGE 18–30 y: 0.0546 x BM + 2.33	
Database)							F: r=0.690, se=0.581; n=1,023	F: AGE 30–60 y: 0.0407 x BM + 2.90	
							F: r=0.786, se=0.485; n=334 (340)	F: AGE ≥60 y: 0.0424 x BM + 2.38	
							F: r=0.796, se=0.476; n=185	F: AGE 60-70 y: 0.0429 x BM + 2.39	
							F: r=0.746, se=0.518; n=155	F: AGE ≥70 y: 0.0417 x BM + 2.41	



Author	Year	Number of subjects	Age range or	BMI range or mean	Remarks on large database	Sex	Statistics and cross validation	REE predictive equations
			mean					
Henry ^b	(2005)	10,552 (10502?)	-	-	166 separate investigations, only	5,794 M,	M: r=0.764, se=0.648; n=2,816	M: AGE 18–30 y: 0.0600 x BM + 1.31 x HTM + 0.473
(body mass and		including			individual data points; all Italian closed circuit data		M: r=0.756, se= 0.678; n=1,006	M: AGE 30–60 y: 0.0476 x BM + 2.26 x HTM - 0.574
height)		children			excluded; 4,018 subjects		M: r=0.789, se=0.668; n= 533	M: AGE ≥60 y: M: 0.0478 x BM + 2.26 x HTM - 1.07
(MJ/d)					from the tropics included.	4,702 F	F: r=0.724, se=0.542; n=1,655	F: AGE 18–30 y: 0.0433 x BM + 2.57 x HTM - 1.18
(Oxford							F: r=0.713, se=0.564; n=1,023	F: AGE 30–60 y: 0.0342 x BM + 2.10 x HTM - 0.0486
database)							F: r=0.805, se=0.472; n=324	F: AGE ≥ 60 y: 0.0356 x BM + 1.76 x HTM + 0.0448

CL, confidence limits; ETHNICITY (African American = 1, European American = 0); F, female; FFM, fat free mass (kg); FM, fat mass (kg); HTCM, height in cm; HTM, height in meter; M, male; r, correlation coefficient; SD, standard deviation; SEX (M=1, F=0); se, standard error; s.e.e., standard error of estimate; BM, body mass in kg.

^a From: (Roza and Shizgal, 1984) (not the original publication)

^b Equations are also available for children (FAO/WHO/UNU, 1985; Henry, 2005; Müller et al., 2004; Schofield et al., 1985).

 $^{\circ}$ Equations are also available for BMI ≤ 18.5 , either with body mass, age and sex, or with FFM and FM.

^dBody composition method: bioimpedance analysis (different equations, multicenter study).

2596 ° Including n=482 (BMI >18.5-25), n=267 (BMI >25 to <30), n=261 (BMI ≥30).

2597 ^f Equation also available for BMI ≤ 18.5 .

2598 ^g Figures given in Italics differ from those in the publication but are assumed to be as such after recalculation of the figures, as also stated in Ramirez-Zea (2005) for total number per sex.



2	60)()
_		.0

APPENDIX 2A: POPULATION, METHODS AND PERIOD OF DIETARY ASSESSMENT IN CHILDREN AND ADOLESCENTS IN EUROPEAN COUNTRIES

Country	Population	Dietary assessment method	Year of survey	Reference
Austria	Boys and girls aged 7-9 years	3-day record	2007-2008	(Elmadfa et al., 2009a; Elmadfa et al., 2009b)
	Boys and girls aged 10-14 years	3-day record	2007-2008	(Elmadfa et al., 2009a; Elmadfa et al., 2009b)
	Boys and girls aged 14-19 years	24-hour recall	2003-2004	(Elmadfa et al., 2009a; Elmadfa et al., 2009b). Mainly from a large Viennese sample.
Belgium	Boys and girls aged 2.5-3 years	3-day record	2002-2003	(Huybrechts and De Henauw, 2007). Data collected in Flanders.
	Boys and girls aged 4-6.5 years	3-day record	2002-2003	(Huybrechts and De Henauw, 2007). Data collected in Flanders.
	Boys and girls aged 13-15 years	7-day record	1997	(Matthys et al., 2003). Data collected in the region of Ghent in Flanders.
	Boys and girls aged 15-18 years	2 x 24-hour recall	2004	(De Vriese et al., 2006)
Delassia		24 h	1009	(A h m h m m m + 1, 1000)
Dulgaria	Boys and girls aged 1-5 years	24-hour recall	1998	(Abrasheva et al., 1998)
	Boys and girls aged 5-byears	24-hour recall	1998	(Abrasheva et al., 1998)
	Boys and girls aged 6-10 years	24-nour recall	1998	(Abrasheva et al., 1998)
	Boys and girls aged 10-14 years	24-nour recall	1998	(Abrasheva et al., 1998)
	Boys and girls aged 14-18 years	24-hour recall	1998	(Abrasheva et al., 1998)
Czech	Boys and girls aged 4-6 years	48-hour recall	2007	(Elmadfa et al. 2009b)
Republic	Boys and girls aged 7-9 years	48-hour recall	2007	(Elmadfa et al. 2009b)
Republic	Doys and gins aged () years		2007	
Denmark	Boys and girls aged 1-3 years	7-day record	1995	(Andersen et al., 1996)
	Boys and girls aged 4-5 years	7-day record	2003-2008	(Pedersen et al., 2010)
	Boys and girls aged 6-9 years	7-day record	2003-2008	(Pedersen et al., 2010)
	Boys and girls aged 10-13 years	7-day record	2003-2008	(Pedersen et al., 2010)
	Boys and girls aged 14-17 years	7-day record	2003-2008	(Pedersen et al., 2010)
	Children and Lawren	2 day we and	2002 2005	$(V_{2}, H_{2}^{*})^{*} \rightarrow -1$ 2000, $V_{2}, H_{2}^{*})^{*} \rightarrow -1$ 2010)
Finland	Children aged 1 year	3-day record	2003-2005	(Kyttala et al., 2008; Kyttala et al., 2010)
	Children aged 2 years	3-day record	2003-2005	(Kyttala et al., 2008; Kyttala et al., 2010) (Kyttala et al., 2008; Kyttala et al., 2010)
	Children aged 3 years	3 day record	2003-2005	(Kyttala et al., 2008; Kyttala et al., 2010)
	Children aged 4 years	3-day record	2003-2005	(Kyttälä et al., 2008; Kyttälä et al., 2010)
	Children aged 6 years	3-day record	2003-2005	(Kyttälä et al., 2008; Kyttälä et al., 2010)
France	Boys and girls aged 4-6 years	3x 24-hour recall	2006-2007	(Elmadfa et al., 2009b)
1.1.1.00	Boys and girls aged 7-9 years	3x 24-hour recall	2006-2007	(Elmadfa et al. 2009b)
	Boys and girls aged 10-14 years	3x 24-hour recall	2006-2007	(Elmadfa et al. 2009b)
	Boys and girls aged 15-19 years	3x 24-hour recall	2000-2007	(Elmadfa et al. 2009b)
	Doys and gins aged 13-18 years	JA 24-IIOUI ICCAII	2000-2007	(Elifiaura et al., 20070)



Country	Population	Dietary assessment method	Year of survey	Reference
· · ·	-	•	v	
Germany	Infants aged 12 months	3-day records	1989-2003	(Hilbig and Kersting, 2006).
	Children aged 18 months	3-day records	1989-2003	(Hilbig and Kersting, 2006).
	Children aged 2 years	3-day records	1989-2003	(Hilbig and Kersting, 2006).
	Children aged 3 years	3-day records	1989-2003	(Hilbig and Kersting, 2006).
	Boys and girls aged 6 years	3-day record	2006	(Elmadfa et al., 2009b; Mensink et al., 2007)
	Boys and girls aged 7-9 years	3-day record	2006	(Elmadfa et al., 2009b; Mensink et al., 2007)
	Boys and girls aged 10-11 years	3-day record	2006	(Elmadfa et al., 2009b; Mensink et al., 2007)
	Boys and girls aged 12 years	Dietary history (over the last 4 weeks)	2006	(Elmadfa et al., 2009b; Mensink et al., 2007)
	Boys and girls aged 13-14 years	Dietary history (over the last 4 weeks)	2006	(Elmadfa et al., 2009b; Mensink et al., 2007)
	Boys and girls aged 15-17 years	Dietary history (over the last 4 weeks)	2006	(Mensink et al., 2007)
C	Deers and side and 1.5 mere		2002 2004	$(M_{\rm ex})_{\rm ex} = 2006 M_{\rm ex})_{\rm ex} = 4 - 1 - 2000)$
Greece	Boys and girls aged 1-5 years	3-day record (weighed food records and 24 h recall or food diaries)	2003-2004	(Manios, 2006; Manios et al., 2008) (Manios, 2006; Manios et al., 2008)
	Boys and girls aged 12-24 mo	3-day record (weighed lood records and 24 h recall or lood diaries)	2003-2004	(Manios, 2006; Manios et al., 2008) (Manios, 2006; Manios et al., 2008)
	Boys and girls aged 25-50 mo	3-day record (weighed food records and 24 h recall or food diaries)	2003-2004	(Manios, 2006; Manios et al., 2008) (Manios, 2006; Manios et al., 2008)
	Boys and girls aged 40.60 mg	2 day record (weighed food records and 24 h recall or food diaries)	2003-2004	(Manios, 2006; Manios et al., 2008) (Manios, 2006; Manios et al., 2008)
	Boys and gins aged 49-60 mo	5-day record (weighed food records and 24 if recarl of food diaries)	2003-2004	(Mainos, 2000; Mainos et al., 2008)
Hungary	Boys and girls aged 11-14 years	3-day record	2005-2006	(Biro et al., 2007). Data collected in Budapest.
Ireland	Boys and girls aged 5-8 years	7-day record	2003-2004	(IUNA (Irish Universities Nutrition Alliance), a)
	Boys and girls aged 9-12 years	7-day record	2003-2004	(IUNA (Irish Universities Nutrition Alliance), a)
	Boys and girls aged 13-14 years	7-day record	2005-2006	(IUNA (Irish Universities Nutrition Alliance), b)
	Boys and girls aged 15-17 years	7-day record	2005-2006	(IUNA (Irish Universities Nutrition Alliance), b)
T (1	D 1 1 0 2		2005 2006	(0,, 1, 2010)
Italy	Boys and girls 0-<3 years	Consecutive 3-day food records	2005-2006	(Sette et al., 2010) $(0, t, t, t, 1, 2010)$
	Boys and girls 3-<10 years	Consecutive 3-day food records	2005-2006	(Sette et al., 2010) $(3 + 4 + 2010)$
	Boys and girls 10-<18 years	Consecutive 3-day food records	2005-2006	(Sette et al., 2010)
Latvia	Boys and girls aged 7-16 years	2 non-consecutive 24-hour dietary recalls + food frequency questionnaire	2008	(Joffe et al., 2009)
The	Infants aged 9 months	2-day record (independent days)	2002	(de Boer et al., 2006)
Netherlands	Infants aged 12 months	2-day record (independent days)	2002	(de Boer et al., 2006)
	Children aged 18 months	2-day record (independent days)	2002	(de Boer et al., 2006)
	Boys and girls aged 2-3 years	2-day record (independent days)	2005-2006	(Ocké et al., 2008)
	Boys and girls aged 4-6 years	2-day record (independent days)	2005-2006	(Ocké et al., 2008)
	Boys and girls aged 7-8 years	2 non-consecutive 24-hour dietary recalls	2007-2010	(van Rossum et al., 2011)
	Boys and girls aged 9-13years	2 non-consecutive 24-hour dietary recalls	2007-2010	(van Rossum et al., 2011)
	Boys and girls aged 14-18 years	2 non-consecutive 24-hour dietary recalls	2007-2010	(van Rossum et al., 2011)
Norway	Children aged 2 years	Food Frequency Questionnaire	2007	(Kristiansen and Andersen, 2009)
	Boys and girls aged 4 years	4-day record	2000	(Elmadfa et al., 2009b)
	Boys and girls aged 9 years	4-day record	2000	(Elmadfa et al., 2009b)
	Boys and girls aged 13 years	4-day record	2000	(Elmadfa et al., 2009b)
	Boys and girls aged 16-19 years	Food Frequency Questionnaire	1997	(Johansson and Sovoll, 1999)



Country	Population	Dietary assessment method	Year of survey	Reference
Poland	Boys and girls aged 1-3 years	24-hour recall	2000	(Szponar et al., 2003)
	Boys and girls aged 4-6 years	24-hour recall	2000	(Szponar et al., 2003)
	Boys and girls aged 7-9 years	24-hour recall	2000	(Szponar et al., 2003)
	Boys and girls aged 10-12 years	24-hour recall	2000	(Szponar et al., 2003)
	Boys and girls aged 13-15 years	24-hour recall	2000	(Szponar et al., 2003)
	Boys and girls aged 16-18 years	24-hour recall	2000	(Szponar et al., 2003)
Portugal	Boys and girls aged 5-10 years	Food Frequency Questionnaire	2006-2007	(Moreira et al., 2010). Data collected in Porto.
Slovenia	Boys and girls aged 14-16 years	Food Frequency Questionnaire	2003-2005	(Kobe et al., 2011)
Spain	Boys and girls aged 10-14 years	2 non-consecutive 24-hour recalls	2002-2003	(Elmadfa et al., 2009b). Data collected in Catalonia.
-	Boys and girls aged 15-18 years	2 non-consecutive 24-hour recalls	2002-2003	(Elmadfa et al., 2009b). Data collected in Catalonia.
Sweden	Boys and girls aged 4 years	4-day record	2003	(Enghardt-Barbieri et al., 2006)
	Boys and girls aged 8-9 years	4-day record	2003	(Enghardt-Barbieri et al., 2006)
	Boys and girls aged 11-12 years	4-day record	2003	(Enghardt-Barbieri et al., 2006)
	D		2000 2010	
United	Boys and girls aged 1.5-3 years	4-day food diary	2008-2010	(Bates et al., 2011)
Kingdom	Boys and girls aged 4-10 years	4-day food diary	2008-2010	(Bates et al., 2011)
	Boys and girls aged 11-18 years	4-day food diary	2008-2010	(Bates et al., 2011)
mo: months				

2601


APPENDIX 2B:

2603

Country Ν Energy Age Energy (years) (MJ/d) (kcal/d) SD P50 P5 - P95P50 P5 - P95mean mean SDInfants and/or young children (both sexes) 5.4¹ 1,401 705 Bulgaria 1-3 years 154 5.9¹ 3.0¹ 1,299 432^{2,3} Germany 12 mo 0.35^{4} 0.06^{4} 478^{2} 0.33⁴ 0.06^{4} 18 mo 0.05^{4} 2 years 458^{2} 0.32^{4} 427^{2} 0.314 0.05^{4} 3 years 4.7 4.4 0-<3 years 52 1.8 1.9-8.0 1,113 419 1,057 457-1,905 Italy The Netherlands 333 4.1 0.7 4.0 3.2-5.05 9 mo 12 mo 4.5 0.7 4.4 3.7-5.4⁵ 306 $4.0-5.9^{5}$ 18 mo 302 4.9 0.8 4.8 United Kingdom 1.5-3 years 219 4.8 1.2 4.7 $2.7-7.0^{6}$ 1,127 280 1,113 649-1,678⁶ Young children Boys Belgium 2.5-3 102 6.5 1.1 6.5 129 Denmark 1-3 6.9 Finland 1^{3} 257 3.9 0.7 938 158 1,107 234 2 112 4.6 1.0 3 236 5.4 1.0 1,279 236 100 5.4 0.9 Greece 12-24 mo 1,277 211 274 1.0 1,395 228 25-36 mo 5.8 37-48 mo 488 6.0 1.0 1,442 237 The Netherlands 327 5.8 5.7 4.2-7.5 1,375 1,363 1,000-1,792 2-3 5.9 1.5 Norway 829 2 2.2 Poland 1-3 70 5.9 5.5 1,407 524 1,318 Girls 2.5-3 0.9 5.7 95 5.8 Belgium Denmark 1-3 149 6.4 Finland 1^{3} 198 3.6 0.6 863 132 4.5 0.9 1,077 213 2 118 235 5.0 1.0 1,211 234 3 107 5.2 0.8 1,247 179 Greece 12-24 mo 25-36 mo 226 5.6 0.9 1,338 219 37-48 mo 434 5.8 1.0 1,379 237 The Netherlands 2-3 313 5.5 5.4 4.1-7.2 1,308 1,288 971-1708 1.5 Norway 826 5.5 2 48 1.6 1,283 378 Poland 5.4 1-3 5.3 1,277

ENERGY INTAKE OF CHILDREN AGED ~1-3 YEARS IN EUROPEAN COUNTRIES

2604

²⁶⁰⁵ ¹Calculated from values in kcal;

mo: months

2606 2 Number of 3-day records;

2607 ³Breast-fed infants not included

⁴MJ/kg body mass (mean body mass of boys and girls, at 12 mo (whether breast-fed or not): 10.1 and 9.3 kg; 18 mo: 11.8 and 11.0 kg; 2 years: 13.2 and 12.3 kg; 3 years: 15.6 and 14.7 kg, respectively). Underreporters excluded.

2610 ⁴P10-P90

2611 ⁵P2.5-P97.5



2613 APPENDIX 2C: ENERGY INTAKE OF CHILDREN AGED ~4-6 YEARS IN EUROPEAN COUNTRIES

Country	Age (vears)	Ν			Energy (MJ/d)				Energy (kcal/d)	
	(j cui s)		mean	SD	P50	P5 - P95	mean	SD	P50	P5 - P95
Boys										
Belgium	4-6.5	236	6.4	0.9	6.4					
Czech Republic	4-6	641	6.5	1.3						
Denmark	4-5	81	7.7	1.5	7.6	5.7-10.5				
Finland	4	307	5.8	1.1			1,388	258		
	6	364	6.7	1.2			1,599	278		
France	4-6	164	6.3	0.1						
Germany	6	106	7.2	1.4	7.3	4.8-9.8	1,712	332	1,738	1,145-2,341
Greece	49-60 mo	356	6.2	0.1			1,475	296		
The Netherlands	4-6	327	6.7		6.6	5.3-8.2	1,587		1,579	1,252-1,951
Norway	4	206	6.3	1.5						
Poland	4-6	82	7.9	2.4	7.5		1,890	562	1,800	
Sweden	4	302	6.5	1.2	6.5	4.5-8.8	1,556	298	1,546	1,086-2,097
United Kingdom	4-10	210	6.7	1.3	6.6	4.3-9.7 ¹	1,591	314	1,573	1,021-2,3011
Girls										
Belgium	4-6.5	228	5.9	0.9	5.9					
Czech Republic	4-6	446	6.5	1.3						
Denmark	4-5	78	7.0	1.6	6.8	5.2-9.7				
Finland	4	247	5.5	1.0			1,302	233		
	6	349	6.0	1.1			1,431	256		
France	4-6	162	6.3	0.1						
Germany	6	102	6.3	1.3	6.2	3.8-8.7	1,511	320	1,471	912-2,071
Greece	49-60 mo	389	5.9	0.1			1,414	260		
The Netherlands	4-6	312	6.2		6.2	4.7-7.8	1,479		1,470	1,123-1,866
Norway	4	185	6.1	1.2						
Poland	4-6	84	7.1	2.4	7.0		1,698	582	1,663	
Sweden	4	288	6.1	1.2	6.1	4.2-7.9	1,454	289	1,450	1,000-1,895
United Kingdom	4-10	213	6.4	1.3	6.5	3.8-8.9 ¹	1,519	314	1,531	900-2,114 ¹
Both sexes										
Bulgaria	3-6	199	7.4 ²	3.1 ²	6.8 ²		1,759	735	1,628	
Italy	3-<10	193	8.0	2.0	8.0	4.8-11.5	1,914	488	1,906	1,138-2,750

¹P2.5-P97.5

²Calculated from values in kcal.



Country	Age (years)	N	mean	SD	Energy (MJ/d) P50	P5 – P95	mean	SD	Energy (kcal/d) P50	P5 – P95
Boys										
Austria	7-9	146	6.9	1.9						
Czech Republic	7-9	940	7.6	2.8						
Denmark	6-9	172	8.8	2.2	8.4	6.2-12.7				
France	7-9	160	7.6	0.2						
Germany	7-9	321	7.8	1.6	7.8	5.5-10.6	1,867	371	1,850	1,312-2,514
Ireland	5-8	145	6.8	1.5	6.8	4.6-9.6	1,625	359	1,608	1,106-2,287
Latvia	7-16	295	8.2 ¹				1,948			
The Netherlands	7-8	153			8.1	5.3-11.6			1,929	1,267-2,753
Norway	9	402	8.6	2.0						
Poland	7-9	101	9.1	2.9	9.1		2,184	695	2,167	
Portugal	5-10	985	9.7 ¹	2.7 ¹			2,327	647		
Sweden	8-9	444	8.1	1.8	8.0	5.5-11.2	1,927	423	1,901	1,311-2,682
Girls										
Austria	7-9	134	6.3	1.6						
Czech Republic	7-9	765	7.6	2.8						
Denmark	6-9	151	7.8	1.6	7.7	5.5-10.8				
France	7-9	144	6.9	0.2						
Germany	7-9	308	7.0	1.4	7.0	4.5-9.5	1,663	333	1,669	1,075-2,27
Ireland	5-8	151	6.4	1.2	6.2	4.6-8.4	1,517	278	1,467	1,105-1,98
Latvia	7-16	277	6.9 ¹				1,660			
The Netherlands	7-8	151			8.4	5.9-11.3			2,011	1,409-2,70
Norway	9	408	7.7	2.0						
Poland	7-9	103	8.0	2.5	7.8		1,921	592	1,843	
Portugal	5-10	991	9.1 ¹	2.5 ¹			2,177	593		
Sweden	8-9	445	7.2	1.5	7.1	4.8-9.6	1,719	360	1,699	1,139-2,30
Both sexes										
Bulgaria	6-10	235	9.5 ¹	3.8 ¹	9.1 ¹		2,277	900	2,179	

2617 APPENDIX 2D: ENERGY INTAKE OF CHILDREN AGED ~7-9 YEARS IN EUROPEAN COUNTRIES

¹Calculated from values in kcal.



2620 **APPENDIX 2E:**

X 2E: ENERGY INTAKE OF CHILDREN AGED ~10-14 YEARS IN EUROPEAN COUNTRIES

Country	Age	Age N Energy		Energy (keel/d)						
	(years)		mean	SD	(MJ/d) <i>P50</i>	P5 – P95	mean	SD	(kcal/d) <i>P50</i>	P5 – P95
Boys				~				~-		
Austria	10-14	248	7.0	2.0						
Belgium	13-15	74	10.6	2.1						
Bulgaria	10-14	167	11.1 ¹	4.5 ¹	10.2 ¹		2,659	1,071	2,450	
Denmark	10-13	164	9.3	2.5	9.3	5.9-12.7				
France	10-14	160	8.7	0.2						
Germany	10-11	199	8.0	1.8	7.6	5.4-11.3	1,908	436	1,813	1,297-2,682
	12	114	10.6	3.2	10.4	6.1-18.1	2,522	769	2,470	1,455-4,316
	13-14	214	11.7	3.8	11.4	6.3-18.4	2,803	917	2,726	1,503-4,383
Hungary	11-14	124	10.4	1.9			2,489	453		
Ireland	9-12	148	8.0	1.6	7.9	5.8-10.5	1,890	369	1,871	1,383-2,495
	13-14	95	9.0	2.1	8.9	5.8-12.9	2,137	502	2,103	1,398-3,073
Italy	10-<18	108	10.8	3.1	10.6	6.8-15.5	2,576	744	2,540	1,630-3,709
The Netherlands	9-13	351			9.8	6.6-13.7			2,330	1,576-3,253
Norway	13	590	9.5	3.5						
Poland	10-12	128	10.3	3.4	10.1		2,468	821	2,414	
	13-15	218	13.2	4.6	12.7		3,145	1,092	3,027	
Spain	10-14	66	9.8	1.7						
Sweden	11-12	517	7.8	2.2	7.6	4.5-11.8	1,864	518	1,814	1,075-2,811
United Kingdom	11-18	238	8.5	2.1	8.1	4.5-12.7 ²	2,007	508	1,916	1,074-3,019 ²
Girls										
Austria	10-14	239	6.1	1.7						
Belgium	13-15	89	8.0	2.0						
Bulgaria	10-14	180	9.3 ¹	3.7 ¹	9.0 ¹		2,225	881	2,143	
Denmark	10-13	196	7.9	2.3	7.8	4.5-11.3				
France	10-14	144	7.5	0.1						
Germany	10-11	198	7.6	1.6	7.7	5.2-10.3	1,808	394	1,842	1,234-2,444
	12	103	9.3	3.2	8.3	4.2-14.7	2,222	763	1,986	1,007-3,508
	13-14	230	9.5	2.7	9.3	5.6-14.1	2,277	651	2,224	1,332-3,352
Hungary	11-14	111	9.2	1.5			2,195	358		
Ireland	9-12	150	7.0	1.4	6.9	4.6-9.4	1,654	333	1,649	1,089-2,227
	13-14	93	7.0	1.6	7.0	4.3-9.9	1,674	377	1,667	1,009-2,356
Italy	10-<18	139	8.7	2.2	8.7	5.0-12.5	2,091	532	2,081	1,187-2,999
The Netherlands	9-13	352			8.4	5.9-11.3			2,010	1,408-2,705
Norway	13	515	8.1	2.6						
Poland	10-12	121	8.9	2.7	8.8		2,124	646	2,098	
	13-15	134	10.0	3.7	9.7		2,385	882	2,308	
Spain	10-14	53	8.4	0.9						
Sweden	11-12	499	6.9	1.9	6.7	4.0-10.1	1,650	453	1,613	958-2,410
United Kingdom	11-18	215	6.9	1.7	6.9	3.6-10.3 ²	1,637	413	1,637	850-2,437 ²

2621 2622 2623

¹Calculated from values in kcal ²P2.5-97.5



2624 APPENDIX 2F: ENERGY INTAKE OF ADOLESCENTS AGED ~15-18 YEARS IN EUROPEAN **COUNTRIES**

2625

Country	Age (vears)	Ν			Energy (MJ/d)			E (k	nergy cal/d)	
	• /		me	ean SD	P50	P5 - P95	mean	SD	P50	P5 - P95
Boys										
Austria	14-19	1,527	11.5	3.0						
Belgium	15-18	405	11.0	2.6	10.8		2,639	631	2,592	
Bulgaria	14-18	178	11.9 ¹	4.1 ¹	11.1 ¹		2,842	974	2,657	
Denmark	14-17	101	10.1	3.2	10.5	5.0-14.9				
France	15-18	181	10.2	0.4						
Germany	15-17	294	14.3	5.4	13.4	8.0-23.0	3,414	1,286	3,202	1,905-5,498
Ireland	15-17	129	9.9	2.5	9.7	6.1-14.6	2,344	595	2,314	1,459-3,473
The Netherlands	14-18	352			11.0	7.7-15.0			2,622	1,830-3,580
Norway	16-19	92	13.9							
Poland	16-18	130	14.7	4.8	14.1		3,504	1,130	3,380	
Slovenia	14-16	1,085	12.8				3,053			
Spain	15-18	61	10.7	2.0						
Girls										
Austria	14-19	1,422	8.5	2.2						
Belgium	15-18	401	7.7	1.6	7.6		1,844	373	1,817	
Bulgaria	14-18	190	9.0 ¹	3.4 ¹	8.3 ¹		2,149	824	1,994	
Denmark	14-17	134	7.4	2.3	7.1	4.3-11.2				
France	15-18	222	6.8	0.2						
Germany	15-17	317	9.9	3.8	9.3	5.4-16.2	2,364	916	2,228	1,284-3,853
Ireland	15-17	124	7.2	2.1	7.0	4.0-10.9	1,712	491	1,663	952-2,599
The Netherlands	14-18	354			8.4	5.9-11.3			2,008	1,406-2,703
Norway	16-19	86	9.1							
Poland	16-18	122	9.4	3.7	8.8		2,237	887	2,108	
Slovenia	14-16	1,346	9.8				2,332			
Spain	15-18	57	7.9	1.1						

2626

¹Calculated from values in kcal



2627 APPENDIX 3A: POPULATION, METHODS AND PERIOD OF DIETARY ASSESSMENT IN ADULTS IN EUROPEAN COUNTRIES

Country	Population	Dietary assessment method	Year of survey	Reference
Austria	Men and women aged 19-64 years	24-hour recall	2005-2006	(Elmadfa et al., 2009a; Elmadfa et al., 2009b)
	Men and women aged 65 years and over	3-day record	2007-2008	(Elmadfa et al., 2009a; Elmadfa et al., 2009b)
			2004 2002	
Belgium	Men and women aged 19-59 years	2x 24-hour recall	2004-2005	(De Vriese et al., 2006)
	Men and women aged 60-74 years	2x 24-hour recall	2004-2005	(De Vriese et al., 2006)
	Men and women aged 75 years and over	2x 24-hour recall	2004-2005	(De Vriese et al., 2006)
Bulgaria	Men and women aged 18-30 years	24-hour recall	1998	(Abrasheva et al., 1998)
0	Men and women aged 30-60 years	24-hour recall	1998	(Abrasheva et al., 1998)
	Men and women aged 60-75 years	24-hour recall	1998	(Abrasheva et al., 1998)
	Men and women aged >75 years	24-hour recall	1998	(Abrasheva et al., 1998)
	~ · ·			
Czech				
Republic	Men and women aged 19-64 years	24-hour recall	2000-2001	(Cifková and Skodová, 2004; Elmadfa et al., 2009b)
Denmark	Men and women aged 18-75 years	7-day record	2003-2008	(Pedersen et al., 2010)
	Men and women aged 18-24 years	7-day record	2003-2008	(Pedersen et al., 2010)
	Men and women aged 25-34 years	7-day record	2003-2008	(Pedersen et al., 2010)
	Men and women aged 35-44 years	7-day record	2003-2008	(Pedersen et al., 2010)
	Men and women aged 45-54 years	7-day record	2003-2008	(Pedersen et al., 2010)
	Men and women aged 55-64 years	7-day record	2003-2008	(Pedersen et al., 2010)
	Men and women aged 65-75 years	7-day record	2003-2008	(Pedersen et al., 2010)
Estamia	Man and woman agad 10 64 years	24 hour rocall	1007	(Elmodfa at al. 2000b; Pomarlaan at al. 2001)
Estoma	Man and woman aged 10.24 years	24 hour recall	1997	(Elmadfa et al., 2009b, Fomerleau et al., 2001) (Elmadfa et al., 2000b, Domerleau et al., 2001)
	Men and women aged 19-54 years	24 hour recall	1997	(Elimatia et al., 2009b; Poineneau et al., 2001)
	Men and women aged 50-49 years	24-hour recall	1997	(Elmadia et al., 2009b; Pomerieau et al., 2001) (Elmadia et al., 2000b; Pomerieau et al., 2001)
	Mell and women aged 30-64 years	24-nour recan	1997	(Elinaura et al., 20090; Pomeneau et al., 2001)
Finland	Men and women aged 25-64 years	48-hour recall	2007	(Paturi et al., 2008; Pietinen et al., 2010)
	Men and women aged 25-34 years	48-hour recall	2007	(Paturi et al., 2008)
	Men and women aged 35-44 years	48-hour recall	2007	(Paturi et al., 2008)
	Men and women aged 45-54 years	48-hour recall	2007	(Paturi et al., 2008)
	Men and women aged 55-64 years	48-hour recall	2007	(Paturi et al., 2008)
	Men and women aged 65-75 years	48-hour recall	2007	(Paturi et al., 2008)
France	Men and women aged 19-64 years	3x 24-hour recall	2006-2007	(Elmadfa et al., 2009b)
	Men and women aged 65-74 years	3x 24-hour recall	2006-2007	(Elmadfa et al., 2009b)
0	Man and many and 10.80 man		2005 2006	(MDI 2009L)
Germany	Man and women aged 19-80 years	24-nour recall + Dietary History	2005-2006	(MRI, 2000) (MRI, 2009b)
	Men and women aged 19-24 years	24-nour recall + Dietary History	2005-2006	(MKI, 2008b)
	Men and women aged 25-34 years	24-hour recall + Dietary History	2005-2006	(MRI, 2008b) (MDL 2008l)
	Men and women aged 35-50 years	24-nour recall + Dietary History	2005-2006	(MKI, 2008b)
	Men and women aged 51-64 years	24-hour recall + Dietary History	2005-2006	(MRI, 2008b)
	Men and women aged 65-80 years	24-hour recall + Dietary History	2005-2006	(MRI, 2008b)

EFSA Journal xx;(issue):NNNN



Country	Population	Dietary assessment method	Year of survey	Reference
Greece	Men and women aged 19-64 years	Food Frequency Questionnaire + 24-hour recall in subgroup	1994-1999	(Elmadfa et al., 2009b)
	Men and women aged 65 years and over	Food Frequency Questionnaire	1994-1999	(Elmadfa et al., 2009b)
TT	Man and manage and 10.50 man	2 days are and	2002 2004	$(E_{1}) = \frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}$
Hungary	Men and women aged 18-59 years	3-day record	2003-2004	(Elmadia et al., 2009b; Rodler et al., 2005) (El $(1, 2000)$
	Men and women aged 18-34 years	3-day record	2003-2004	(Elmadía et al., 2009b; Rodler et al., 2005) (El $(1, 2009)$
	Men and women aged 35-59 years	3-day record	2003-2004	(Elmadía et al., 2009b; Rodler et al., 2005) (Elmalía et al., 2009b; Rodler et al., 2005)
	Men and women aged 60 years and over	3-day record	2003-2004	(Elmadfa et al., 2009b; Rodler et al., 2005)
Iroland	Men and women aged 18-64 years	A-day record	2008-2010	$(\Pi INA 2011)$
II clanu	Men and women aged 18-35 years	A-day record	2008-2010	(IUNA 2011)
	Men and women aged 36.50 years	4-day record	2008-2010	(IUNA, 2011)
	Men and women aged 51 64 years	4-day record	2008-2010	(10NA, 2011) (IUNA 2011)
	Mon and women aged aged 65,00 years	4-day record	2008-2010	(10NA, 2011) (IUNA 2011)
	Men and women aged aged 05-90 years	4-day record	2008-2010	(IUNA, 2011)
Italy	Men and women aged 18-<65years	Consecutive 3-day food record	2005-2006	(Sette et al. 2010)
Italy	Men and women aged 65 and over	Consecutive 3-day food record	2005-2006	(Sette et al. 2010)
	Wen and women aged 05 and over	Consecutive 5-day rood record	2003-2000	(Sette et al., 2010)
Latvia	Men and women aged 17-26 years	2 non-consecutive 24-hour dietary recalls + FFO	2008	(Joffe et al., 2009)
	Men and women aged 27-36 years	2 non-consecutive 24-hour dietary recalls + FFO	2008	(Joffe et al., 2009)
	Men and women aged 37-46 years	2 non-consecutive 24-hour dietary recalls $+$ FFO	2008	(Joffe et al., 2009)
	Men and women aged 47-56 years	2 non-consecutive 24-hour dietary recalls + FFO	2008	(Joffe et al., 2009)
	Men and women aged 57-64 years	2 non-consecutive 24-hour dietary recalls + FFO	2008	(Joffe et al., 2009)
Lithuania	Men and women aged 19-64 years	24-hour recall	2007	(Elmadfa et al., 2009b)
The	Men and women aged 19-30 years	2 non-consecutive 24-hour dietary recalls	2007-2010	(van Rossum et al., 2011)
Netherlands	Men and women aged 31-50 years	2 non-consecutive 24-hour dietary recalls	2007-2010	(van Rossum et al., 2011)
	Men and women aged 51-69 years	2 non-consecutive 24-hour dietary recalls	2007-2010	(van Rossum et al., 2011)
Norway	Men and women aged 19-64 years	Food Frequency Questionnaire	1997	(Elmadfa et al., 2009b)
	Men and women aged 20-29 years	Food Frequency Questionnaire	1997	(Johansson and Sovoll, 1999)
	Men and women aged 30-39 years	Food Frequency Questionnaire	1997	(Johansson and Sovoll, 1999)
	Men and women aged 40-49 years	Food Frequency Questionnaire	1997	(Johansson and Sovoll, 1999)
	Men and women aged 50-59 years	Food Frequency Questionnaire	1997	(Johansson and Sovoll, 1999)
	Men and women aged 65 years and over	Food Frequency Questionnaire	1997	(Elmadfa et al., 2009b)
	Men and women aged 60-69 years	Food Frequency Questionnaire	1997	(Johansson and Sovoll, 1999)
	Men and women aged 70-79 years	Food Frequency Questionnaire	1997	(Johansson and Sovoll, 1999)
Poland	Men and women aged 19-25 years	24-hour recall	2000	(Szponar et al., 2003)
	Men and women aged 26-60 years	24-hour recall	2000	(Szponar et al., 2003)
	Men and women aged 61 years and over	24-hour recall	2000	(Szponar et al. 2003)



Population	Dietary assessment method	Year of survey	Reference
Men and women aged 18-≥65 years	Food Frequency Questionnaire	1999-2003	(Elmadfa et al., 2009b; Lopes et al., 2006). Data collected in Porto.
Men and women aged 18-39 years	Food Frequency Questionnaire	1999-2003	(Lopes et al., 2006). Data collected in Porto.
Men and women aged 40-49 years	Food Frequency Questionnaire	1999-2003	(Lopes et al., 2006). Data collected in Porto.
Men and women aged 50-64 years	Food Frequency Questionnaire	1999-2003	(Lopes et al., 2006). Data collected in Porto.
Men and women aged 65 years and over	Food Frequency Questionnaire	1999-2003	(Elmadfa et al., 2009b; Lopes et al., 2006). Data collected in Porto.
Man and woman agod 10.64 years	Porconal interview	2006	(Elmodfo at al. 2000b)
Men and women aged 65 years and over	Personal interview	2006	(Elmadfa et al., 2009b)
Men and women aged 65 years and over	Personal Interview	2000	(Elinadia et al., 2009b)
Men and women aged 18-65 years	Food frequency questionnaire and 24 hour recall	2007-2008	(Gabrijelčič Blenkuš et al., 2009)
Man and man and 18 24 man	2	2002 2002	(Come Maine et al. 2007) Data collected in Catalogia
Men and women aged 18-24 years	2 non-consecutive 24-nour recalls	2002-2003	(Serra-Majem et al., 2007). Data collected in Catalonia.
Men and women aged 25-44 years	2 non-consecutive 24-hour recalls	2002-2003	(Serra-Majem et al., 2007). Data collected in Catalonia.
Men and women aged 45-64 years	2 non-consecutive 24-hour recalls	2002-2003	(Serra-Majem et al., 2007). Data collected in Catalonia.
Men and women aged 65-75 years	2 non-consecutive 24-hour recalls	2002-2003	(Serra-Majem et al., 2007) Data collected in Catalonia.
Men and women aged 17-74 years	7-day record	1997-1998	(Becker and Pearson, 2002)
Men and women aged 17-24 years	7-day record	1997-1998	(Becker and Pearson, 2002)
Men and women aged 25-34 years	7-day record	1997-1998	(Becker and Pearson, 2002)
Men and women aged 35-44 years	7-day record	1997-1998	(Becker and Pearson, 2002)
Men and women aged 45-54 years	7-day record	1997-1998	(Becker and Pearson, 2002)
Men and women aged 55-64 years	7-day record	1997-1998	(Becker and Pearson, 2002)
Men and women aged 65-74 years	7-day record	1997-1998	(Becker and Pearson, 2002)
Men and women aged 10-64 years	A-day food diary	2008-2010	(Bates et al. 2011)
Non and women aged 19-04 years	4 1 C 1 1	2008-2010	$(D_{1}, \dots, D_{n}, 2011)$
	Population Men and women aged 18-≥65 years Men and women aged 18-39 years Men and women aged 40-49 years Men and women aged 50-64 years Men and women aged 50-64 years Men and women aged 19-64 years Men and women aged 19-64 years Men and women aged 18-55 years and over Men and women aged 18-65 years Men and women aged 18-24 years Men and women aged 18-24 years Men and women aged 18-54 years Men and women aged 65-75 years Men and women aged 17-74 years Men and women aged 35-44 years Men and women aged 45-54 years Men and women aged 55-64 years Men and women aged 19-64 years	PopulationDietary assessment methodMen and women aged 18->65 yearsFood Frequency QuestionnaireMen and women aged 18-39 yearsFood Frequency QuestionnaireMen and women aged 40-49 yearsFood Frequency QuestionnaireMen and women aged 50-64 yearsFood Frequency QuestionnaireMen and women aged 50-64 yearsFood Frequency QuestionnaireMen and women aged 19-64 yearsPersonal interviewMen and women aged 19-64 yearsPersonal interviewMen and women aged 18-65 yearsPersonal interviewMen and women aged 18-65 yearsPood frequency questionnaire and 24 hour recallMen and women aged 18-65 years2 non-consecutive 24-hour recallsMen and women aged 45-64 years2 non-consecutive 24-hour recallsMen and women aged 45-64 years2 non-consecutive 24-hour recallsMen and women aged 17-74 years7-day recordMen and women aged 17-74 years7-day recordMen and women aged 35-44 years7-day recordMen and women aged 55-64 years7-day recordMen and women aged 15-64 years7-day recordMen and women aged 55-64 years7-day recordMen and women aged 55-74 years7-day recordMen and women aged 19-64 years7-day r	Population Dietary assessment method Year of survey Men and women aged 18-≥65 years Men and women aged 18-39 years Men and women aged 40-49 years Men and women aged 40-49 years Men and women aged 50-64 years Men and women aged 50-64 years Men and women aged 50-64 years Men and women aged 65 years and over Food Frequency Questionnaire Food Frequency Questionnaire 1999-2003 Men and women aged 19-64 years Men and women aged 65 years and over Food Frequency Questionnaire 2006 Men and women aged 18-65 years Personal interview Personal interview 2006 Men and women aged 18-65 years Food frequency questionnaire and 24 hour recall 2007-2008 Men and women aged 18-64 years 2 non-consecutive 24-hour recalls 2002-2003 Men and women aged 18-24 years 2 non-consecutive 24-hour recalls 2002-2003 Men and women aged 17-74 years 7-day record 1997-1998 1997-1998 Men and women aged 17-74 years 7-day record 1997-1998 1997-1998 Men and women aged 25-44 years 7-day record 1997-1998 Men and women aged 17-74 years 7-day record 1997-1998 Men and women aged 55-64 years 7-day record 1997-1998 Men and women aged 55-64 years 7-day record



Country N Energy Energy Age (years) (MJ/d) (kcal/d) SD P50 P5 - P95SDP50 P5 - P95mean mean Men Austria 19-64 778 9.0 3.1 19-59 413 10.8 3.0 10.4 2,578 720 Belgium 2,495 12.4 19-64 1,046 3.7 **Czech Republic** 2.9 10.3 7.0-14.31 Denmark 18-75 1,569 10.4 Estonia 19-64 900 9.6 4.8 2,278 1,144 Finland 25-64 730 9.2 3.0 2,206 705 852 10.0 France 19-64 0.1 Germany 19-64 4,912 11.0 4.3 Greece 19-64 8,365 10.4 3.0 2.4 2,792 570 18->60 473 11.7 Hungary 2.7 Ireland 18-64 634 10.1 10.0 2,397 650 2,377 650 2,332 1,068 10.0 2.7 9.8 6.2-14.6 2,390 1,471-3,499 Italy 18-<65 Lithuania 19-65 849 10.3 4.3 Norway 19-64 1,050 11.1 3.9 917 2.3 Portugal 18-265 9.9 2,367 560 2,300 1,551-3,369 19-64 13.9 5.2 177 Romania Slovenia 18-65 n.a. 13.1 9.0^{3} Sweden 17-74 589 9.9 2.7 9.6 6.0-14.8 United Kingdom 19-64 346 9.2 3.0 8.9 4.7-17.1 2,200 706 2,112 1,115-4,0584 Women 1,345 7.5 25 19-64 Austria Belgium 19-59 460 7.0 1.9 6.8 1,680 447 1,637 **Czech Republic** 19-64 1,094 9.7 3.0 Denmark 18-75 1,785 7.9 2.1 7.9 5.4-10.5¹ Estonia 1,115 19-64 6.9 3.2 1,640 766 Finland 25-64 846 6.8 2.01,620 483 France 19-64 1,499 7.2 0.1 2.5 Germany 19-64 6016 8.1 19-64 12,034 8.3 2.4 Greece 706 9.2 2,205 429 Hungary 18->60 1.8 7.2 Ireland 18-64 640 7.2 2.0 1,725 482 1,706 Italy 18-<65 1,245 2.2 8.0 4.9-11.8 1,939 526 1,909 1,162-2,827 8.1 Lithuania 18-65 1.087 7.4 3.0 2.7 19-64 Norway 1,146 8.1 Portugal 18-265 1,472 8.7 2.1 2,079 494 2,040 1,352-2,953 Romania 19-64 341 11.4 4.9 Slovenia 18-65 11.32 n.a. 7.5 17-74 2.3 Sweden 626 7.8 8.3 3.7-11.2 United Kingdom 19-64 461 6.9 2.0 6.7 3.1-11.44 1,638 477 1,604 747-2,700⁴ ¹P10-P90

2629 APPENDIX 3B: ENERGY INTAKE OF ADULTS AGED ~19-65 YEARS IN EUROPEAN COUNTRIES

2630 2631

²Food frequency questionnaire

 $2632 \quad {}^{3}24\text{-h recall}$

2633 ⁴P2.5-P97.5

2634 n.a.: not available



2637 APPENDIX 3C: ENERGY INTAKE OF ADULTS AGED ~19-34 YEARS IN EUROPEAN COUNTRIES

Country	Age	Ν		Er	ergy			E	nergy	
	(years)		mean	(N SD	IJ/d) P50	P5 – P95	mean	SD (F	cal/d) P50	P5 – P95
Men										
Bulgaria	18-30	208	11.8 ¹	5.1 ¹	10.8 ¹		2,820	1,231	2,590	
Denmark	18-24	105	11.1	3.1	10.8	6.4-15.8				
	25-34	234	11.3	2.9	11.0	7.0-16.1				
Estonia	19-34	396	10.3 ¹	5.3 ¹			2,464	1,255		
Finland	25-34	137	9.9	3.2			2,362	764		
Germany	19-24	510	12.0 ^{1,}	0.201,2	11.2 ¹	6.1-21.0 ¹	2,872	48.12 ²	2,680	1,452-5,023
-	25-34	690	11.6 ¹	$0.17^{1,2}$	10.8 ¹	6.3-19.6 ¹	2,783	41.36 ²	2,581	1,505-4,692
Hungary	18-34	136	12.4	2.3			2,965	551		
Ireland	18-35	276	10.7	2.9	10.6		2,553	664	2,540	
Latvia	17-26	191	10.0^{1}				2,394			
	27-36	116	10.0^{1}				2,393			
The Netherlands	19-30	356			11.5	8.1-15.6			2,573	1,940-3,731
Norway	20-29	248	12.6							
	30-39	269	11.4							
Poland	19-25	191	15.3	4.6	15.1		3,657	1,090	3,613	
Portugal	18-39	179					2,496	584	2,427	1,622-3,577
Spain	18-24	127	10.0^{1}				2,384			
	25-44	326	9.4 ¹				2.242			
Sweden	17-24	67	10.4	3.2	10.2	4.9-16.1				
	25-34	128	10.2	2.4	9.9	6.3-14.4				
Women										
Bulgaria	18-30	204	8.2 ¹	3.2 ¹	7.5 ¹		1,954	758	1,789	
Denmark	18-24	150	8.2	2.3	8.1	4.9-12.2				
	25-34	340	8.3	2.2	8.3	4.9-11.8				
Estonia	19-34	459	7.4 ¹	3.4 ¹			1,760	801		
Finland	25-34	180	7.2	2.2			1,711	525		
Germany	19-24	510	8.4 ¹	0.131,2	8.0^{1}	4.8-13.3 ¹	1,996	30.69 ²	1,914	1,141-3,171
-	25-34	972	8.5 ¹	$0.09^{1,2}$	8.0^{1}	4.9-13.2 ¹	2,031	21.11^2	1,929	1,165-3,151
Hungary	18-34	176	9.5	1.7			2,280	407		
Ireland	18-35	255	7.5	2.3	7.4		1,783	542	1,762	
Latvia	17-26	187	7.1^{1}				1,690			
	27-36	90	6.4 ¹				1,523			
The Netherlands	19-30	347 ⁴			8.4	5.9-11.3			1,999	1,399-2,693
Norway	20-29	268	8.7							
	30-39	289	8.2							
Poland	19-25	211	8.2	3.2	7.8		1,957	763	1,872	
Portugal	18-39	299					2,141	515	2,096	1,409-3,109
Spain	18-24	182	7.81				1,869			
	25-44	376	7.2 ¹				1,714			
Sweden	17-24	70	8.0	1.7	7.9	5.4-11.2				
	25-34	132	7.8	1.8	7.5	5.3-10.6				

¹Calculated from values in kcal ²se



2645 APPENDIX 3D: ENERGY INTAKE OF ADULTS AGED ~35-64 YEARS IN EUROPEAN COUNTRIES

Country	Age (years)	Ν		Ener	gy (MJ/d	l)		Energ	gy (kcal/d)
-			mean	SD	P50	<i>P5 – P95</i>	mean	SD	P50	P5 – P95
Men										
Bulgaria	30-60	224	11.7^{1}	3.8 ¹	11.5^{1}		2,788	904	2,747	
Denmark	35-44	318	11.1	3.1	10.9	6.2-16.6				
	45-54	336	10.3	2.7	10.3	6.4-14.8				
	55-64	336	9.9	2.7	9.6	5.7-14.8				
Estonia	35-49	319	9.2 ¹	4.6 ¹			2,190	1,103		
	50-64	185	8.5 ¹	3.7 ¹			2,033	873		
Finland	35-44	177	9.5	3.2			2,277	806		
	45-54	190	9.2	3.4			2,202	603		
	55-64	226	8.6	2.5			2,061	636		
Germany	35-50	2,079	11.0 ¹	0.08 ^{1,2}	10.5 ¹	6.0 ¹ -17.9 ¹	2,640	19.29 ²	2,509	1,435-4,271
	51-64	1,633	10.0^{1}	0.08 ^{1,2}	9.6 ¹	5.4^{1} -16.1 ¹	2,400	19.60^2	2,297	1,301-3,843
Hungary	35-59	199	12.0	2.2			2,862	533		
Ireland	36-50	205	9.7	2.5	9.6		2,322	591	2,310	
	51-64	153	9.3	2.4	9.1		2,217	581	2,157	
Latvia	37-46	136	9.7 ¹				2,319			
	47-56	155	9.3 ¹				2,230			
	57-64	108	8.9 ¹				2,121			
The Netherlands	31-50	348			11.1	7.7-15.1			2,647	1,848-3,611
	51-69	351			10.0	6.9-13.9			2,390	1,637-3,309
Norway	40-49	256	10.5							
	50-59	196	9.8							
Poland	26-60	865	13.0	4.4	12.6		3,114	1,056	3,019	
Portugal	40-49	197	10.3 ¹				2,453	530	2,406	1,679-3,372
	50-64	295	9.9 ¹				2,354	561	2,300	1,591-3,271
Spain	45-64	265	8.4 ¹				2,018			
Sweden	35-44	143	10.0	2.7	9.7	6.0-15.0				
	45-54	18	9.8	2.8	9.5	5.6-15.0				
	55-64	68	9.2	2.2	9.0	5.6-12.6				
Women										
Bulgaria	30-60	224	8.2 ¹	3.0^{1}	7.9 ¹		1,956	724	1,891	
Denmark	35-44	412	8.3	2.2	8.2	4.9-12.1				
	45-54	359	7.6	1.9	7.8	4.6-10.5				
	55-64	326	7.5	1.9	7.3	4.9-10.6				
Estonia	35-49	376	6.7 ¹	3.2 ¹			1,605	765		
	50-64	280	6.2 ¹	2.8 ¹			1,491	676		
Finland	35-44	211	7,1	2.1			1,687	497		
	45-54	232	6.7	1.9			1,601	461		
	55-64	223	6.3	1.8			1,502	433		
Germany	35-50	2,694	8.2 ¹	0.05 ^{1,2}	7.8 ¹	4.6 ¹ -12.8 ¹	1,948	11.74^{2}	1,870	1,098-3,066
·	51-64	1,840	7.8 ¹	0.05 ^{1,2}	7.5 ¹	4.6^{1} -11.9 ¹	1,856	13.10^{2}	1,793	1,092-2,837
Hungary	35-59	295	9.4	1.9			2,237	443	,	
Ireland	36-50	232	7.1	1.9	7.1		1,696	444	1,684	
	51-64	153	7.0	1.7	7.1		1,674	416	1,682	
Latvia	37-46	136	6.5 ¹				1,562			
	47-56	149	6.7 ¹				1,608			
	57-64	109	6.4 ¹				1,530			
The Netherlands	31-50	351			8.2	5.7-11.1	,		1.956	1,361-2.644
	51-69	353			7.8	5.3-10.6			1,849	1,268-2.525
Norway	40-49	289	7.7						,	,,
······,	50-59	196	7.5							
Poland	26-60	1.997	8.4	3.0	8.1		1,997	721	1.927	
		-,///	~•••				/ / /		- , /	



Country	Age (years)	Ν		Ener	gy (MJ/d)		Ener	rgy (kcal/d))
			mean	SD	P50	P5 - P95	mean	SD	P50	P5 - P95
Portugal	40-49	340	9.0^{1}				2,160	478	2,127	1,488-2,959
	50-64	494	8.8 ¹				2,102	498	2,065	1,382-3,012
Spain	45-64	337	6.6 ¹				1,573			
Sweden	35-44	132	7.7	1.9	7.6	4.8-10.5				
	45-54	153	7.6	1.6	7.5	5.0-10.2				
	55-64	81	7.9	2.0	7.6	4.6-11.8				

 $\begin{array}{c} 6 \\ 7 \end{array} \begin{array}{c} {}^{1}\text{Calculated from values in kcal} \\ {}^{2}\text{se} \end{array}$



2650 **APPENDIX 3E:**

2651

ENERGY INTAKE OF ADULTS AGED ~65 YEARS AND OVER IN EUROPEAN

Country	Age	Ν			Energy			E	nergy	
	(years)		mean	SD	(MJ/d) <i>P50</i>	P5 - P95	mean	(k SD	cal/d) P50	P5 - P95
Men			mean	50	150	15 175	mean	50	150	15 175
Austria	65+	147	7.7	2.4						
Belgium	60-74	416	9.1	2.2	8.9		2.172	525	2.129	
	75+	389	8.3	2.2	8.0		1,993	527	1,923	
Bulgaria	60-75	186	10.2 ¹	3.8 ¹	9.7 ¹		2,431	919	2,319	
0	76+	101	9.0^{1}	3.2 ¹	8.6 ¹		2,153	757	2,064	
Denmark	65-75	240	9.5	2.5	9.2	5.8-13.7				
Finland	65-74	229	7.7	2.3			1,848	554		
France	65-74	130	9.0	0.2						
Germany	65-80	1,469	9.2 ¹	$0.07^{1,2}$	8.9 ¹	5.3-13.8 ¹	2,191	16.32 ²	2,129	1,263-3,303
Greece	65+	2,508	8.5	2.5			2,018	600.6		
Hungary	60+	138	10.5	2.3			2,519	546		
Ireland	65+	106	8.3	2.6	8.0		1,983	630	1,905	
Italy	65+	202	9.6	2.3	9.5	6.2-13.6	2,296	556	2,267	1,471-3,241
Norway	65+	176	9.0	3.1						
	70-79	131	8.7							
	$60-70^4$	106	8.9							
Poland	61+	226	10.6	3.6	10.4		2,524	860	2,493	
Portugal	65+	246	9.3	2.2			2,219	530	2,161	1,455-3,206
Romania	65+	177	13.0	4.1						
Spain	65-75	122	7.1^{1}				1,688			
Sweden	65-74	65	9.6	2.8	9.2	5.9-13.7				
United Kingdom	65+	96	8.3	2.1	8.3	3.7-11.8 ³	1,976	511	1,973	882-2,801 ³
Women										
Austria	65+	202	7.1	1.7						
Belgium	60-74	406	6.7	1.6	6.5		1,597	387	1,564	
	75+	355	6.2	1.5	6.1		1,482	351	1,462	
Bulgaria	60-75	194	8.1	2.61	7.7		1,926	613	1,848	
	76+	113	7.6	2.7	7.6*	4.5.10.7	1,807	636	1,814	
Denmark	65-75	198	7.4	1.9	7.3	4.5-10.7	1 412	41.4		
Finland	65-74	234	5.9	1./			1,412	414		
France	65-74	1.5(2)	0./	0.1	7.1	4 4 10 0 ¹	1 752	12 472	1 709	1 044 2 (10
Germany	65-80	1,562	7.3	0.05	/.1*	4.4-10.9	1,/53	12.4/-	1,708	1,044-2,610
Greece	60	3,000	0.8	2.1			2,110	491.7		
Incload	65	120	6.5	1.7	6.2		2,110	292	1 508	
Itela	65	216	0.3	2.0	7.6	46114	1,333	186	1,508	1 004 2 722
Norway	65+	166	7.0	2.0	7.0	4.0-11.4	1,034	+00	1,020	1,074-2,132
1101 way	60-69	137	7.0	2.0						
	70-79	109	7.0							
Poland	61+	365	8.3	2.8	8.0		1.974	658	1.917	
Portugal	65+	339	8.0	1.9	5.0		1,910	444	1.878	1,226-2,736
Romania	65+	341	10.9	3.4			1,710	777	1,070	1,220-2,730
Spain	65-75	122	5.71				1.373			
Sweden	65-74	58	7.8	1.8	7.7	5.0-10.9	1,070			
United Kingdom	65+	128	6.4	1.3	6.2	4.1-8.93	1.522	319	1.470	980-2.111 ³
		1					- ,- ==	/	-,	

om values in kcal ²se

2652 2653 2654

³P2.5-P97.5



2655 APPENDIX 4: OVERVIEW OF THE APPROACHES USED BY SELECTED AUTHORITIES FOR THE ESTIMATION OF AVERAGE ENERGY REQUIREMENTS FOR 2656 ADULTS

	REE equations	Age ranges for calcula- tions	Body mass	PAL values	Source of PAL values	Method to estimate daily average energy requirements	Comments
SCF (1993)	Schofield's equations based on body mass, according to age and sex (FAO/WHO/UNU, 1985). Specific equations for adults \geq 60 y based on some of the original data collected by Schofield et al., data on Scottish men and data on Italian men and women (Ferro-Luzzi, 1987; James et al., 1989): Men: 60-74 y: REE (MJ/d)=0.0499 x body mass +2.93; \geq 75 y: REE (MJ/d)=0.035 x body mass + 3.43. Females: 60-74 y: REE (MJ/d)=0.0386 x body mass +2.88; \geq 75 y: REE (MJ/d)=0.0410 x body mass +2.61	18-29 y, 30-59 y, 60-74 y, ≥75 y	Observed European values taken from 11 studies (representative national samples and specific surveys), and weighted for the total number of adults in each age group in each country. Calculated body masses (from observed heights and a desirable BMI of 22).	Average PAL values varying between 1.33 and 2.10, including or without desirable physical activities, determined for each sex, according to age (18-59 y, 60-74 y, \geq 75 y) and for observed body masses.	(FAO/WHO/UNU, 1985; Ferro-Luzzi, 1987; James and Schofield, 1990)	PAL x REE	EERs: i) for each sex, age group, 5 kg increase in body mass (between 60 and 80 kg for men and 45 to 70 kg for women) and for each 0.1 increase in PAL varying between 1.4 and 2.2. ii) for each sex, on average as well as for each age group, for either the desirable or the actual median body mass, and for average PALs with or without desirable physical activity.
AFSSA (2001)	Black's equations (Black et al., 1996): Men (MJ/d): 0.963 x body mass ^{0.48} x height ^{0.50} x age ^{-0.13} Women (MJ/d): 1.083 x body mass ^{0.48} x height ^{0.50} x age ^{-0.13}	20-30 y, 31-40 y,41- 50 y, 51- 60 y, 61- 70 y	Body mass (5 kg increase, from 55 to 100 kg for men, 45 to 90 kg for women) and height values for a BMI of 22 kg/m ²	1.4, 1.5, 1.6, 1.7, 1.8, 1.9, 2, 2.1, 2.2, 2.3	Several publications	PAL x REE	EAR for each sex, each age range, body mass and height for a BMI of 22 kg/m ² , and each 0.1 increase in PAL value. To be corrected for BMI value (to decrease EAR by 1 % for each 1 kg/m ² exceeding the BMI of 22 kg/m ² , and to increase by 1 % for each 1 kg/m ² lower than the BMI of 22 kg/m ²). 1.5 and 1.8 times REE for active and healthy elderly subjects (Black, 1996; Cynober et al., 2000; Roberts, 1996). No conclusions for elderly subjects aged \geq 80 y

	REE equations	Age ranges for calcula- tions	Body mass	PAL values	Source of PAL values	Method to estimate daily average energy requirements	Comments
Health Coun- cil of the Nether -lands (2001)	Schofield's equations based on body mass, according to age and sex (FAO/WHO/UNU, 1985), modified for the older age groups (SCF, 1993).	19-30 y, 31-50 y, 51-70 y, ≥70 y	Calculated from observed Dutch height values (Hofman et al., 1995; Smit et al., 1994) and a BMI of 22.5 (18-50 y), 24 (51- 70 y) and 25 (\geq 71 y) (Troiano et al., 1996; WHO, 1995b)	At the low average PAL in NL: 1.7 (19-50 y), 1.6 (51-70 y), and 1.5 (\geq 71 y). At the adequate PAL: 1.9 (19-50 y), 1.8 (51-70 y), and 1.7 (\geq 71 y).	DLW data (Black et al., 1996)	PAL x REE	EAR for each sex, age group, and for PAL values accounting either for the low average level of physical activity in the Netherlands or for an adequate level of physical activity.
FAO/ WHO/ UNU (2004)	Schofield's equations (1985)	18-30 y, 30-60 y, >60 y	Every 5 kg increase in body mass (between 50 and 90 kg for men, between 45 and 85 kg for women)	3 PAL ranges associated with a population's lifestyle: 1.40- 1.69 (sedentary or light activity), 1.70-1.99 (active or moderately active), and 2.00- 2.40 (vigorous or vigorously active) For calculations: 1.45, 1.60, 1.75, 1.90, 2.05 and 2.20	-	PAL x REE	Several sets of values, i.e. for men and women, for three age ranges, six PAL values, and for every 5 kg increase in body mass, and expressed as MJ/d or kcal/d, as well as per kg of body mass
NNR (2004)	Schofield's equations based on body mass, according to age and sex (FAO/WHO/UNU, 1985), modified for the older age groups (SCF, 1993).	18-30 y, 31-60 y, 61-74 y, ≥75 y	Mean corrected reference body masses for each sex and age range between 18 and 74 y, calculated based on mean population body masses in Denmark (1995), Sweden (1997-98) and Finland (Finrisk, 2000) (adjusted for individuals with a BMI \neq 18.5-25.0). For the age range \geq 75 y, EER was calculated using reference body masses for each sex by subtracting 1 kg from the body masses used for the age group 61- 74 y	EAR estimates proposed for three PAL values: 1.4 (sedentary), 1.6 (normal), 1.8 (active)	Studies using DLW measurements (Ainsworth et al., 2000; Black et al., 1996)	PAL x REE	EER assuming normal body mass and energy balance, for each sex, each age range, and three PAL values



	REE equations	Age ranges for calcula- tions	Body mass	PAL values	Source of PAL values	Method to estimate daily average energy requirements	Comments
IoM (2005)		≥19 y	Tables of heights and body masses of men and women, corresponding to BMIs of 18.5, 22.5 and 25 kg/m ² . Reference body masses for a BMI of 22.5 kg/m ² for men and a BMI of 21.5 kg/m ² for women corresponding to the 50 th percentile among 19-y-old subjects (Kuczmarski et al., 2000) Calculations done for body masses for a BMI of 18.5 and for a BMI of 24.99, for each 0.05 m increase in height (varying between 1 45 and 1 95 m)	1.0-1.39 (sedentary), 1.4-1.59 (low active), 1.6-1.89 (active), 1.9-2.49 (very active). PA coefficient for the equations = 1.00 (for sedentary men and women), 1.11 (for low active men), 1.12 (for low active women), 1.25 (for active men), 1.27 (for active women), 1.48 (for very active men), 1.45 (for very active women).	A dataset of adults with normal body mass using DLW measurements (IoM, 2005)	Men: EER [kcal/d] = $662 - (9.53 \text{ x age} [y]) + PA \text{ x } (15.91 \text{ x} body mass [kg] + 539.6 \text{ x height [m]}) (n=169, SE fit=284.5 kcal, R^2=0.75)$ Women: EER [kcal/d]=354 - (6.91 x age [y]) + PA x (9.36 x body mass [kg] + 726 x height [m]) (n=238, SE fit=231.6, R^2=0.74)	EERs for 30-year-old men and women of various heights (between 1.45 and 1.95 m), with BMIs of 18.5 and 24.99 kg/m ² and the corresponding body masses. For each year below 30: to add 7 kcal/d for women and 10 kcal/d for men. For each year above 30: to subtract 7 kcal/d for women and 10 kcal/d for men.
SACN (2011)	Henry's equations (Henry, 2005) based on body mass and height, according to age and sex.	19-24 y, 25-34 y, 35-44 y, 45-54 y, 65-74 y, ≥75 y, all adults	Calculated from British height values (Health Survey for England, data for 2009) and a BMI of 22.5	Median PAL of 1.63 for both sexes and all ages. For older adults with reduced mobility or not in good health, the PAL value of 1.49 (=25th centile) may be used. PAL values of 1.49 for "less active" and of 1.78 for "more active" correspond to 25 th and 75 th centile of PAL distribution. Examples are also given of the changes in PAL associated with increased activity.	Median, 25th and 75th percentiles from DLW studies (Moshfegh et al., 2008; Subar et al., 2003; Tooze et al., 2007) in US populations with similar levels of overweight and obesity and similar ethnic composition as the UK population. Exclusion of subjects with PAL <1.27 (n=38) and >2.5 (n=1).	PAL x REE	Energy requirements for men and women for seven age categories and all men / all women: population EAR (median value), and energy requirements for less active and more active people. Values for each sex and each age group, at observed mean height- for-age values and body masses corresponding to a BMI of 22.5 kg/m ² . Energy reference values for older adults with maintained general health and mobility: unlikely to differ from younger adults. For the extreme elderly, likely PAL of 1.49 (25th centile) or lower (e.g. 1.38 observed in some otherwise healthy elderly subjects (Rothenberg et al., 2000)).

efsa European Food Safety Authority

	REE equations	Age ranges for calcula- tions	Body mass	PAL values	Source of PAL values	Method to estimate daily average energy requirements	Comments
D-A- CH (2012)	Based on Schofield's equations (FAO/WHO/UNU, 1985), according to each sex	19-<25 y 25-<51 y 51-<65 y ≥65 y	Calculated from German height values and a BMI of 22 (women), 24 (men)	 PAL values provided for different work or free time activities: 1.2 (exclusively sedentary or bed-bound), 1.4-1.5 (exclusively seated work with little or no physical activity during leisure time), 1.6-1.7 (seated work, but occasionally also including work standing and moving around), 1.8-1.9 (Work including both standing and moving around), 2.0-2.4 (very strenuous work). PAL values used for calculations: desired physical activity: 1.75 (15-24 y), 1.70 (25-50 y), 1.60 (51 y and older); low physical activity (1.45), high physical activity (2.2) 1.4, 1.6, 1.8, 2.0 	(Black et al., 1996; SCF, 1993; Shetty et al., 1996)	PAL x REE	Average energy requirements for both sexes and for four age groups

2657



2659 APPENDIX 5: OVERVIEW OF THE APPROACHES TO ESTIMATE AVERAGE ENERGY REQUIREMENTS FOR INFANTS AND YOUNG CHILDREN OF SELECTED 2660 COUNTRIES AND AUTHORITIES OTHER THAN FAO/WHO/UNU AND IOM

	Age range	EAR calculation	Body mass used for EAR calculations	Comments
SCF (1993)	0-36 months	Adapted from British EARs for infants and young children (DoH, 1991)	Rounded British average body masses (except for age 1 month: US data (Hamill et al., 1977))	EAR only intended for formula-fed infants, at 1, 3, 6, 9, 12, 18, 24, 30 and 36 months and for each sex
Health Council of the Netherlands (2001)	Infants	24 h energy consumption (based on DLW data (Butte et al., 2000a; de Bruin et al., 1998)) + deposited energy for growth (calculated considering Dutch body masses, body's protein and fat percentages at the age-group boundaries)	Dutch reference body masses (Fredriks et al., 1998; Fredriks et al., 2000a; Fredriks et al., 2000b; TNO/LUMC, 1998)	EAR, in MJ/d per kg of body mass and in MJ per d, without distinction on sex, for 0-2, 3-5, 6-11 months
AFSSA (2001)	Infants	Energy expenditure per kg body mass x body mass values (according to sex) + deposited energy for growth (values differing for boys and girls and based on mean daily rates of protein and lipid deposition) (Butte, 1996)	Origin of body mass values not specified	EAR only intended for formula-fed infants, for each sex and for each one month increase in age. Values for the first two months corrected for the digestibility of feeding formulae
NNR (2004)	0-23 months	Energy expenditure (DLW data on healthy children, (Butte et al., 1996; Butte et al., 2000a; Tennefors et al., 2003)) + deposited energy for growth	Values based on the mean reference values from Denmark (Andersen et al., 1982), Norway (Knudtzon et al., 1988) and the Swedish (2000) and Finnish (1993) growth charts (values used only for the summary table for 0-17 y)	EARs valid for both breast-fed and formula-fed infants, per kg of body mass, at 1, 3, 6, 12, 18 months
SACN (2011)	Infants	FAO/WHO/UNU (2004) and Butte (2005) : TEE (equations as a function of body mass distinguishing breast-fed infants, formula-fed infants, and infants with mixed or unknown feeding (Butte, 2005)) + deposited energy for growth based on measured protein and fat gains (Butte et al., 2000b; Fomon et al., 1982) applied to UK body mass increments (UK-WHO Growth Standards)	UK-WHO Growth Standards (RCPCH, 2011)	EAR distinguishing breast-fed and formula-fed infants as well as infants with mixed or unknown feeding, for each sex and each one month increase in age
D-A-CH (2012)	Infants	Used the approach of Butte (1996)	Reference body masses based on median values for US infants	EARs for 0-<4 and 4-<12 months, for formula- fed infants



APPENDIX 6: OVERVIEW OF THE APPROACHES OF FAO/WHO/UNU (2004) AND IOM (2005) TO ESTIMATE DAILY AVERAGE ENERGY REQUIREMENTS FOR INFANTS, CHILDREN AND ADOLESCENTS

	FAO/WHO/UNU (2004)	IoM (2005)
Age	Infants	0-36 months
Method of calculation of TEE	Simple linear regression on body mass (kg). All infants (kcal/d):-99.4+88.6 body mass (n=320, r=0.85, s.e.e.=109 kcal/d). Breast-fed (kcal/d): -152.0 + 92.8 x body mass (n=195, r=0.87, s.e.e.=108 kcal/d) Formula-fed (kcal/d): -29.0+82.6 x body mass (n=125, r=0.85, s.e.e.=110 kcal/d).	Simple linear regression on body mass (kg). (kcal/d): – 100 + 89 x body mass
Source of data for the calculation	DLW data (Butte, 2001)	DLW data (IoM, 2005)
Body mass used for EAR calculations	Median body mass-for-age (WHO (1994) pooled breast-fed data set)	American reference body masses (Kuczmarski et al., 2000)
Calculation of energy deposition for growth	From gains in protein and fat and the corresponding energy deposition (Butte et al., 2000b), considering the median body mass gain according to age	From gains in protein and fat and the corresponding energy deposition (Butte et al., 2000b), considering the median body mass gain according to age (Guo et al., 1991)
EAR calculation	EARs = TEE + energy deposition during growth	EERs = TEE + energy deposition during growth
Comments	EARs with or without distinction of sex, with or without distinction of breast-fed and formula-fed infants, and for each month of age	EERs for each sex and for each month of age
Age	1-18 у	3-18 у
Method of calculation of TEE Source of data for the calculation Body mass used for EAR calculations Calculation of energy deposition	Quadratic equations with body mass as the single predictor Boys (kcal/d): 310.2 + 63.3 x body mass - 0.263 body mass ² (n=801, r=0.982, r ² =0.964, s.e.e.=124 kcal/d) Girls (kcal/d): 263.4 + 65.3 x body mass - 0.454 x body mass ² (n=808, r=0.955, r ² =0.913, s.e.e.=155 kcal/d) For children between 1 and 2 years, TEE estimates were reduced by 7% as the predicted values would have been otherwise 7% higher than the actual measurements of TEE (Butte, 2001) Derived from data on DLW and HR monitoring (Torun, 2001) Median body masses at the mid-point of each year (WHO reference values of body mass-for-age (1983)) Mean daily body mass gain at each year of age (between 1-2 x and 17-18 x) (WHO 1983) x average energy	Nonlinear regression analysis, with age, height and body mass, considering sex and 4 categories of physical activity coefficients (for sedentary, low active, active, very active subjects) Boys (kcal/d) = $88.5 - (61.9 \text{ x age} + PA \text{ x } (26.7 \text{ x} body mass + 903 \text{ x height}))$ (SE fit= 82.6 , R ² = 0.98) Girls (kcal/d) = $135.3 - (30.8 \text{ x age} + PA \text{ x } (10.0 \text{ x} body mass + 934 \text{ x height}))$ (SE fit= 96.7 , R ² = 0.95). Derived from data on DLW (IoM, 2005) US reference body masses and heights (Kuczmarski et al., 2000) Median daily rates of gain in body mass at each year of age (between 3.5 and 17.5 v) (Baumeartner et al
energy deposition for growth	1-2 y and 17-18 y) (WHO, 1983) x average energy deposited in growing tissues (8.6 kJ/g of body mass gain, calculated considering estimated rates of protein and fat deposition) (Butte et al., 2000a; Butte, 2001)	of age (between 3.5 and 17.5 y) (Baumgartner et al., 1986) x energy deposited in growing tissues (calculated considering estimated rates of protein and fat deposition (Fomon et al., 1982; Haschke, 1989))
EAR calculation	EAR = TEE + energy deposition for growth	EER = TEE + energy deposition for growth
Comments	3 calculated sets of values: i) in absolute values, for each sex and for each one year increase, ii) per kg of body mass (EAR divided by the median body mass at each year), for each sex and for each one year increase, iii) for 1-5 y, considering only moderate physical activity, and for children 6-18 y considering moderate physical activity (after calculation of "average" PAL values, by dividing TEE by calculated REE (Schofield et al., 1985)), light and heavy physical activity.	Calculated for each sex, for each one year increase in age, and the 4 PAL categories (defined as for adults: sedentary, low active, active, very active)



Appendix 7: Overview of the approaches to estimate daily average energy requirements for children and adolescents of selected countries and authorities other than FAO/WHO/UNU and IoM

	Method of calculation of REE	Body mass used for EAR calculations	PAL values	Calculation of energy deposition for growth	EAR calculation	Comments
SCF (1993): 3-9 y, 10-18 y	3-9 y: NA (use of intake data) 10-18 y: REE equations (Schofield et al., 1985)	Average body masses from 9 European countries, weighted on the basis of each country's population at a given age	3-9 y: NA 10-18 y: values for moderate physical activity, for 10-13 y (1.65 for boys, 1.55 for girls) and 14-18 y (1.58 for boys, 1.50 for girls) based on (FAO/WHO/UNU, 1985)	3-9 y: NA 10-18 y: calculated based on a total energy cost of growth of 21 kJ per g of daily body mass gain, values between 0.03 and 0.35 MJ/d, differing for boys and girls	 3-9 y: average body mass for boys and girls x energy intake per kg of bm, without the 5% increment proposed by FAO/WHO/UNU (1985) 10-18 y: approach of FAO/WHO/UNU (1985): REE x PAL + deposited energy for growth 	 3.5-9.5 y: EAR for each sex and one year increase in age between 3.5 and 9.5 y. 10.5-17.5 y: EAR for each sex and one year increase between 10.5 and 17.5 y
Health Council of the Netherlands (2001): 1-18 y	REE equations ((Schofield et al., 1985) based on body mass)	Dutch reference body masses (Fredriks et al., 1998; Fredriks et al., 2000a; Fredriks et al., 2000b; TNO/LUMC, 1998)	DLW data (Torun et al., 1996) 1-3 y: 1.5; 4-8 y: 1.6; 9-13 y: 1.8; girls, 14-18 y: 1.7; boys, 14- 18 y: 1.8	Accretion expenditure of growth calculated from Dutch bm, body's protein and fat percentages at the age group limits. Values between 0.05 and 0.13 MJ/d, differing for each sex.	EAR = REE x average PAL + deposited energy for growth	EAR for each sex and age range (1-3 y, 4-8 y, 9-13 y, 14-18 y) and PAL value.
AFSSA (2001): 1-9 y, 10-18 y	1-9 y: NA (use of energy expenditure from DLW data (Torun et al., 1996) 10-18 y: REE equations based on height and body mass (FAO/WHO/UNU, 1985)	Average body mass for age (origin of body mass values not specified)	1-9 y: 3 PALs varying with age: average, low, high (French DLW data, 1999). Average value varying with age: 1.5 for 2-3 y, 1.55 for 4 y, 1.6 for 5 y, 1.75 for 6-9 y. 10-18 y: 9 PAL values for each 0.1 increase in PAL between 1.4 and 2.2	Average energy stored in tissues, considering deposited protein and fat and body mass gain, generally differing for boys and girls	 1-9 y, for average PAL: bm x energy expenditure per kg body mass (based on DLW data) + deposited energy for growth (corrected values for low and high PALs) 10-18 y: REE x PAL + deposited energy for growth 	 1-9 y: EAR for each sex, each one year increase in age and each PAL. 10-18 y: EAR for each sex, bm (between 30 and 80 kg for boys, 30-70 kg for girls), each PAL and the average BMI of each age. EARs corrected only for girls 10-18 y according to BMI above or below the average value.
NNR (2004): 2-5 y, 6-9 y, 10-17 y	 2-5 y: NA (use of energy expenditure from DLW data (Torun et al., 1996)) 6-9 y: use of published values (Torun et al., 1996) calculated from REE (FAO/WHO/UNU, 1985) and a moderate PAL value. 	Values based on the mean reference values from Denmark (Andersen et al., 1982), Norway (Knudtzon et al., 1988) and the Swedish (2000) and Finnish (1993) growth charts	 2-5 y: NA. 6-9 y: moderate physical activity considering the evaluation of PAL values based on DLW, HR monitoring and activity-time allocation studies (Torun et al., 1996) 10-17 y: 3 PAL categories (Torun et al., 1996). Light activity: for girls, 1.50 (10-13 y), 1.45 (14-17 y), for boys, 1.55 (10-13 y), 1.60 (14-17 y). 	-	 2-5 y: energy expenditure (DLW data on healthy children (Torun et al., 1996) + deposited energy for growth (2%) 6-9 y: use of published values (Torun et al., 1996) 10-17 y: REE x PAL 	 2-9 y: EAR per kg of bm, for each sex and each one year increase. 10-17 y: EAR per kg of bm, for each sex, each one year increase, and each PAL category (light, moderate, heavy). 0-17 y: EAR in MJ/d, for each sex, for 0-1, 3, 6, 12 months, then one year increase, considering average Nordic bm for age, moderate physical activity and the EAR per kg of body mass previously calculated



	Method of calculation of REE	Body mass used for EAR calculations	PAL values	Calculation of energy deposition for growth	EAR calculation	Comments
	10-17 y: REE equations ((Schofield et al., 1985) based on body mass)		Moderate activity: for girls, 1.70 (10-13 y), 1.65 (14-17 y), for boys, 1.75 (10-13 y), 1.80 (14-17 y). Heavy activity: for girls, 1.90 (10-13 y), 1.85 (14-17 y), for boys, 1.95 (10-13 y), 2.05 (14-17 y).			
SACN (2011): 1-18 y	Henry's equations (Henry, 2005) based on body mass and height	 1-4 y: median body masses and heights indicated by the growth standards (RCPCH, 2011) 5-18 y: median British body masses and heights (UK 1990) references) (Freeman et al., 1995) 	Median, 25 th and 75 th percentiles of PAL values adjusted for growth (in terms of a 1 % increase, compilation of published DLW data) without distinction of sex: for age ranges 1-3 y (1.36, 1.40, 1.45), 4-9 y (1.43, 1.58, 1.70), 10-18 y (1.68, 1.75, 1.86).	Adjustments of PAL values for growth in terms of 1 % increase.	REE x PAL	Energy requirements for each sex and each one year increase in age: population EAR (calculated with median PAL value) and energy requirements for less active (25 th percentile of PALs), and more active (75 th percentile of PALs) subjects
D-A-CH (2012): 1-18 y	 1-<15 y: NA (use of energy expenditure from DLW data (Torun et al., 1996)) 15-<19 y: REE equations (FAO/WHO/UNU, 1985) based on body mass 	1-<15 y: Reference body masses based on median values for US children 15-<19 y: German data, calculating body masses from body heights and a BMI of 22 kg/m ² for men and 21 kg/m ² for women	 1-<15 y: moderate physical activity considering the evaluation of PAL values based on DLW, HR monitoring and activity-time allocation studies (Torun et al., 1996) 15-<19 y: moderate physical activity: 1.75; PALs of 1.4, 1.6, 1.8, 2.0 also used 	1-<15 y: NA 15-<19 y: NA	1-<15 y: Used the approach of Torun et al. (1996) 15-<19 y : REE x PAL	EAR for each sex and age range: 1-<4 y, 4-<7 y, 7-<10 y, 10- <13 y, 13-<15 y, 15-<19 y

2666 NA, not applicable



2667 APPENDIX 8: REE CALCULATED WITH FIVE MOST USED PREDICTIVE EQUATIONS USING MEASURED HEIGHTS FROM SURVEYS IN 13 EU MEMBER 2668 STATES AND BODY MASSES TO YIELD A BMI OF 22

Age (years)	n	REE (MJ/d) estimated with Mifflin et al 1990 Median (P5-P95)	REE (MJ/d) estimated with Henry 2005 Median (P5-P95)	REE (MJ/d) estimated with Müller et al 2004 Median (P5-P95)	REE (MJ/d) estimated with Harris- Benedict (1919) Median (P5-P95)	REE (MJ/d) estimated with Schofield 1985 Median (P5-P95)	Lowest and highest median REE (MJ/d)	REE (kcal/d) estimated with Mifflin et al 1990 Median (P5-P95)	REE (kcal/d) estimated with Henry 2005 Median (P5-P95)	REE (kcal/d) estimated with Müller et al 2004 Median (P5-P95)	REE (kcal/d) estimated with Harris- Benedict (1919) Median (P5-P95)	REE (kcal/d) estimated with Schofield 1985 Median (P5-P95)	Lowest and highest median REE (kcal/d)
Men													
18 - 29	2771	7.1 (6.4-7.8)	7.0 (6.3-7.7)	7.2 (6.7-7.6)	7.4 (6.6-8.1)	7.3 (6.7-7.8)	7.0; 7.4	1700 (1533-1858)	1674 (1506-1836)	1711 (1607-1813)	1758 (1570-1943)	1737 (1602-1870)	1674; 1758
30 - 39	2971	6.8 (6.2-7.6)	6.7 (6.1-7.5)	7.0 (6.6-7.5)	7.0 (6.3-7.9)	7.0 (6.6-7.5)	6.7; 7.0	1635 (1486-1823)	1613 (1466-1796)	1666 (1574-1784)	1672 (1504-1879)	1668 (1577-1783)	1613; 1672
40 - 49	3780	6.6 (5.9-7.3)	6.6 (6.0-7.4)	6.8 (6.4-7.3)	6.6 (5.9-7.5)	6.9 (6.5-7.4)	6.6; 6.9	1571 (1421-1750)	1592 (1438-1781)	1621 (1528-1735)	1588 (1416-1789)	1654 (1560-1774)	1571; 1654
50 - 59	3575	6.3 (5.6-7.0)	6.6 (5.9-7.3)	6.6 (6.2-7.0)	6.2 (5.5-7.1)	6.9 (6.5-7.3)	6.2; 6.9	1496 (1334-1667)	1576 (1417-1737)	1571 (1472-1681)	1492 (1310-1686)	1645 (1547-1745)	1492; 1645
60 - 69	2611	6.0 (5.4-6.6)	6.0 (5.3-6.6)	6.4 (6.0-6.8)	5.9 (5.2-6.6)	6.1 (5.2-6.8)	5.9; 6.4	1437 (1279-1587)	1438 (1258-1589)	1531 (1437-1625)	1414 (1243-1582)	1454 (1241-1631)	1414; 1531
70 - 79	792	5.7 (5.1-6.4)	5.9 (5.2-6.6)	6.2 (5.8-6.7)	5.5 (4.8-6.4)	6.0 (5.2-6.8)	5.5; 6.2	1364 (1208-1540)	1416 (1252-1574)	1481 (1386-1593)	1320 (1144-1521)	1429 (1233-1614)	1320; 1481
80 - 89	55	5.4 (4.5-5.5)	5.8 (5.0-5.9)	6.0 (5.5-6.1)	5.2 (4.2-5.3)	5.8 (4.9-6.0)	5.2; 6.0	1295 (1085-1323)	1375 (1192-1416)	1437 (1307-1543)	1236 (995-1266)	1379 (1161-1429)	1236; 1437
90+	12	5.2 (4.2-5.7)	5.8 (4.8-6.3)	5.8 (5.2-6.1)	4.9 (3.7-5.4)	5.8 (4.6-6.5)	4.9; 5.8	1243 (995-1354)	1389 (1146-1515)	1398 (1252-1466)	1160 (890-1281)	1396 (1105-1544)	1160; 1398



Age (years)	n	REE (MJ/d) estimated with Mifflin et al 1990 Median (P5-P95)	REE (MJ/d) estimated with Henry 2005 Median (P5-P95)	REE (MJ/d) estimated with Müller et al 2004 Median (P5-P95)	REE (MJ/d) estimated with Harris- Benedict (1919) Median (P5-P95)	REE (MJ/d) estimated with Schofield 1985 Median (P5-P95)	Lowest and highest median REE (MJ/d)	REE (kcal/d) estimated with Mifflin et al 1990 Median (P5-P95)	REE (kcal/d) estimated with Henry 2005 Median (P5-P95)	REE (kcal/d) estimated with Müller et al 2004 Median (P5-P95)	REE (kcal/d) estimated with Harris- Benedict (1919) Median (P5-P95)	REE (kcal/d) estimated with Schofield 1985 Median (P5-P95)	Lowest and highest median REE (kcal/d)
Women													
18 - 29	3589	5.6 (5.0-6.3)	5.6 (5.0-6.3)	5.7 (5.3-6.1)	5.9 (5.5-6.4)	5.7 (5.2-6.4)	5.6; 5.9	1341 (1201-1502)	1342 (1208-1509)	1351 (1267-1449)	1415 (1322-1520)	1368 (1245-1525)	1341; 1415
30 - 39	3866	5.3 (4.8-6.0)	5.4 (4.9-5.9)	5.5 (5.1-5.9)	5.7 (5.3-6.1)	5.5 (5.3-5.8)	5.3; 5.7	1277 (1147-1433)	1290 (1183-1418)	1306 (1230-1403)	1355 (1273-1460)	1324 (1267-1394)	1277; 1355
40 - 49	4727	5.1 (4.6-5.8)	5.4 (4.9-5.9)	5.3 (5.0-5.7)	5.5 (5.1-5.9)	5.5 (5.3-5.8)	5.1; 5.5	1221 (1091-1379)	1285 (1178-1407)	1269 (1191-1365)	1306 (1220-1412)	1321 (1264-1387)	1221; 1321
50 - 59	4066	4.8 (4.3-5.4)	5.3 (4.8-4.8)	5.1 (4.8-5.5)	5.2 (4.8-5.6)	5.5 (5.2-5.8)	4.8; 5.5	1151 (1016-1298)	1265 (1157-1384)	1223 (1141-1311)	1247 (1157-1344)	1311 (1253-1375)	1151; 1311
60 - 69	2806	4.6 (4.0-5.2)	4.9 (4.5-5.3)	5.0 (4.6-5.3)	5.0 (4.6-5.4)	5.0 (4.6-5.5)	4.6; 5.0	1102 (966-1232)	1164 (1068-1279)	1187 (1106-1266)	1202 (1109-1288)	1195 (1099-1309)	1102; 1202
70 - 79	915	4.3 (3.7-4.9)	4.8 (4.4-5.3)	4.8 (4.4-5.2)	4.8 (4.4-5.2)	5.0 (4.5-5.4)	4.3; 5.0	1028 (887-1182)	1154 (1054-1268)	1138 (1055-1231)	1139 (1046-1241)	1185 (1086-1298)	1028; 1185
80 - 89	88	4.0 (3.3-4.5)	4.7 (4.2-5.1)	4.6 (4.2-4.8)	4.5 (4.1-4.8)	4.8 (4.3-5.2)	4.0; 4.8	955 (796-1064)	1124 (1006-1222)	1091 (999-1155)	1078 (979-1149)	1155 (1037-1252)	955; 1155
90+	4	3.4 (3.4-3.9)	4.4 (4.4-4.8)	4.2 (4.2-4.5)	4.1 (4.1-4.4)	4.6 (4.6-4.9)	3.4; 4.6	813 (813-932)	1064 (1064- 1144)	1000 (1000-1072)	971 (971-1052)	1095 (1095-1175)	813; 1095

2669 The respective predictive equations based on body mass and height were used, where available (see Appendix 1). For Müller, the equation based on body mass for subpopulation 1 was used (Müller et al., 2004).





2672 APPENDIX 9: COMPARISON OF MEASURED REE OF GISELA SUBJECTS (LAST AVAILABLE MEASUREMENTS) WITH REE CALCULATED WITH VARIOUS

2673 **PREDICTIVE EQUATIONS**

Women	Median 5 th 05 th Porcentile					
(II=380, 01-90 years, DWI 15.9-45.0)	5 -95 Percentile					
REE (kJ/d) measured	5,590					
	4,516-7,092				(10 0()	
REE (kJ/d) predicted		Bias	Upper limit of agreement	Lower limit of agreement	Accuracy (± 10 %) (n/%)	R-
Schofield (1985)	5,578	26	1,041	- 989	285/74 %	0.57
	4,841-6,670					
Müller et al. (2004)	5,305	- 263	749	- 1,275	280/73%	0.58
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	4,438-6,554					
Henry (2005)	5,255	- 311	710	- 1,332	281/73 %	0.58
u ()	4,615-6,237					
Harris-Benedict (1919)	5,215	- 364	648	- 1,376	259/67 %	0.57
× ,	4,410-6,342					
Mifflin et al. (1990)	4,800	- 795	235	- 1,825	127/33 %	0.57
	3,882-6,090					
Men	Median					
(n=165, 60-92 years, BMI 18.8-47.4)	5-95 th Percentile					
REE (kJ/d) measured	6,674					
	5,595-8,880					
REE (kJ/d) predicted		Bias	Upper limit of agreement	Lower limit of agreement	Accuracy ± 10 %	\mathbf{R}^2
Müller et al. (2004)	6,814	43	1,284	- 1,199	118/72 %	0.57
	6,062-8,052		,	,		
Henry (2005)	6,596	- 203	1.081	- 1,487	117/71 %	0.53
	5,663-7,918		,	7		
Schofield (1985)	6,559	- 276	1,117	- 1,668	115/70 %	0.45
	5,539-7,774		,	,		
Harris-Benedict (1919)	6,250	- 494	779	- 1,767	96/58 %	0.56
	5,186-7,903			,		
Mifflin et al. (1990)	6,227	- 540	733	- 1.813	94/57 %	0.56
	5.345-7.485			,		

2674 Bias = mean of differences (in kJ) of calculated REE vs. measured REE; Upper limit of agreement = Bias + ($1.96 \times SD$); Lower limit of agreement = Bias - ($1.96 \times SD$); Accuracy: estimated as the number and percentage of subjects that have an REE predicted by the equation within 10 % of the measured REE.



SELECTED PREDICTIVE EQUATIONS FOR REE IN CHILDREN AND ADOLESCENTS 2676 **APPENDIX 10:**

2677 Prediction equations for REE for children and adolescents from Schofield (1985) using body mass (BM, in kg) and height (H, in m) 2678

Age	MJ/d	n	se	r	MJ/d	n	se	r
(years)	(kcal/d)				(kcal/d)			
	Boys				Girls			
0-3	0.0007 BM + 6.349 H - 2.584	162	0.243	0.97	0.068 BM + 4.281 H - 1.730	137	0.216	0.97
	(0.167 BM + 1517.4 H - 617.6)				(16.25 BM + 1023.2 H – 413.5)			
3-10	0.082 BM + 0.545 H + 1.736	338	0.280	0.83	0.071 BM + 0.677 H + 1.553	413	0.290	0.81
	(19.6 BM + 130.3 H + 414.9)				(16.97 BM + 161.8 H + 371.2)			
10-18	0.068 BM + 0.574 H + 2.157	734	0.439	0.93	0.035 BM + 1.948 H + 0.837	575	0.453	0.82
	(16.25 BM + 137.2 H + 515.5)				(8.365 BM + 465 H + 200)			

n, number of individuals; se, standard error; r, correlation coefficient of the linear regression

2679 2680

2681

2682 Prediction equations for REE for children and adolescents from Henry (2005) using body mass (BM, in kg) and height (H, in m) 2683

Age	MJ/d	n	se	r	MJ/d	n	se	r
(years)	(kcal/d)				(kcal/d)			
	Boys				Girls			
0-3	0.118 BM + 3.59 H - 1.55	246	0.246	0.96	0.127 BM + 2.94 H - 1.20	201	0.232	0.96
	(28.2 BM + 859 H - 371)				(30.4 BM + 703 H – 287)			
3-10	0.0632 BM + 1.31 H + 1.28	289	0.322	0.84	0.0666 BM + 0.878 H + 1.46	403	0.357	0.83
	$(15.1 \text{ BM} + 74.2 \text{ H} + 306)^1$				(15.9 BM + 210 H + 349)			
10-18	0.0651 BM + 1.11 H + 1.25	863	0.562	0.86	0.0393 BM + 1.04 H + 1.93	1,063	0.521	0.76
	(15.6 BM + 266 H + 299)				(9.40 BM + 249 H + 462)			

n, number of individuals; se, standard error; r, correlation coefficient of the linear regression ¹, likely error in the cited formula, so in this opinion the respective formula for MJ/d was used and the results for kcal/d 2685 2686 obtained after conversion

2687



2688 APPENDIX 11: REFERENCE BODY HEIGHTS AND BODY MASSES FOR INFANTS, CHILDREN AND 2689 ADULTS

2690 Infants and children

2691 For the calculation of the average energy requirement reference body masses and reference body 2692 heights are required. It has previously been recommended to develop a database with reference body 2693 masses and heights representative for the total population in the EU (EFSA Panel on Dietetic Products 2694 Nutrition and Allergies (NDA), 2010). Concurrently, harmonised growth references for height, body 2695 mass and body mass index (BMI) at the EU level were calculated (van Buuren et al., 2012) using 2696 existing data available from the individual EU Member States and covering the period of 1990-2011. 2697 The coverage of the population in the EU was 90.1% for height-for-age, 87.5% for body mass-for-age, 2698 and 85.2% for BMI-for-age. The proposed harmonised EU growth references are used in this Opinion 2699 for the ages 1-17 years. Reference body heights and body masses for children aged 1-17 years are 2700 shown in Table 7.

2701 <u>Adults</u>

2702 For the report on nutrient and energy intakes for the European Community by the SCF (1993), weighted median body masses of European men and women derived from pooling of national data 2703 2704 from a limited number of EU Member States were used. These data are relatively old and not 2705 necessarily representative for the newer EU Member States. For this Opinion, an attempt was made to 2706 gather more recent anthropometric data, to account for possible secular changes and the increase in 2707 size of the EU. For Bulgaria (Petrova and Angelova, 2006), Finland (Paturi et al., 2008), France (AFSSA, 2009), Germany (MRI, 2008a, 2008b), Ireland (Harrington et al., 2001; Kiely et al., 2001), 2708 2709 Poland (Szponar et al., 2001; Szponar et al., 2003), Spain (AESAN) (Ortega et al., 2011), and United 2710 Kingdom (Henderson et al., 2002) individual data on measured body heights and body masses from 2711 representative surveys were already available to EFSA via the Comprehensive Food Consumption Database (Merten et al., 2011). Various other countries for which such data may be available were 2712 2713 identified with the help of the European Commission Directorate General - SANCO and WHO Regional Office in Europe. Following a request for data submission, such data were received from The 2714 2715 Netherlands (Health examination survey in the Netherlands 2009-2010) (Blokstra et al., 2011), 2716 Portugal (do Carmo et al., 2008), Slovakia (CINDI 2008) (Avdičová et al., 2005), Luxembourg 2717 (Alkerwi et al., 2010) and Czech Republic (HELEN Study: Health, Life Style and Environment 2004-2718 2005) (Kratěnová et al., 2007). The overall population coverage, i.e. the number of inhabitants in these 2719 13 EU Member States relative to all EU citizens is equal to 66-71 % for age groups between 18 and 69 years, 43 % for the age group 70-79 years and even lower for age groups 80-89 years and \geq 90 years. 2720

Weighting factors were used in order to take into account the population size of the respective country for which data were available. Weighting factors were obtained for both sexes by dividing, for each country, the population size of the age categories by the number of subjects included in the survey. Information on the population by country, age category and sex were extracted from the EUROSTAT website (<u>http://epp.eurostat.ec.europa.eu</u>) and are referred to 2010. Body masses were calculated for a BMI of 22 kg/m² and using measured body heights.

2727 Median measured body heights and body masses as well as body masses for a BMI of 22 kg/m² based 2728 on data obtained in the 13 EU Member States are listed in Table 4.

- 2729
- 2730



APPENDIX 12A: RANGES OF AVERAGE REQUIREMENT (AR) FOR ENERGY FOR ADULTS BASED ON THE FACTORIAL METHOD AND PREDICTING REE WITH FIVE MOST USED EQUATIONS

Age (vears)	Lowest median	Highest median	Range of AR at PAL	Range of AR at PAL	Range of AR at PAL	Range of AR at PAL	Range of AR at PAL	Range of AR at PAL
	REE (kcal/d)	REE (kcal/d)	= 1.4 (kcal/d) ¹	$= 1.6$ $(kcal/d)^{1}$	$= 1.8$ $(kcal/d)^{1}$	= 2.0 (kcal/d) ¹	$= 2.2$ $(kcal/d)^{1}$	= 2.4 (kcal/d) ¹
Men								
18-29	1,670	1,758	2,338 -	2,672 -	3,006 -	3,340 -	3,674 -	4,008 -
			2,461	2,813	3,164	3,516	3,868	4,219
30-39	1,610	1,672	2,254 -	2,576 -	2,898 -	3,220 -	3,542 -	3,864 -
			2,341	2,676	3,010	3,345	3,679	4,014
40-49	1,571	1,654	2,200 -	2,514 -	2,828 -	3,143 -	3,457 -	3,771 -
			2,316	2,647	2,978	3,309	3,639	3,970
50-59	1,492	1,645	2,089 -	2,388 -	2,686 -	2,985 -	3,283 -	3,581 -
			2,302	2,631	2,960	3,289	3,618	3,947
60-69	1,414	1,531	1,979 -	2,262 -	2,545 -	2,828 -	3,110 -	3,393 -
			2,143	2,450	2,756	3,062	3,368	3,674
70-79	1,320	1,481	1,848 -	2,112-	2,376 -	2,640 -	2,904 -	3,169 -
			2,074	2,370	2,666	2,962	3,258	3,555
Women								
18-29	1,338	1,415	1,873 -	2,140 -	2,408 -	2,675 -	2,943 -	3,210 -
			1,981	2,264	2,547	2,830	3,113	3,396
30-39	1,277	1,355	1,787 -	2,043 -	2,298 -	2,553 -	2,809 -	3,064 -
			1,898	2,169	2,440	2,711	2,982	3,253
40-49	1,221	1,321	1,710 -	1,954 -	2,198 -	2,442 -	2,687 -	2,931 -
			1,849	2,114	2,378	2,642	2,906	3,170
50-59	1,151	1,311	1,612 -	1,842 -	2,072 -	2,303 -	2,533 -	2,763 -
			1,835	2,097	2,359	2,621	2,883	3,145
60-69	1,101	1,202	1,542 -	1,762 -	1,983 -	2,203 -	2,423 -	2,644 -
			1,682	1,923	2,163	2,403	2,644	2,884
70-79	1,028	1,185	1,439 -	1,644 -	1,850 -	2,055 -	2,261 -	2,466 -
			1,659	1,896	2,133	2,370	2,607	2,844

2733

¹Based on lowest and highest median REE (see Appendix 8).



2734	APPENDIX 12B:	RANGES OF AVERAGE REQUIREMENT (AR) FOR ENERGY FOR CHILDREN AND ADOLESCENTS BASED ON THE FACTORIAL METHOD
2735	AND PREDICTING 1	REE WITH TWO PREDICTIVE EQUATIONS

Age (years)	REE (kcal/d)	REE (kcal/d)	Range of AR	Range of AR				
	(Henry)	(Schofield)	at PAL = 1.4 (kcal/d)	at PAL = 1.6 (kcal/d)	at PAL = 1.8 (kcal/d)	at PAL = 2.0 (kcal/d)	at PAL = 2.2 (kcal/d)	at PAL = 2.4 (kcal/d)
Boys			(licul u)	(licul u)	(neur u)	(neur, u)	(neur u)	(neur)
1	570	539	762 - 806	871 - 921				
2	738	712	1,007 -1,044	1,151 - 1,193				
3	830	829	1,172 -1,174	1,339 -1,341				
4	888	884	1,249 -1,256	1,428 -1,436	1,606 -1,615	1,785 -1,794	1,963 -1,974	
5	942	935	1,322 -1,332	1,511 -1,522	1,700 -1,712	1,889 -1,903	2,078 - 2,093	
6	996	988	1,398 -1,409	1,597 - 1,610	1,797 -1,811	1,997 -2,013	2,196 -2,214	
7	1,059	1,052	1,487 -1,497	1,700 -1,711	1,912 -1,925	2,125 -2,139	2,337 -2,353	
8	1,126	1,121	1,585 -1,592	1,811 -1,819	2,037 -2,046	2,264 -2,274	2,490 -2,501	
9	1,191	1,191	1,683 -1,684	1,924 -1,925	2,164 -2,165	2,405 -2,406	2,645 -2,647	
10	1,196	1,257	1,691 -1,777	1,933 - 2,031	2,174 -2,285	2,416 -2,539	2,658 -2,793	2,899 -3,047
11	1,264	1,321	1,788 - 1,868	2,043 - 2,135	2,298 -2,401	2,554 -2,668	2,809 -2,935	3,065 -3,202
12	1,345	1,397	1,902 - 1,976	2,174 - 2,258	2,445 -2,540	2,717 -2,822	2,989 -3,104	3,260 - 3,387
13	1,444	1,491	2,041 - 2,108	2,333 - 2,409	2,625 -2,710	2,916 -3,011	3,208 - 3,313	3,500 - 3,614
14	1,555	1,598	2,199 - 2,259	2,513 - 2,582	2,828 -2,905	3,142 -3,228	3,456 -3,550	3,770 - 3,873
15	1,670	1,709	2,362 - 2,416	2,699 - 2,761	3,036 - 3,107	3,374 -3,452	3,711 -3,797	4,048 -4,142
16	1,761	1,797	2,489 - 2,542	2,845 - 2,905	3,201 -3,268	3,556 -3,631	3,912 -3,994	4,268 -4,357
17	1,819	1,856	2,572 - 2,624	2,940 - 2,999	3,307 -3,374	3,675 -3,748	4,042 -4,123	4,409 -4,498
Girls								
1	525	503	711 - 742	813 - 848				
2	688	668	945 - 973	1,080 -1,112				
3	775	767	1,084 -1,096	1,239 -1,253				
4	826	816	1,154 -1,168	1,319 -1,335	1,483 -1,502	1,648 -1,668	1,813 -1,835	
5	877	866	1,224 -1,239	1,399 -1,417	1,574 -1,594	1,749 -1,771	1,924 -1,948	
6	928	917	1,297 -1,312	1,482 -1,500	1,667 -1,687	1,852 -1,875	2,037 -2,062	
7	984	973	1,376 -1,392	1,572 -1,591	1,769 -1,790	1,956 -1,989	2,162 - 2,187	



Age (years)	REE (kcal/d)	REE (kcal/d)	Range of AR	Range of AR	Range of AR	Range of AR	Range of AR	Range of AR
	(Henry)	(Schofield)	at PAL = 1.4	at PAL = 1.6	at PAL = 1.8	at PAL = 2.0	at PAL = 2.2	at PAL = 2.4
			(kcal/d)	(kcal/d)	(kcal/d)	(kcal/d)	(kcal/d)	(kcal/d)
8	1,045	1,034	1,461 -1,477	1,670 -1,688	1,879 -1,899	2,088 -2,110	2,297 -2,321	
9	1,107	1,097	1,551 -1,566	1,773 -1,790	1,994 -2,013	2,216 -2,237	2,437 -2,461	
10	1,125	1,133	1591 -1,602	1,818 -1,831	2,046 -2,059	2,273 -2,288	2,500 - 2,517	2,728 -2,746
11	1,181	1,198	1669 -1,694	1,908 -1,936	2,146 -2,177	2,385 -2,419	2,623 -2,661	2,862 -2,903
12	1,240	1,266	1754 -1,790	2,004 - 2,046	2,255 -2,301	2,505 -2,557	2,756 -2,813	3,006 -3,069
13	1,299	1,331	1837 -1,882	2,099 -2,150	2,361 -2,419	2,624 -2,688	2,886 -2,957	3,149 -3,226
14	1,346	1,381	1903 -1,952	2,175 -2,231	2,447 -2,510	2,719 -2,789	2,991 -3,068	3,262 - 3,347
15	1,379	1,415	1950 -2,001	2,228 -2,287	2,507 -2,573	2,786 -2,859	3,064 - 3,145	3,343 -3,430
16	1,398	1,434	1,977 -2,028	2,259 -2,318	2,542 -2,608	2,824 -2,898	3,107 -3,187	3,389 -3,477
17	1,409	1,446	1,992 -2,044	2,277 -2,336	2,562 -2,628	2,846 -2,920	3,131 -3,212	3,416 -3,504



2737 APPENDIX 13: SUMMARY OF AVERAGE REQUIREMENT (AR) FOR ENERGY EXPRESSED IN

2738 KCAL/D

Age	REE ¹	AR at PAL	AR at PAL	AR at PAL	AR at PAL
(years)	(kcal/d)	= 1.4	= 1.6	= 1.8	= 2.0
		(kcal/d)	(kcal/d)	(kcal/d)	(kcal/d)
Men					
18-29	1,670	2,338	2,672	3,006	3,340
30-39	1,610	2,254	2,576	2,898	3,220
40-49	1,589	2,224	2,542	2,860	3,177
50-59	1,573	2,202	2,517	2,832	3,146
60-69	1,438	2,013	2,301	2,588	2,876
70-79	1,417	1,984	2,267	2,550	2,834
Women					
18-29	1,338	1,873	2,140	2,408	2,675
30-39	1,290	1,806	2,064	2,321	2,579
40-49	1,284	1,798	2,055	2,312	2,569
50-59	1,265	1,771	2,024	2,277	2,529
60-69	1,163	1,628	1,861	2,093	2,326
70-79	1,153	1,614	1,844	2,075	2,305

2739 Summary of Average Requirement (AR) for energy for adults

¹REE, resting energy expenditure predicted with the equations of Henry (2005) using body mass and height. Because these have overlapping age bands (18-30 years, 30-60 years, \geq 60 years) (see Appendix 1), the choice of equation is ambiguous at the age boundaries. The REE equations for 18-30 year-olds are used for adults aged 18-29 years, the equations for 30-60 year-olds are used for adults aged 30-39, 40-49, and 50-59 years, and the equations for \geq 60 year-olds are used for adults aged 60-69 and 70-79 years.

2745

2746 Summary of Average Requirement (AR) for energy for infants

Age	AR (kcal/d)		A (kcal/kg B	.R M per day)
	Boys	Girls	Boys	Girls
7 months	635	575	76	75
8 months	660	600	77	76
9 months	690	625	77	76
10 months	725	655	79	77
11 months	740	675	79	77

2747

¹ 50th percentile of WHO Growth Standards (WHO Multicentre Growth Reference Study Group, 2006)



2748	Summary of Ave	rage Requirement	t (AR) for energy	for children and	adolescents
			· (

Age	REE ²	AR ³ at	AR ³ at	AR ³ at	AR ³ at
(years)	(kcal/d)	$PAL^{4} = 1.4$	PAL = 1.6	PAL = 1.8	PAL = 2.0
		(kcal/d)	(kcal/d)	(kcal/d)	(kcal/d)
Boys ¹					
1	570	806			
2	738	1,044			
3	830	1,174			
4	888	1,256	1,436	1,615	
5	942	1,332	1,522	1,712	
6	996	1,409	1,610	1,811	
7	1,059	1,497	1,711	1,925	
8	1,126	1,592	1,819	2,046	
9	1,191	1,684	1,925	2,165	
10	1,196		1,933	2,174	2,416
11	1,264		2,043	2,298	2,554
12	1,345		2,174	2,445	2,717
13	1,444		2,333	2,625	2,916
14	1,555		2,513	2,828	3,142
15	1,670		2,699	3,036	3,374
16	1,761		2,845	3,201	3,556
17	1,819		2,940	3,307	3,675
Girls ¹					
1	525	742			
2	688	973			
3	775	1,096			
4	826	1,168	1,335	1,502	
5	877	1,239	1,417	1,594	
6	928	1,312	1,500	1,687	
7	984	1,392	1,591	1,790	
8	1,045	1,477	1,688	1,899	
9	1,107	1,566	1,790	2,013	
10	1,125		1,818	2,046	2,273
11	1,181		1,908	2,146	2,385
12	1,240		2,004	2,255	2,505
13	1,299		2,099	2,361	2,624
14	1,346		2,175	2,447	2,719
15	1,379		2,228	2,507	2,786
16	1,398		2,259	2,542	2,824
17	1,409		2,277	2,562	2,846

2749 2750 2751 ¹ Based upon the 50th percentile of harmonised curves for body masses and heights of EU children (van Buuren et al., 2012) ² REE, resting energy expenditure computed with the predictive equations of Henry. Because the equations of Henry have

overlapping age bands (0-3, 3-10, 10-18 years), the choice of equation is ambiguous at the age boundaries. The REE equation for 3-10 year-olds is used for the 3 year-olds and the equation for 10-18 year-olds is used for those aged 10 years. 2752

³ Taking into account a coefficient of 1.01 for growth.

2753 2754

⁴ PAL, physical activity level

Summary of Average Requirement (AR) for energy for pregnant and lactating women (in 2755 2756 addition to the AR for non-pregnant women)

	AR (kcal/d)
Pregnant women	
1 st trimester	+70
2 nd trimester	+260
3 rd trimester	+500
Lactating women	+500
0-0 months post partam	





2758 GLOSSARY AND ABBREVIATIONS

AESAN	Agencia Española de Seguridad Alimentaria y Nutrición
AFSSA	Agence Française de Sécurité Sanitaire des Aliments
AR	Average Requirement
ATP	Adenosin-triphosphate
BEE	Basal energy expenditure
BM	Body mass
BMI	Body mass index
BMR	Basal metabolic rate
cal	calorie
CINDI	Countrywide Integrated Noncommunicable Diseases Intervention
СОМА	Committee on Medical Aspects of Food Policy
CV	Coefficient of variation
D-A-CH	Deutschland- Austria- Confoederatio Helvetica
DIT	Diet-induced thermogenesis
DLW	Doubly-labelled water
DoH	Department of Health
DRV	Dietary Reference Value
EAR	Estimated average requirement
EC	European Commission
EEPA	Energy expenditure of physical activity
EER	Estimated energy requirement
EFSA	European Food Safety Authority
EU	European Union
FAO	Food and Agriculture Organisation
FFM	Fat-free mass
FQ	Food quotient

FM	Fat mass
GE	Gross energy
GISELA	Giessener Senioren Langzeitstudie
HELEN	Health, Life Style and Environment
HR	Heart rate
IE	Ingested energy
IoM	U.S. Institute of Medicine of the National Academy of Sciences
LGA	Large-for-gestational-age
ME	Metabolisable energy
MJ	Mega-joule
то	month
MRI	Max Rubner Institut
NEAT	Non-exercice activity thermogenesis
NME	Net metabolisable energy
NNR	Nordic Nutrition Recommendations
OPEN	Observing Protein and Energy Nutrition
PA	Physical activity
PAL	Physical activity level
PAR	Physical activity ratio
PRI	Population reference intake
REE	Resting energy expenditure
RQ	Respiratory quotient
SACN	Scientific Advisory Committee on Nutrition
SCF	Scientific Committee for Food
SD	Standard deviation
se	Standard error
s.e.e.	Standard error of estimate
SEE	Sleeping energy expenditure



SGA	Small-for-gestational-age
SI	International System of Units
TEE	Total energy expenditure
TEF	Termic effect of food
UK	United Kingdom
UNU	United Nations University
US	United States
VCO ₂	Carbon dioxide production
VO ₂	Oxygen consumption
WHO	World Health Organisation
у	year