# European Food Safety Authority

# ZOONOSES MONITORING

# **HUNGARY**

The Report referred to in Article 9 of Directive 2003/99/EC

TRENDS AND SOURCES OF ZOONOSES AND ZOONOTIC AGENTS IN HUMANS, FOODSTUFFS, ANIMALS AND FEEDINGSTUFFS

including information on foodborne outbreaks, antimicrobial resistance in zoonotic agents and some pathogenic microbiological agents.

IN 2012

# INFORMATION ON THE REPORTING AND MONITORING SYSTEM

Country: Hungary

Reporting Year: 2012

Laboratory name	Description	Contribution
Central Agricultural Office		Responsible authority for zoonoses data collection and reporting

#### **PREFACE**

This report is submitted to the European Commission in accordance with Article 9 of Council Directive 2003/99/ EC\*. The information has also been forwarded to the European Food Safety Authority (EFSA).

The report contains information on trends and sources of zoonoses and zoonotic agents in Hungary during the year 2012.

The information covers the occurrence of these diseases and agents in humans, animals, foodstuffs and in some cases also in feedingstuffs. In addition the report includes data on antimicrobial resistance in some zoonotic agents and commensal bacteria as well as information on epidemiological investigations of foodborne outbreaks. Complementary data on susceptible animal populations in the country is also given. The information given covers both zoonoses that are important for the public health in the whole European Community as well as zoonoses, which are relevant on the basis of the national epidemiological situation.

The report describes the monitoring systems in place and the prevention and control strategies applied in the country. For some zoonoses this monitoring is based on legal requirements laid down by the Community Legislation, while for the other zoonoses national approaches are applied.

The report presents the results of the examinations carried out in the reporting year. A national evaluation of the epidemiological situation, with special reference to trends and sources of zoonotic infections, is given. Whenever possible, the relevance of findings in foodstuffs and animals to zoonoses cases in humans is evaluated.

The information covered by this report is used in the annual Community Summary Report on zoonoses that is published each year by EFSA.

Hungary - 2012

<sup>\*</sup> Directive 2003/ 99/ EC of the European Parliament and of the Council of 12 December 2003 on the monitoring of zoonoses and zoonotic agents, amending Decision 90/ 424/ EEC and repealing Council Directive 92/ 117/ EEC, OJ L 325, 17.11.2003, p. 31

# **List of Contents**

1	ANIMAL POPULATIONS	1
2	INFORMATION ON SPECIFIC ZOONOSES AND ZOONOTIC AGENTS	4
	2.1 SALMONELLOSIS	5
	2.1.1 General evaluation of the national situation	5
	2.1.2 Salmonellosis in humans	6
	2.1.3 Salmonella in foodstuffs	8
	2.1.4 Salmonella in animals	36
	2.1.5 Salmonella in feedingstuffs	43
	2.1.6 Salmonella serovars and phagetype distribution	48
	2.1.7 Antimicrobial resistance in Salmonella isolates	99
	2.2 CAMPYLOBACTERIOSIS	230
	2.2.1 General evaluation of the national situation	230
	2.2.2 Campylobacteriosis in humans	231
	2.2.3 Campylobacter in foodstuffs	233
	2.2.4 Campylobacter in animals	238
	2.2.5 Antimicrobial resistance in Campylobacter isolates	239
	2.3 LISTERIOSIS	262
	2.3.1 General evaluation of the national situation	262
	2.3.2 Listeriosis in humans	263
	2.3.3 Listeria in foodstuffs	265
	2.3.4 Listeria in animals	276
	2.4 E. COLI INFECTIONS	277
	2.4.1 General evaluation of the national situation	277
	2.4.2 Escherichia coli, pathogenic in foodstuffs	278
	2.4.3 Escherichia coli, pathogenic in animals	281
	2.5 TUBERCULOSIS, MYCOBACTERIAL DISEASES	282
	2.5.1 General evaluation of the national situation	282
	2.5.2 Mycobacterium in animals	283
	2.6 BRUCELLOSIS	289
	2.6.1 General evaluation of the national situation	289
	2.6.2 Brucellosis in humans	290
	2.6.3 Brucella in animals	292
	2.7 YERSINIOSIS	301
	2.7.1 General evaluation of the national situation	301
	2.7.2 Yersiniosis in humans	302
	2.7.3 Yersinia in animals	304
	2.8 TRICHINELLOSIS	305
	2.8.1 General evaluation of the national situation	305
	2.8.2 Trichinellosis in humans	306
	2.8.3 Trichinella in animals	308

	2.9 ECHINOCOCCOSIS	311
	2.9.1 General evaluation of the national situation	311
	2.9.2 Echinococcosis in humans	312
	2.9.3 Echinococcus in animals	313
	2.10 TOXOPLASMOSIS	314
	2.10.1 General evaluation of the national situation	314
	2.10.2 Toxoplasmosis in humans	314
	2.10.3 Toxoplasma in animals	316
	2.11 RABIES	317
	2.11.1 General evaluation of the national situation	317
	2.11.2 Rabies in humans	319
	2.11.3 Lyssavirus (rabies) in animals	321
	2.12 STAPHYLOCOCCUS INFECTION	324
	2.12.1 General evaluation of the national situation	324
	2.12.2 Staphylococcus in animals	324
	2.13 Q-FEVER	326
	2.13.1 General evaluation of the national situation	326
	2.13.2 Coxiella (Q-fever) in animals	327
	2.14 WEST NILE VIRUS INFECTIONS	328
	2.14.1 General evaluation of the national situation	328
	2.14.2 West Nile Virus in animals	328
3	INFORMATION ON SPECIFIC INDICATORS OF ANTIMICROBIAL	330
	3.1 ESCHERICHIA COLI, NON-PATHOGENIC	331
	3.1.1 General evaluation of the national situation	331
	3.1.2 Antimicrobial resistance in Escherichia coli, non-pathogenic	331
	3.2 ENTEROCOCCUS, NON-PATHOGENIC	354
	3.2.1 General evaluation of the national situation	354
	3.2.2 Antimicrobial resistance in Enterococcus, non-pathogenic isolates	354
4	INFORMATION ON SPECIFIC MICROBIOLOGICAL AGENTS	368
	4.1 CRONOBACTER	369
	4.1.1 General evaluation of the national situation	369
	4.1.2 Cronobacter in foodstuffs	369
	4.2 HISTAMINE	370
	4.2.1 General evaluation of the national situation	370
	4.3 STAPHYLOCOCCAL ENTEROTOXINS	370
	4.3.1 General evaluation of the national situation	370
	4.3.2 Staphylococcal enterotoxins in foodstuffs	370
5	FOODBORNE OUTBREAKS	372

## 1. ANIMAL POPULATIONS

The relevance of the findings on zoonoses and zoonotic agents has to be related to the size and nature of the animal population in the country.

#### A. Information on susceptible animal population

#### Sources of information

Data on susceptible animal populations were taken from official publications of the Hungarian Central Statistical Office unless it was collected by the Directorate of Food Chain Safety and Animal Health of the National Food Chain Safety Office.

National evaluation of the numbers of susceptible population and trends in these figures

Data of December 2012 show that the number of cattle continued to grow. The pig stock – after 3 years of decrease – slightly rose in the past six months. The number of sheep was 1.1 million on 1 December, showing a decline after the increase in June. The stock of poultry decreased compared to December of the previous year.

#### Additional information

Table Susceptible animal populations

#### \* Only if different than current reporting year

		Number of herds or flocks		Number of anir	slaughtered nals	Livestock no anin	umbers (live nals)	Number of holdings		
Animal species	Category of animals	Data	Year*	Data	Year*	Data	Year*	Data	Year*	
Cattle (bovine animals)	- in total	16645				815141				
Ducks	- in total					4242300				
Gallus gallus (fowl)	- in total					30074700				
Geese	- in total					1082200				
Goats	- in total	661				14645				
Pigs	- in total					2955600				
Sheep	- in total	6574				871438				
Solipeds, domestic	horses - in total					76300				
Turkeys	- in total					2799400				

### 2. INFORMATION ON SPECIFIC ZOONOSES AND ZOONOTIC AGENTS

Zoonoses are diseases or infections, which are naturally transmissible directly or indirectly between animals and humans. Foodstuffs serve often as vehicles of zoonotic infections. Zoonotic agents cover viruses, bacteria, fungi, parasites or other biological entities that are likely to cause zoonoses.

#### 2.1 SALMONELLOSIS

#### 2.1.1 General evaluation of the national situation

#### A. General evaluation

#### History of the disease and/or infection in the country

In 1992 the Veterinary Science Committee of the Hungarian Academy of Sciences has established its Salmonella Subcommittee with the main aim to support the work of the Hungarian Ministry of Agriculture and Rural Development in the control of Salmonella with regards to poultry flocks.

This subcommittee has formed a working group with EU experts to prepare the Integrated Quality Chain System for Salmonella Control in the Hungarian Poultry Sector (Edel-Wray-Nagy et al, 1995).

This has been issued by the Ministry for use in the poultry sector and distributed to the County Animal Health and Food Control Stations in 1995. In further years the Salmonella Subcommittee has arranged several courses and lectures to distribute the booklet for wider use. The Basic Document of this Guideline contained the adaptation of Council directive 92/117/EEC. The Guidelines contained general and specific instructions for hatcheries, breeding flocks, broilers, layers, egg packaging plants, slaughterhouses and feedmills. A special chapter was devoted to disinfection and cleaning.

Based on the above Guidelines several large Hungarian poultry farming systems (Babolna, Boly, Nadudvar) have built up and started their Salmonella Reduction Programs between 1996 and 2002. Besides, the Salmonella subcommittee has agreed with the Ministry of Agriculture and Rural Development to review the situation and to propose a Hungarian Salmonella Reduction Plan for Hungary, which was published by Nagy et al. in 1997.

Directive 92/117/EEC and the basics of the above mentioned Guidelines served the basis for the first ministerial decree [49/2002. (V.24) FVM] on the control of salmonellosis in poultry flocks, which referred to Salmonella Enteritidis and S. Typhimurium in Gallus gallus. The amendment to this Directive [97/2003. (VIII.19) FVM] made the application of the Order compulsory for breeding flocks and hatcheries, and continued to define the above 2 Salmonella serovars to be regarded as Salmonella for the purposes of that decree. The amendment also made the vaccination of table egg producing laying flocks compulsory. After the accession the EC regulations became directly applicable in Hungary as well. From that time EC regulations are followed. The implementation of these regulations is regulated by Decree 180/2009. (XII.29.)of Ministry of Agriculture.

#### National evaluation of the recent situation, the trends and sources of infection

Significant decrease could be seen as in the prevalence of salmonella in all types of flocks under scope of national control plans as in meat, meat products, table eggs and egg products of Gallus gallus.

#### Recent actions taken to control the zoonoses

Vaccination is not compulsory in flocks of Gallus gallus and Meleagris gallopavo. The rules of using vaccination and treatment are laid down in Commission Regulation (EC) No 200/2010 of implementing Regulation (EC) No 2160/2003 of the European Parliament and of the Council as regards requirements for the use of specific control methods in the framework of the national programmes for the control of salmonella in poultry.

#### 2.1.2 Salmonellosis in humans

#### A. Salmonellosis in humans

#### Reporting system in place for the human cases

There are around 80 communicable diseases notifiable in Hungary based on legal background. The physician (in primary health care, specialist care, inpatient medical institution or pathology) who first diagnoses a case of a notifiable communicable disease (even the suspicion of the disease!) immediately reports data of case to the first level of the epidemiological network (municipal institute) of National Public Health and Medical Officer's Service (NPHMOS). Data must be reported both at the beginning and at end of the illness (recovery/death, result of laboratory test). The NPHMOS has a nationwide electronic system for registering and analysing data of communicable diseases in a combined national database, so the system provides online connection amid the three levels (municipal, county and national level – National Centre of Epidemiology - NCE) of the organization. The NCE prepares reports regularly (weekly, monthly, yearly) to the Chief Medical Officer, the MoH and the Hungarian Central Statistical Office.

#### Case definition

Confirmed case: a clinically compatible case when the salmonella infection is laboratory confirmed. Probable case: a clinically compatible case that is not confirmed by laboratory investigation, but it has an epidemiological link to a confirmed salmonellosis outbreak.

#### Diagnostic/analytical methods used

Salmonella isolates are obtained by culturing the faeces samples of the patients on selective-differentiating media, followed by biochemical testing and serotyping. Since 2003 the Hungarian and the Colindale sets of phages have been parallel used for phage typing of the human S. Enteritidis isolates received by the Phage-typing and Molecular Epidemiology Department of the 'Johan Bela' National Centre for Epidemiology. For S.Typhimurium isolates the schemes of Felix and Callow as well as Anderson et al. are also in use.

#### Notification system in place

Human cases have been notifiable since 1959. The physician reports data of case on a "case report form" by mail to the municipal institute of NPHMOS. The specialist of the institute records data immediately in the electronic system of the NPHMOS. Hungary has also a laboratory based surveillance system, and the NPHMOS has representative dataset from most of the microbiological laboratories about the laboratory investigated cases (since 2003 antibiotic resistances have also been reported from 5 regional laboratory of NPHMOS and from a number of laboratories from universities or hospitals).

The illness is reported first as enteritis infectiosa syndrome on the basis of the symptoms. Having the results of the laboratory tests this syndrome-based diagnose is modified to etiology-based diagnose. In some cases reporting follows only the available laboratory test results.

#### History of the disease and/or infection in the country

Human cases have been notifiable since 1959. The isolated strains have been phage-typed since the 1960s. The number of the recorded cases has continuously increased from 1959 to 1996 (with a maximum of 28 046 reported case/year, incidence: 274,6/100 000 inhabitant/year). The number of the recorded outbreaks has also increased in a similar way (outbreak = two epidemiologically linked cases of salmonellosis, maximum number of reported outbreaks: 3450 outbreaks in 1995). Since 1996 both the number of the recorded cases and the outbreaks has continuously decreased. The mortality has

#### Hungary - 2012 Report on trends and sources of zoonoses

increased only in the period of 1972-1994 (10-20 death/year, case fatality rate: 0.1-0.4%). In the other years the mortality was 5-10 death cases per year (case fatality rate: 0.03-0.09%). The age-specific incidence was the highest for the infants in all periods, and it declined with the progressing of the age. The investigation of the outbreaks mostly demonstrated a food-borne origin. The ratio of the person-to-person transmission is insignificant. In the history of human salmonellosis in Hungary there were less than 10 outbreaks caused by contaminated water.

Up to 1980 the serotype S. Typhimurium predominated, and pork was identified as the main source of infection. At that time the infection has spread by homemade foods and also by the products of food-industry. Since 1980 the serotype S. Enteritidis has become predominant and poultry has been identified as the main source of the infection. Since then the prevalence of this serotype has remained about 70-80%. Between 1975 and 1980 the S. Enteritidis phage type 7 (according to the Hungarian scheme) has predominated. In the period of 1980-1990 strains characterized with phage type 1, from 1990 to 1996 strains characterized with phage type 1, 6 and 6b (according to the Hungarian scheme) were most frequently identified. After 1997 the phage type 6 (acc. to the Hungarian scheme) has become the most frequently occurring phage type.

#### National evaluation of the recent situation, the trends and sources of infection

The epidemiological situation of the salmonellosis in Hungary has continuously improved till 2004. The number of cases has decreased from 11 507 to 7557 since 2000 (incidence ranged between  $114,3-74,7100\,000$  inhabitants/year), the case fatality ratio changed between 0,01-0,08%. The decrease in the number of salmonellosis cases was mainly due to the decrease in the number of cases caused by S. Enteritidis. Eighty percent of the cases were sporadic. There were 6-700 community/institutional and family acquired outbreaks recorded. The number of the outbreaks declined more significantly than that of the sporadic cases. The investigation of the outbreaks has showed that in most cases the source of the infection was poultry. Mainly poultry eggs, and foods that contained eggs used without adequate heat-treatment and that were prepared at privet home or at canteen/catering trade caused outbreaks. There were only very few outbreaks caused by foods of industrial origin in the past ten years and there were no outbreaks caused by contaminated water.

#### Relevance as zoonotic disease

In the outbreaks a person-to-person transmission has been detected only in very few cases (in specific communities). In most case the outbreaks were suspectedly or conformedly caused by strains originated from poultry, via contaminated food.

#### Additional information

At the Phage-typing and Molecular Epidemiology Department of the 'Johan Bela' National Center for Epidemiology, the phage typing reactions for S. Enteritidis and S. Typhimurium are prepared parallel both with a Hungarian and the international (Ward et al., Colindale) and the Felix-Callow as well as Anderson et al. sets of phages, respectively.

#### 2.1.3 Salmonella in foodstuffs

#### A. Salmonella spp. in broiler meat and products thereof

#### Monitoring system

#### Sampling strategy

At slaughterhouse and cutting plant

The sampling strategy in the slaughterhouses is based on the previous years' data on production volume. The monitoring plan prepared by the CAO Food and Feed Safety Directorate determines the number of samples/county/month. The monitoring samples are thrown by the regional veterinary authority and are examined in the official control laboratories belonging to the Central Agricultural Office (CAO). It is a permanent monitoring scheme, data are reported by the official laboratories to CAO and the Ministry of Agriculture and Rural Development in the frame of an annual laboratory report. All the Salmonella strains isolated are serotyped by the NRL Salmonella.

#### At meat processing plant

The sampling strategy in processing plants is randomised based on the previous years' data on production volume. The samles are thrown by the veterinary authority and are examined in the official food control laboratory. It is a permanent monitoring scheme, data are reported by the official laboratories to the Ministry of Agriculture and Rural Development in the frame of an annual laboratory report.

#### At retail

Retail is also sampled by the authority on a regular basis. The total number of samples is determentd in the annual monitoring plan. About 60 % of the official control samples in a product group are taken at retail.

#### Frequency of the sampling

At slaughterhouse and cutting plant

Sampling distributed evenly throughout the year

At meat processing plant

Sampling distributed evenly throughout the year

At retail

Sampling distributed evenly throughout the year

#### Type of specimen taken

At slaughterhouse and cutting plant

Fresh meat

At meat processing plant

minced meat, meat prep., meat products

At retail

minced meat, meat prep., meat products

Methods of sampling (description of sampling techniques)

At slaughterhouse and cutting plant

#### Hungary - 2012 Report on trends and sources of zoonoses

At least 500 grams of meat is sent to the laboratory. The test portion is 25 grams.

#### At meat processing plant

Batch sampling with 5 subsamples. Test portion is 5 x 10 or 25 grams according to Regulation 2073/2005/EC.

#### Definition of positive finding

At slaughterhouse and cutting plant

a sample or a batch is positive if salmonella was isolated

At meat processing plant

a sample or a batch is positive if salmonella was isolated

At retail

a sample or a batch is positive if salmonella was isolated

#### Diagnostic/analytical methods used

At slaughterhouse and cutting plant

Bacteriological method: ISO 6579:2002

At meat processing plant

Bacteriological method: ISO 6579:2002

At retail

Bacteriological method: ISO 6579:2002

#### Preventive measures in place

According to 2073/2005/EC Reg.

#### Measures in case of the positive findings or single cases

According to Reg.2073/2005/EC.

#### National evaluation of the recent situation, the trends and sources of infection

Based on the monitoring results, salmonella prevalence is high in broiler meat in Hungary. The dominance of Salmonella Infantis strains is well-known in the past years. 90 % of the isolated strains are belonging to this serovar now.

From 1995, the rate of Salmonella Infantis/Enteritidis is showing a continuous increase for Infantis (1% to 90 %), and a decreasing trend for S. Enteritidis (from 60 % to 5%).

The marked increase of Salmonella Infantis serovar in broiler meat was not caused a significant increase in human Salmonella Infantis incidence. The dominating serovar in human infections is continuously S. Enteritidis wich has been responsible for 70-80 % of the human infections for many years.

#### B. Salmonella spp. in pig meat and products thereof

#### Monitoring system

#### Sampling strategy

At slaughterhouse and cutting plant

The sampling strategy in the slaughterhouses is based on the previous years' data on production volume. The monitoring plan prepared by the CAO Food and Feed Safety Directorate determines the number of samples/county/month. The monitoring samples are thrown by the regional veterinary authority and are examined in the official control laboratories belonging to the Central Agricultural Office (CAO). It is a permanent monitoring scheme, data are reported by the official laboratories to CAO and the Ministry of Agricilture and Regional Development in the frame of an annual laboratory report. All the Salmonella strains isolated are serotyped by the NRL Salmonella.

#### At meat processing plant

The sampling strategy in processing plants is randomised based on the previous years' data on production volume. The samles are thrown by the veterinary authority and are examined in the official food control laboratory. It is a permanent monitoring scheme, data are reported by the official laboratories to the Ministry of Agricilture and Regional Development in the frame of an annual laboratory report.

#### Frequency of the sampling

At slaughterhouse and cutting plant

Sampling distributed evenly throughout the year

At meat processing plant

Sampling distributed evenly throughout the year

#### Type of specimen taken

At slaughterhouse and cutting plant

Fresh meat

At meat processing plant

Surface of carcass

#### Diagnostic/analytical methods used

At slaughterhouse and cutting plant

Bacteriological method: ISO 6579:2002

At meat processing plant

Bacteriological method: NMKL No 71:1999

#### C. Salmonella spp. in bovine meat and products thereof

#### Monitoring system

#### Sampling strategy

At slaughterhouse and cutting plant

Food business operators perform continuous sampling system determined in their HACCP plans, and nearby there is an official control system of the competent authorities with a randomised sampling as well. The data of self control processes are checked in the frame of official control of course, but are not collected to a database, therefore these are not involved in this report. The test results of samples examined by competent authorities in their own laboratories are reported, but the data collection system do not allow to report the data separately for te different stages of food chain (slaughterhouses, processing plants, retail). Based on the structure of the EU zoonosis report, the data collection system will be resturctured this year. This year all the data on fresh meat are reported in the table of slaughterhouses.

#### At meat processing plant

The sampling strategy is randomised and continuous, performed by the competent authorities. Food producers operate their own continuous sampling system determined in their HACCP plans as well, with the same remarks as in the case of slaughterhouses.

#### Frequency of the sampling

At slaughterhouse and cutting plant

Sampling distributed evenly throughout the year

At meat processing plant

Sampling distributed evenly throughout the year

At retail

Sampling distributed evenly throughout the year

#### Type of specimen taken

At slaughterhouse and cutting plant

Fresh meat

At meat processing plant

Surface of carcass

At retail

fresh meat and all kinds of meat products

Methods of sampling (description of sampling techniques)

At slaughterhouse and cutting plant

500 garms of sample is sent to the laboratory, the test portion is 25 grams

At meat processing plant

Batch sampling with 5 subsamples. Test portion is 10 or 25 grams determined by 2073/2005/EC Regulation.

Diagnostic/analytical methods used

At slaughterhouse and cutting plant

Bacteriological method: ISO 6579:2002

At meat processing plant

Hungary - 2012 Report on trends and sources of zoonoses

Bacteriological method: ISO 6579:2002

At retail

Bacteriological method: ISO 6579:2002

	Source of information	Sampling strategy	Sampler	Sample type	Sample origin	Sampling unit	Sample weight	Units tested	Total units positive for Salmonella	S. Enteritidis	S. Typhimurium
Meat from broilers (Gallus gallus) - carcase - at slaughterhouse - Surveillance	National Food Chain Safety Office	Objective sampling	Official sampling	food sample > neck skin	Unknown	Batch	25 g	166	25	0	0
Meat from broilers (Gallus gallus) - fresh - at processing plant - Surveillance	National Food Chain Safety Office	Objective sampling	Official sampling	food sample > meat	Unknown	Single	25 g	218	55	0	0
Meat from broilers (Gallus gallus) - fresh - at retail - Surveillance	National Food Chain Safety Office	Objective sampling	Official sampling	food sample > meat	Unknown	Single	25 g	328	96	0	0
Meat from broilers (Gallus gallus) - meat preparation - intended to be eaten cooked - at processing plant - Surveillance	National Food Chain Safety	Objective sampling	Official sampling	food sample > meat	Unknown	Single	25 g	72	27	0	0
Meat from broilers (Gallus gallus) - meat preparation - intended to be eaten cooked - at retail - Surveillance	National Food Chain Safety Office	Objective sampling	Official sampling	food sample > meat	Unknown	Single	25 g	82	29	0	0
Meat from broilers (Gallus gallus) - meat products - cooked, ready-to-eat - at processing plant - Surveillance	National Food Chain Safety Office	Objective sampling	Official sampling	food sample > meat	Unknown	Single	25 g	85	0	0	0
Meat from broilers (Gallus gallus) - meat products - cooked, ready-to-eat - at retail - Surveillance	National Food Chain Safety Office	Objective sampling	Official sampling	food sample > meat	Unknown	Single	25 g	132	0	0	0
Meat from broilers (Gallus gallus) - meat products - raw but intended to be eaten cooked - at processing plant - Surveillance	National Food Chain Safety Office	Objective sampling	Official sampling	food sample > meat	Unknown	Single	25 g	13	0	0	0
Meat from broilers (Gallus gallus) - meat products - raw but intended to be eaten cooked - at retail - Surveillance	National Food Chain Safety Office	Objective sampling	Official sampling	food sample > meat	Unknown	Single	25 g	72	5	0	0

#### S. Total units Sample type Sample origin Sampling unit Source of Sampling Sample positive for S. Enteritidis Typhimurium Sampler Units tested information strategy weight Salmonella Meat from broilers (Gallus gallus) - minced meat -National Food Objective Official food sample intended to be eaten cooked - at processing plant -Chain Safety 6 2 0 0 Unknown Sinale 25 a sampling sampling > meat Surveillance Office National Food Meat from broilers (Gallus gallus) - minced meat -Objective Official food sample Chain Safety 6 0 0 intended to be eaten cooked - at retail - Surveillance Unknown Sinale 25 g 3 sampling sampling > meat Office National Food Objective Official food sample Meat from turkey - carcase - at slaughterhouse -Chain Safety Unknown Sinale 25 a 192 22 0 0 Surveillance sampling sampling > neck skin Office National Food Objective Official food sample Meat from turkey - fresh - at processing plant -Chain Safety 0 Unknown Sinale 25 a 281 13 0 Surveillance sampling sampling > meat Office National Food Objective Official food sample Chain Safety Unknown 102 13 0 0 Meat from turkey - fresh - at retail - Surveillance Single 25 g sampling sampling > meat Office National Food Meat from turkey - meat products - cooked, ready-to Objective Official food sample Chain Safety Unknown 0 0 Sinale 25 g 92 0 -eat - at processing plant - Surveillance sampling sampling > meat Office National Food Objective Official Meat from turkey - meat products - cooked, ready-to food sample Chain Safety 0 Unknown Single 25 g 220 0 -eat - at retail - Surveillance sampling sampling > meat Office Meat from turkey - meat products - raw but intended National Food Objective Official food sample Chain Safety to be eaten cooked - at processing plant -Unknown Sinale 25 g 19 0 0 > meat sampling sampling Surveillance Office National Food Meat from turkey - meat products - raw but intended Objective Official food sample Chain Safety Unknown 65 0 0 to be eaten cooked - at retail - Surveillance Sinale 25 g sampling sampling > meat Office National Food Official Objective food sample Meat from duck - carcase - at slaughterhouse -Chain Safety Unknown Single 25 g 89 7 0 0 Surveillance sampling sampling > meat Office National Food Objective Official food sample Meat from geese - carcase - at slaughterhouse -2 0 2 Chain Safety Unknown Single 25 g 60 Surveillance sampling sampling > meat Office

	Source of information	Sampling strategy	Sampler	Sample type	Sample origin	Sampling unit	Sample weight	Units tested	Total units positive for Salmonella	S. Enteritidis	S. Typhimurium
Meat from duck - fresh - at retail - Surveillance	National Food Chain Safety Office	Objective sampling	Official sampling	food sample > meat	Unknown	Single	25 g	73	5	0	3
Meat from geese - fresh - at retail - Surveillance	National Food Chain Safety Office	Objective sampling	Official sampling	food sample > meat	Unknown	Single	25 g	12	0	0	0
Meat from turkey - meat preparation - intended to be eaten cooked - at processing plant - Surveillance	National Food Chain Safety Office	Objective sampling	Official sampling	food sample > meat	Unknown	Single	25 g	27	0	0	0
Meat from turkey - meat preparation - intended to be eaten cooked - at retail - Surveillance	National Food Chain Safety Office	Objective sampling	Official sampling	food sample > meat	Unknown	Single	25 g or 5x25g	15	3	0	0
Meat from turkey - minced meat - intended to be eaten cooked - at processing plant - Surveillance	National Food Chain Safety Officen Safety O	Objective sampling	Official sampling	food sample > meat	Unknown	Single	25 g	44	5	1	0
Meat from turkey - minced meat - intended to be eaten cooked - at retail - Surveillance	National Food Chain Safety Office	Objective sampling	Official sampling	food sample > meat	Unknown	Single	25 g	104	22	0	0
Meat from wild game - birds - fresh - at processing plant - Surveillance	National Food Chain Safety Office	Objective sampling	Official sampling	food sample > meat	Unknown	Single	25 g	24	0	0	0
Meat from wild game - birds - fresh - at retail - Surveillance	National Food Chain Safety Office	Objective sampling	Official sampling	food sample > meat	Unknown	Single	25 g	21	1	0	0

	S. 1,4,[5],12:i: -	Salmonella spp., unspecified	Other serovars
Meat from broilers (Gallus gallus) - carcase - at slaughterhouse - Surveillance	0		25

	S. 1,4,[5],12:i: -	Salmonella spp., unspecified	Other serovars
Meat from broilers (Gallus gallus) - fresh - at processing plant - Surveillance	0		55
Meat from broilers (Gallus gallus) - fresh - at retail - Surveillance	0		96
Meat from broilers (Gallus gallus) - meat preparation - intended to be eaten cooked - at processing plant - Surveillance	0		27
Meat from broilers (Gallus gallus) - meat preparation - intended to be eaten cooked - at retail - Surveillance	0		29
Meat from broilers (Gallus gallus) - meat products - cooked, ready-to-eat - at processing plant - Surveillance	0		0
Meat from broilers (Gallus gallus) - meat products - cooked, ready-to-eat - at retail - Surveillance	0		0
Meat from broilers (Gallus gallus) - meat products - raw but intended to be eaten cooked - at processing plant - Surveillance	0		0
Meat from broilers (Gallus gallus) - meat products - raw but intended to be eaten cooked - at retail - Surveillance	0		5
Meat from broilers (Gallus gallus) - minced meat - intended to be eaten cooked - at processing plant - Surveillance	0		2
Meat from broilers (Gallus gallus) - minced meat - intended to be eaten cooked - at retail - Surveillance	0		3

	S. 1,4,[5],12:i: -	Salmonella spp., unspecified	Other serovars
Meat from turkey - carcase - at slaughterhouse - Surveillance	0		22
Meat from turkey - fresh - at processing plant - Surveillance	0		13
Meat from turkey - fresh - at retail - Surveillance	0		13
Meat from turkey - meat products - cooked, ready-to -eat - at processing plant - Surveillance	0		0
Meat from turkey - meat products - cooked, ready-to -eat - at retail - Surveillance	0		0
Meat from turkey - meat products - raw but intended to be eaten cooked - at processing plant - Surveillance	0		1
Meat from turkey - meat products - raw but intended to be eaten cooked - at retail - Surveillance	0		4
Meat from duck - carcase - at slaughterhouse - Surveillance	0		7
Meat from geese - carcase - at slaughterhouse - Surveillance	0		0
Meat from duck - fresh - at retail - Surveillance	0		2
Meat from geese - fresh - at retail - Surveillance	0		0
Meat from turkey - meat preparation - intended to be eaten cooked - at processing plant - Surveillance	0		0

	S. 1,4,[5],12:i: -	Salmonella spp., unspecified	Other serovars
Meat from turkey - meat preparation - intended to be eaten cooked - at retail - Surveillance	0		3
Meat from turkey - minced meat - intended to be eaten cooked - at processing plant - Surveillance	0		4
Meat from turkey - minced meat - intended to be eaten cooked - at retail - Surveillance	0		22
Meat from wild game - birds - fresh - at processing plant - Surveillance	0		0
Meat from wild game - birds - fresh - at retail - Surveillance	0		1

	Source of information	Sampling strategy	Sampler	Sample type	Sample origin	Sampling unit	Sample weight	Units tested	Total units positive for Salmonella	S. Enteritidis	S. Typhimurium
Milk, cows' - raw milk - intended for direct human consumption - at farm - Surveillance	National Food Chain Safety Office	Objective sampling	Official sampling	food sample > milk	Domestic	Single	25 ml	179	0	0	0
Dairy products (excluding cheeses) - milk powder and whey powder - at processing plant - Surveillance	National Food Chain Safety Office	Objective sampling	Official sampling	food sample	Domestic	Single	25 g	9	0	0	0
Dairy products (excluding cheeses) - milk powder and whey powder - at retail - Surveillance	National Food Chain Safety Office	Objective sampling	Official sampling	food sample	Unknown	Single	25 g	92	0	0	0
Cheeses made from cows' milk - curd - at processing plant - Surveillance	National Food Chain Safety Office	Objective sampling	Official sampling	food sample	Unknown	Single	25 g	49	0	0	0
Cheeses made from cows' milk - curd - at retail - Surveillance	National Food Chain Safety Office	Objective sampling	Official sampling	food sample	Unknown	Single	25 g	47	0	0	0
Cheeses made from cows' milk - fresh - made from pasteurised milk - at processing plant - Surveillance	National Food Chain Safety Office	Objective sampling	Official sampling	food sample	Unknown	Single	25 g	11	0	0	0
Cheeses made from cows' milk - fresh - made from pasteurised milk - at retail - Surveillance	National Food Chain Safety Office	Objective sampling	Official sampling	food sample	Unknown	Single	25 g	3	0	0	0
Cheeses made from cows' milk - soft and semi-soft - made from pasteurised milk - at processing plant - Surveillance	National Food Chain Safety Office	Objective sampling	Official sampling	food sample	Unknown	Single	25 g	40	0	0	0
Cheeses made from cows' milk - soft and semi-soft - made from pasteurised milk - at retail - Surveillance	National Food Chain Safety Office	Objective sampling	Official sampling	food sample	Unknown	Single	25 g	43	0	0	0
Cheeses made from goats' milk - unspecified - unspecified - Surveillance	National Food Chain Safety Office	Objective sampling	Official sampling	food sample	Unknown	Single	25 g	13	0	0	0

	Source of information	Sampling strategy	Sampler	Sample type	Sample origin	Sampling unit	Sample weight	Units tested	Total units positive for Salmonella	S. Enteritidis	S. Typhimurium
Cheeses made from sheep's milk - fresh - made from pasteurised milk - at processing plant - Surveillance	National Food Chain Safety Office	Objective sampling	Official sampling	food sample	Unknown	Single	25 g	19	0	0	0
Cheeses made from sheep's milk - fresh - made from pasteurised milk - at retail - Surveillance	National Food Chain Safety Office	Objective sampling	Official sampling	food sample	Unknown	Single	25 g	23	0	0	0
Dairy products (excluding cheeses) - butter - made from pasteurised milk - unspecified - Surveillance	National Food Chain Safety Office	Objective sampling	Official sampling	food sample	Unknown	Single	25 g	13	0	0	0
Dairy products (excluding cheeses) - dairy desserts - at processing plant - Surveillance	National Food Chain Safety Office	Objective sampling	Official sampling	food sample	Unknown	Single	25 g	32	0	0	0
Dairy products (excluding cheeses) - dairy desserts - at retail - Surveillance	National Food Chain Safety Office	Objective sampling	Official sampling	food sample	Unknown	Single	25 g	54	0	0	0
Dairy products (excluding cheeses) - fermented dairy products - at processing plant - Surveillance	National Food Chain Safety Office	Objective sampling	Official sampling	food sample	Unknown	Single	25 g	11	0	0	0
Dairy products (excluding cheeses) - fermented dairy products - at retail - Surveillance	National Food Chain Safety Office	Objective sampling	Official sampling	food sample	Unknown	Single	25 g	38	0	0	0
Dairy products (excluding cheeses) - ice-cream - made from pasteurised milk - at catering - Surveillance	National Food Chain Safety Office	Objective sampling	Official sampling	food sample	Domestic	Single	25 g	103	0	0	0
Dairy products (excluding cheeses) - ice-cream - made from pasteurised milk - at processing plant - Surveillance	National Food Chain Safety Office	Objective sampling	Official sampling	food sample	Unknown	Single	25 g	150	0	0	0
Dairy products (excluding cheeses) - ice-cream - made from pasteurised milk - at retail - Surveillance	National Food Chain Safety Office	Objective sampling	Official sampling	food sample	Unknown	Single	25 g	224	0	0	0

	Source of information	Sampling strategy	Sampler	Sample type	Sample origin	Sampling unit	Sample weight	Units tested	Total units positive for Salmonella		S. Typhimurium
Dairy products (excluding cheeses) - milk based drinks - at processing plant - Surveillance	National Food Chain Safety Office	Ohiective	Official sampling	food sample	Unknown	Single	25 ml	6	0	0	0
Dairy products (excluding cheeses) - milk based drinks - at retail - Surveillance	National Food Chain Safety Office	()hiactiva	Official sampling	food sample	Unknown	Single	25 ml	8	0	0	0

	S. 1,4,[5],12:i: -	Salmonella spp., unspecified
Milk, cows' - raw milk - intended for direct human consumption - at farm - Surveillance	0	
Dairy products (excluding cheeses) - milk powder and whey powder - at processing plant - Surveillance	0	
Dairy products (excluding cheeses) - milk powder and whey powder - at retail - Surveillance	0	
Cheeses made from cows' milk - curd - at processing plant - Surveillance	0	
Cheeses made from cows' milk - curd - at retail - Surveillance	0	
Cheeses made from cows' milk - fresh - made from pasteurised milk - at processing plant - Surveillance	0	
Cheeses made from cows' milk - fresh - made from pasteurised milk - at retail - Surveillance	0	

	S. 1,4,[5],12:i: -	Salmonella spp., unspecified
Cheeses made from cows' milk - soft and semi-soft - made from pasteurised milk - at processing plant - Surveillance	0	
Cheeses made from cows' milk - soft and semi-soft - made from pasteurised milk - at retail - Surveillance	0	
Cheeses made from goats' milk - unspecified - unspecified - Surveillance	0	
Cheeses made from sheep's milk - fresh - made from pasteurised milk - at processing plant - Surveillance	0	
Cheeses made from sheep's milk - fresh - made from pasteurised milk - at retail - Surveillance	0	
Dairy products (excluding cheeses) - butter - made from pasteurised milk - unspecified - Surveillance	0	
Dairy products (excluding cheeses) - dairy desserts - at processing plant - Surveillance	0	
Dairy products (excluding cheeses) - dairy desserts - at retail - Surveillance	0	
Dairy products (excluding cheeses) - fermented dairy products - at processing plant - Surveillance	0	
Dairy products (excluding cheeses) - fermented dairy products - at retail - Surveillance	0	

	S. 1,4,[5],12:i: -	Salmonella spp., unspecified
Dairy products (excluding cheeses) - ice-cream - made from pasteurised milk - at catering - Surveillance	0	
Dairy products (excluding cheeses) - ice-cream - made from pasteurised milk - at processing plant - Surveillance	0	
Dairy products (excluding cheeses) - ice-cream - made from pasteurised milk - at retail - Surveillance	0	
Dairy products (excluding cheeses) - milk based drinks - at processing plant - Surveillance	0	
Dairy products (excluding cheeses) - milk based drinks - at retail - Surveillance	0	

	Source of information	Sampling strategy	Sampler	Sample type	Sample origin	Sampling unit	Sample weight	Units tested	Total units positive for Salmonella	S. Enteritidis	S. Typhimurium
Eggs - table eggs - at packing centre - Surveillance	National Food Chain Safety Office	Objective sampling	Official sampling	food sample	Unknown	Single	10 eggs	24	0	0	0
Eggs - table eggs - at retail - Surveillance	National Food Chain Safety Office	Objective sampling	Official sampling	food sample	Unknown	Single	10 eggs	655	0	0	0
Egg products - at processing plant - Surveillance	National Food Chain Safety Office	Objective sampling	Official sampling	food sample	Domestic	Single	25 g	72	0	0	0
Fishery products, unspecified - cooked - at retail - Surveillance	National Food Chain Safety Office	Objective sampling	Official sampling	food sample	Unknown	Single	25 g	74	0	0	0
Fish - smoked - at retail - Surveillance	National Food Chain Safety Office	Objective sampling	Official sampling	food sample	Unknown	Single	25 g	63	0	0	0
Molluscan shellfish - raw - at retail - Surveillance	National Food Chain Safety Office	Objective sampling	Official sampling	food sample	Unknown	Single	25 g	3	0	0	0
Molluscan shellfish - cooked - at retail - Surveillance	National Food Chain Safety Office	Objective sampling	Official sampling	food sample	Unknown	Single	25 g	62	0	0	0
Seeds, sprouted - ready-to-eat - at processing plant - Surveillance	National Food Chain Sa	Objective sampling	Official sampling	food sample	Unknown	Single	25 g	1	0	0	0
Seeds, sprouted - ready-to-eat - at retail - Surveillance	National Food Chain Sa	Objective sampling	Official sampling	food sample	Unknown	Single	25 g	56	0	0	0
Vegetables - pre-cut - ready-to-eat - at retail - Surveillance	National Food Chain Sa	Objective sampling	Official sampling	food sample	Unknown	Single	25 g	133	1	0	0
Infant formula - dried - intended for infants below 6 months - at retail - Surveillance	National Food Chain Safety Office	Objective sampling	Official sampling	food sample	Unknown	Single	25 g	77	0	0	0

	Source of information	Sampling strategy	Sampler	Sample type	Sample origin	Sampling unit	Sample weight	Units tested	Total units positive for Salmonella	S. Enteritidis	S. Typhimurium
Bakery products - cakes - at catering - Surveillance	National Food Chain Safety Office	Objective sampling	Official sampling	food sample	Domestic	Single	25 g	129	1	0	0
Bakery products - cakes - at retail - Surveillance	National Food Chain Safety Office	Objective sampling	Official sampling	food sample	Unknown	Single	25 g	161	0	0	0
Cereals and meals - flakes - at retail - Surveillance	National Food Chain Safety Office	Objective sampling	Official sampling	food sample	Unknown	Single	25 g	99	0	0	0
Chocolate - at processing plant - Surveillance	National Food Chain Safety Office	Objective sampling	Official sampling	food sample	Domestic	Single	25 g	33	0	0	0
Chocolate - at retail - Surveillance	National Food Chain Safety Office	Objective sampling	Official sampling	food sample	Unknown	Single	25 g	186	0	0	0
Cocoa and cocoa preparations, coffee and tea - at processing plant - Surveillance	National Food Chain Safety Office	Objective sampling	Official sampling	food sample	Unknown	Single	25 g	13	0	0	0
Cocoa and cocoa preparations, coffee and tea - at retail - Surveillance	National Food Chain Safety Office	Objective sampling	Official sampling	food sample	Unknown	Single	25 g	282	0	0	0
Coconut - coconut products - at retail - Surveillance	National Food Chain Safety Office	Objective sampling	Official sampling	food sample	Unknown	Single	25 g	73	0	0	0
Egg products - dried - unspecified - Surveillance	National Food Chain Safety Office	Objective sampling	Official sampling	food sample	Unknown	Single	25 g	27	1	1	0
Egg products - liquid - unspecified - Surveillance	National Food Chain Safety Office	Objective sampling	Official sampling	food sample	Unknown	Single	25 ml	66	0	0	0
Fish - raw - at processing plant - Surveillance	National Food Chain Safety Office	Objective sampling	Official sampling	food sample	Unknown	Single	25 g	45	0	0	0
Fish - raw - at retail - Surveillance	National Food Chain Safety Office	Objective sampling	Official sampling	food sample	Unknown	Single	25 g	63	0	0	0

	Source of information	Sampling strategy	Sampler	Sample type	Sample origin	Sampling unit	Sample weight	Units tested	Total units positive for Salmonella	S. Enteritidis	S. Typhimurium
Fishery products, unspecified - non-ready-to-eat - frozen - at retail - Surveillance	National Food Chain Safety Office	Objective sampling	Official sampling	food sample	Unknown	Single	25 g	24	0	0	0
Follow-on formulae - at retail - Surveillance	National Food Chain Safety Office	Objective sampling	Official sampling	food sample	Unknown	Single	25 g	102	0	0	0
Foodstuffs intended for special nutritional uses - processed cereal-based food for infants and young children - at retail - Surveillance	National Food Chain Safety Office	Objective sampling	Official sampling	food sample	Unknown	Single	25 g	37	0	0	0
Nuts and nut products - dried - unspecified - Surveillance	National Food Chain Safety Office	Objective sampling	Official sampling	food sample	Unknown	Single	25 g	85	0	0	0
Other processed food products and prepared dishes - noodles - unspecified - Surveillance	National Food Chain Safety Office	Objective sampling	Official sampling	food sample	Unknown	Single	25 g	111	1	1	0
Other processed food products and prepared dishes - sandwiches - unspecified - Surveillance	National Food Chain Safety Office	Objective sampling	Official sampling	food sample	Unknown	Single	25 g	165	1	0	0
Ready-to-eat salads - at catering - Surveillance	National Food Chain Safety Office	Objective sampling	Official sampling	food sample	Domestic	Single	25 g	64	0	0	0
Ready-to-eat salads - at retail - Surveillance	National Food Chain Safety Office	Objective sampling	Official sampling	food sample	Unknown	Single	25 g	256	1	0	0
Soups - dehydrated - at retail - Surveillance	National Food Chain Safety Office	Objective sampling	Official sampling	food sample	Unknown	Single	25 g	72	0	0	0
Spices and herbs - dried - at retail - Surveillance	National Food Chain Safety Office	Objective sampling	Official sampling	food sample	Unknown	Single	25 g	222	0	0	0
Vegetables - pre-cut - ready-to-eat - at catering - Surveillance	National Food Chain Safety Office	Objective sampling	Official sampling	food sample	Domestic	Single	25 g	85	1	0	0

	S. 1,4,[5],12:i: -	Salmonella spp., unspecified	Other serovars
Eggs - table eggs - at packing centre - Surveillance	0		0
Eggs - table eggs - at retail - Surveillance	0		0
Egg products - at processing plant - Surveillance	0		0
Fishery products, unspecified - cooked - at retail - Surveillance	0		
Fish - smoked - at retail - Surveillance	0		0
Molluscan shellfish - raw - at retail - Surveillance	0		0
Molluscan shellfish - cooked - at retail - Surveillance	0		0
Seeds, sprouted - ready-to-eat - at processing plant - Surveillance	0		0
Seeds, sprouted - ready-to-eat - at retail - Surveillance	0		0
Vegetables - pre-cut - ready-to-eat - at retail - Surveillance	0		1
Infant formula - dried - intended for infants below 6 months - at retail - Surveillance	0		0
Bakery products - cakes - at catering - Surveillance	0		1
Bakery products - cakes - at retail - Surveillance	0		0
Cereals and meals - flakes - at retail - Surveillance	0		

	S. 1,4,[5],12:i: -	Salmonella spp., unspecified	Other serovars
Chocolate - at processing plant - Surveillance	0		0
Chocolate - at retail - Surveillance	0		0
Cocoa and cocoa preparations, coffee and tea - at processing plant - Surveillance	0		0
Cocoa and cocoa preparations, coffee and tea - at retail - Surveillance	0		0
Coconut - coconut products - at retail - Surveillance	0		0
Egg products - dried - unspecified - Surveillance	0		0
Egg products - liquid - unspecified - Surveillance	0		0
Fish - raw - at processing plant - Surveillance	0		0
Fish - raw - at retail - Surveillance	0		0
Fishery products, unspecified - non-ready-to-eat - frozen - at retail - Surveillance	0		0
Follow-on formulae - at retail - Surveillance	0		0
Foodstuffs intended for special nutritional uses - processed cereal-based food for infants and young children - at retail - Surveillance	0		0
Nuts and nut products - dried - unspecified - Surveillance	0		0
Other processed food products and prepared dishes - noodles - unspecified - Surveillance	0		0

	S. 1,4,[5],12:i: -	Salmonella spp., unspecified	Other serovars
Other processed food products and prepared dishes - sandwiches - unspecified - Surveillance	0		1
Ready-to-eat salads - at catering - Surveillance	0		0
Ready-to-eat salads - at retail - Surveillance	0		1
Soups - dehydrated - at retail - Surveillance	0		0
Spices and herbs - dried - at retail - Surveillance	0		0
Vegetables - pre-cut - ready-to-eat - at catering - Surveillance	0		1

	Source of information	Sampling strategy	Sampler	Sample type	Sample origin	Sampling unit	Sample weight	Units tested	Total units positive for Salmonella	S. Enteritidis	S. Typhimurium
Meat from pig - carcase - at slaughterhouse - Surveillance	National Food Chain Safety Office	Objective sampling	Official sampling	food sample > carcase swabs	Unknown	Batch	400 cm2	268	0	0	0
Meat from pig - fresh - at processing plant - Surveillance	National Food Chain Safety Office	Objective sampling	Official sampling	food sample > meat	Unknown	Single	25 g	249	2	0	0
Meat from pig - fresh - at retail - Surveillance	National Food Chain Safety Office	Objective sampling	Official sampling	food sample > meat	Unknown	Batch	25 g	146	2	0	0
Meat from pig - minced meat - intended to be eaten cooked - at processing plant - Surveillance	National Food Chain Safety Office	Objective sampling	Official sampling	food sample > meat	Unknown	Single	10 g	63	1	0	0
Meat from pig - minced meat - intended to be eaten cooked - at retail - Surveillance	National Food Chain Safety Office	Objective sampling	Official sampling	food sample > meat	Unknown	Single	10 g	178	3	0	1
Meat from pig - meat preparation - intended to be eaten cooked - at processing plant - Surveillance	National Food Chain Safety Office	Objective sampling	Official sampling	food sample > meat	Unknown	Single	10 g	141	9	0	4
Meat from pig - meat preparation - intended to be eaten cooked - at retail - Surveillance	National Food Chain Safety Office	Objective sampling	Official sampling	food sample > meat	Unknown	Single	10 g	94	2	0	0
Meat from pig - meat products - raw but intended to be eaten cooked - at processing plant - Surveillance	National Food Chain Safety Office	Objective sampling	Official sampling	food sample > meat	Unknown	Single	25 g	3	0	0	0
Meat from pig - meat products - raw but intended to be eaten cooked - at retail - Surveillance	National Food Chain Safety Office	Objective sampling	Official sampling	food sample > meat	Unknown	Single	25 g	14	0	0	0
Meat from pig - meat products - cooked, ready-to- eat - at processing plant - Surveillance	National Food Chain Safety Office	Objective sampling	Official sampling	food sample > meat	Unknown	Single	25 g	101	0	0	0
Meat from pig - meat products - cooked, ready-to- eat - at retail - Surveillance	National Food Chain Safety Office	Objective sampling	Official sampling	food sample > meat	Unknown	Single	25 g	128	1	0	1

#### S. Total units Sample type Sample origin Sampling unit Source of Sampling Sample positive for S. Enteritidis Typhimurium Sampler Units tested information strategy weight Salmonella National Food food sample Official Meat from bovine animals - carcase - at Objective Chain Safety > carcase Unknown Batch 400 cm2 259 0 0 slaughterhouse - Surveillance sampling sampling Officeo swabs National Food Objective Official Meat from bovine animals - fresh - at processing food sample Chain Safety Unknown 103 0 0 Single 25 g plant - Surveillance sampling sampling > meat Office National Food Objective Official food sample Meat from bovine animals - fresh - at retail -Chain Safety Unknown 25 a 177 2 0 Sinale 1 Surveillance sampling sampling > meat Office National Food Meat from bovine animals - minced meat - intended Objective Official food sample 10 g 0 to be eaten cooked - at processing plant -Chain Safety Unknown Single 17 0 0 sampling sampling > meat Surveillance Office National Food Meat from bovine animals - minced meat - intended Objective Official food sample to be eaten cooked - at retail - Surveillance Chain Safety Unknown Sinale 10 a 155 2 0 0 sampling > meat sampling Office Meat from bovine animals - meat preparation -National Food Objective Official food sample intended to be eaten cooked - at retail - Surveillance Chain Safety Unknown 3 0 0 0 Single 10 g sampling sampling > meat Office National Food Meat from bovine animals - meat products - raw but Objective Official food sample Chain Safety intended to be eaten cooked - at processing plant -Unknown Single 25 g 14 0 0 0 sampling > meat sampling Surveillance Office National Food Meat from bovine animals - meat products - raw but Objective Official food sample intended to be eaten cooked - at retail - Surveillance Chain Safety Unknown Single 25 g 72 0 0 > meat sampling sampling Office Meat from bovine animals - meat products - cooked, National Food Official Objective food sample ready-to-eat - at processing plant - Surveillance Chain Safety Unknown 25 g 3 0 0 0 Single sampling sampling > meat Office National Food Meat from bovine animals - meat products - cooked, Objective Official food sample Chain Safety 37 0 0 Unknown Single 25 g 0

sampling

Office

sampling

> meat

ready-to-eat - at retail - Surveillance

	Source of information	Sampling strategy	Sampler	Sample type	Sample origin	Sampling unit	Sample weight	Units tested	Total units positive for Salmonella	S. Enteritidis	S. Typhimurium
Other products of animal origin - gelatin and collagen - at retail - Surveillance	National Food Chain Safety Office	Objective sampling	Official sampling	food sample	Unknown	Single	25 g	85	0	0	0
Meat from horse - meat products - fermented sausages - at retail - Surveillance	National Food Chain Safety Office	Objective sampling	Official sampling	food sample > meat	Unknown	Single	25 g	7	0	0	0
Meat from pig - meat products - fermented sausages - at processing plant - Surveillance	National Food Chain Safety Office	Objective sampling	Official sampling	food sample > meat	Unknown	Single	25 g	529	2	0	0
Meat from pig - meat products - fermented sausages - at retail - Surveillance	National Food Chain Safety Office	Objective sampling	Official sampling	food sample > meat	Unknown	Single	25 g	571	1	0	0
Meat from pig - meat products - raw ham - at processing plant - Surveillance	National Food Chain Safety Office	Objective sampling	Official sampling	food sample > meat	Unknown	Single	25 g	174	1	0	0
Meat from pig - meat products - raw ham - at retail - Surveillance	National Food Chain Safety Office	Objective sampling	Official sampling	food sample > meat	Unknown	Single	25 g	132	0	0	0
Meat from wild game - land mammals - fresh - at processing plant - Surveillance	National Food Chain Safety Office	Objective sampling	Official sampling	food sample > meat	Unknown	Single	25 g	48	1	0	0
Meat from wild game - land mammals - fresh - at retail - Surveillance	National Food Chain Safety Office	Objective sampling	Official sampling	food sample > meat	Unknown	Single	25 g	35	0	0	0

	S. 1,4,[5],12:i: -	Salmonella spp., unspecified	Other serovars
Meat from pig - carcase - at slaughterhouse - Surveillance	0		0
Meat from pig - fresh - at processing plant - Surveillance	0		2

	S. 1,4,[5],12:i: -	Salmonella spp., unspecified	Other serovars
Meat from pig - fresh - at retail - Surveillance	0		2
Meat from pig - minced meat - intended to be eaten cooked - at processing plant - Surveillance	0		1
Meat from pig - minced meat - intended to be eaten cooked - at retail - Surveillance	1		1
Meat from pig - meat preparation - intended to be eaten cooked - at processing plant - Surveillance	1		4
Meat from pig - meat preparation - intended to be eaten cooked - at retail - Surveillance	1		1
Meat from pig - meat products - raw but intended to be eaten cooked - at processing plant - Surveillance	0		0
Meat from pig - meat products - raw but intended to be eaten cooked - at retail - Surveillance	0		0
Meat from pig - meat products - cooked, ready-to- eat - at processing plant - Surveillance	0		0
Meat from pig - meat products - cooked, ready-to- eat - at retail - Surveillance	0		0
Meat from bovine animals - carcase - at slaughterhouse - Surveillance	0		1
Meat from bovine animals - fresh - at processing plant - Surveillance	0		1
Meat from bovine animals - fresh - at retail - Surveillance	0		1

	S. 1,4,[5],12:i: -	Salmonella spp., unspecified	Other serovars
Meat from bovine animals - minced meat - intended to be eaten cooked - at processing plant - Surveillance	0		0
Meat from bovine animals - minced meat - intended to be eaten cooked - at retail - Surveillance	0		2
Meat from bovine animals - meat preparation - intended to be eaten cooked - at retail - Surveillance	0		0
Meat from bovine animals - meat products - raw but intended to be eaten cooked - at processing plant - Surveillance	0		0
Meat from bovine animals - meat products - raw but intended to be eaten cooked - at retail - Surveillance	0		0
Meat from bovine animals - meat products - cooked, ready-to-eat - at processing plant - Surveillance	0		0
Meat from bovine animals - meat products - cooked, ready-to-eat - at retail - Surveillance	0		0
Other products of animal origin - gelatin and collagen - at retail - Surveillance	0		0
Meat from horse - meat products - fermented sausages - at retail - Surveillance	0		0
Meat from pig - meat products - fermented sausages - at processing plant - Surveillance	0		2

	S. 1,4,[5],12:i: -	Salmonella spp., unspecified	Other serovars
Meat from pig - meat products - fermented sausages - at retail - Surveillance	0		1
Meat from pig - meat products - raw ham - at processing plant - Surveillance	0		1
Meat from pig - meat products - raw ham - at retail - Surveillance	0		0
Meat from wild game - land mammals - fresh - at processing plant - Surveillance	0		1
Meat from wild game - land mammals - fresh - at retail - Surveillance	0		0

### 2.1.4 Salmonella in animals

## Table Salmonella in breeding flocks of Gallus gallus

	No of flocks under control programme		Sampling strategy	Sampler	Sample type	Sample origin	Target Verification	Sampling unit	Units tested	Total units positive for Salmonella	S. Enteritidis
Gallus gallus (fowl) - breeding flocks, unspecified - adult - Control and eradication programmes			Census	Official and industry sampling			yes				
Gallus gallus (fowl) - parent breeding flocks, unspecified - adult - Control and eradication programmes	671	county report	Objective sampling	Official and industry sampling	environmenta I sample > boot swabs and dust	Domestic	yes	Flock	671	28	2
Gallus gallus (fowl) - breeding flocks, unspecified - day-old chicks - at farm - Control and eradication programmes	20	county report	Objective sampling	Industry sampling	environmenta I sample > boot swabs and dust	Domestic	yes	Flock	20	1	0
Gallus gallus (fowl) - breeding flocks, unspecified - during rearing period - at farm - Control and eradication programmes	540	county report	Objective sampling	Official and industry sampling	environmenta I sample > boot swabs and dust	Domestic	yes	Flock	540	0	0

	S. Hadar	S. Infantis	S. Typhimurium	S. Virchow	S. 1,4,[5],12:i: -	Salmonella spp., unspecified
Gallus gallus (fowl) - breeding flocks, unspecified - adult - Control and eradication programmes						
Gallus gallus (fowl) - parent breeding flocks, unspecified - adult - Control and eradication programmes	0	7	0	0	0	19

## Table Salmonella in breeding flocks of Gallus gallus

	S. Hadar	S. Infantis	S. Typhimurium	S. Virchow	S. 1,4,[5],12:i: -	Salmonella spp., unspecified
Gallus gallus (fowl) - breeding flocks, unspecified - day-old chicks - at farm - Control and eradication programmes	0	0	1	0	0	0
Gallus gallus (fowl) - breeding flocks, unspecified - during rearing period - at farm - Control and eradication programmes	0	0	0	0	0	0

### Table Salmonella in other birds

	Source of information	Sampling strategy	Sampler	Sample type	Sample origin	Sampling unit	Units tested	Total units positive for Salmonella	S. Enteritidis	S. Typhimurium	S. 1,4,[5],12:i: -
Partridges - in total - Unspecified	NFCSO - VDD	Unspecified	Not applicable	animal sample	Domestic	Animal	15	0			
Pheasants - in total - Unspecified	NFCSO - VDD	Unspecified	Not	animal sample	Domestic	Animal	161	34			
Pigeons - in total - Unspecified	NFCSO - VDD	Unspecified	Not applicable	animal sample	Domestic	Animal	28	7		4	

	Salmonella spp., unspecified
Partridges - in total - Unspecified	
Pheasants - in total - Unspecified	34
Pigeons - in total - Unspecified	3

### Table Salmonella in other animals

	Source of information	Sampling strategy	Sampler	Sample type	Sample origin	Sampling unit	Units tested	Total units positive for Salmonella	S. Enteritidis	S. Typhimurium	S. 1,4,[5],12:i: -
Cattle (bovine animals) - at farm - Clinical investigations	NFCSO - VDD	Suspect sampling	Not applicable	animal sample	Domestic	Animal	111	11	1	1	
Pigs - unspecified - at farm - Clinical investigations	NFCSO - VDD	Suspect sampling	Not applicable	animal sample	Domestic	Animal	249	54	1	10	
Sheep - at farm - Clinical investigations	NFCSO - VDD	Suspect sampling	Not applicable	animal sample	Domestic	Animal	5	1			
Wild boars - wild - from hunting - Unspecified	NFCSO - VDD	Unspecified	Not applicable	animal sample	Domestic	Animal	12	12			

	Salmonella spp., unspecified
Cattle (bovine animals) - at farm - Clinical investigations	9
Pigs - unspecified - at farm - Clinical investigations	43
Sheep - at farm - Clinical investigations	1
Wild boars - wild - from hunting - Unspecified	12

Table Salmonella in other poultry

	No of flocks under control programme	Source of information	Sampling strategy	Sampler	Sample type	Sample origin	Target Verification	Sampling unit	Units tested	Total units positive for Salmonella	S. Enteritidis
Gallus gallus (fowl) - laying hens - day-old chicks - Control and eradication programmes	23	county report	Objective sampling	Industry sampling	environmenta I sample > delivery box liner	Domestic	yes	Flock	23	1	0
Gallus gallus (fowl) - laying hens - during rearing period - Control and eradication programmes	82	county report	Objective sampling	Official and industry sampling	environmenta I sample > boot swabs and dust	Domestic	yes	Flock	82	0	0
Gallus gallus (fowl) - laying hens - adult - at farm - Control and eradication programmes	1134	county report	Objective sampling	Official and industry sampling	environmenta I sample > boot swabs and dust	Domestic	yes	Flock	1134	60	18
Gallus gallus (fowl) - broilers - before slaughter - at farm - Control and eradication programmes				Official sampling			yes				
Gallus gallus (fowl) - broilers - before slaughter - at farm - Control and eradication programmes	7433	county report	Census	Industry sampling	environmenta I sample > boot swabs and dust	Domestic	yes	Flock	7433	1527	16
Gallus gallus (fowl) - broilers - before slaughter - at farm - Control and eradication programmes			Census	Official and industry sampling			yes				
Turkeys - breeding flocks, unspecified - day-old chicks - at farm - Control and eradication programmes	0										
Turkeys - breeding flocks, unspecified - during rearing period - at farm - Control and eradication programmes	19	county report	Objective sampling	Official and industry sampling	environmenta I sample > boot swabs and dust	Domestic	yes	Flock	19	0	0
Turkeys - breeding flocks, unspecified - adult - at farm - Control and eradication programmes	124	county report	Objective sampling	Official and industry sampling	environmenta I sample > boot swabs and dust	Domestic	yes	Flock	124	16	0

# Table Salmonella in other poultry

	No of flocks under control programme		Sampling strategy	Sampler	Sample type	Sample origin	Target Verification	Sampling unit	Units tested	Total units positive for Salmonella	S. Enteritidis
Turkeys - fattening flocks - before slaughter - at farm - Control and eradication programmes	3189	county report	Objective sampling	Official and industry sampling	environmenta I sample > boot swabs and dust	Domestic	yes		3189	1409	5
Ducks - in total - Unspecified		NFCSO - VDD	Unspecified	Not applicable	animal sample	Domestic	no	Animal	522	147	7
Geese - in total - Unspecified		NFCSO - VDD	Unspecified	Not applicable	animal sample	Domestic		Animal	1600	620	

	S. Typhimurium	S. 1,4,[5],12:i: -	Salmonella spp., unspecified
Gallus gallus (fowl) - laying hens - day-old chicks - Control and eradication programmes	1	0	0
Gallus gallus (fowl) - laying hens - during rearing period - Control and eradication programmes	0	0	0
Gallus gallus (fowl) - laying hens - adult - at farm - Control and eradication programmes	0	0	42
Gallus gallus (fowl) - broilers - before slaughter - at farm - Control and eradication programmes			
Gallus gallus (fowl) - broilers - before slaughter - at farm - Control and eradication programmes	12	0	1499
Gallus gallus (fowl) - broilers - before slaughter - at farm - Control and eradication programmes			
Turkeys - breeding flocks, unspecified - day-old chicks - at farm - Control and eradication programmes			

# Table Salmonella in other poultry

	S. Typhimurium	S. 1,4,[5],12:i: -	Salmonella spp., unspecified
Turkeys - breeding flocks, unspecified - during rearing period - at farm - Control and eradication programmes	0	0	0
Turkeys - breeding flocks, unspecified - adult - at farm - Control and eradication programmes	0	0	16
Turkeys - fattening flocks - before slaughter - at farm - Control and eradication programmes	3	0	1401
Ducks - in total - Unspecified	42		98
Geese - in total - Unspecified	150		470

# 2.1.5 Salmonella in feedingstuffs

### Table Salmonella in compound feedingstuffs

	Source of information	Sampling strategy	Sampler	Sample type	Sample origin	Sampling unit	Sample weight	Units tested	Total units positive for Salmonella	S. Enteritidis	S. Typhimurium
Compound feedingstuffs for cattle - final product - at feed mill - Surveillance	NFCSO FFSD	Objective sampling	Official sampling	feed sample	Unknown	Batch	1 kg	43			
Compound feedingstuffs for pigs - final product - at feed mill - Surveillance	NFCSO FFSD	Objective sampling	Official sampling	feed sample	Unknown	Batch	1 kg	175	1		
Compound feedingstuffs for poultry (non specified) - final product - at feed mill - Surveillance	NFCSO FFSD	Objective sampling	Official sampling	feed sample	Unknown	Batch	1 kg	125			
Compound feedingstuffs for poultry - breeders - final product - at feed mill - Surveillance	NFCSO FFSD	Objective sampling	Official sampling	feed sample	Unknown	Batch	1 kg	10	1		
Compound feedingstuffs for poultry - laying hens - final product - at feed mill - Surveillance	NFCSO FFSD	Objective sampling	Official sampling	feed sample	Unknown	Batch	1 kg	52	2		
Compound feedingstuffs for poultry - broilers - final product - at feed mill - Surveillance	NFCSO FFSD	Objective sampling	Official sampling	feed sample	Unknown	Batch	1 kg	74			

	S. 1,4,[5],12:i: -	Salmonella spp., unspecified	S. Derby	S. Livingstone	S. Senftenberg	S. Tennessee
Compound feedingstuffs for cattle - final product - at feed mill - Surveillance						
Compound feedingstuffs for pigs - final product - at feed mill - Surveillance			1			

# Table Salmonella in compound feedingstuffs

	S. 1,4,[5],12:i: -	Salmonella spp., unspecified	S. Derby	S. Livingstone		S. Tennessee
Compound feedingstuffs for poultry (non specified) - final product - at feed mill - Surveillance						
Compound feedingstuffs for poultry - breeders - final product - at feed mill - Surveillance				1		
Compound feedingstuffs for poultry - laying hens - final product - at feed mill - Surveillance					1	1
Compound feedingstuffs for poultry - broilers - final product - at feed mill - Surveillance						

### Table Salmonella in feed material of animal origin

	Source of information	Sampling strategy	Sampler	Sample type	Sample origin	Sampling unit	Sample weight	Units tested	Total units positive for Salmonella	S. Enteritidis	S. Typhimurium
Feed material of land animal origin - meat meal - at feed mill - Surveillance	NFCSO FFSD	Objective sampling	Official sampling	feed sample	Unknown	Batch	1 kg	5	1		
Feed material of land animal origin - animal fat - at feed mill - Surveillance	NFCSO FFSD	Objective sampling	Official sampling	feed sample	Unknown	Batch	1 kg	1			
Feed material of marine animal origin - fish meal - at feed mill - Surveillance	NFCSO FFSD	Objective sampling	Official sampling	feed sample	Unknown	Batch	1 kg	3			

	S. 1,4,[5],12:i: -	Salmonella spp., unspecified	S. London
Feed material of land animal origin - meat meal - at feed mill - Surveillance			1
Feed material of land animal origin - animal fat - at feed mill - Surveillance			
Feed material of marine animal origin - fish meal - at feed mill - Surveillance			

### Table Salmonella in other feed matter

	Source of information	Sampling strategy	Sampler	Sample type	Sample origin	Sampling unit	Sample weight	Units tested	Total units positive for Salmonella	S. Enteritidis	S. Typhimurium
Feed material of cereal grain origin - barley derived - at feed mill - Surveillance	NFCSO FFSD	Objective sampling	Official sampling	feed sample	Unknown	Batch	1 kg	2			
Feed material of cereal grain origin - wheat derived - at feed mill - Surveillance	NFCSO FFSD	Objective sampling	Official sampling	feed sample	Unknown	Batch	1 kg	18			
Feed material of cereal grain origin - other cereal grain derived - at feed mill - Surveillance	NFCSO FFSD	Objective sampling	Official sampling	feed sample	Unknown	Batch	1 kg	1			
Feed material of cereal grain origin - maize derived - at feed mill - Surveillance	NFCSO FFSD	Objective sampling	Official sampling	feed sample	Unknown	Batch	1 kg	13	1		
Feed material of oil seed or fruit origin - rape seed derived - at feed mill - Surveillance	NFCSO FFSD	Objective sampling	Official sampling	feed sample	Unknown	Batch	1 kg	3			
Feed material of oil seed or fruit origin - soya (bean) derived - at feed mill - Surveillance	NFCSO FFSD	Objective sampling	Official sampling	feed sample	Unknown	Batch	1 kg	13			
Feed material of oil seed or fruit origin - sunflower seed derived - at feed mill - Surveillance	NFCSO FFSD	Objective sampling	Official sampling	feed sample	Unknown	Batch	1 kg	6			
Feed material of oil seed or fruit origin - other oil seeds derived - at feed mill - Surveillance	NFCSO FFSD	Objective sampling	Official sampling	feed sample	Unknown	Batch	1 kg	1	1		
Other feed material - other plants - at feed mill - Surveillance	NFCSO FFSD	Objective sampling	Official sampling	feed sample	Unknown	Batch	1 kg	2			

### Table Salmonella in other feed matter

	S. 1,4,[5],12:i: -	Salmonella spp., unspecified	S. Agona	S. Mbandaka
Feed material of cereal grain origin - barley derived - at feed mill - Surveillance				
Feed material of cereal grain origin - wheat derived - at feed mill - Surveillance				
Feed material of cereal grain origin - other cereal grain derived - at feed mill - Surveillance				
Feed material of cereal grain origin - maize derived - at feed mill - Surveillance				1
Feed material of oil seed or fruit origin - rape seed derived - at feed mill - Surveillance				
Feed material of oil seed or fruit origin - soya (bean) derived - at feed mill - Surveillance				
Feed material of oil seed or fruit origin - sunflower seed derived - at feed mill - Surveillance				
Feed material of oil seed or fruit origin - other oil seeds derived - at feed mill - Surveillance			1	
Other feed material - other plants - at feed mill - Surveillance				

#### 2.1.6 Salmonella serovars and phagetype distribution

The methods of collecting, isolating and testing of the Salmonella isolates are described in the chapters above respectively for each animal species, foodstuffs and humans. The serotype and phagetype distributions can be used to investigate the sources of the Salmonella infections in humans. Findings of same serovars and phagetypes in human cases and in foodstuffs or animals may indicate that the food category or animal species in question serves as a source of human infections. However as information is not available from all potential sources of infections, conclusions have to be drawn with caution.

Serovar		Cattle (bovir	ne animals)			Piç	gs		Gallus gallus (fowl)				Other poultry  Control program
Sources of isolates	Control program	Monitoring	Clinical	Surveillance	Control program	Monitoring	Clinical	Surveillance	Control program	Monitoring	Clinical	Surveillance	Control program
Number of isolates in the laboratory			5			52	10						
Number of isolates serotyped			5			52	10						
Number of isolates per serovar													
S. Abony													
S. Agona													
S. Anatum													
S. Blockley													
S. Bovismorbificans						3							
S. Bredeney						2							

Serovar		Cattle (bovir	ne animals)			Pig	gs			Gallus gal	lus (fowl)		Other poultry
Sources of isolates	Control program	Monitoring	Clinical	Surveillance	Control program	Monitoring	Clinical	Surveillance	Control program	Monitoring	Clinical	Surveillance	Control program
Number of isolates in the laboratory			5			52	10						Control program
Number of isolates serotyped			5			52	10						
Number of isolates per serovar													-
S. Cerro													
S. Choleraesuis var. Kunzendorf							3						
S. Derby						9							
S. Enteritidis			1			1							
S. Hadar													
S. Indiana													
S. Infantis						8							
S. Kentucky													
S. Kottbus													
S. Liverpool													
S. Livingstone													

Serovar		Cattle (bovir	ne animals)			Piç	gs			Gallus gal	lus (fowl)		Other poultry
Sources of isolates	Control program	Monitoring	Clinical	Surveillance	Control program	Monitoring	Clinical	Surveillance	Control program	Monitoring	Clinical	Surveillance	Control program
Number of isolates in the laboratory			5			52	10						Control program
Number of isolates serotyped			5			52	10						
Number of isolates per serovar													
S. Mbandaka													
S. Montevideo													
S. Muenster													
S. Newport													
S. Saintpaul													
S. Schwarzengrund													
S. Senftenberg													
S. Stanley							1						
S. Tennessee													
S. Thompson													
S. Typhimurium			4			12	4						

Serovar		Cattle (bovir	ne animals)			Pig	JS			Gallus gal	lus (fowl)		Other poultry
Sources of isolates	Control program	Monitoring	Clinical	Surveillance	Control program	Monitoring	Clinical	Surveillance	Control program	Monitoring	Clinical	Surveillance	Control program
Number of isolates in the laboratory			5			52	10						9
Number of isolates serotyped			5			52	10						:
Number of isolates per serovar													
S. Typhimurium, monophasic						16	2						
S. Virchow													
S. Welikade													
S. enterica subsp. enterica, rough						1							
S. group O:4													
S. group O:7													
S. group O:8													

Serovar		Other poultry		Ducks -	unspecified - (	Clinical invest	igations	Gallı unspeci	us gallus (fowl) fied - adult - Ci progra	ontrol and era	ocks, dication	breedin unspecifie chicks - C eradi	lus (fowl) - g flocks, d - day-old ontrol and cation immes  Monitoring
Sources of isolates	Monitoring	Clinical	Surveillance	Control program	Monitoring	Clinical	Surveillance	Control program	Monitoring	Clinical	Surveillance	Control program	Monitoring
Number of isolates in the laboratory						55		40				7	
Number of isolates serotyped						55		40				7	-
Number of isolates per serovar													
S. Abony													
S. Agona													
S. Anatum													
S. Blockley								1					
S. Bovismorbificans								2					
S. Bredeney													
S. Cerro													
S. Choleraesuis var. Kunzendorf													
S. Derby													
S. Enteritidis						5		8				2	

Serovar		Other poultry		Ducks -	unspecified - (	Clinical invest	gations	Gallı unspeci	us gallus (fowl) fied - adult - Ci progra	ontrol and era	ocks, dication	breedin	d - day-old ontrol and cation
Sources of isolates	Monitoring	Clinical	Surveillance	Control program	Monitoring	Clinical	Surveillance	Control program	Monitoring	Clinical	Surveillance	Control program	Monitoring
Number of isolates in the laboratory						55		40				7	
Number of isolates serotyped						55		40				7	-
Number of isolates per serovar													
S. Hadar													
S. Indiana													
S. Infantis								17				1	
S. Kentucky													
S. Kottbus						2		1					
S. Liverpool													
S. Livingstone						38		2				1	
S. Mbandaka													
S. Montevideo								1					
S. Muenster													

Serovar		Other poultry		Ducks -	unspecified - (	Clinical invest	gations	Gallı unspeci	us gallus (fowl) fied - adult - Ci progra	ontrol and era	ocks, dication	breedin unspecifie chicks - C eradi	lus (fowl) - g flocks, d - day-old ontrol and cation ammes  Monitoring
Sources of isolates	Monitoring	Clinical	Surveillance	Control program	Monitoring	Clinical	Surveillance	Control program	Monitoring	Clinical	Surveillance	Control program	Monitoring
Number of isolates in the laboratory						55		40				7	
Number of isolates serotyped						55		40				7	7
Number of isolates per serovar													
S. Newport													
S. Saintpaul													
S. Schwarzengrund								1					
S. Senftenberg								5					,
S. Stanley								1					
S. Tennessee								1					
S. Thompson													
S. Typhimurium						10							
S. Typhimurium, monophasic													
S. Virchow													

Serovar		Other poultry		Ducks -	unspecified - (	Clinical investi	gations	Gallı unspeci	us gallus (fowl) fied - adult - Co prograi	ontrol and era	ocks, adication	breeding unspecified chicks - C eradio	d - day-old ontrol and
Sources of isolates	Monitoring	Clinical	Surveillance	Control program	Monitoring	Clinical	Surveillance	Control program	Monitoring	Clinical	Surveillance	Control program	Monitoring
Number of isolates in the laboratory						55		40				7	
Number of isolates serotyped						55		40				7	-
Number of isolates per serovar													
S. Welikade												3	1
S. enterica subsp. enterica, rough													
S. group O:4													
S. group O:7													
S. group O:8													

Serovar	Gallus gal breedin unspecifie chicks - C eradi progra	g flocks, d - day-old ontrol and cation	Gallus ga - Con	llus (fowl) - bro	oilers - before ation progran	slaughter nmes	Gallus ga Con	allus (fowl) - bro trol and eradica	oilers - day-ol ation program	d chicks - imes	Gallus ga adult - 0	llus (fowl) - layi Control and era programmes	ng hens - dication
Sources of isolates	Clinical	Surveillance	Control program	Monitoring	Clinical	Surveillance	Control program	Monitoring	Clinical	Surveillance	Control program	Monitoring	Clinical
Number of isolates in the laboratory			1794				76				79		
Number of isolates serotyped			1794				76				79		
Number of isolates per serovar													
S. Abony											4		
S. Agona											6		
S. Anatum							1						
S. Blockley													
S. Bovismorbificans			14				1				2		
S. Bredeney			1								2		
S. Cerro			1								2		
S. Choleraesuis var. Kunzendorf													
S. Derby													
S. Enteritidis			16				4				25		

Serovar	breeding	d - day-old ontrol and cation	Gallus ga - Con	llus (fowl) - bro	oilers - before ation progran	slaughter nmes	Gallus ga Con	ıllus (fowl) - bro	oilers - day-olo	d chicks - mes	Gallus gal adult - C	lus (fowl) - layi Control and era programmes	ng hens - dication
Sources of isolates	Clinical	Surveillance	Control program	Monitoring	Clinical	Surveillance	Control program	Monitoring	Clinical	Surveillance	Control program	Monitoring	Clinical
Number of isolates in the laboratory			1794				76				79		1
Number of isolates serotyped			1794				76				79		
Number of isolates per serovar													5
S. Hadar													2
S. Indiana			1								1		
S. Infantis			1650				29				14		<u> </u>
S. Kentucky			2								2		
S. Kottbus			5				7				1		5
S. Liverpool													
S. Livingstone			1								2		
S. Mbandaka			1								1		
S. Montevideo			2				16				1		
S. Muenster			2										

Serovar	breeding unspecifie chicks - C eradio	lus (fowl) - g flocks, d - day-old control and cation ammes	Gallus ga - Cor	llus (fowl) - bro ntrol and eradio	illers - before ation progran	slaughter nmes	Gallus ga Con	ıllus (fowl) - bro trol and eradica	oilers - day-ol ation program	d chicks - mes	Gallus ga adult - C	llus (fowl) - layi Control and era programmes	ng hens - dication
Sources of isolates	Clinical	Surveillance	Control program	Monitoring	Clinical	Surveillance	Control program	Monitoring	Clinical	Surveillance	Control program	Monitoring	Clinical
Number of isolates in the laboratory			1794				76				79		
Number of isolates serotyped			1794				76				79		
Number of isolates per serovar													
S. Newport			11				1				1		
S. Saintpaul			1								2		
S. Schwarzengrund													
S. Senftenberg			12				8						
S. Stanley			7				1						
S. Tennessee			1								5		
S. Thompson			42				1				5		
S. Typhimurium			13				7				3		
S. Typhimurium, monophasic													
S. Virchow													

Serovar	breedin unspecifie chicks - C eradi	lus (fowl) - g flocks, d - day-old control and cation ammes	Gallus ga - Con	llus (fowl) - bro trol and eradic	oilers - before cation progran	slaughter nmes	Gallus ga Con	ıllus (fowl) - bro trol and eradica	oilers - day-olo ation program	d chicks - mes		llus (fowl) - layi Control and erac programmes	
Sources of isolates	Clinical	Surveillance	Control program	Monitoring	Clinical	Surveillance	Control program	Monitoring	Clinical	Surveillance	Control program	Monitoring	Clinical
Number of isolates in the laboratory			1794				76				79		
Number of isolates serotyped			1794				76				79		
Number of isolates per serovar													
S. Welikade			1										
S. enterica subsp. enterica, rough			10										
S. group O:4													
S. group O:7													
S. group O:8													

Serovar	Gallus gallus (fowl) - laying hens - adult - Control and eradication programme s	Gallus chicks - 0	gallus (fowl) - Control and era	laying hens - o	day-old ırammes	Geese -	unspecified - (	Clinical invest	igations	Turkeys - Cont	breeding flock trol and eradica	ks, unspecifie ation program	mes
Sources of isolates	Surveillance	Control program	Monitoring	Clinical	Surveillance	Control program	Monitoring	Clinical	Surveillance	Control program	Monitoring	Clinical	Surveillance
Number of isolates in the laboratory		9						101		49			5
Number of isolates serotyped		9						101		49			
Number of isolates per serovar													
S. Abony													
S. Agona													000
S. Anatum													
S. Blockley										2			
S. Bovismorbificans													
S. Bredeney										19			
S. Cerro													
S. Choleraesuis var. Kunzendorf													

Serovar	Gallus gallus (fowl) - laying hens - adult - Control and eradication programme s	Gallus chicks - 0	gallus (fowl) - I Control and era	laying hens - o	day-old rrammes	Geese -	unspecified - (	Clinical invest	igations	Turkeys - breeding flocks, unspecified - adult - Control and eradication programmes				
Sources of isolates	Surveillance	Control program	Monitoring	Clinical	Surveillance	Control program	Monitoring	Clinical	Surveillance	Control program	Monitoring	Clinical	Surveillance Co.	
Number of isolates in the laboratory		9						101		49			<u> </u>	
Number of isolates serotyped		9						101		49			2	
Number of isolates per serovar													000	
S. Derby														
S. Enteritidis													000	
S. Hadar													360	
S. Indiana														
S. Infantis		1								1				
S. Kentucky										8				
S. Kottbus		2						12		1				
S. Liverpool														

Serovar	Gallus gallus (fowl) - laying hens - adult - Control and eradication programme s	Gallus chicks - 0	gallus (fowl) - I Control and era	laying hens - o	day-old rrammes	Geese -	unspecified - (	Clinical invest	igations	Turkeys - breeding flocks, unspecified - adult - Control and eradication programmes				
Sources of isolates	Surveillance	Control program	Monitoring	Clinical	Surveillance	Control program	Monitoring	Clinical	Surveillance	Control program	Monitoring	Clinical	Surveillance	
Number of isolates in the laboratory		9						101		49			5	
Number of isolates serotyped		9						101		49				
Number of isolates per serovar														
S. Livingstone														
S. Mbandaka													000	
S. Montevideo													Š	
S. Muenster														
S. Newport										14				
S. Saintpaul								1						
S. Schwarzengrund														
S. Senftenberg														

Serovar	Gallus gallus (fowl) - laying hens - adult - Control and eradication programme s	Gallus ( chicks - 0	gallus (fowl) - I Control and era	laying hens - o	day-old rammes	Geese -	unspecified - (	Clinical invest	igations	Turkeys - breeding flocks, unspecified - adult - Control and eradication programmes				
Sources of isolates	Surveillance	Control program	Monitoring	Clinical	Surveillance	Control program	Monitoring	Clinical	Surveillance	Control program	Monitoring	Clinical	Surveillance	
Number of isolates in the laboratory		9						101		49				
Number of isolates serotyped		9						101		49				
Number of isolates per serovar														
S. Stanley										1				
S. Tennessee										3				
S. Thompson								25						
S. Typhimurium		6						60						
S. Typhimurium, monophasic								1						
S. Virchow														
S. Welikade														
S. enterica subsp. enterica, rough								1						

Serovar	Gallus gallus (fowl) - laying hens - adult - Control and eradication programme s		gallus (fowl) - I Control and era			Geese -	unspecified -	Clinical investi	gations	Turkeys - breeding flocks, unspecified - adult - Control and eradication programmes				
Sources of isolates	Surveillance	Control program	Monitoring	Clinical	Surveillance	Control program	Monitoring	Clinical	Surveillance	Control program	Monitoring	Clinical	Surveillance of Colors of	
Number of isolates in the laboratory		9						101		49				
Number of isolates serotyped		9						101		49			<u>a</u>	
Number of isolates per serovar													10 00	
S. group O:4								1					li ces	
S. group O:7													200110	
S. group O:8													Sees	

Serovar	Turkeys - chicks - (	breeding flock Control and era	s, unspecified adication prog	l - day-old grammes	Turkey slaughter	rs - meat produ - Control and e	iction flocks - eradication pro	before ogrammes	Turkey chicks -	Turkeys - unspecified - Clinical investigatio ns			
Sources of isolates	Control program	Monitoring	Clinical	Surveillance	Control program	Monitoring	Clinical	Surveillance	Control program	Monitoring	Clinical	Surveillance	program
Number of isolates in the laboratory	2				1739				29				1 0
Number of isolates serotyped	2				1739				29				200
Number of isolates per serovar													
S. Abony													report oil neillas aila sonices oi zooiloses
S. Agona					19								000
S. Anatum													Cos
S. Blockley					1								001
S. Bovismorbificans					28				5				0000
S. Bredeney					258				2				
S. Cerro													
S. Choleraesuis var. Kunzendorf													
S. Derby					1								
S. Enteritidis	1				8				1				

Serovar	Turkeys - chicks - (	breeding flock Control and era	s, unspecified adication prog	l - day-old grammes	Turkey slaughter	rs - meat produ - Control and e	ection flocks - eradication pro	before ogrammes	Turkey chicks -	day-old grammes	Turkeys - unspecified - Clinical investigatio ns		
Sources of isolates	Control program	Monitoring	Clinical	Surveillance	Control program	Monitoring	Clinical	Surveillance	Control program	Monitoring	Clinical	Surveillance	Control program
Number of isolates in the laboratory	2				1739				29				
Number of isolates serotyped	2				1739				29				(
Number of isolates per serovar													
S. Hadar					5								
S. Indiana													
S. Infantis					131				5				
S. Kentucky					312								
S. Kottbus					58				8				
S. Liverpool	1												
S. Livingstone													
S. Mbandaka					1								
S. Montevideo													
S. Muenster													

Serovar	Turkeys - chicks - (	breeding flock Control and era	s, unspecified adication prog	l - day-old grammes	Turkey slaughter	rs - meat produ - Control and e	iction flocks - eradication pro	before ogrammes	Turkey chicks -	Turkeys - unspecified - Clinical investigatio ns			
Sources of isolates	Control program	Monitoring	Clinical	Surveillance	Control program	Monitoring	Clinical	Surveillance	Control program	Monitoring	Clinical	Surveillance	program
Number of isolates in the laboratory	2				1739				29				70
Number of isolates serotyped	2				1739				29				Vebour oil figures of voluces of voluces
Number of isolates per serovar													
S. Newport					136				4				<u> </u>
S. Saintpaul					66								000
S. Schwarzengrund													i cau
S. Senftenberg					5								20016
S. Stanley					673				3				3000
S. Tennessee					10								
S. Thompson					7								
S. Typhimurium					2				1				
S. Typhimurium, monophasic													
S. Virchow					6								

Serovar		breeding flock Control and era				rs - meat produ - Control and e			Turkey chicks -	Turkeys - unspecified - Clinical investigatio ns				
Sources of isolates	Control program	Monitoring	Clinical	Surveillance	Control program	Monitoring	Clinical	Surveillance	Control program	Monitoring	Clinical	Surveillance	Control program	1
Number of isolates in the laboratory	2				1739				29					2012
Number of isolates serotyped	2				1739				29					Repor
Number of isolates per serovar														Report on trends
S. Welikade														
S. enterica subsp. enterica, rough					1									and sources
S. group O:4					8									irces of
S. group O:7					2									f zoonoses
S. group O:8					1									ses

Serovar	•	- unspecified - investigations	Clinical
Sources of isolates	Monitoring	Clinical	Surveillance
Number of isolates in the laboratory		19	
Number of isolates serotyped		19	
Number of isolates per serovar			
S. Abony			

Serovar		- unspecified - investigations	Clinical
Sources of isolates	Monitoring	Clinical	Surveillance
Number of isolates in the laboratory		19	
Number of isolates serotyped		19	
Number of isolates per serovar			
S. Agona			
S. Anatum			
S. Blockley			
S. Bovismorbificans			
S. Bredeney			
S. Cerro			
S. Choleraesuis var. Kunzendorf			
S. Derby			
S. Enteritidis			
S. Hadar			
S. Indiana			

Serovar		- unspecified - investigations	· Clinical
Sources of isolates	Monitoring	Clinical	Surveillance
Number of isolates in the laboratory		19	
Number of isolates serotyped		19	
Number of isolates per serovar			
S. Infantis		4	
S. Kentucky		2	
S. Kottbus			
S. Liverpool			
S. Livingstone			
S. Mbandaka			
S. Montevideo			
S. Muenster			
S. Newport			
S. Saintpaul			
S. Schwarzengrund			

Serovar		- unspecified - investigations	Clinical
Sources of isolates	Monitoring	Clinical	Surveillance
Number of isolates in the laboratory		19	
Number of isolates serotyped		19	
Number of isolates per serovar			
S. Senftenberg			
S. Stanley		12	
S. Tennessee			
S. Thompson			
S. Typhimurium		1	
S. Typhimurium, monophasic			
S. Virchow			
S. Welikade			
S. enterica subsp. enterica, rough			
S. group O:4			
S. group O:7			

Serovar	_	- unspecified - investigations	Clinical
Sources of isolates	Monitoring	Clinical	Surveillance
Number of isolates in the laboratory		19	
Number of isolates serotyped		19	
Number of isolates per serovar			
S. group O:8			

Serovar	Comp feedingstut		All feedin Monit	
Sources of isolates	Monitoring	Clinical	Monitoring	Clinical
Number of isolates in the laboratory			19	
Number of isolates serotyped			19	
Number of isolates per serovar				
S. Agona			5	
S. Banana			1	
S. Derby			1	
S. Infantis			1	
S. Livingstone			3	
S. London			1	
S. Mbandaka			1	
S. Senftenberg			1	
S. Tennessee			1	
S. Thompson			1	
S. Typhimurium			2	

Serovar	Comp feedingstu		All feedingstuffs - Monitoring			
Sources of isolates	Monitoring	Clinical	Monitoring	Clinical		
Number of isolates in the laboratory			19			
Number of isolates serotyped			19			
Number of isolates per serovar						
S. Typhimurium, monophasic			1			

Serovar	Meat froi anin		Meat fr	om pig	Meat fror (Gallus		Meat from o		Other pro anima	oducts of I origin	Meat from bovine animals - fresh - Monitoring		Meat from bovine animals - minced meat - intended to be eaten cooked - Monitoring
Sources of isolates	Monitoring	Surveillance	Monitoring	Surveillance	Monitoring	Surveillance	Monitoring	Surveillance	Monitoring	Surveillance	Monitoring	Surveillance	Monitoring
Number of isolates in the laboratory											3		2
Number of isolates serotyped											3		2
Number of isolates per serovar													
S. Bovismorbificans											1		Monitoring 2 2
S. Brandenburg													
S. Bredeney													
S. Choleraesuis var. Kunzendorf													
S. Derby													
S. Enteritidis											1		
S. Give													
S. Indiana													

Serovar	Meat froi anir	m bovine nals	Meat fr	rom pig	Meat fror (Gallus		Meat from c		Other pro animal	oducts of origin	Meat from bovine animals - fresh - Monitoring		Meat from bovine animals - minced meat - intended to be eaten cooked - Monitoring	ary -
Sources of isolates	Monitoring	Surveillance	Monitoring	Surveillance	Monitoring	Surveillance	Monitoring	Surveillance	Monitoring	Surveillance	Monitoring	Surveillance	Monitoring	12 Re
Number of isolates in the laboratory											3		2	port o
Number of isolates serotyped											3		2	n tren
Number of isolates per serovar														ds and
S. Infantis													1	2012 Report on trends and sources of zoonoses
S. Kentucky											1			es of z
S. Kottbus														oonos
S. Livingstone														Se
S. Mbandaka														
S. Newport														
S. Ohio														
S. Rissen														
S. Saintpaul														

Serovar	Meat froi anir	m bovine nals	Meat fr	om pig	Meat fror (Gallus		Meat from c		Other pro animal	Other products of animal origin		Meat from bovine animals - fresh - Monitoring		Hungary - 2012
Sources of isolates	Monitoring	Surveillance	Monitoring	Surveillance	Monitoring	Surveillance	Monitoring	Surveillance	Monitoring	Surveillance	Monitoring	Surveillance	Monitoring	Ze
Number of isolates in the laboratory											3		2	Report on trends
Number of isolates serotyped											3		2	n tren
Number of isolates per serovar														ds and
S. Stanley														and sources of zoonoses
S. Tennessee													1	es of z
S. Thompson														oonos
S. Typhimurium														Se
S. Typhimurium, monophasic														
S. Virchow														
S. enterica subsp. enterica, rough														
S. group O:7														

Serovar	Meat from bovine animals - minced meat - intended to be eaten cooked - Monitoring	Meat fron (Gallus gall Monit	us) - fresh -	Meat fror (Gallus gal preparatior to be eate Monit	lus) - meat n - intended n cooked -	Meat fror (Gallus gal products ready-l Monit	lus) - meat - cooked, to-eat -	Meat fror (Gallus gal products intended to cooked - I	lus) - meat - raw but o be eaten	(Gallus gallu meat - inte eaten c	Meat from broilers (Gallus gallus) - minced meat - intended to be eaten cooked - Monitoring		uck - fresh -
Sources of isolates	Surveillance	Monitoring	Surveillance	Monitoring	Surveillance	Monitoring	Surveillance	Monitoring	Surveillance	Monitoring	Surveillance	Monitoring	i Sui veillalice i
Number of isolates in the laboratory		367		52		2		6		3		12	
Number of isolates serotyped		367		52		2		6		3		12	5
Number of isolates per serovar													a a
S. Bovismorbificans													
S. Brandenburg													<u></u>
S. Bredeney													0.000
S. Choleraesuis var. Kunzendorf													5
S. Derby													
S. Enteritidis													
S. Give													
S. Indiana				1									
S. Infantis		342		46		2		6		3			

Serovar	Meat from bovine animals - minced meat - intended to be eaten cooked - Monitoring	Meat fron (Gallus galli Monit		Meat fror (Gallus gal preparatior to be eate Monit	lus) - meat n - intended n cooked -	Meat fron (Gallus gal products ready-t Monit	lus) - meat - cooked, to-eat -	Meat fron (Gallus gal products intended to cooked - N	lus) - meat - raw but o be eaten	Meat fron (Gallus gallu meat - inte eaten c Monit	us) - minced nded to be ooked -	Meat from d Monit	
Sources of isolates	Surveillance	Monitoring	Surveillance	Monitoring	Surveillance	Monitoring	Surveillance	Monitoring	Surveillance	Monitoring	Surveillance	Monitoring	i Surveillance i
Number of isolates in the laboratory		367		52		2		6		3		12	
Number of isolates serotyped		367		52		2		6		3		12	
Number of isolates per serovar													a i
S. Kentucky		1		1									i soulc
S. Kottbus		2										4	es ol z
S. Livingstone												3	Nepoli di nenda and sources di zodioses
S. Mbandaka												1	33
S. Newport		7		3									
S. Ohio													
S. Rissen													
S. Saintpaul		1											
S. Stanley		5		1									

Serovar	Meat from bovine animals - minced meat - intended to be eaten cooked - Monitoring	Meat fron (Gallus gall Monit	us) - fresh -	Meat fror (Gallus gal preparatior to be eate Monit	lus) - meat n - intended n cooked -	Meat fror (Gallus gal products ready-l Monit	lus) - meat - cooked, co-eat -	Meat fron (Gallus gal products intended to cooked - N	lus) - meat - raw but o be eaten	Meat fron (Gallus gallu meat - inte eaten c Monit	us) - minced nded to be ooked -	Meat from d Monit	
Sources of isolates	Surveillance	Monitoring	Surveillance	Monitoring	Surveillance	Monitoring	Surveillance	Monitoring	Surveillance	Monitoring	Surveillance	Monitoring	Sui veillarice
Number of isolates in the laboratory		367		52		2		6		3		12	201.0
Number of isolates serotyped		367		52		2		6		3		12	
Number of isolates per serovar													
S. Tennessee													I veloci di menes ana sources di zoonoses
S. Thompson		2											63 01 2
S. Typhimurium												3	
S. Typhimurium, monophasic													3
S. Virchow												1	
S. enterica subsp. enterica, rough		7											
S. group O:7													

Serovar	Meat from g - Moni	eese - fresh itoring	Meat from Monit		Meat from products ready-i Monit		Meat from products - sausages -	pig - meat fermented Monitoring	products -	pig - meat fresh raw Monitoring	Meat from p meat - inte eaten c Moni	nded to be ooked -	Meat from turkey - fresh - Monitoring
Sources of isolates	Monitoring	Surveillance	Monitoring	Surveillance	Monitoring	Surveillance	Monitoring	Surveillance	Monitoring	Surveillance	Monitoring	Surveillance	Monitoring (
Number of isolates in the laboratory	2		7		2		3		12		5		52
Number of isolates serotyped	2		7		2		3		12		5		52
Number of isolates per serovar													
S. Bovismorbificans			1				1						1
S. Brandenburg									1				52 52 1
S. Bredeney													4
S. Choleraesuis var. Kunzendorf			1										
S. Derby			1								1		
S. Enteritidis													
S. Give									1				
S. Indiana													
S. Infantis			2						2		2		8
S. Kentucky													11
S. Kottbus													2

Serovar	Meat from g - Mon	eese - fresh itoring	Meat from Monit		Meat from products ready-i Monit		products -	pig - meat fermented Monitoring	products -	pig - meat fresh raw Monitoring		nded to be ooked -	Meat from turkey - fresh - Monitoring
Sources of isolates	Monitoring	Surveillance	Monitoring	Surveillance	Monitoring	Surveillance	Monitoring	Surveillance	Monitoring	Surveillance	Monitoring	Surveillance	Monitoring (
Number of isolates in the laboratory	2		7		2		3		12		5		52
Number of isolates serotyped	2		7		2		3		12		5		52
Number of isolates per serovar													
S. Livingstone													
S. Mbandaka													8
S. Newport													8
S. Ohio									1				
S. Rissen			1						1				1
S. Saintpaul													2
S. Stanley													14
S. Tennessee													
S. Thompson													
S. Typhimurium	2		1		1		1		4		1		
S. Typhimurium, monophasic									2		1		

Serovar		eese - fresh itoring		pig - fresh - toring	Meat from products ready-t Monit	o-eat -	products -	pig - meat fermented Monitoring	products -	pig - meat fresh raw Monitoring	meat - inte	oig - minced nded to be ooked - toring	Meat from turkey - fresh - Monitoring	Ŧ
Sources of isolates	Monitoring	Surveillance	Monitoring	Surveillance	Monitoring	Surveillance	Monitoring	Surveillance	Monitoring	Surveillance	Monitoring	Surveillance	Monitoring	15
Number of isolates in the laboratory	2		7		2		3		12		5		52	- 2012
Number of isolates serotyped	2		7		2		3		12		5		52	2 Report
Number of isolates per serovar														ort on
S. Virchow														trends
S. enterica subsp. enterica, rough							1						1	and
S. group O:7					1								1	sources

Serovar	Meat from turkey - fresh - Monitoring	Meat from meat prej intended t cooked - N	oaration - o be eaten	meat produc	n turkey - cts - raw but o be eaten Monitoring	Meat from turkey - minced meat - intended to be eaten cooked - Monitoring		
Sources of isolates	Surveillance	Monitoring Surveillance		Monitoring	Surveillance	Monitoring	Surveillance	
Number of isolates in the laboratory		4		4		27		
Number of isolates serotyped		4		4		27		
Number of isolates per serovar								
S. Bovismorbificans						1		
S. Brandenburg								

Serovar	Meat from turkey - fresh - Monitoring	Meat from meat pre intended t cooked - I		Meat from meat product intended to cooked - I	cts - raw but o be eaten	Meat from turkey - minced meat - intended to be eaten cooked - Monitoring		
Sources of isolates	Surveillance	Monitoring	Surveillance	Monitoring	Surveillance	Monitoring	Surveillance	
Number of isolates in the laboratory		4		4		27		
Number of isolates serotyped		4		4		27		
Number of isolates per serovar								
S. Bredeney		1				3		
S. Choleraesuis var. Kunzendorf								
S. Derby								
S. Enteritidis						1		
S. Give								
S. Indiana								
S. Infantis				3		5		
S. Kentucky						3		
S. Kottbus								
S. Livingstone								

Serovar	Meat from turkey - fresh - Monitoring	Meat from meat pre intended t cooked - I	paration - o be eaten	Meat fron meat productintended to cooked - I	cts - raw but o be eaten	Meat fron minced mea to be eate Monit	nt - intended n cooked -
Sources of isolates	Surveillance	Monitoring	Surveillance	Monitoring	Surveillance	Monitoring	Surveillance
Number of isolates in the laboratory		4		4		27	
Number of isolates serotyped		4		4		27	
Number of isolates per serovar							
S. Mbandaka							
S. Newport		1		1		7	
S. Ohio							
S. Rissen							
S. Saintpaul		1				1	
S. Stanley						4	
S. Tennessee		1					
S. Thompson							
S. Typhimurium							
S. Typhimurium, monophasic							

Serovar	Meat from turkey - fresh - Monitoring			Meat from meat product intended to cooked - I	ots - raw but o be eaten	Meat fron minced mea to be eate Monit	at - intended n cooked -
Sources of isolates	Surveillance	Monitoring	Surveillance	Monitoring	Surveillance	Monitoring	Surveillance
Number of isolates in the laboratory		4		4		27	
Number of isolates serotyped		4		4		Monitoring	
Number of isolates per serovar							
S. Virchow							
S. enterica subsp. enterica, rough							
S. group O:7						2	

### Table Salmonella Enteritidis phagetypes in animals

Phagetype		Cattle (bovir	ne animals)			Pig	gs			Gallus gal	lus (fowl)		Other poultry
Sources of isolates	Control program	Monitoring	Clinical	Surveillance	Control program	Monitoring	Clinical	Surveillance	Control program	Monitoring	Clinical	Surveillance	Control program
Number of isolates in the laboratory			1				1		51		1		
Number of isolates phagetyped	0	0	1	0	0	0	1	0	49	0	1	0	0
Number of isolates per phagetype													
DT RDNC									1				
Not typeable									2				Control program  0
PT 1													
PT 13									1				
PT 13a									3				
PT 1b									3				
PT 2									3				
PT 21									1				
PT 21c									1				
PT 35													
PT 4									1		1		

# Table Salmonella Enteritidis phagetypes in animals

Phagetype		Cattle (bovi	ne animals)			Pi	gs		Gallus gallus (fowl)			Other poultry	
Sources of isolates	Control program	Monitoring	Clinical	Surveillance	Control program	Monitoring	Clinical	Surveillance	Control program	Monitoring	Clinical	Surveillance	Control program
Number of isolates in the laboratory			1				1		51		1		
Number of isolates phagetyped	0	0	1	0	0	0	1	0	49	0	1	0	0
Number of isolates per phagetype													
PT 5									15				
PT 6c			1						1				
PT 8							1		17				
Phagetype		Other poultry		D	ucks - Clinica	I investigations	6	Turkeys -	unspecified - progra	Control and er	adication		
Sources of isolates	Monitoring	Clinical	Surveillance	Control program	Monitoring	Clinical	Surveillance	Control program	Monitoring	Clinical	Surveillance		
Number of isolates in the laboratory												1	

Phagetype		Other poultry		С	oucks - Clinical	investigations	5	Turkeys - unspecified - Control and eradication programmes				
Sources of isolates	Monitoring	Clinical	Surveillance	Control program	Monitoring	Clinical	Surveillance	Control program	Monitoring	Clinical	Surveillance	
Number of isolates in the laboratory						5		10				
Number of isolates phagetyped	0	0	0			5		10				
Number of isolates per phagetype												
DT RDNC												
Not typeable												
PT 1						2		1				

# Table Salmonella Enteritidis phagetypes in animals

Phagetype		Other poultry  Ducks - Clinical investigations  Turkeys - unspecified - Control ar programmes								Control and er	radication
Sources of isolates	Monitoring	Clinical	Surveillance	Control program	Monitoring	Clinical	Surveillance	Control program	Monitoring	Clinical	Surveillance
Number of isolates in the laboratory						5		10			
Number of isolates phagetyped	0	0	0			5		10			
Number of isolates per phagetype											
PT 13											
PT 13a								9			
PT 1b						1					
PT 2											
PT 21											
PT 21c											
PT 35						2					
PT 4											
PT 5											
PT 6c											
PT 8											

Phagetype

PT 4

PT 6c

PT 8

Sources of isolates

Number of isolates in the laboratory

Number of isolates per phagetype

Number of isolates phagetyped

Meat from bovine

animals

Monitoring

1

1

Surveillance

0

Meat from pig

Monitoring

0

Surveillance

0

Meat from other poultry

species

Monitoring

0

Surveillance

0

Meat from broilers

(Gallus gallus)

Monitoring

0

Surveillance

0

Other products of

animal origin

Monitoring

0

Surveillance

0

Phagetype	Meat from turkey - Monitoring	Other proce products an dishes - pas pasta - M	nd prepared sta - simple
Sources of isolates	Surveillance	Monitoring	Surveillance
Number of isolates in the laboratory		2	
Number of isolates phagetyped		2	
Number of isolates per phagetype			
PT 4			
PT 6c			

# Table Salmonella Enteritidis phagetypes in food

Phagetype	Meat from turkey - Monitoring	Other proce products an dishes - pas pasta - M	d prepared sta - simple
Sources of isolates	Surveillance	Monitoring	Surveillance
Number of isolates in the laboratory		2	
Number of isolates phagetyped		2	
Number of isolates per phagetype			
PT 8		2	

# Table Salmonella Typhimurium phagetypes in animals

Phagetype		Cattle (bovine animals)				Pigs Gallus gallus (fowl)			Other poultry				
Sources of isolates	Control program	Monitoring	Clinical	Surveillance	Control program	Monitoring	Clinical	Surveillance	Control program	Monitoring	Clinical	Surveillance	Control program  0
Number of isolates in the laboratory			4			12	4		32				
Number of isolates phagetyped	0	0	4	0	0	12	4	0	32	0	0	0	0
Number of isolates per phagetype													
DT 1							1		3				
DT 104			2			2							
DT 104b						3			3				
DT 193						1			2				
DT 30													
DT 46a			1						5				
DT 8									1				
Not typeable			1			4	1						
RDNC						1	1		18				
U 302						1	1						

# Table Salmonella Typhimurium phagetypes in animals

Phagetype		Other poultry		Ducks - Clinical investigations Geese - Clinical investigations				Ducks - Clinical investigations Geese - Clinical investigations				Geese - Clinical investigations			Geese - Clinical investigations				Turkeys - Control and eradication programmes		
Sources of isolates	Monitoring	Clinical	Surveillance	Control program	Monitoring	Clinical	Surveillance	Control program	Monitoring	Clinical	Surveillance	Control program	Monitoring								
Number of isolates in the laboratory						10				60		3									
Number of isolates phagetyped	0	0	0			9				60		3									
Number of isolates per phagetype													•								
DT 1																					
DT 104										3											
DT 104b																					
DT 193										1											
DT 30						1															
DT 46a										11		1									
DT 8						1				4											
Not typeable												1									
RDNC						7				41		1									
U 302																					

### Table Salmonella Typhimurium phagetypes in animals

Phagetype	Turkeys - Control and eradication programmes					
Sources of isolates	Clinical	Surveillance				
Number of isolates in the laboratory	1					
Number of isolates phagetyped	1					
Number of isolates per phagetype						
DT 1						
DT 104						
DT 104b						
DT 193						
DT 30						
DT 46a	1					
DT 8						
Not typeable						
RDNC						
U 302						

# Table Salmonella Typhimurium phagetypes in food

Phagetype	Meat from	m bovine nals	Meat fr	om pig	Meat fror (Gallus	n broilers gallus)			Other products of animal origin			m duck - toring	Meat from geese - Monitoring	Hur
Sources of isolates	Monitoring	Surveillance	Monitoring	Surveillance	Monitoring	Surveillance	Monitoring	Surveillance	Monitoring	Surveillance	Monitoring	Surveillance	Monitoring  Monitoring	
Number of isolates in the laboratory			10								3		2	2012
Number of isolates phagetyped	0	0	10	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	3		2	
Number of isolates per phagetype														ort on
DT 104			1											trends
DT 104b			4											Report on trends and sources
DT 8											2			ources
Not typeable			1											of zoonoses
RDNC											1		2	oses
U 302			4											

# Table Salmonella Typhimurium phagetypes in food

Phagetype	Meat from geese - Monitoring	Meat from turkey - Monitoring			
Sources of isolates	Surveillance	Monitoring	Surveillance		
Number of isolates in the laboratory		1			
Number of isolates phagetyped		1			
Number of isolates per phagetype					
DT 104					
DT 104b		1			
DT 8					
Not typeable					
RDNC					
U 302					

#### 2.1.7 Antimicrobial resistance in Salmonella isolates

#### A. Antimicrobial resistance in Salmonella in poultry

#### Sampling strategy used in monitoring

Methods used for collecting data

Testing and data collection was the task of the NRL Salmonella.

#### Laboratory methodology used for identification of the microbial isolates

ISO 6579 - isolation, biochemical and serological confirmation. ISO 6579 - isolation, biochemical and serological confirmation.

#### Laboratory used for detection for resistance

Antimicrobials included in monitoring

Disc diffusion method according to NCCLS is used. The inhibitive zone diameters are measured by a computerised system.

Results of the investigation

Hungary - 2012 99

#### B. Antimicrobial resistance in Salmonella in foodstuff derived from poultry

#### Sampling strategy used in monitoring

#### Frequency of the sampling

Frequency: as described previously in prevalence tables. As only Salmonella Enteritidis and Typhimurium strains are involved in the resistence monitoring program in foodstuff, and the number of isolates belonging to these serovars is very limited because of the 90% dominance of Salmonella Infantis in broiler chicken, only a limited number of isolates are available for the tests.

#### Type of specimen taken

Fresh meat at slaughterhouses, minced meat, meat preparations, meat products at processing level and at the market. There is no direct sampling program for antimicrobial resistance, it is connected to prevalence monitoring.

#### Methods of sampling (description of sampling techniques)

As described earlier.

#### Procedures for the selection of isolates for antimicrobial testing

S. Enteritidis and Salmonella Infantis strains are selected. All the S. Enteritidis strains of broiler origin were tested. As S. Infantis shows a characteristic dominance in Hungary, the number of the strains available is just 2000. Therefore only 10 % of the isolates were selected for testing.

#### Methods used for collecting data

All the strains isolated from food are serotyped in the NRL Salmonella. Antimicrobial resistence testing is performed in the NRL.

#### Laboratory methodology used for identification of the microbial isolates

ISO 6579 - isolation, biochemical and serological confirmation.

#### Laboratory used for detection for resistance

#### Antimicrobials included in monitoring

Disc diffusion method according to NCCLS is used. The inhibitive zone diameters are measured by a computerised system.

#### Preventive measures in place

There are no specific preventive measures in place.

#### National evaluation of the recent situation, the trends and sources of infection

Because of the very low number of Salmonella Enteritidis isolates the information available is limited. There is no significant change in level of resistance in the past four years.

Hungary - 2012 100

# Table Antimicrobial susceptibility testing of S. Typhimurium in Meat from other poultry species - fresh - Official sampling - quantitative data [Dilution method]

Concentration (µg/ml), number of isolates with a concentration of inhibition equal to

S. Typhimurium		Meat from other poultry species - fresh																								
Isolates out of a monitoring program (yes/no)		yes																								
Number of isolates available in the laboratory														6												
Antimicrobials:	Cut-off value	Ν	n	<=0.002	<=0.004	0.008	0.015	0.016	0.03	0.06	0.12	0.25	0.5	1	2	4	8	16	32	64	128	256	512	>4096	1024	2048
Aminoglycosides - Gentamicin	2	6	0									2	2	2												
Aminoglycosides - Streptomycin	32	6	0														3	3								
Amphenicols - Chloramphenicol	16	6	0												4	2										
Cephalosporins - Cefotaxime	0.5	6	0							2	4															
Fluoroquinolones - Ciprofloxacin	0.06	6	0						6																	
Penicillins - Ampicillin	4	6	0										3	2	1											
Quinolones - Nalidixic acid	16	6	0													6										
Sulfonamides	256	6	2																1		1	2	1	1		
Tetracyclines - Tetracycline	8	6	0											5	1											
Trimethoprim	2	6	0										4	2												
Fully sensitive		4	4	4																						
Resistant to 1 antimicrobial		2	2	2																						

S. Typhimurium	Meat from other poultry species - fresh				
Isolates out of a monitoring program (yes/no)	g yes				
Number of isolates available in the laboratory	6				
Antimicrobials:	lowest	highest			
Aminoglycosides - Gentamicin	0.25	32			

Table Antimicrobial susceptibility testing of S. Typhimurium in Meat from other poultry species - fresh - Official sampling - quantitative data [Dilution method]

[Bliation motifica]					
S. Typhimurium		Meat from other poultry species - fresh			
Isolates out of a mo program (yes/no)	nitoring	yes			
Number of isolates in the laboratory	available	(	6		
Antimicrobials:		lowest	highest		
Aminoglycosides - Streptomycin	1	128			
Amphenicols - Chloramphenicol		0.5	64		
Cephalosporins - Cefotaxime		0.12	8		
Fluoroquinolones - Ciprofloxacin		0.015	16		
Penicillins - Ampicillin		0.5	64		
Quinolones - Nalidixic acid		1	128		
Sulfonamides		8	1024		
Tetracyclines - Tetracycline		1	128		
Trimethoprim		0.12	8		
Fully sensitive					
Resistant to 1 antimicrobial					

Concentration (µg/m	I), number of isolates with	th a concentration	of inhibition equal to
---------------------	-----------------------------	--------------------	------------------------

S. Typhimurium	Meat from turkey																									
Isolates out of a monitoring program (yes/no)	yes																									
Number of isolates available in the laboratory	1																									
Antimicrobials:	Cut-off value	N	n	<=0.002	<=0.004	0.008	0.015	0.016	0.03	0.06	0.12	0.25	0.5	1	2	4	8	16	32	64	128	256	512	>4096	1024	2048 İ
Aminoglycosides - Gentamicin	2	1	0										1													
Aminoglycosides - Streptomycin	32	1	1																			1				
Amphenicols - Chloramphenicol	16	1	0													1										
Cephalosporins - Cefotaxime	0.5	1	0								1															
Fluoroquinolones - Ciprofloxacin	0.06	1	0						1																	
Penicillins - Ampicillin	4	1	1																	1						
Quinolones - Nalidixic acid	16	1	0													1										
Sulfonamides	256	1	1																						1	
Tetracyclines - Tetracycline	8	1	1																			1				
Trimethoprim	2	1	1															1								

S. Typhin	Meat from turkey					
	Isolates out of a monitoring program (yes/no)					
	Number of isolates available in the laboratory	1				
Antimicrobi	lowest	highest				
Aminoglycosides -	Gentamicin	0.25	32			
Aminoglycosides -	1	128				
Amphenicols - Chlo	oramphenicol	0.5	64			

S. Typhimurium	Meat turl	from
Isolates out of a monitoring program (yes/no)	ye	es
Number of isolates available in the laboratory	,	1
Antimicrobials:	lowest	highest
Cephalosporins - Cefotaxime	0.12	8
Fluoroquinolones - Ciprofloxacin	0.015	16
Penicillins - Ampicillin	0.5	64
Quinolones - Nalidixic acid	1	128
Sulfonamides	8	1024
Tetracyclines - Tetracycline	1	128
Trimethoprim	0.12	8

### Table Antimicrobial susceptibility testing of S. Typhimurium in Meat from pig - Official sampling - quantitative data [Dilution method]

S. Typhimurium						ncentra	στ (μ	<i>g</i> ,,,,,,	di ili	31 13014	tos witi	14 0011		om pig	THE ITE	roquar										
Isolates out of a monitoring program (yes/no)													ye	es												
Number of isolates available in the laboratory													1	0												
Antimicrobials:	Cut-off value	N	n	<=0.002	<=0.004	0.008	0.015	0.016	0.03	0.06	0.12	0.25	0.5	1	2	4	8	16	32	64	128	256	512	>4096	1024	2048
Aminoglycosides - Gentamicin	2	10	0									3	4	2	1											-
Aminoglycosides - Streptomycin	32	10	6															1	3	1	2	3				
Amphenicols - Chloramphenicol	16	10	4													5	1			3	1					
Cephalosporins - Cefotaxime	0.5	10	0									9	1													
Fluoroquinolones - Ciprofloxacin	0.06	10	1						8	1		1														
Penicillins - Ampicillin	4	10	8											2						4	4					
Quinolones - Nalidixic acid	16	10	1												1	5	3				1					
Sulfonamides	256	10	6																1			3			1	5
Tetracyclines - Tetracycline	8	10	10																4	2	2	2				
Trimethoprim	2	10	2									2	4	2				2								
Resistant to 1 antimicrobial		2	2	2																						
Resistant to 3 antimicrobials		1	1	1																						
Resistant to 4 antimicrobials		5	5	5																						
Resistant to >4 antimicrobials		2	2	2																						

### Table Antimicrobial susceptibility testing of S. Typhimurium in Meat from pig - Official sampling - quantitative data [Dilution method]

S. Typhimurium	Meat fr	om pig
Isolates out of a monitoring program (yes/no)	ye	es
Number of isolates available in the laboratory	1	0
Antimicrobials:	lowest	highest
Aminoglycosides - Gentamicin	0.25	32
Aminoglycosides - Streptomycin	1	128
Amphenicols - Chloramphenicol	0.5	64
Cephalosporins - Cefotaxime	0.12	8
Fluoroquinolones - Ciprofloxacin	0.015	16
Penicillins - Ampicillin	0.5	64
Quinolones - Nalidixic acid	1	128
Sulfonamides	8	1024
Tetracyclines - Tetracycline	1	128
Trimethoprim	0.12	8
Resistant to 1 antimicrobial		
Resistant to 3 antimicrobials		
Resistant to 4 antimicrobials		
Resistant to >4 antimicrobials		

### Table Antimicrobial susceptibility testing of S. Typhimurium, monophasic in Meat from pig - Official sampling - quantitative data [Dilution method]

							ж. от түрт	9,,,	u	0. 10010	itos witi		301111 411	011 01 11		. oqua.										
S. Typhimurium, monophasic													Meat fi	rom pig												
Isolates out of a monitoring program (yes/no)													y	es												
Number of isolates available in the laboratory													;	3												
Antimicrobials:	Cut-off value	N	n	<=0.002	<=0.004	0.008	0.015	0.016	0.03	0.06	0.12	0.25	0.5	1	2	4	8	16	32	64	128	256	512	>4096	1024	2048
Aminoglycosides - Gentamicin	2	3	0									1	2													
Aminoglycosides - Streptomycin	32	3	3																		1	2				
Amphenicols - Chloramphenicol	16	3	1													1	1			1						
Cephalosporins - Cefotaxime	0.5	3	0								2	1														
Fluoroquinolones - Ciprofloxacin	0.06	3	1						1	1				1												
Penicillins - Ampicillin	4	3	3																		2	1				
Quinolones - Nalidixic acid	16	3	1														2					1				
Sulfonamides	256	3	2																		1					2
Tetracyclines - Tetracycline	8	3	3																		3					
Trimethoprim	2	3	1									2						1								
Resistant to 4 antimicrobials		1	1	1																						
Resistant to >4 antimicrobials		2	2	2																						

S. Typhimurium, monophasic	Meat fr	om pig
Isolates out of a monitoring program (yes/no)	ye	es
Number of isolates available in the laboratory	;	3
Antimicrobials:	lowest	highest
Aminoglycosides - Gentamicin	0.25	32

Table Antimicrobial susceptibility testing of S. Typhimurium, monophasic in Meat from pig - Official sampling - quantitative data [Dilution method]

<u>^1</u>		
murium, asic	Meat fr	om pig
Isolates out of a monitoring program (yes/no)	ye	es
Number of isolates available in the laboratory	;	3
oials:	lowest	highest
- Streptomycin	1	128
hloramphenicol	0.5	64
Cefotaxime	0.12	8
s - Ciprofloxacin	0.015	16
icillin	0.5	64
idixic acid	1	128
	8	1024
etracycline	1	128
	0.12	8
timicrobials		
ntimicrobials		
	murium, asic  Isolates out of a monitoring program (yes/no)  Number of isolates available in the laboratory  Dials: - Streptomycin  Inforamphenicol  Cefotaxime  Is - Ciprofloxacin  Idixic acid	murium, asic  Isolates out of a monitoring program (yes/no)  Number of isolates available in the laboratory  Dials:  - Streptomycin  Inforamphenicol  Cefotaxime  3 - Ciprofloxacin  1 - Streptomycin  2 - Streptomycin  3 - Streptomycin  4 - Streptomycin  1 - Streptomycin  1 - Streptomycin  2 - Streptomycin  3 - Streptomycin  4 - Streptomycin  5 - Streptomycin  1 - Streptomycin  1 - Streptomycin  2 - Streptomycin  3 - Streptomycin  4 - Streptomycin  5 - Streptomycin  5 - Streptomycin  6 - Streptomycin  1 - Streptomycin  2 - Streptomycin  3 - Streptomycin  4 - Streptomycin  5 - Streptomycin  5 - Streptomycin  6 - Streptomycin  6 - Streptomycin  7 - Streptomycin  8 - Streptomycin  9 - Strepto

# Table Antimicrobial susceptibility testing of S. Kentucky in Meat from broilers (Gallus gallus) - Official sampling - quantitative data [Dilution method]

								, ,																		
S. Kentucky							·				ı	Meat fro	m broile	rs (Gallu	ıs gallus	)										
Isolates out of a monitoring program (yes/no)													ye	es												
Number of isolates available in the laboratory													:	2												
Antimicrobials:	Cut-off value	N	n	<=0.002	<=0.004	0.008	0.015	0.016	0.03	0.06	0.12	0.25	0.5	1	2	4	8	16	32	64	128	256	512	>4096	1024	2048
Aminoglycosides - Gentamicin	2	2	2														2									
Aminoglycosides - Streptomycin	32	2	1																1	1						
Amphenicols - Chloramphenicol	16	2	0													1	1									
Cephalosporins - Cefotaxime	0.5	2	0								1	1														
Fluoroquinolones - Ciprofloxacin	0.06	2	2														2									
Penicillins - Ampicillin	4	2	2																		2					
Quinolones - Nalidixic acid	16	2	2																			2				
Sulfonamides	256	2	2																							2
Tetracyclines - Tetracycline	8	2	2																1	1						
Trimethoprim	2	2	0									1		1												
Resistant to >4 antimicrobials		2	2	2																						

S. Kentuc	ky	Meat broilers gal	(Gallus
	Isolates out of a monitoring program (yes/no)	ye	es
	Number of isolates available in the laboratory	2	2
Antimicrobi	als:	lowest	highest
Aminoglycosides -	Gentamicin	0.25	32
Aminoglycosides -	Streptomycin	1	128

Table Antimicrobial susceptibility testing of S. Kentucky in Meat from broilers (Gallus gallus) - Official sampling - quantitative data [Dilution method]

S. Kentu	ıcky	broilers	from (Gallus lus)
	Isolates out of a monitoring program (yes/no)	yı	es
	Number of isolates available in the laboratory	:	2
Antimicrob	oials:	lowest	highest
Amphenicols - C	hloramphenicol	0.5	64
Cephalosporins -	Cefotaxime	0.12	8
Fluoroquinolones	s - Ciprofloxacin	0.015	16
Penicillins - Amp	icillin	0.5	64
Quinolones - Nal	idixic acid	1	128
Sulfonamides		8	1024
Tetracyclines - T	etracycline	1	128
Trimethoprim		0.12	8
Resistant to >4 a	ntimicrobials		

# Table Antimicrobial susceptibility testing of S. Infantis in Meat from broilers (Gallus gallus) - Official sampling - quantitative data [Dilution method]

S. Infantis							1	g/1111), 11				Meat fro														
Isolates out of a monitoring program (yes/no)													ye	es												
Number of isolates available in the laboratory													14	19												
Antimicrobials:	Cut-off value	N	n	<=0.002	<=0.004	0.008	0.015	0.016	0.03	0.06	0.12	0.25	0.5	1	2	4	8	16	32	64	128	256	512	>4096	1024	2048
Aminoglycosides - Gentamicin	2	149	0									94	40	15												
Aminoglycosides - Streptomycin	32	149	51												1	6	16	31	44	30	18	3				
Amphenicols - Chloramphenicol	16	149	3											2	10	49	74	11	3							
Cephalosporins - Cefotaxime	0.5	149	1								53	67	28	1												
Fluoroquinolones - Ciprofloxacin	0.06	149	148						1			18	58	58	14											
Penicillins - Ampicillin	4	149	5										2	38	60	44	2				3					
Quinolones - Nalidixic acid	16	149	148													1			1	1	5	141				
Sulfonamides	256	149	128															4	7	5	3	2	1	1	126	
Tetracyclines - Tetracycline	8	149	121											15	9	3	1	5	8	33	53	22				
Trimethoprim	2	149	2								11	46	49	35	6	2										
Fully sensitive		1	1	1																						
Resistant to 2 antimicrobials		11	11	11																						
Resistant to 3 antimicrobials		17	17	17																						
Resistant to 4 antimicrobials		68	68	68																						
Resistant to >4 antimicrobials		52	52	52																						

Table Antimicrobial susceptibility testing of S. Infantis in Meat from broilers (Gallus gallus) - Official sampling - quantitative data [Dilution method]

S. Infanti	s	broilers	from (Gallus lus)
	Isolates out of a monitoring program (yes/no)	уe	es
	Number of isolates available in the laboratory	14	19
Antimicrob	ials:	lowest	highest
Aminoglycosides -	- Gentamicin	0.25	32
Aminoglycosides -	- Streptomycin	1	128
Amphenicols - Ch	loramphenicol	0.5	64
Cephalosporins -	Cefotaxime	0.12	8
Fluoroquinolones	- Ciprofloxacin	0.015	16
Penicillins - Ampio	cillin	0.5	64
Quinolones - Nalid	dixic acid	1	128
Sulfonamides		8	1024
Tetracyclines - Te	tracycline	1	128
Trimethoprim		0.12	8
Fully sensitive			
Resistant to 2 anti	imicrobials		
Resistant to 3 anti	imicrobials		
Resistant to 4 anti	imicrobials		
Resistant to >4 an	ntimicrobials		

Footnote:

Cefotaxime =1 strain, Ampicilline =4

# Table Antimicrobial susceptibility testing of Salmonella spp. in Meat from broilers (Gallus gallus) - Official sampling - quantitative data [Dilution method]

Salmonella spp.							N.	, ,,	umber						ıs gallus											
Isolates out of a monitoring program (yes/no)													y	es												
Number of isolates available in the laboratory				,									1	7												
Antimicrobials:	Cut-off value	N	n	<=0.002	<=0.004	0.008	0.015	0.016	0.03	0.06	0.12	0.25	0.5	1	2	4	8	16	32	64	128	256	512	>4096	1024	2048
Aminoglycosides - Gentamicin	2	17	0									14	1	2												
Aminoglycosides - Streptomycin	32	17	0													6	6	2	3							
Amphenicols - Chloramphenicol	16	17	0												7	9	1									
Cephalosporins - Cefotaxime	0.5	17	0								17															
Fluoroquinolones - Ciprofloxacin	0.06	17	14						3		5	6	3													
Penicillins - Ampicillin	4	13	0										5	6	2											
Quinolones - Nalidixic acid	16	17	11												1	2	1	2	1			10				
Sulfonamides	256	17	4														1	2	3	5	2					4
Tetracyclines - Tetracycline	8	17	6											9	2					3	3					
Trimethoprim	2	17	1								1	2	11	2				1								
Fully sensitive		3	3	3																						
Resistant to 1 antimicrobial		1	1	1																						
Resistant to 2 antimicrobials		5	5	5																						
Resistant to 3 antimicrobials		3	3	3																						
Resistant to 4 antimicrobials		5	5	5																						

Table Antimicrobial susceptibility testing of Salmonella spp. in Meat from broilers (Gallus gallus) - Official sampling - quantitative data [Dilution method]

Salmonella	spp.	Meat broilers gall	(Gallus
	ates out of a monitoring gram (yes/no)	ує	es
	nber of isolates available e laboratory	1	7
Antimicrobials	s:	lowest	highest
Aminoglycosides - Gen	ntamicin	0.25	32
Aminoglycosides - Stre	eptomycin	1	128
Amphenicols - Chloran	nphenicol	0.5	64
Cephalosporins - Cefot	taxime	0.12	8
Fluoroquinolones - Cip	rofloxacin	0.015	16
Penicillins - Ampicillin		0.5	64
Quinolones - Nalidixic	acid	1	128
Sulfonamides		8	1024
Tetracyclines - Tetracy	cline	1	128
Trimethoprim		0.12	8
Fully sensitive			
Resistant to 1 antimicro	obial		
Resistant to 2 antimicro	obials		
Resistant to 3 antimicro	obials		
Resistant to 4 antimicro	obials		

S. Derby													Meat f	rom pig												
Isolates out of a monitoring program (yes/no)													у	es												
Number of isolates available in the laboratory	Tungary - 2012    Cut-off value																									
Antimicrobials:	Cut-off value	N	n	<=0.002	<=0.004	0.008	0.015	0.016	0.03	0.06	0.12	0.25	0.5	1	2	4	8	16	32	64	128	256	512	>4096	1024	2048
Aminoglycosides - Gentamicin	2	3	0									3														
Aminoglycosides - Streptomycin	32	3	0														1	1	1							
Amphenicols - Chloramphenicol	16	3	0												2		1									
Cephalosporins - Cefotaxime	0.5	3	0								3															
Fluoroquinolones - Ciprofloxacin	0.06	3	0						3																	
Penicillins - Ampicillin	4	3	0										2		1											
Quinolones - Nalidixic acid	16	3	0														3									
Sulfonamides	256	3	1															1		1						1
Tetracyclines - Tetracycline	8	3	2											1					1		1					
Trimethoprim	2	3	0								1		1	1												
Fully sensitive		1	1	1																						
Resistant to 1 antimicrobial		1	1	1																						
Resistant to 2 antimicrobials		1	1	1																						

### Table Antimicrobial susceptibility testing of S. Derby in Meat from pig - Official sampling - quantitative data [Dilution method]

S. Derby	Meat fr	om pig
Isolates out of a monitoring program (yes/no)	ye	es
Number of isolates available in the laboratory	;	3
Antimicrobials:	lowest	highest
Aminoglycosides - Gentamicin	0.25	32
Aminoglycosides - Streptomycin	1	128
Amphenicols - Chloramphenicol	0.5	64
Cephalosporins - Cefotaxime	0.12	8
Fluoroquinolones - Ciprofloxacin	0.015	16
Penicillins - Ampicillin	0.5	64
Quinolones - Nalidixic acid	1	128
Sulfonamides	8	1024
Tetracyclines - Tetracycline	1	128
Trimethoprim	0.12	8
Fully sensitive		
Resistant to 1 antimicrobial		
Resistant to 2 antimicrobials		

S. Infantis		from animals
Isolates out of a monitoring program (yes/no)	ye	es
Number of isolates available in the laboratory		1
Antimicrobials:	lowest	highest
Aminoglycosides - Gentamicin	0.25	32
Aminoglycosides - Streptomycin	1	128

### Table Antimicrobial susceptibility testing of S. Infantis in Meat from bovine animals - Official sampling - quantitative data [Dilution method]

S. Infantis	3		from animals
	Isolates out of a monitoring program (yes/no)	y	es
	Number of isolates available in the laboratory		1
Antimicrobi	als:	lowest	highest
Amphenicols - Chlo	oramphenicol	0.5	64
Cephalosporins - C	Cefotaxime	0.12	8
Fluoroquinolones -	Ciprofloxacin	0.015	16
Penicillins - Ampici	llin	0.5	64
Quinolones - Nalidi	ixic acid	1	128
Sulfonamides		8	1024
Tetracyclines - Tetracyclines	racycline	1	128
Trimethoprim		0.12	8
Resistant to 2 antir	nicrobials		

# Table Antimicrobial susceptibility testing of Salmonella spp. in Meat from bovine animals and pig - Official sampling - quantitative data [Dilution method]

						Heeritie	шоп (р	g/IIII), II	umber	51 1301a	ites with	Ta com	CHITALI	011 01 11	IIIIDILIOI	requai	10									
Salmonella spp.											ı	Meat fro	n bovine	e animal	s and pi	g										
Isolates out of a monitoring program (yes/no)													ує	es												
Number of isolates available in the laboratory													1	9												
Antimicrobials:	Cut-off value	N	n	<=0.002	<=0.004	0.008	0.015	0.016	0.03	0.06	0.12	0.25	0.5	1	2	4	8	16	32	64	128	256	512	>4096	1024	2048
Aminoglycosides - Gentamicin	2	19	0								13	3	3													
Aminoglycosides - Streptomycin	32	19	2													1	6	6	4	2						
Amphenicols - Chloramphenicol	16	19	0												5	9	4	1								
Cephalosporins - Cefotaxime	0.5	19	0								14	4	1													
Fluoroquinolones - Ciprofloxacin	0.06	19	7				1		10	1		2	3	2												
Penicillins - Ampicillin	4	19	1										7	5	6		1									
Quinolones - Nalidixic acid	16	19	7												5	7						7				
Sulfonamides	256	19	7														1	3	2	2	3	1	1			
Tetracyclines - Tetracycline	8	19	7											6	5	1			2	1	2	2				6
Trimethoprim	2	19	0									6	7	4	2											
Fully sensitive		9	9	9																						
Resistant to 1 antimicrobial		3	3	3																						
Resistant to 3 antimicrobials		1	1	1																						
Resistant to 4 antimicrobials		4	4	4																						
Resistant to >4 antimicrobials		2	2	2																						

Table Antimicrobial susceptibility testing of Salmonella spp. in Meat from bovine animals and pig - Official sampling - quantitative data [Dilution method]

	<u>-,</u>	Meat	from
Salmonella spp.			animals
Isolates out of a program (yes/no		ує	es
Number of isola in the laboratory		1	9
Antimicrobials:		lowest	highest
Aminoglycosides - Gentamicin		0.25	32
Aminoglycosides - Streptomycin		1	128
Amphenicols - Chloramphenicol		0.5	64
Cephalosporins - Cefotaxime		0.12	8
Fluoroquinolones - Ciprofloxacin		0.015	16
Penicillins - Ampicillin		0.5	64
Quinolones - Nalidixic acid		1	128
Sulfonamides		8	1024
Tetracyclines - Tetracycline		1	128
Trimethoprim		0.12	8
Fully sensitive			
Resistant to 1 antimicrobial			
Resistant to 3 antimicrobials			
Resistant to 4 antimicrobials			
Resistant to >4 antimicrobials			

#### Table Antimicrobial susceptibility testing of S. Newport in Meat from turkey - Official sampling - quantitative data [Dilution method]

S. Newport							4.	<u> </u>						om turke	y	•										
Isolates out of a monitoring program (yes/no)													у	es												
Number of isolates available in the laboratory														20												
Antimicrobials:	Cut-off value	N	n	<=0.002	<=0.004	0.008	0.015	0.016	0.03	0.06	0.12	0.25	0.5	1	2	4	8	16	32	64	128	256	512	>4096	1024	2048
Aminoglycosides - Gentamicin	2	20	0									10	5	5												
Aminoglycosides - Streptomycin	32	20	0											1		3	10	5	1							
Amphenicols - Chloramphenicol	16	20	0												3	15	2									
Cephalosporins - Cefotaxime	0.5	20	0							1	17	2														
Fluoroquinolones - Ciprofloxacin	0.06	20	19							1		11	7	1												
Penicillins - Ampicillin	4	20	19												1					1	18					
Quinolones - Nalidixic acid	16	20	7														1	12	6			1				
Sulfonamides	256	20	1															2	11	4	1	1				1
Tetracyclines - Tetracycline	8	20	19											1						4	9	6				
Trimethoprim	2	20	0									6	6	7	1											
Resistant to 1 antimicrobial		1	1	1																						
Resistant to 2 antimicrobials		1	1	1																						
Resistant to 3 antimicrobials		10	10	10																						
Resistant to 4 antimicrobials		8	8	8																						

### Table Antimicrobial susceptibility testing of S. Newport in Meat from turkey - Official sampling - quantitative data [Dilution method]

S. Newport	Meat turl	from
Isolates out of a monitoring program (yes/no)	ye	es
Number of isolates available in the laboratory	2	0
Antimicrobials:	lowest	highest
Aminoglycosides - Gentamicin	0.25	32
Aminoglycosides - Streptomycin	1	128
Amphenicols - Chloramphenicol	0.5	64
Cephalosporins - Cefotaxime	0.12	8
Fluoroquinolones - Ciprofloxacin	0.015	16
Penicillins - Ampicillin	0.5	64
Quinolones - Nalidixic acid	1	128
Sulfonamides	8	1024
Tetracyclines - Tetracycline	1	128
Trimethoprim	0.12	8
Resistant to 1 antimicrobial		
Resistant to 2 antimicrobials		
Resistant to 3 antimicrobials		
Resistant to 4 antimicrobials		

Hungary - 2012 Report on trends and sources of zoonoses

S. Kentucky							N.					ı	Meat fro	m turkey	y											
Isolates out of a monitoring program (yes/no)		yes																								
Number of isolates available in the laboratory													1	5												
Antimicrobials:	Cut-off value	N	n	<=0.002	<=0.004	0.008	0.015	0.016	0.03	0.06	0.12	0.25	0.5	1	2	4	8	16	32	64	128	256	512	>4096	1024	2048
Aminoglycosides - Gentamicin	2	15	15														3	9	3							
Aminoglycosides - Streptomycin	32	15	6															1	8	4	2					
Amphenicols - Chloramphenicol	16	15	0												1	12	2									
Cephalosporins - Cefotaxime	0.5	15	0								4	11														
Fluoroquinolones - Ciprofloxacin	0.06	15	15														6	9								
Penicillins - Ampicillin	4	15	15																	2	13					
Quinolones - Nalidixic acid	16	15	15																		2	13				
Sulfonamides	256	15	15																							15
Tetracyclines - Tetracycline	8	15	15															1	3	10	1					
Trimethoprim	2	15	0									8	7													
Resistant to >4 antimicrobials		15	15	15																						

S. Kentucky	Meat tur	from
Isolates out of a monitoring program (yes/no)	yı	es
Number of isolates available in the laboratory	1	5
Antimicrobials:	lowest	highest
Aminoglycosides - Gentamicin	0.25	32
Aminoglycosides - Streptomycin	1	128

### Table Antimicrobial susceptibility testing of S. Kentucky in Meat from turkey - Official sampling - quantitative data [Dilution method]

S. Kentucky		Meat turl	from
Isolates out program (ye	of a monitoring	ye	es
Number of is in the labora	solates available story	1	5
Antimicrobials:		lowest	highest
Amphenicols - Chloramphenicol	ol	0.5	64
Cephalosporins - Cefotaxime		0.12	8
Fluoroquinolones - Ciprofloxac	in	0.015	16
Penicillins - Ampicillin		0.5	64
Quinolones - Nalidixic acid		1	128
Sulfonamides		8	1024
Tetracyclines - Tetracycline		1	128
Trimethoprim		0.12	8
Resistant to >4 antimicrobials			

S. Saintpaul		Meat from turkey  yes																								
Isolates out of a monitoring program (yes/no)													У	es												
Number of isolates available in the laboratory														5												2048
Antimicrobials:	Cut-off value	N	n	<=0.002	<=0.004	0.008	0.015	0.016	0.03	0.06	0.12	0.25	0.5	1	2	4	8	16	32	64	128	256	512	>4096	1024	
Aminoglycosides - Gentamicin	2	5	0									3	1	1												
Aminoglycosides - Streptomycin	32	5	0													1	2	2								
Amphenicols - Chloramphenicol	16	5	0												2	3										
Cephalosporins - Cefotaxime	0.5	5	0								5															
Fluoroquinolones - Ciprofloxacin	0.06	5	5								1	4														
Penicillins - Ampicillin	4	5	2										3								2					
Quinolones - Nalidixic acid	16	5	5																		3	2				
Sulfonamides	256	5	0															1	2		2					
Tetracyclines - Tetracycline	8	5	1											2	2					1						
Trimethoprim	2	5	0										3	2												
Resistant to 2 antimicrobials		3	3	3																						
Resistant to 3 antimicrobials		1	1	1																						
Resistant to 4 antimicrobials		1	1	1																						

S. Saintpaul	Meat turl	from
Isolates out of a monitoring program (yes/no)	уe	es
Number of isolates available in the laboratory		5
Antimicrobials:	lowest	highest
Aminoglycosides - Gentamicin	0.25	32
Aminoglycosides - Streptomycin	1	128
Amphenicols - Chloramphenicol	0.5	64
Cephalosporins - Cefotaxime	0.12	8
Fluoroquinolones - Ciprofloxacin	0.015	16
Penicillins - Ampicillin	0.5	64
Quinolones - Nalidixic acid	1	128
Sulfonamides	8	1024
Tetracyclines - Tetracycline	1	128
Trimethoprim	0.12	8
Resistant to 2 antimicrobials		
Resistant to 3 antimicrobials		
Resistant to 4 antimicrobials	_	

S. Enteritidis		Meat from turkey													Hun												
Isolates out of a monitoring program (yes/no)													У	es													Hungary
Number of isolates available in the laboratory														1													- 201
Antimicrobials:	Cut-off value	N	n	<=0.002	<=0.004	0.008	0.015	0.016	0.03	0.06	0.12	0.25	0.5	1	2	4	8	16	32	64	128	256	512	>4096	1024	2048	12
Aminoglycosides - Gentamicin	2	1	0										1														Report
Aminoglycosides - Streptomycin	32	1	0												1												nt on
Amphenicols - Chloramphenicol	16	1	0												1												trends
Cephalosporins - Cefotaxime	0.5	1	0								1																ids a
Fluoroquinolones - Ciprofloxacin	0.06	1	0						1																		and s
Penicillins - Ampicillin	4	1	0										1														ources
Quinolones - Nalidixic acid	16	1	0													1											es of
Sulfonamides	256	1	0																	1							
Tetracyclines - Tetracycline	8	1	0												1												zoonoses
Trimethoprim	2	1	0										1														Š

S. Enteritidi	s	Meat turl	from key
	ates out of a monitoring gram (yes/no)	ye	es
	nber of isolates available ne laboratory	,	I
Antimicrobials	S:	lowest	highest
Aminoglycosides - Ger	ntamicin	0.25	32
Aminoglycosides - Stre	eptomycin	1	128
Amphenicols - Chloran	nphenicol	0.5	64

Hungary - 2012 Report on trends and sources of zoonoses

S. Enter	itidis		from
	Isolates out of a monitoring program (yes/no)	ye	es
	Number of isolates available in the laboratory		1
Antimicrob	oials:	lowest	highest
Cephalosporins -	Cefotaxime	0.12	8
Fluoroquinolones	s - Ciprofloxacin	0.015	16
Penicillins - Ampi	icillin	0.5	64
Quinolones - Nal	idixic acid	1	128
Sulfonamides		8	1024
Tetracyclines - To	etracycline	1	128
Trimethoprim		0.12	8

### Table Antimicrobial susceptibility testing of Salmonella spp. in Meat from turkey - Official sampling - quantitative data [Dilution method]

Salmonella spp.		Meat from turkey																								
Isolates out of a monitoring program (yes/no)													ye	es												
Number of isolates available in the laboratory				,									. 5	1												
Antimicrobials:	Cut-off value	N	n	<=0.002	<=0.004	0.008	0.015	0.016	0.03	0.06	0.12	0.25	0.5	1	2	4	8	16	32	64	128	256	512	>4096	1024	2048
Aminoglycosides - Gentamicin	2	51	0									28	15	8												
Aminoglycosides - Streptomycin	32	51	6													8	12	19	6	4	1	1				
Amphenicols - Chloramphenicol	16	51	1												13	24	12	1			1					
Cephalosporins - Cefotaxime	0.5	51	0							2	40	8	1													
Fluoroquinolones - Ciprofloxacin	0.06	51	45						5	1	18	8	14	4	1											
Penicillins - Ampicillin	4	51	11										17	13	7	3					11					
Quinolones - Nalidixic acid	16	51	46											2	2	1				2	7	37				
Sulfonamides	256	51	17															6	11	9	3	5	1			16
Tetracyclines - Tetracycline	8	51	24											18	9				1	10	9	4				
Trimethoprim	2	51	0								1	12	27	10	1											
Fully sensitive		5	5	5																						
Resistant to 2 antimicrobials		22	22	22																						
Resistant to 3 antimicrobials		2	2	2																						
Resistant to 4 antimicrobials		14	14	14																						
Resistant to >4 antimicrobials		8	8	8																						

Salmone	lla spp.	Meat turl	-
	Isolates out of a monitoring program (yes/no)	ye	es
	Number of isolates available in the laboratory	5	1
Antimicrob	ials:	lowest	highest
Aminoglycosides	- Gentamicin	0.25	32
Aminoglycosides	- Streptomycin	1	128
Amphenicols - Ch	loramphenicol	0.5	64
Cephalosporins -	Cefotaxime	0.12	8
Fluoroquinolones	- Ciprofloxacin	0.015	16
Penicillins - Ampi	cillin	0.5	64
Quinolones - Nali	dixic acid	1	128
Sulfonamides		8	1024
Tetracyclines - Te	etracycline	1	128
Trimethoprim		0.12	8
Fully sensitive			
Resistant to 2 ant	imicrobials		
Resistant to 3 ant			
Resistant to 4 ant	imicrobials		
Resistant to >4 ar	ntimicrobials		

Concentration (µg/ml), number of isolates with a concentration of inhibition of	equal to

S. Agona												Mea	it from tu	urkey - f	resh											
Isolates out of a monitoring program (yes/no)													y	es												
Number of isolates available in the laboratory													:	2												
Antimicrobials:	Cut-off value	N	n	<=0.002	<=0.004	0.008	0.015	0.016	0.03	0.06	0.12	0.25	0.5	1	2	4	8	16	32	64	128	256	512	>4096	1024	2048
Aminoglycosides - Gentamicin	2	2	0									1	1													
Aminoglycosides - Streptomycin	32	2	0															1	1							
Amphenicols - Chloramphenicol	16	2	0													2										
Cephalosporins - Cefotaxime	0.5	2	0								2															
Fluoroquinolones - Ciprofloxacin	0.06	2	1				1						1													
Penicillins - Ampicillin	4	2	1											1							1					
Quinolones - Nalidixic acid	16	2	0												1			1								
Sulfonamides	256	2	0															1	1							
Tetracyclines - Tetracycline	8	2	0											2												
Trimethoprim	2	2	0										1	1												
Fully sensitive		1	1	1																						
Resistant to 2 antimicrobials		1	1	1																						

S. Agona	Meat turkey	
Isolates out of a monitoring program (yes/no)	ye	es
Number of isolates available in the laboratory	:	2
Antimicrobials:	lowest	highest
Aminoglycosides - Gentamicin	0.25	32

S. Agona			from - fresh
Isolates out o program (yes	of a monitoring /no)	ye	es
Number of is in the laborat	olates available ory	2	2
Antimicrobials:		lowest	highest
Aminoglycosides - Streptomycir	1	1	128
Amphenicols - Chloramphenico	ı	0.5	64
Cephalosporins - Cefotaxime		0.12	8
Fluoroquinolones - Ciprofloxacii	1	0.015	16
Penicillins - Ampicillin		0.5	64
Quinolones - Nalidixic acid		1	128
Sulfonamides		8	1024
Tetracyclines - Tetracycline		1	128
Trimethoprim		0.12	8
Fully sensitive			
Resistant to 2 antimicrobials			

and

sources

of zoonoses

S. Livingstone	(fowl)	gallus - laying ens				
Isolates out of a monitoring program (yes/no)						
Number of isolates available in the laboratory	е	2				
Antimicrobials:	lowest	highest				
Aminoglycosides - Gentamicin	0.25	32				
Aminoglycosides - Streptomycin	2	128				
Amphenicols - Chloramphenicol	2	64				

### Table Antimicrobial susceptibility testing of S. Livingstone in Gallus gallus (fowl) - laying hens - quantitative data [Dilution method]

S. Livingstone	Gallus gallus (fowl) - laying hens						
Isolates out of a monitoring program (yes/no)							
Number of isolates available in the laboratory	:	2					
Antimicrobials:	lowest	highest					
Cephalosporins - Cefotaxime	0.06	4					
Fluoroquinolones - Ciprofloxacin	0.008	8					
Penicillins - Ampicillin	0.5	32					
Quinolones - Nalidixic acid	4	64					
Tetracyclines - Tetracycline	1	64					
Trimethoprim	0.5	32					
Sulfonamides - Sulfamethoxazole	8	1024					

	Ηι
	lungaı
	y-
2048	2012
	Re
	oort o
	on tr
	ends
	Report on trends and sources
	sou
	rces
	of zo
	of zoonoses
	ses

S. Kentucky	Gallus gallus (fowl) - broilers																									
Isolates out of a monitoring program (yes/no)		Hungary																								
Number of isolates available in the laboratory		Cut-off N n <=0.002 <=0.004 0.008 0.015 0.016 0.03 0.06 0.12 0.25 0.5 1 2 4 8 16 32 64 128 256 512 >4096 1024 2048																								
Antimicrobials:	Cut-off value	N	n	<=0.002	<=0.004	0.008	0.015	0.016	0.03	0.06	0.12	0.25	0.5	1	2	4	8	16	32	64	128	256	512	>4096	1024	2048
Aminoglycosides - Gentamicin	2	1	1															1								
Aminoglycosides - Streptomycin	16	1	1																1							
Amphenicols - Chloramphenicol	16	1	0													1										
Cephalosporins - Cefotaxime	0	1	1								1															
Fluoroquinolones - Ciprofloxacin	0	1	1														1									
Penicillins - Ampicillin	8	1	1																1							
Quinolones - Nalidixic acid	16	1	1																	1						
Tetracyclines - Tetracycline	8	1	1																	1						
Trimethoprim	2	1	0										1													
Sulfonamides - Sulfamethoxazole	256	1	1																						1	

S. Kentu	S. Kentucky								
	Isolates out of a monitoring program (yes/no)								
	38								
Antimicrob	ials:	lowest	highest						
Aminoglycosides	- Gentamicin	0.25	32						
Aminoglycosides	- Streptomycin	2	128						
Amphenicols - Ch	2	64							

### Table Antimicrobial susceptibility testing of S. Kentucky in Gallus gallus (fowl) - broilers - quantitative data [Dilution method]

S. Kentucky	Gallus gallus (fowl) - broilers						
Isolates out of a monitoring program (yes/no)							
Number of isolates available in the laboratory	3	8					
Antimicrobials:	lowest	highest					
Cephalosporins - Cefotaxime	0.06	4					
Fluoroquinolones - Ciprofloxacin	0.008	8					
Penicillins - Ampicillin	0.5	32					
Quinolones - Nalidixic acid	4	64					
Tetracyclines - Tetracycline	1	64					
Trimethoprim	0.5	32					
Sulfonamides - Sulfamethoxazole	8	1024					

Hungary - 2012 Report on trends and sources of zoonoses

S. Bovismorbificans		Gallus gallus (fowl) - laying hens																								
Isolates out of a monitoring program (yes/no)																										
Number of isolates available in the laboratory		14																								
Antimicrobials:	Cut-off value	N	n	<=0.002	<=0.004	0.008	0.015	0.016	0.03	0.06	0.12	0.25	0.5	1	2	4	8	16	32	64	128	256	512	>4096	1024	2048
Aminoglycosides - Gentamicin	2	2	0										2													
Aminoglycosides - Streptomycin	16	2	0														1	1								
Amphenicols - Chloramphenicol	16	2	0													2										
Cephalosporins - Cefotaxime	0	2	2							1	1															
Fluoroquinolones - Ciprofloxacin	0	2	2				2																			
Penicillins - Ampicillin	8	2	0											2												
Quinolones - Nalidixic acid	16	2	0													2										
Tetracyclines - Tetracycline	8	2	0											2												
Trimethoprim	2	2	0										2													
Sulfonamides - Sulfamethoxazole	256	2	0																	1	1					

S. Bovis	morbificans	(fowl) -	gallus laying ns	
	14			
Antimicrob	oials:	lowest	highest	
Aminoglycosides	- Gentamicin	0.25	32	
Aminoglycosides	- Streptomycin	2	128	
Amphenicols - Ch	2	64		

S. Bovismorbificans	Gallus gallus (fowl) - laying hens					
Isolates out of a monitoring program (yes/no)						
Number of isolates available in the laboratory	1	4				
Antimicrobials:	lowest	highest				
Cephalosporins - Cefotaxime	0.06	4				
Fluoroquinolones - Ciprofloxacin	0.008	8				
Penicillins - Ampicillin	0.5	32				
Quinolones - Nalidixic acid	4	64				
Tetracyclines - Tetracycline	1	64				
Trimethoprim	0.5	32				
Sulfonamides - Sulfamethoxazole	8	1024				

S. Newport	Gallus gallus (fowl) - broilers																									
Isolates out of a monitoring program (yes/no)		Hungary																								
Number of isolates available in the laboratory		Cut-off N n <=0.002 <=0.004 0.008 0.015 0.016 0.03 0.06 0.12 0.25 0.5 1 2 4 8 16 32 64 128 256 512 >4096 1024 2048 2048																								
Antimicrobials:	Cut-off value	N	n	<=0.002	<=0.004	0.008	0.015	0.016	0.03	0.06	0.12	0.25	0.5	1	2	4	8	16	32	64	128	256	512	>4096	1024	2048
Aminoglycosides - Gentamicin	2	1	0										1													
Aminoglycosides - Streptomycin	16	1	0														1									
Amphenicols - Chloramphenicol	16	1	0													1										
Cephalosporins - Cefotaxime	0	1	1							1																
Fluoroquinolones - Ciprofloxacin	0	1	1										1													
Penicillins - Ampicillin	8	1	1																1							
Quinolones - Nalidixic acid	16	1	0															1								
Tetracyclines - Tetracycline	8	1	1																	1						
Trimethoprim	2	1	0										1													
Sulfonamides - Sulfamethoxazole	256	1	0																	1						

S. Newp	S. Newport								
	19								
Antimicrob	ials:	lowest	highest						
Aminoglycosides	- Gentamicin	0.25	32						
Aminoglycosides	- Streptomycin	2	128						
Amphenicols - Ch	2	64							

## Table Antimicrobial susceptibility testing of S. Newport in Gallus gallus (fowl) - broilers - quantitative data [Dilution method]

S. Newp	Gallus gallus (fowl) - broilers					
	Isolates out of a monitoring program (yes/no)					
	Number of isolates available in the laboratory	1	9			
Antimicrob	oials:	lowest	highest			
Cephalosporins -	- Cefotaxime	0.06	4			
Fluoroquinolones	s - Ciprofloxacin	0.008	8			
Penicillins - Amp	icillin	0.5	32			
Quinolones - Nal	lidixic acid	4	64			
Tetracyclines - T	etracycline	1	64			
Trimethoprim		0.5	32			
Sulfonamides - S	Sulfamethoxazole	8	1024			

S. group O:4	Pigs - fattenii pigs					
	tes out of a monitoring ram (yes/no)					
	ber of isolates available laboratory	1	2			
Antimicrobials	:	lowest	highest			
Aminoglycosides - Gen	tamicin	0.25	32			
Aminoglycosides - Stre	ptomycin	2	128			
Amphenicols - Chloram	2	64				

## Table Antimicrobial susceptibility testing of S. group O:4 in Pigs - fattening pigs - quantitative data [Dilution method]

S. group	Pigs - fattening pigs					
	Isolates out of a monitoring program (yes/no)					
	Number of isolates available in the laboratory	1	2			
Antimicrob	oials:	lowest	highest			
Cephalosporins -	Cefotaxime	0.06	4			
Fluoroquinolones	s - Ciprofloxacin	0.008	8			
Penicillins - Amp	icillin	0.5	32			
Quinolones - Nal	idixic acid	4	64			
Tetracyclines - T	etracycline	1	64			
Trimethoprim		0.5	32			
Sulfonamides - S	Sulfamethoxazole	8	1024			

Hungary - 2012 Report on trends and sources of zoonoses

S. Stanley												Gallus	gallus (	fowl) - b	roilers											
Isolates out of a monitoring program (yes/no)														-												
Number of isolates available in the laboratory													5	5												
Antimicrobials:	Cut-off value	N	n	<=0.002	<=0.004	0.008	0.015	0.016	0.03	0.06	0.12	0.25	0.5	1	2	4	8	16	32	64	128	256	512	>4096	1024	2048
Aminoglycosides - Gentamicin	2	2	0										1		1											
Aminoglycosides - Streptomycin	16	2	0														1	1								
Amphenicols - Chloramphenicol	16	2	0												1		1									
Cephalosporins - Cefotaxime	0	2	2							1		1														
Fluoroquinolones - Ciprofloxacin	0	2	2								1	1														
Penicillins - Ampicillin	8	2	0											1		1										
Quinolones - Nalidixic acid	16	2	2																	2						
Tetracyclines - Tetracycline	8	2	0											1	1											
Trimethoprim	2	2	0										2													
Sulfonamides - Sulfamethoxazole	256	2	0																2							

S. Stanl	Gallus gallus (fowl) - broiler						
	Number of isolates available in the laboratory	5	5				
Antimicrol	oials:	lowest	highest				
Aminoglycosides	s - Gentamicin	0.25	32				
Aminoglycosides	s - Streptomycin	2	128				
Amphenicols - C	2	64					

## Table Antimicrobial susceptibility testing of S. Stanley in Gallus gallus (fowl) - broilers - quantitative data [Dilution method]

S. Stanle	Gallus gallus (fowl) - broilers					
	Isolates out of a monitoring program (yes/no)					
	Number of isolates available in the laboratory	5	5			
Antimicrob	ials:	lowest	highest			
Cephalosporins -	Cefotaxime	0.06	4			
Fluoroquinolones	- Ciprofloxacin	0.008	8			
Penicillins - Ampic	illin	0.5	32			
Quinolones - Nalid	dixic acid	4	64			
Tetracyclines - Te	tracycline	1	64			
Trimethoprim		0.5	32			
Sulfonamides - Su	ulfamethoxazole	8	1024			

Concentration (µg/ml)	, number of isolates with a concentration of inhi	bition equal to
-----------------------	---	-----------------

S. Derby												Р	igs - fatt	ening pi	gs												
Isolates out of a monitoring program (yes/no)																											
Number of isolates available in the laboratory													4	4													
Antimicrobials:	Cut-off value	N	n	<=0.002	<=0.004	0.008	0.015	0.016	0.03	0.06	0.12	0.25	0.5	1	2	4	8	16	32	64	128	256	512	>4096	1024	2048	
Aminoglycosides - Gentamicin	2	4	0										4														
Aminoglycosides - Streptomycin	16	4	0															4									
Amphenicols - Chloramphenicol	16	4	0														2	2									
Cephalosporins - Cefotaxime	0	4	4								2	2															
Fluoroquinolones - Ciprofloxacin	0	4	4				4																				
Penicillins - Ampicillin	8	4	2												1	1			2								
Quinolones - Nalidixic acid	16	4	0													3	1										
Tetracyclines - Tetracycline	8	4	2													2				2							
Trimethoprim	2	4	1										3						1								
Sulfonamides - Sulfamethoxazole	256	4	1																1		2				1		

S. Derby	Pigs - fattening							
	Isolates out of a monitoring program (yes/no)							
	Number of isolates available in the laboratory	4	1					
Antimicrob	oials:	lowest	highest					
Aminoglycosides	- Gentamicin	0.25	32					
Aminoglycosides	- Streptomycin	2	128					
Amphenicols - C	Amphenicols - Chloramphenicol							

## Table Antimicrobial susceptibility testing of S. Derby in Pigs - fattening pigs - quantitative data [Dilution method]

S. Derby	Pigs - fattening pigs					
	Isolates out of a monitoring program (yes/no)					
	Number of isolates available in the laboratory	4	1			
Antimicrob	oials:	lowest	highest			
Cephalosporins -	- Cefotaxime	0.06	4			
Fluoroquinolones	s - Ciprofloxacin	0.008	8			
Penicillins - Amp	icillin	0.5	32			
Quinolones - Nal	idixic acid	4	64			
Tetracyclines - T	etracycline	1	64			
Trimethoprim		0.5	32			
Sulfonamides - S	Sulfamethoxazole	8	1024			

					Co	ncentra	ition (μ	g/ml), n	umber	of isola	tes with	n a con	centrati	on of in	hibition	equal	to									
S. Infantis		Gallus gallus (fowl) - broilers																								
Isolates out of a monitoring program (yes/no)																										
Number of isolates available in the laboratory		201																								
Antimicrobials:	Cut-off value	N	n	<=0.002	<=0.004	0.008	0.015	0.016	0.03	0.06	0.12	0.25	0.5	1	2	4	8	16	32	64	128	256	512	>4096	1024	2048
Aminoglycosides - Gentamicin	2	157	1									64	83	8	1				1							
Aminoglycosides - Streptomycin	16	157	123													2	20	12	91	25	7					
Amphenicols - Chloramphenicol	16	157	2												6	36	76	37	2							
Cephalosporins - Cefotaxime	0	157	157							28	76	48	4			1										
Fluoroquinolones - Ciprofloxacin	0	157	157				2		2	1	2	55	72	19	1	2	1									
Penicillins - Ampicillin	8	157	8										8	48	67	26			8							
Quinolones - Nalidixic acid	16	157	154													2		1	2	152						
Tetracyclines - Tetracycline	8	157	127											4	18	8		1	3	123						
Trimethoprim	2	157	0										155	2												
Sulfonamides - Sulfamethoxazole	256	157	135															2	8	10		2			135	

S. Infanti	Gallus gallus (fowl) - broile						
	Isolates out of a monitoring program (yes/no)						
	Number of isolates available in the laboratory	20	01				
Antimicrob	ials:	lowest	highest				
Aminoglycosides	- Gentamicin	0.25	32				
Aminoglycosides	- Streptomycin	2	128				
Amphenicols - Ch	2	64					

## Table Antimicrobial susceptibility testing of S. Infantis in Gallus gallus (fowl) - broilers - quantitative data [Dilution method]

S. Infantis		gallus broilers
Isolates out of a monitoring program (yes/no)		
Number of isolates available in the laboratory	2	01
Antimicrobials:	lowest	highest
Cephalosporins - Cefotaxime	0.06	4
Fluoroquinolones - Ciprofloxacin	0.008	8
Penicillins - Ampicillin	0.5	32
Quinolones - Nalidixic acid	4	64
Tetracyclines - Tetracycline	1	64
Trimethoprim	0.5	32
Sulfonamides - Sulfamethoxazole	8	1024

Concentration (µg/ml), number of isolates with a concentration of inhibition equal to

S. Typhimurium							4	<u>J. ,,                                   </u>				Gallus		(fowl) - b													
													ganao	()													]
Isolates out of a monitoring program (yes/no)																											90.7
Number of isolates available in the laboratory													1	18													
Antimicrobials:	Cut-off value	N	n	<=0.002	<=0.004	0.008	0.015	0.016	0.03	0.06	0.12	0.25	0.5	1	2	4	8	16	32	64	128	256	512	>4096	1024	2048	1
Aminoglycosides - Gentamicin	2	2	0										2														- 2
Aminoglycosides - Streptomycin	16	2	0														2										200
Amphenicols - Chloramphenicol	16	2	0													1	1										-
Cephalosporins - Cefotaxime	0	2	2							2																	
Fluoroquinolones - Ciprofloxacin	0	2	2				2																				2
Penicillins - Ampicillin	8	2	0											2													U
Quinolones - Nalidixic acid	16	2	0													2											Oulces
Tetracyclines - Tetracycline	8	2	0											1	1												2
Trimethoprim	2	2	0										2														- 0
Sulfonamides - Sulfamethoxazole	256	2	0																2								o o

S. Typhir	murium		gallus broilers
	Isolates out of a monitoring program (yes/no)		
	Number of isolates available in the laboratory	1	8
Antimicrob	ials:	lowest	highest
Aminoglycosides	- Gentamicin	0.25	32
Aminoglycosides	2	128	
Amphenicols - Ch	loramphenicol	2	64

## Table Antimicrobial susceptibility testing of S. Typhimurium in Gallus gallus (fowl) - broilers - quantitative data [Dilution method]

S. Typhi	murium		gallus broilers								
	Isolates out of a monitoring program (yes/no)										
	Number of isolates available in the laboratory										
Antimicrob	Antimicrobials:										
Cephalosporins -	Sephalosporins - Cefotaxime										
Fluoroquinolones	s - Ciprofloxacin	0.008	8								
Penicillins - Amp	icillin	0.5	32								
Quinolones - Nal	idixic acid	4	64								
Tetracyclines - T	etracycline	1	64								
Trimethoprim		0.5	32								
Sulfonamides - S	Sulfamethoxazole	8	1024								

S. Enteritidis							·					Р		ening pi												
Isolates out of a monitoring program (yes/no)																										
Number of isolates available in the laboratory													2	28												
Antimicrobials:	Cut-off value	N	n	<=0.002	<=0.004	0.008	0.015	0.016	0.03	0.06	0.12	0.25	0.5	1	2	4	8	16	32	64	128	256	512	>4096	1024	2048
Aminoglycosides - Gentamicin	2	1	0										1													
Aminoglycosides - Streptomycin	16	1	0													1										
Amphenicols - Chloramphenicol	16	1	0														1									
Cephalosporins - Cefotaxime	0	1	1							1																
Fluoroquinolones - Ciprofloxacin	0	1	1				1																			
Penicillins - Ampicillin	8	1	0												1											
Quinolones - Nalidixic acid	16	1	0													1										
Tetracyclines - Tetracycline	8	1	0											1												
Trimethoprim	2	1	0										1													
Sulfonamides - Sulfamethoxazole	256	1	0																	1						

S. Enterit	idis	Pigs - fa	attening gs
	Isolates out of a monitoring program (yes/no)		
	Number of isolates available in the laboratory	2	8
Antimicrobi	ials:	lowest	highest
Aminoglycosides -	Gentamicin	0.25	32
Aminoglycosides -	Streptomycin	2	128
Amphenicols - Chl	oramphenicol	2	64

# Table Antimicrobial susceptibility testing of S. Enteritidis in Pigs - fattening pigs - quantitative data [Dilution method]

S. Enteritidis	_	attening gs
Isolates out of a monitoring program (yes/no)		
Number of isolates available in the laboratory	2	18
Antimicrobials:	lowest	highest
Cephalosporins - Cefotaxime	0.06	4
Fluoroquinolones - Ciprofloxacin	0.008	8
Penicillins - Ampicillin	0.5	32
Quinolones - Nalidixic acid	4	64
Tetracyclines - Tetracycline	1	64
Trimethoprim	0.5	32
Sulfonamides - Sulfamethoxazole	8	1024

S. Mbandaka												Gallus g	allus (fo	owl) - lay	ring hens	S											ı
Isolates out of a monitoring program (yes/no)																											Hungary
Number of isolates available in the laboratory														1													1
Antimicrobials:	Cut-off value	N	n	<=0.002	<=0.004	0.008	0.015	0.016	0.03	0.06	0.12	0.25	0.5	1	2	4	8	16	32	64	128	256	512	>4096	1024	2048	2012
Aminoglycosides - Gentamicin	2	1	0										1														
Aminoglycosides - Streptomycin	16	1	0														1										Report
Amphenicols - Chloramphenicol	16	1	0														1										on tr
Cephalosporins - Cefotaxime	0	1	1								1																trends
Fluoroquinolones - Ciprofloxacin	0	1	1				1																				and
Penicillins - Ampicillin	8	1	0											1													S
Quinolones - Nalidixic acid	16	1	0													1											ources
Tetracyclines - Tetracycline	8	1	0												1												of zo
Trimethoprim	2	1	0										1														zoonoses
Sulfonamides - Sulfamethoxazole	256	1	0																1								ses

S. Mban	daka	Gallus (fowl) - he						
	Isolates out of a monitoring program (yes/no)							
	,	1						
Antimicrob	oials:	lowest	highest					
Aminoglycosides	- Gentamicin	0.25	32					
Aminoglycosides	2	128						
Amphenicols - Ch	mphenicols - Chloramphenicol							

## Table Antimicrobial susceptibility testing of S. Mbandaka in Gallus gallus (fowl) - laying hens - quantitative data [Dilution method]

S. Mbandaka	(fowl)	gallus - laying ens
Isolates out of a monitori program (yes/no)	ing	
Number of isolates available in the laboratory	able	1
Antimicrobials:	lowest	highest
Cephalosporins - Cefotaxime	0.06	4
Fluoroquinolones - Ciprofloxacin	0.008	8
Penicillins - Ampicillin	0.5	32
Quinolones - Nalidixic acid	4	64
Tetracyclines - Tetracycline	1	64
Trimethoprim	0.5	32
Sulfonamides - Sulfamethoxazole	8	1024

Hungary - 2012 Report on trends and sources of zoonoses

S. Kentucky												Gallus g	allus (fo	wl) - lay	ing hens	;										
Isolates out of a monitoring program (yes/no)																										
Number of isolates available in the laboratory													3	18												
Antimicrobials:	Cut-off value	Ν	n	<=0.002	<=0.004	0.008	0.015	0.016	0.03	0.06	0.12	0.25	0.5	1	2	4	8	16	32	64	128	256	512	>4096	1024	2048
Aminoglycosides - Gentamicin	2	2	2															1	1							
Aminoglycosides - Streptomycin	16	2	2																	2						
Amphenicols - Chloramphenicol	16	2	0													1	1									
Cephalosporins - Cefotaxime	0	2	2								2															
Fluoroquinolones - Ciprofloxacin	0	2	2														2									
Penicillins - Ampicillin	8	2	2																2							
Quinolones - Nalidixic acid	16	2	2																	2						
Tetracyclines - Tetracycline	8	2	2																	2						
Trimethoprim	2	2	0										2													
Sulfonamides - Sulfamethoxazole	256	2	2																						2	

S. Kentu	ıcky	(fowl) -	gallus laying ns					
	Isolates out of a monitoring program (yes/no)							
	38							
Antimicrob	oials:	lowest	highest					
Aminoglycosides	s - Gentamicin	0.25	32					
Aminoglycosides	2	128						
Amphenicols - C	mphenicols - Chloramphenicol							

## Table Antimicrobial susceptibility testing of S. Kentucky in Gallus gallus (fowl) - laying hens - quantitative data [Dilution method]

S. Kentu	Gallus gallus (fowl) - laying hens									
	Isolates out of a monitoring program (yes/no)									
	Number of isolates available in the laboratory	3	8							
Antimicro	Antimicrobials:									
Cephalosporins	Cephalosporins - Cefotaxime									
Fluoroquinolone	s - Ciprofloxacin	0.008	8							
Penicillins - Amp	icillin	0.5	32							
Quinolones - Na	lidixic acid	4	64							
Tetracyclines - T	etracycline	1	64							
Trimethoprim	0.5	32								
Sulfonamides - S	8	1024								

S. Bredeney												Р	igs - fatt	ening pi	gs												Ţ
Isolates out of a monitoring program (yes/no)																											Hungary
Number of isolates available in the laboratory													2	27													1
Antimicrobials:	Cut-off value	N	n	<=0.002	<=0.004	0.008	0.015	0.016	0.03	0.06	0.12	0.25	0.5	1	2	4	8	16	32	64	128	256	512	>4096	1024	2048	2012
Aminoglycosides - Gentamicin	2	1	0										1														
Aminoglycosides - Streptomycin	16	1	0														1										Report
Amphenicols - Chloramphenicol	16	1	0													1											on tre
Cephalosporins - Cefotaxime	0	1	1								1																ends
Fluoroquinolones - Ciprofloxacin	0	1	1									1															and
Penicillins - Ampicillin	8	1	1																1								(O
Quinolones - Nalidixic acid	16	1	1																	1							ources
Tetracyclines - Tetracycline	8	1	1																	1							of zo
Trimethoprim	2	1	0										1														onos
Sulfonamides - Sulfamethoxazole	256	1	0																1								ses

S. Brede	Pigs - fattening pigs					
	27					
Antimicrob	oials:	lowest	highest			
Aminoglycosides	- Gentamicin	0.25	32			
Aminoglycosides	- Streptomycin	2	128			
Amphenicols - Cl	2	64				

## Table Antimicrobial susceptibility testing of S. Bredeney in Pigs - fattening pigs - quantitative data [Dilution method]

S. Bredeney	Pigs - fattening pigs				
Isolates out of a monitoring program (yes/no)					
Number of isolates available in the laboratory	2	7			
Antimicrobials:	lowest	highest			
Cephalosporins - Cefotaxime	0.06	4			
Fluoroquinolones - Ciprofloxacin	0.008	8			
Penicillins - Ampicillin	0.5	32			
Quinolones - Nalidixic acid	4	64			
Tetracyclines - Tetracycline	1	64			
Trimethoprim	0.5	32			
Sulfonamides - Sulfamethoxazole	8	1024			

Concentration (µg/ml), number of isolates with a concentration of inhibition equal to

							<u> </u>	<u> </u>																		
S. Newport												Gallus g	allus (fo	owl) - lay	ring hens	8										
Isolates out of a monitoring program (yes/no)																										
Number of isolates available in the laboratory														19												
Antimicrobials:	Cut-off value	N	n	<=0.002	<=0.004	0.008	0.015	0.016	0.03	0.06	0.12	0.25	0.5	1	2	4	8	16	32	64	128	256	512	>4096	1024	2048
Aminoglycosides - Gentamicin	2	1	0										1													
Aminoglycosides - Streptomycin	16	1	0														1									
Amphenicols - Chloramphenicol	16	1	0													1										
Cephalosporins - Cefotaxime	0	1	1								1															
Fluoroquinolones - Ciprofloxacin	0	1	1										1													
Penicillins - Ampicillin	8	1	1																1							
Quinolones - Nalidixic acid	16	1	0															1								
Fetracyclines - Tetracycline	8	1	1																	1						
Trimethoprim	2	1	0										1													
Sulfonamides - Sulfamethoxazole	256	1	0																1							

S. Newp	S. Newport						
	19						
Antimicrob	oials:	lowest	highest				
Aminoglycosides	- Gentamicin	0.25	32				
Aminoglycosides	2	128					
Amphenicols - C	2	64					

# Table Antimicrobial susceptibility testing of S. Newport in Gallus gallus (fowl) - laying hens - quantitative data [Dilution method]

S. Newp	Gallus gallus (fowl) - laying hens								
	Isolates out of a monitoring program (yes/no)								
	1	9							
Antimicro	Antimicrobials:								
Cephalosporins	- Cefotaxime	0.06	4						
Fluoroquinolones	s - Ciprofloxacin	0.008	8						
Penicillins - Amp	picillin	0.5	32						
Quinolones - Na	lidixic acid	4	64						
Tetracyclines - T	etracycline	1	64						
Trimethoprim	0.5	32							
Sulfonamides - S	8	1024							

Hungary -

2012

Report on trends

and

sources

of zoonoses

Not type	Pigs - fattenin pigs					
	1					
Antimicrob	ials:	lowest	highest			
Aminoglycosides	- Gentamicin	0.25	32			
Aminoglycosides	- Streptomycin	2	128			
Amphenicols - Ch	2	64				

## Table Antimicrobial susceptibility testing of Not typeable in Pigs - fattening pigs - quantitative data [Dilution method]

Not type	Pigs - fattening pigs									
	Isolates out of a monitoring program (yes/no)									
	Number of isolates available in the laboratory									
Antimicrob	Antimicrobials:									
Cephalosporins -	Cephalosporins - Cefotaxime									
Fluoroquinolones	s - Ciprofloxacin	0.008	8							
Penicillins - Amp	icillin	0.5	32							
Quinolones - Nal	lidixic acid	4	64							
Tetracyclines - T	etracycline	1	64							
Trimethoprim		0.5	32							
Sulfonamides - S	8	1024								

								, ,																		
S. Thompson												Gallus	s gallus (	(fowl) - b	roilers											
Isolates out of a monitoring program (yes/no)																									_	
Number of isolates available in the laboratory														1												
Antimicrobials:	Cut-off value	N	n	<=0.002	<=0.004	0.008	0.015	0.016	0.03	0.06	0.12	0.25	0.5	1	2	4	8	16	32	64	128	256	512	>4096	1024	2048
Aminoglycosides - Gentamicin	2	6	0									1	5													
Aminoglycosides - Streptomycin	16	6	0														4	2								
Amphenicols - Chloramphenicol	16	6	0													3	2	1								
Cephalosporins - Cefotaxime	0	6	6							3	2	1														
Fluoroquinolones - Ciprofloxacin	0	6	6				3			1		1	1													
Penicillins - Ampicillin	8	6	2											2	1	1			2							
Quinolones - Nalidixic acid	16	6	1													4	1			1						
Tetracyclines - Tetracycline	8	6	0											1	3	1	1									
Trimethoprim	2	6	0										6													
Sulfonamides - Sulfamethoxazole	256	6	0																2	3	1					

Concentration (µg/ml), number of isolates with a concentration of inhibition equal to

S. Thom	S. Thompson						
	11						
Antimicrob	ials:	lowest	highest				
Aminoglycosides	- Gentamicin	0.25	32				
Aminoglycosides	- Streptomycin	2	128				
Amphenicols - Ch	2	64					

## Table Antimicrobial susceptibility testing of S. Thompson in Gallus gallus (fowl) - broilers - quantitative data [Dilution method]

S. Thompson		Gallus gallus (fowl) - broilers				
Isolates out of a monitoring program (yes/no)						
Number of isolates available in the laboratory	1	1				
Antimicrobials:	lowest	highest				
Cephalosporins - Cefotaxime	0.06	4				
Fluoroquinolones - Ciprofloxacin	0.008	8				
Penicillins - Ampicillin	0.5	32				
Quinolones - Nalidixic acid	4	64				
Tetracyclines - Tetracycline	1	64				
Trimethoprim	0.5	32				
Sulfonamides - Sulfamethoxazole	8	1024				

Concentration (µg/ml), number of isolates with a concentration of inhibition equal to

							<u> </u>	<u> </u>																		
S. Saintpaul		Gallus gallus (fowl) - laying hens																								
Isolates out of a monitoring program (yes/no)																										
Number of isolates available in the laboratory														7												
Antimicrobials:	Cut-off value	N	n	<=0.002	<=0.004	0.008	0.015	0.016	0.03	0.06	0.12	0.25	0.5	1	2	4	8	16	32	64	128	256	512	>4096	1024	2048
Aminoglycosides - Gentamicin	2	1	0											1												
Aminoglycosides - Streptomycin	16	1	1																		1					
Amphenicols - Chloramphenicol	16	1	0														1									
Cephalosporins - Cefotaxime	0	1	1								1															
Fluoroquinolones - Ciprofloxacin	0	1	1														1									
Penicillins - Ampicillin	8	1	1																1							
Quinolones - Nalidixic acid	16	1	1																	1						
Tetracyclines - Tetracycline	8	1	1																	1						
Trimethoprim	2	1	1																1							
Sulfonamides - Sulfamethoxazole	256	1	1																						1	

S. Saint	paul	(fowl) -	gallus laying ns
	Isolates out of a monitoring program (yes/no)		
	Number of isolates available in the laboratory	:	7
Antimicrob	oials:	lowest	highest
Aminoglycosides	s - Gentamicin	0.25	32
Aminoglycosides	s - Streptomycin	2	128
Amphenicols - C	hloramphenicol	2	64

# Table Antimicrobial susceptibility testing of S. Saintpaul in Gallus gallus (fowl) - laying hens - quantitative data [Dilution method]

S. Saint	paul	(fowl) -	gallus laying ns
	Isolates out of a monitoring program (yes/no)		
	Number of isolates available in the laboratory		7
Antimicrob	oials:	lowest	highest
Cephalosporins -	- Cefotaxime	0.06	4
Fluoroquinolones	s - Ciprofloxacin	0.008	8
Penicillins - Amp	icillin	0.5	32
Quinolones - Nal	idixic acid	4	64
Tetracyclines - T	etracycline	1	64
Trimethoprim		0.5	32
Sulfonamides - S	Sulfamethoxazole	8	1024

Concentration ( $\mu$ g/ml), number of isolates with a concentration of inhibition equal to

S. Infantis		Gallus gallus (fowl) - laying hens																								
Isolates out of a monitoring program (yes/no)																										
Number of isolates available in the laboratory													2	01												
Antimicrobials:	Cut-off value	N	n	<=0.002	<=0.004	0.008	0.015	0.016	0.03	0.06	0.12	0.25	0.5	1	2	4	8	16	32	64	128	256	512	>4096	1024	2048
Aminoglycosides - Gentamicin	2	15	0									9	6													
Aminoglycosides - Streptomycin	16	15	8													1	5	1	7	1						
Amphenicols - Chloramphenicol	16	15	0												1	2	10	2								
Cephalosporins - Cefotaxime	0	15	15							2	8	5														
Fluoroquinolones - Ciprofloxacin	0	15	15				3		2		1	3	5	1												
Penicillins - Ampicillin	8	15	0										1	6	6	2										
Quinolones - Nalidixic acid	16	15	10													5				10						
Tetracyclines - Tetracycline	8	15	9											1	4		1			9						
Trimethoprim	2	15	0										15													
Sulfonamides - Sulfamethoxazole	256	15	9																	6					9	

S. Infant	tis	(fowl) -	gallus laying
	Isolates out of a monitoring program (yes/no)		
	Number of isolates available in the laboratory	20	01
Antimicrob	oials:	lowest	highest
Aminoglycosides	s - Gentamicin	0.25	32
Aminoglycosides	s - Streptomycin	2	128
Amphenicols - C	hloramphenicol	2	64

# Table Antimicrobial susceptibility testing of S. Infantis in Gallus gallus (fowl) - laying hens - quantitative data [Dilution method]

S. Infant	is	(fowl) -	gallus laying
	Isolates out of a monitoring program (yes/no)		
	Number of isolates available in the laboratory	2	01
Antimicrob	oials:	lowest	highest
Cephalosporins -	- Cefotaxime	0.06	4
Fluoroquinolones	s - Ciprofloxacin	0.008	8
Penicillins - Amp	icillin	0.5	32
Quinolones - Nal	idixic acid	4	64
Tetracyclines - T	etracycline	1	64
Trimethoprim		0.5	32
Sulfonamides - S	Sulfamethoxazole	8	1024

S. Enteritidis												Gallus	gallus	(fowl) - b	oroilers												Ţ
Isolates out of a monitoring program (yes/no)																											Hungary
Number of isolates available in the laboratory													2	28													1
Antimicrobials:	Cut-off value	N	n	<=0.002	<=0.004	0.008	0.015	0.016	0.03	0.06	0.12	0.25	0.5	1	2	4	8	16	32	64	128	256	512	>4096	1024	2048	2012
Aminoglycosides - Gentamicin	2	1	0										1														
Aminoglycosides - Streptomycin	16	1	0													1											Report
Amphenicols - Chloramphenicol	16	1	0														1										on tre
Cephalosporins - Cefotaxime	0	1	1							1																	ends
Fluoroquinolones - Ciprofloxacin	0	1	1						1																		and
Penicillins - Ampicillin	8	1	0												1												(A)
Quinolones - Nalidixic acid	16	1	0													1											ources
Tetracyclines - Tetracycline	8	1	0											1													of zo
Trimethoprim	2	1	0										1														onos
Sulfonamides - Sulfamethoxazole	256	1	0																1								ses

S. Enter	itidis		gallus broilers
	Isolates out of a monitoring program (yes/no)		
	Number of isolates available in the laboratory	2	8
Antimicrob	oials:	lowest	highest
Aminoglycosides	- Gentamicin	0.25	32
Aminoglycosides	- Streptomycin	2	128
Amphenicols - C	hloramphenicol	2	64

## Table Antimicrobial susceptibility testing of S. Enteritidis in Gallus gallus (fowl) - broilers - quantitative data [Dilution method]

S. Enteri	tidis		gallus broilers
	Isolates out of a monitoring program (yes/no)		
	Number of isolates available in the laboratory	2	8
Antimicrob	ials:	lowest	highest
Cephalosporins -	Cefotaxime	0.06	4
Fluoroquinolones	- Ciprofloxacin	0.008	8
Penicillins - Ampi	cillin	0.5	32
Quinolones - Nali	dixic acid	4	64
Tetracyclines - Te	etracycline	1	64
Trimethoprim		0.5	32
Sulfonamides - Si	ulfamethoxazole	8	1024

S. Abony		Gallus gallus (fowl) - laying hens											   														
Isolates out of a monitoring program (yes/no)																											Hungary
Number of isolates available in the laboratory														4													1
Antimicrobials:	Cut-off value	N	n	<=0.002	<=0.004	0.008	0.015	0.016	0.03	0.06	0.12	0.25	0.5	1	2	4	8	16	32	64	128	256	512	>4096	1024	2048	2012
Aminoglycosides - Gentamicin	2	4	0										4														Re
Aminoglycosides - Streptomycin	16	4	0														3	1									Report
Amphenicols - Chloramphenicol	16	4	0													1	3										on tre
Cephalosporins - Cefotaxime	0	4	4							1	3																ends
Fluoroquinolones - Ciprofloxacin	0	4	4						4																		and
Penicillins - Ampicillin	8	4	0											1	3												
Quinolones - Nalidixic acid	16	4	0													4											sources
Tetracyclines - Tetracycline	8	4	0												4												of zo
Trimethoprim	2	4	0										4														zoonos
Sulfonamides - Sulfamethoxazole	256	4	0																2	1	1						ses

S. Abon	у	(fowl) -	gallus laying
	Isolates out of a monitoring program (yes/no)		
	Number of isolates available in the laboratory	4	1
Antimicrob	oials:	lowest	highest
Aminoglycosides	- Gentamicin	0.25	32
Aminoglycosides	- Streptomycin	2	128
Amphenicols - C	hloramphenicol	2	64

## Table Antimicrobial susceptibility testing of S. Abony in Gallus gallus (fowl) - laying hens - quantitative data [Dilution method]

S. Abony	(fowl) -	gallus laying ns
Isolates out of a monitoring program (yes/no)		
Number of isolates available in the laboratory		1
Antimicrobials:	lowest	highest
Cephalosporins - Cefotaxime	0.06	4
Fluoroquinolones - Ciprofloxacin	0.008	8
Penicillins - Ampicillin	0.5	32
Quinolones - Nalidixic acid	4	64
Tetracyclines - Tetracycline	1	64
Trimethoprim	0.5	32
Sulfonamides - Sulfamethoxazole	8	1024

	Concentration (μg/ml), number of isolates with a concentration of inhibition equal to																									
S. Bovismorbificans	Pigs - fattening pigs																									
Isolates out of a monitoring program (yes/no)																										
Number of isolates available in the laboratory		14																								
Antimicrobials:	Cut-off value	N	n	<=0.002	<=0.004	0.008	0.015	0.016	0.03	0.06	0.12	0.25	0.5	1	2	4	8	16	32	64	128	256	512	>4096	1024	2048
Aminoglycosides - Gentamicin	2	3	0										3													
Aminoglycosides - Streptomycin	16	3	0														3									
Amphenicols - Chloramphenicol	16	3	0													3										
Cephalosporins - Cefotaxime	0	3	3							3																
Fluoroquinolones - Ciprofloxacin	0	3	3				3																			
Penicillins - Ampicillin	8	3	1											1	1				1							
Quinolones - Nalidixic acid	16	3	0													3										
Tetracyclines - Tetracycline	8	3	0											3												
Trimethoprim	2	3	0										3													

S. Bovismorbificans	Pigs	Pigs - fattening pigs					
Isolates out of a mo program (yes/no)	nitoring						
Number of isolates a in the laboratory	available	14					
Antimicrobials:	lowes	t highest					
Aminoglycosides - Gentamicin	0.25	32					
Aminoglycosides - Streptomycin	2	128					
Amphenicols - Chloramphenicol	2	64					

Sulfonamides - Sulfamethoxazole

256

3

## Table Antimicrobial susceptibility testing of S. Bovismorbificans in Pigs - fattening pigs - quantitative data [Dilution method]

S. Bovis	Pigs - fattening pigs						
	Isolates out of a monitoring program (yes/no)						
	Number of isolates available in the laboratory						
Antimicrob	lowest	highest					
Cephalosporins -	0.06	4					
Fluoroquinolones	0.008	8					
Penicillins - Amp	0.5	32					
Quinolones - Nal	4	64					
Tetracyclines - T	1	64					
Trimethoprim		0.5	32				
Sulfonamides - S	8	1024					

Concentration (µg/ml), number of isolates with a concentration of inhibition equal to

							· 1	<u> </u>																		
S. Bredeney		Gallus gallus (fowl) - laying hens																								
Isolates out of a monitoring program (yes/no)		Hungary																								
Number of isolates available in the laboratory		Cut-off value N n < <=0.002 <=0.004 0.008 0.015 0.016 0.03 0.06 0.12 0.25 0.5 1 2 4 8 16 32 64 128 256 512 >4096 1024 2048 72 12 12 12 12 12 12 12 12 12 12 12 12 12																								
Antimicrobials:	Cut-off value	N	n	<=0.002	<=0.004	0.008	0.015	0.016	0.03	0.06	0.12	0.25	0.5	1	2	4	8	16	32	64	128	256	512	>4096	1024	2048
Aminoglycosides - Gentamicin	2	2	0										2													
Aminoglycosides - Streptomycin	16	2	0														1	1								
Amphenicols - Chloramphenicol	16	2	0														2									
Cephalosporins - Cefotaxime	0	2	2							2																
Fluoroquinolones - Ciprofloxacin	0	2	2								1	1														
Penicillins - Ampicillin	8	2	2																2							
Quinolones - Nalidixic acid	16	2	2																	2						
Tetracyclines - Tetracycline	8	2	2																	2						
Trimethoprim	2	2	0										2													
Sulfonamides - Sulfamethoxazole	256	2	0															2								

S. Brede	Gallus gallus (fowl) - laying hens						
	Isolates out of a monitoring program (yes/no)						
	Number of isolates available in the laboratory	27					
Antimicrob	lowest	highest					
Aminoglycosides	- Gentamicin	0.25	32				
Aminoglycosides	- Streptomycin	2	128				
Amphenicols - Cl	2	64					

### Table Antimicrobial susceptibility testing of S. Bredeney in Gallus gallus (fowl) - laying hens - quantitative data [Dilution method]

S. Brede	Gallus gallus (fowl) - laying hens				
	Isolates out of a monitoring program (yes/no)				
	2	7			
Antimicrob	oials:	lowest	highest		
Cephalosporins -	- Cefotaxime	0.06	4		
Fluoroquinolones	s - Ciprofloxacin	0.008	8		
Penicillins - Amp	icillin	0.5	32		
Quinolones - Nal	idixic acid	4	64		
Tetracyclines - T	etracycline	1	64		
Trimethoprim		0.5	32		
Sulfonamides - S	Sulfamethoxazole	8	1024		

Concentration (µg/ml), number	of isolates with a concentration	of inhibition equal to
-------------------------------	----------------------------------	------------------------

S. Agona												Gallus g	allus (fo	wl) - lay	ing hens	3										
Isolates out of a monitoring program (yes/no)																										
Number of isolates available in the laboratory													ŧ	8												
Antimicrobials:	Cut-off value	N	n	<=0.002	<=0.004	0.008	0.015	0.016	0.03	0.06	0.12	0.25	0.5	1	2	4	8	16	32	64	128	256	512	>4096	1024	2048
Aminoglycosides - Gentamicin	2	6	0									2	3		1											
Aminoglycosides - Streptomycin	16	6	1														5		1							
Amphenicols - Chloramphenicol	16	6	0												1	1	4									
Cephalosporins - Cefotaxime	0	6	6								5		1													
Fluoroquinolones - Ciprofloxacin	0	6	6				2		1				3													
Penicillins - Ampicillin	8	6	3												2	1			3							
Quinolones - Nalidixic acid	16	6	0													3		3								
Tetracyclines - Tetracycline	8	6	0												6											
Trimethoprim	2	6	0										6													
Sulfonamides - Sulfamethoxazole	256	6	0																	6						

S. Agona	a	Gallus gallus (fowl) - layin hens					
	Isolates out of a monitoring program (yes/no)						
	Number of isolates available in the laboratory		3				
Antimicrob	oials:	lowest	highest				
Aminoglycosides	- Gentamicin	0.25	32				
Aminoglycosides	- Streptomycin	2	128				
Amphenicols - Cl	hloramphenicol	2	64				

# Table Antimicrobial susceptibility testing of S. Agona in Gallus gallus (fowl) - laying hens - quantitative data [Dilution method]

S. Agon	Gallus gallus (fowl) - laying hens				
	Isolates out of a monitoring program (yes/no)				
	;	3			
Antimicrob	oials:	lowest	highest		
Cephalosporins -	- Cefotaxime	0.06	4		
Fluoroquinolones	s - Ciprofloxacin	0.008	8		
Penicillins - Amp	icillin	0.5	32		
Quinolones - Nal	idixic acid	4	64		
Tetracyclines - T	etracycline	1	64		
Trimethoprim		0.5	32		
Sulfonamides - S	Sulfamethoxazole	8	1024		

					Co	ncentra	ation (µ	g/ml), n	umber	of isola	tes with	n a con	centrati	on of ir	hibition	n equal	to									
S. Thompson												Gallus g	allus (fo	wl) - lay	ing hens	5										
Isolates out of a monitoring program (yes/no)																										
Number of isolates available in the laboratory													1	11												
Antimicrobials:	Cut-off value	N	n	<=0.002	<=0.004	0.008	0.015	0.016	0.03	0.06	0.12	0.25	0.5	1	2	4	8	16	32	64	128	256	512	>4096	1024	2048
Aminoglycosides - Gentamicin	2	5	0										5													
Aminoglycosides - Streptomycin	16	5	0														5									
Amphenicols - Chloramphenicol	16	5	0													5										
Cephalosporins - Cefotaxime	0	5	5							4	1															
Fluoroquinolones - Ciprofloxacin	0	5	5				5																			
Penicillins - Ampicillin	8	5	0											5												
Quinolones - Nalidixic acid	16	5	0													5										

S. Thom	S. Thompson							
	11							
Antimicrob	ials:	lowest	highest					
Aminoglycosides	- Gentamicin	0.25	32					
Aminoglycosides	- Streptomycin	2	128					
Amphenicols - Ch	2	64						

Tetracyclines - Tetracycline

Sulfonamides - Sulfamethoxazole

Trimethoprim

## Table Antimicrobial susceptibility testing of S. Thompson in Gallus gallus (fowl) - laying hens - quantitative data [Dilution method]

S. Thompson	Gallus gallus (fowl) - laying hens				
Isolates out of a monitoring program (yes/no)					
Number of isolates available in the laboratory	1	1			
Antimicrobials:	lowest	highest			
Cephalosporins - Cefotaxime	0.06	4			
Fluoroquinolones - Ciprofloxacin	0.008	8			
Penicillins - Ampicillin	0.5	32			
Quinolones - Nalidixic acid	4	64			
Tetracyclines - Tetracycline	1	64			
Trimethoprim	0.5	32			
Sulfonamides - Sulfamethoxazole	8	1024			

					Со	ncentra	ation (μ	g/ml), n	umber	of isola	tes with	a con	centrati	on of in	hibition	n equal	to									
S. Tennessee												Gallus g	allus (fo	wl) - layi	ing hens	<b>3</b>										
Isolates out of a monitoring program (yes/no)																										
Number of isolates available in the laboratory														5												
Antimicrobials:	Cut-off value	N	n	<=0.002	<=0.004	0.008	0.015	0.016	0.03	0.06	0.12	0.25	0.5	1	2	4	8	16	32	64	128	256	512	>4096	1024	2048
Aminoglycosides - Gentamicin	2	5	0										5													
Aminoglycosides - Streptomycin	16	5	0															5								
Amphenicols - Chloramphenicol	16	5	0														5									
Cephalosporins - Cefotaxime	0	5	5							1	4															
Fluoroquinolones - Ciprofloxacin	0	5	5				3		1	1																
Penicillins - Ampicillin	8	5	0											2	3											
Quinolones - Nalidixic acid	16	5	0													5										
Tetracyclines - Tetracycline	8	5	0												5											
Trimethoprim	2	5	0										5													
		<del></del>	+	+	-	1	<del>                                     </del>	<del>                                     </del>	1	<b></b>	<del></del>				<b>!</b>	-	<b>—</b>	<b>-</b>			<b>-</b>	+		+		

S. Tennes	S. Tennessee							
	solates out of a monitoring rogram (yes/no)							
	lumber of isolates available the laboratory	6	5					
Antimicrobia	als:	lowest	highest					
Aminoglycosides - G	Gentamicin	0.25	32					
Aminoglycosides - S	Streptomycin	2	128					
Amphenicols - Chlor	ramphenicol	2	64					

Sulfonamides - Sulfamethoxazole

## Table Antimicrobial susceptibility testing of S. Tennessee in Gallus gallus (fowl) - laying hens - quantitative data [Dilution method]

S. Tennessee	Gallus gallus (fowl) - laying hens				
Isolates out of a monitoring program (yes/no)					
Number of isolates available in the laboratory		6			
Antimicrobials:	lowest	highest			
Cephalosporins - Cefotaxime	0.06	4			
Fluoroquinolones - Ciprofloxacin	0.008	8			
Penicillins - Ampicillin	0.5	32			
Quinolones - Nalidixic acid	4	64			
Tetracyclines - Tetracycline	1	64			
Trimethoprim	0.5	32			
Sulfonamides - Sulfamethoxazole	8	1024			

Concentration (	(μg/ml),	number of	isolates with	a concentration of	of inhibition equal to

S. Montevideo		Gallus gallus (fowl) - laying hens																								
Isolates out of a monitoring program (yes/no)																										
Number of isolates available in the laboratory														1												
Antimicrobials:	Cut-off value	N	n	<=0.002	<=0.004	0.008	0.015	0.016	0.03	0.06	0.12	0.25	0.5	1	2	4	8	16	32	64	128	256	512	>4096	1024	2048
Aminoglycosides - Gentamicin	2	1	0											1												
Aminoglycosides - Streptomycin	16	1	0														1									
Amphenicols - Chloramphenicol	16	1	0														1									
Cephalosporins - Cefotaxime	0	1	1							1																
Fluoroquinolones - Ciprofloxacin	0	1	1				1																			
Penicillins - Ampicillin	8	1	0											1												
Quinolones - Nalidixic acid	16	1	0													1										
Tetracyclines - Tetracycline	8	1	0												1											
Trimethoprim	2	1	0										1													
Sulfonamides - Sulfamethoxazole	256	1	0																	1						

S. Monte	evideo	Gallus (fowl) - he	
	Isolates out of a monitoring program (yes/no)		
	Number of isolates available in the laboratory	,	I
Antimicrob	oials:	lowest	highest
Aminoglycosides	- Gentamicin	0.25	32
Aminoglycosides	- Streptomycin	2	128
Amphenicols - Ch	2	64	

### Table Antimicrobial susceptibility testing of S. Montevideo in Gallus gallus (fowl) - laying hens - quantitative data [Dilution method]

S. Montevideo	Gallus gallu (fowl) - layin hens				
Isolates out of a monitoring program (yes/no)					
Number of isolates available in the laboratory		1			
Antimicrobials:	lowest	highest			
Cephalosporins - Cefotaxime	0.06	4			
Fluoroquinolones - Ciprofloxacin	0.008	8			
Penicillins - Ampicillin	0.5	32			
Quinolones - Nalidixic acid	4	64			
Tetracyclines - Tetracycline	1	64			
Trimethoprim	0.5	32			
Sulfonamides - Sulfamethoxazole	8	1024			

S. Enteritidis		Gallus gallus (fowl) - laying hens																									
Isolates out of a monitoring program (yes/no)																											190
Number of isolates available in the laboratory		Hungary																									
Antimicrobials:	Cut-off value	N	n	<=0.002	<=0.004	0.008	0.015	0.016	0.03	0.06	0.12	0.25	0.5	1	2	4	8	16	32	64	128	256	512	>4096	1024	2048	7017
Aminoglycosides - Gentamicin	2	25	1									13	11			1											<u>ہ</u>
Aminoglycosides - Streptomycin	16	25	0												4	20		1									201
Amphenicols - Chloramphenicol	16	25	0												1	18	6										C
Cephalosporins - Cefotaxime	0	25	25							13	12																ַ נו ט
Fluoroquinolones - Ciprofloxacin	0	25	25				7		18																		٥
Penicillins - Ampicillin	8	25	1										1	2	21				1								1
Quinolones - Nalidixic acid	16	25	0													25											Oulces
Tetracyclines - Tetracycline	8	25	0											13	12												07 70
Trimethoprim	2	25	0										25														
Sulfonamides - Sulfamethoxazole	256	25	1														1	1	6	12	4				1		ses

S. Enterit	idis		gallus laying ns
	Isolates out of a monitoring program (yes/no)		
	Number of isolates available in the laboratory	2	8
Antimicrobi	als:	lowest	highest
Aminoglycosides -	Gentamicin	0.25	32
Aminoglycosides -	Streptomycin	2	128
Amphenicols - Chl	2	64	

### Table Antimicrobial susceptibility testing of S. Enteritidis in Gallus gallus (fowl) - laying hens - quantitative data [Dilution method]

S. Enteritidis			gallus laying ns		
Isolates out of a monito program (yes/no)	oring				
Number of isolates ava in the laboratory	ilable	2	8		
Antimicrobials:	lowe	st	highest		
Cephalosporins - Cefotaxime	0.0	6	4		
Fluoroquinolones - Ciprofloxacin	0.00	8	8		
Penicillins - Ampicillin	0.5	5	32		
Quinolones - Nalidixic acid	4		64		
Tetracyclines - Tetracycline	1		64		
Trimethoprim	0.5	5	32		
Sulfonamides - Sulfamethoxazole	8	8 1024			

S. Indiana												Gallus g	allus (fo	wl) - lay	ing hens	,										
Isolates out of a monitoring program (yes/no) Number of isolates available in the laboratory														1											_	
Antimicrobials:	Cut-off value	N	n	<=0.002	<=0.004	0.008	0.015	0.016	0.03	0.06	0.12	0.25	0.5	1	2	4	8	16	32	64	128	256	512	>4096	1024	2048
Aminoglycosides - Gentamicin	2	1	0										1													
Aminoglycosides - Streptomycin	16	1	0														1									
Amphenicols - Chloramphenicol	16	1	0													1										
Cephalosporins - Cefotaxime	0	1	1							1																
Fluoroquinolones - Ciprofloxacin	0	1	1				1																			
Penicillins - Ampicillin	8	1	0										1													
Quinolones - Nalidixic acid	16	1	0													1										
Tetracyclines - Tetracycline	8	1	0											1												
Trimethoprim	2	1	0										1													
Sulfonamides - Sulfamethoxazole	256	1	0															1								

Concentration (µg/ml), number of isolates with a concentration of inhibition equal to

S. Indiar	na		gallus laying ns
	Isolates out of a monitoring program (yes/no)		
	Number of isolates available in the laboratory		1
Antimicrob	pials:	lowest	highest
Aminoglycosides	- Gentamicin	0.25	32
Aminoglycosides	- Streptomycin	2	128
Amphenicols - Ch	2	64	

## Table Antimicrobial susceptibility testing of S. Indiana in Gallus gallus (fowl) - laying hens - quantitative data [Dilution method]

S. Indiana			gallus laying ns
Isolates out of a monitoring program (yes/no)	ng		
Number of isolates availatin the laboratory	ible	1	1
Antimicrobials:		lowest	highest
Cephalosporins - Cefotaxime		0.06	4
Fluoroquinolones - Ciprofloxacin		0.008	8
Penicillins - Ampicillin		0.5	32
Quinolones - Nalidixic acid		4	64
Tetracyclines - Tetracycline		1	64
Trimethoprim		0.5	32
Sulfonamides - Sulfamethoxazole		8	1024

Concentration (	g/ml), number of isolates with a concentration of inhibition equ	ual to
-----------------	--	--------

S. Bovismorbificans												Gallus	s gallus (	fowl) - b	oroilers											
Isolates out of a monitoring program (yes/no)																										
Number of isolates available in the laboratory													1	4												
Antimicrobials:	Cut-off value	N	n	<=0.002	<=0.004	0.008	0.015	0.016	0.03	0.06	0.12	0.25	0.5	1	2	4	8	16	32	64	128	256	512	>4096	1024	2048
Aminoglycosides - Gentamicin	2	4	0									1	2	1												
Aminoglycosides - Streptomycin	16	4	0														2	2								
Amphenicols - Chloramphenicol	16	4	0													4										
Cephalosporins - Cefotaxime	0	4	4							4																
Fluoroquinolones - Ciprofloxacin	0	4	4				4																			
Penicillins - Ampicillin	8	4	0											4												
Quinolones - Nalidixic acid	16	4	0													4										
Tetracyclines - Tetracycline	8	4	0											4												
Trimethoprim	2	4	0										4													
Sulfonamides - Sulfamethoxazole	256	4	0																4							

S. Bovism	orbificans		gallus broilers
	solates out of a monitoring program (yes/no)		
	lumber of isolates available the laboratory	1	4
Antimicrobia	als:	lowest	highest
Aminoglycosides - 0	Gentamicin	0.25	32
Aminoglycosides - S	Streptomycin	2	128
Amphenicols - Chlo	ramphenicol	2	64

S. Bovismorbificans		gallus broilers
Isolates out of a monitoring program (yes/no)		
Number of isolates available in the laboratory	1	4
Antimicrobials:	lowest	highest
Cephalosporins - Cefotaxime	0.06	4
Fluoroquinolones - Ciprofloxacin	0.008	8
Penicillins - Ampicillin	0.5	32
Quinolones - Nalidixic acid	4	64
Tetracyclines - Tetracycline	1	64
Trimethoprim	0.5	32
Sulfonamides - Sulfamethoxazole	8	1024

2012

Report on trends

and

sources

of zoonoses

S. Cerro			gallus laying ns
	Isolates out of a monitoring program (yes/no)		
	Number of isolates available in the laboratory	:	2
Antimicrob	oials:	lowest	highest
Aminoglycosides	- Gentamicin	0.25	32
Aminoglycosides	- Streptomycin	2	128
Amphenicols - Cl	nloramphenicol	2	64

### Table Antimicrobial susceptibility testing of S. Cerro in Gallus gallus (fowl) - laying hens - quantitative data [Dilution method]

S. Cerro		(fowl) -	gallus laying ns					
	Isolates out of a monitoring program (yes/no)							
	Number of isolates available in the laboratory	:	2					
Antimicrob	oials:	lowest	highest					
Cephalosporins -	phalosporins - Cefotaxime							
Fluoroquinolones	s - Ciprofloxacin	0.008	8					
Penicillins - Amp	icillin	0.5	32					
Quinolones - Nal	idixic acid	4	64					
Tetracyclines - T	etracycline	1	64					
Trimethoprim		0.5	32					
Sulfonamides - S	Sulfamethoxazole	8	1024					

					Co	ncentra	ation (μ	g/ml), n	umber	of isola	tes with	n a con	centrati	on of in	hibition	equal	to									
S. Kottbus												Gallus g	jallus (fo	owl) - lay	ing hens	;										
Isolates out of a monitoring program (yes/no)																										
Number of isolates available in the laboratory																										
Antimicrobials:	Cut-off value	N	n	<=0.002	<=0.004	0.008	0.015	0.016	0.03	0.06	0.12	0.25	0.5	1	2	4	8	16	32	64	128	256	512	>4096	1024	2048
Aminoglycosides - Gentamicin	2	3	0										3													
Aminoglycosides - Streptomycin	16	3	0														2	1								
Amphenicols - Chloramphenicol	16	3	0												1	2										
Cephalosporins - Cefotaxime	0	3	3							3																
Fluoroquinolones - Ciprofloxacin	0	3	3									2	1													
Penicillins - Ampicillin	8	3	0											3												
Quinolones - Nalidixic acid	16	3	3																	3						
Tetracyclines - Tetracycline	8	3	0											2	1											
Trimethoprim	2	3	0										3													
Sulfonamides - Sulfamethoxazole	256	3	0																2	1						

S. Kottbu	JS	(fowl) -	gallus laying ns
	Isolates out of a monitoring program (yes/no)		
	Number of isolates available in the laboratory	1	1
Antimicrob	oials:	lowest	highest
Aminoglycosides	- Gentamicin	0.25	32
Aminoglycosides	- Streptomycin	2	128
Amphenicols - Ch	nloramphenicol	2	64

### Table Antimicrobial susceptibility testing of S. Kottbus in Gallus gallus (fowl) - laying hens - quantitative data [Dilution method]

S. Kottbus	(fowl) -	gallus laying ns
Isolates out of a monitoring program (yes/no)		
Number of isolates available in the laboratory	1	1
Antimicrobials:	lowest	highest
Cephalosporins - Cefotaxime	0.06	4
Fluoroquinolones - Ciprofloxacin	0.008	8
Penicillins - Ampicillin	0.5	32
Quinolones - Nalidixic acid	4	64
Tetracyclines - Tetracycline	1	64
Trimethoprim	0.5	32
Sulfonamides - Sulfamethoxazole	8	1024

S. Senftenberg												Gallus	gallus	(fowl) - l	oroilers												ı
Isolates out of a monitoring program (yes/no)																											Hungary
Number of isolates available in the laboratory																	1										
Antimicrobials:	Cut-off value	N	n	<=0.002	<=0.004	0.008	0.015	0.016	0.03	0.06	0.12	0.25	0.5	1	2	4	8	16	32	64	128	256	512	>4096	1024	2048	2012
Aminoglycosides - Gentamicin	2	1	0										1														
Aminoglycosides - Streptomycin	16	1	0														1										Report
Amphenicols - Chloramphenicol	16	1	0															1									on tr
Cephalosporins - Cefotaxime	0	1	1									1															trends
Fluoroquinolones - Ciprofloxacin	0	1	1							1																	and
Penicillins - Ampicillin	8	1	0													1											S
Quinolones - Nalidixic acid	16	1	0														1										ources
Tetracyclines - Tetracycline	8	1	0														1										of z
Trimethoprim	2	1	0										1														zoonoses
Sulfonamides - Sulfamethoxazole	256	1	0																1								ses

S. Senfte	enberg		gallus broilers
	Isolates out of a monitoring program (yes/no)		
	Number of isolates available in the laboratory	2	2
Antimicrob	ials:	lowest	highest
Aminoglycosides	- Gentamicin	0.25	32
Aminoglycosides	- Streptomycin	2	128
Amphenicols - Ch	loramphenicol	2	64

### Table Antimicrobial susceptibility testing of S. Senftenberg in Gallus gallus (fowl) - broilers - quantitative data [Dilution method]

S. Senft	Gallus gallus (fowl) - broilers							
	Isolates out of a monitoring program (yes/no)							
	Number of isolates available in the laboratory	:	2					
Antimicrob	oials:	lowest	highest					
Cephalosporins -	- Cefotaxime	0.06	4					
Fluoroquinolones	s - Ciprofloxacin	0.008	8					
Penicillins - Amp	icillin	0.5	32					
Quinolones - Nal	idixic acid	4	64					
Tetracyclines - T	etracycline	1	64					
Trimethoprim		0.5	32					
Sulfonamides - S	Sulfamethoxazole	8	1024					

Hungary -

2012

Report on trends

and

sources

of zoonoses

S. Infant	is	Pigs - fa	attening gs
	Isolates out of a monitoring program (yes/no)		
	Number of isolates available in the laboratory	20	01
Antimicrob	oials:	lowest	highest
Aminoglycosides	- Gentamicin	0.25	32
Aminoglycosides	- Streptomycin	2	128
Amphenicols - Ch	nloramphenicol	2	64

### Table Antimicrobial susceptibility testing of S. Infantis in Pigs - fattening pigs - quantitative data [Dilution method]

S. Infantis		attening gs
Isolates out of a monitoring program (yes/no)		
Number of isolates available in the laboratory	20	01
Antimicrobials:	lowest	highest
Cephalosporins - Cefotaxime	0.06	4
Fluoroquinolones - Ciprofloxacin	0.008	8
Penicillins - Ampicillin	0.5	32
Quinolones - Nalidixic acid	4	64
Tetracyclines - Tetracycline	1	64
Trimethoprim	0.5	32
Sulfonamides - Sulfamethoxazole	8	1024

Concentration ( $\mu g/ml$ ), number of isolates with a concentration of inhibition equal to

S. Typhimurium							·					Р		ening pi												
Isolates out of a monitoring program (yes/no)																										
Number of isolates available in the laboratory		18																								
Antimicrobials:	Cut-off value	N	n	<=0.002	<=0.004	0.008	0.015	0.016	0.03	0.06	0.12	0.25	0.5	1	2	4	8	16	32	64	128	256	512	>4096	1024	2048
Aminoglycosides - Gentamicin	2	8	0										3	5												
Aminoglycosides - Streptomycin	16	8	7														1			1	6					
Amphenicols - Chloramphenicol	16	8	3													2	2	1		3						
Cephalosporins - Cefotaxime	0	8	8							6	1	1														
Fluoroquinolones - Ciprofloxacin	0	8	8				1		5	1		1														
Penicillins - Ampicillin	8	8	7												1				7							
Quinolones - Nalidixic acid	16	8	1													6	1			1						
Tetracyclines - Tetracycline	8	8	6												2				2	4						
Trimethoprim	2	8	0										8													
Sulfonamides - Sulfamethoxazole	256	8	7															1							7	

S. Typhimurium		Pigs - fa			
Isolates out program (ye					
Number of in the labora	18				
Antimicrobials:		lowest	highest		
Aminoglycosides - Gentamicin	1	0.25	32		
Aminoglycosides - Streptomyc	in	2	128		
Amphenicols - Chloramphenic	ol	2	64		

### Table Antimicrobial susceptibility testing of S. Typhimurium in Pigs - fattening pigs - quantitative data [Dilution method]

S. Typhi	S. Typhimurium										
	1	8									
Antimicrob	lowest	highest									
Cephalosporins -	0.06	4									
Fluoroquinolones	s - Ciprofloxacin	0.008	8								
Penicillins - Amp	icillin	0.5	32								
Quinolones - Nal	lidixic acid	4	64								
Tetracyclines - T	etracycline	1	64								
Trimethoprim	0.5	32									
Sulfonamides - S	8	1024									

Hungary - 2012 Report on trends and sources of zoonoses

S. Typhimurium												Gallus g	allus (fo	wl) - layi	ing hens	•										
Isolates out of a monitoring program (yes/no)																										
Number of isolates available in the laboratory													1	8												
Antimicrobials:	Cut-off value	N	n	<=0.002	<=0.004	0.008	0.015	0.016	0.03	0.06	0.12	0.25	0.5	1	2	4	8	16	32	64	128	256	512	>4096	1024	2048
Aminoglycosides - Gentamicin	2	8	0										8													
Aminoglycosides - Streptomycin	16	8	1														5	2		1						
Amphenicols - Chloramphenicol	16	8	1													6	1			1						
Cephalosporins - Cefotaxime	0	8	8							8																
Fluoroquinolones - Ciprofloxacin	0	8	8				4		4																	
Penicillins - Ampicillin	8	8	1											4	3				1							
Quinolones - Nalidixic acid	16	8	0													8										
Tetracyclines - Tetracycline	8	8	1											2	5				1							
Trimethoprim	2	8	0										8													
Sulfonamides - Sulfamethoxazole	256	8	1															4	2	1					1	

S. Typhimuriu	m	(fowl) -	gallus laying	
Isolates program				
Number in the la	18			
Antimicrobials:		lowest	highest	
Aminoglycosides - Gentan	nicin	0.25	32	
Aminoglycosides - Strepto	mycin	2	128	
Amphenicols - Chloramph	2	64		

S. Typhi	murium	(fowl) -	gallus laying						
	Isolates out of a monitoring program (yes/no)								
	Number of isolates available in the laboratory								
Antimicrob	Antimicrobials:								
Cephalosporins -	Cefotaxime	0.06	4						
Fluoroquinolones	- Ciprofloxacin	0.008	8						
Penicillins - Ampi	cillin	0.5	32						
Quinolones - Nali	idixic acid	4	64						
Tetracyclines - Te	etracycline	1	64						
Trimethoprim		0.5	32						
Sulfonamides - S	8	1024							

S. Bredeney												Turl	keys - fa	ttening	flocks												ı
Isolates out of a monitoring program (yes/no)																											Hungary
Number of isolates available in the laboratory														27													1
Antimicrobials:	Cut-off value	N	n	<=0.002	<=0.004	0.008	0.015	0.016	0.03	0.06	0.12	0.25	0.5	1	2	4	8	16	32	64	128	256	512	>4096	1024	2048	2012
Aminoglycosides - Gentamicin	2	24	0									1	21		2												Re
Aminoglycosides - Streptomycin	16	24	2													1	20	1	2								Report
Amphenicols - Chloramphenicol	16	24	0												2	10	1	11									on tr
Cephalosporins - Cefotaxime	0	24	24							10	8	5	1														trends
Fluoroquinolones - Ciprofloxacin	0	24	24						1		9	4	10														and
Penicillins - Ampicillin	8	24	24																24								sources
Quinolones - Nalidixic acid	16	24	23															1	1	22							
Tetracyclines - Tetracycline	8	24	24																1	23							of zo
Trimethoprim	2	24	0										23	1													zoonoses
Sulfonamides - Sulfamethoxazole	256	24	1															9	13	1					1		ses

S. Brede	eney	Turk fattenin	eys - g flocks
	2	7	
Antimicrob	pials:	lowest	highest
Aminoglycosides	- Gentamicin	0.25	32
Aminoglycosides	- Streptomycin	2	128
Amphenicols - Cl	2	64	

### Table Antimicrobial susceptibility testing of S. Bredeney in Turkeys - fattening flocks - quantitative data [Dilution method]

S. Brede	S. Bredeney										
	2	7									
Antimicrob	lowest	highest									
Cephalosporins -	0.06	4									
Fluoroquinolones	s - Ciprofloxacin	0.008	8								
Penicillins - Amp	icillin	0.5	32								
Quinolones - Nal	idixic acid	4	64								
Tetracyclines - T	etracycline	1	64								
Trimethoprim	0.5	32									
Sulfonamides - S	8	1024									

Concentration (µg/ml), number of isolates with a concentration of inhibition equal	Concentration	olates with a concentration of inhibition e	gual to
--	---------------	---	---------

S. Newport												Turk	eys - fat	tening fl	ocks											
Isolates out of a monitoring program (yes/no)																										
Number of isolates available in the laboratory													1	9												
Antimicrobials:	Cut-off value	N	n	<=0.002	<=0.004	0.008	0.015	0.016	0.03	0.06	0.12	0.25	0.5	1	2	4	8	16	32	64	128	256	512	>4096	1024	2048
Aminoglycosides - Gentamicin	2	17	0									7	10													
Aminoglycosides - Streptomycin	16	17	0													4	11	2								
Amphenicols - Chloramphenicol	16	17	0												4	13										
Cephalosporins - Cefotaxime	0	17	17							13	3	1														
Fluoroquinolones - Ciprofloxacin	0	17	17								3	3	11													
Penicillins - Ampicillin	8	17	15											2					15							
Quinolones - Nalidixic acid	16	17	2													3		12	1	1						
Tetracyclines - Tetracycline	8	17	15											2					3	12						
Trimethoprim	2	17	0										17													
Sulfonamides - Sulfamethoxazole	256	17	0																3	14						

S. Newp	oort	Turkeys - fattening flock					
	19						
Antimicrob	oials:	lowest	highest				
Aminoglycosides	- Gentamicin	0.25	32				
Aminoglycosides	- Streptomycin	2	128				
Amphenicols - C	2	64					

### Table Antimicrobial susceptibility testing of S. Newport in Turkeys - fattening flocks - quantitative data [Dilution method]

S. Newp	S. Newport										
	1	9									
Antimicrob	lowest	highest									
Cephalosporins -	0.06	4									
Fluoroquinolones	s - Ciprofloxacin	0.008	8								
Penicillins - Amp	icillin	0.5	32								
Quinolones - Nal	idixic acid	4	64								
Tetracyclines - T	etracycline	1	64								
Trimethoprim	0.5	32									
Sulfonamides - S	8	1024									

S. Kottbus												Turk	eys - fa	ttening f	locks												Ŧ
Isolates out of a monitoring program (yes/no)		Hungary 11																									
Number of isolates available in the laboratory		"																									
Antimicrobials:	Cut-off value	N	n	<=0.002	<=0.004	0.008	0.015	0.016	0.03	0.06	0.12	0.25	0.5	1	2	4	8	16	32	64	128	256	512	>4096	1024	2048	2012
Aminoglycosides - Gentamicin	2	8	0										7	1													Re
Aminoglycosides - Streptomycin	16	8	1														4	3			1						port
Amphenicols - Chloramphenicol	16	8	0												1	6	1										on tre
Cephalosporins - Cefotaxime	0	8	8							8																	ends
Fluoroquinolones - Ciprofloxacin	0	8	8								1	6			1												and
Penicillins - Ampicillin	8	8	0										1	5	1		1										(A)
Quinolones - Nalidixic acid	16	8	8																	8							ources
Tetracyclines - Tetracycline	8	8	0											5	1	2											of zo
Trimethoprim	2	8	0										8														zoonos
Sulfonamides - Sulfamethoxazole	256	8	0																8								ses

JS	Turkeys - fattening flocks							
Isolates out of a monitoring program (yes/no)								
Number of isolates available in the laboratory								
pials:	lowest	highest						
- Gentamicin	0.25	32						
- Streptomycin	2	128						
Amphenicols - Chloramphenicol								
	Isolates out of a monitoring program (yes/no) Number of isolates available in the laboratory DIAIS: Gentamicin	Isolates out of a monitoring program (yes/no)  Number of isolates available in the laboratory  Iowest  Gentamicin  O.25  - Streptomycin						

### Table Antimicrobial susceptibility testing of S. Kottbus in Turkeys - fattening flocks - quantitative data [Dilution method]

S. Kottbus		eys - g flocks
Isolates out of a monitoring program (yes/no)		
Number of isolates available in the laboratory	1	1
Antimicrobials:	lowest	highest
Cephalosporins - Cefotaxime	0.06	4
Fluoroquinolones - Ciprofloxacin	0.008	8
Penicillins - Ampicillin	0.5	32
Quinolones - Nalidixic acid	4	64
Tetracyclines - Tetracycline	1	64
Trimethoprim	0.5	32
Sulfonamides - Sulfamethoxazole	8	1024

S. Kentucky							,	<u> </u>				Turk	eys - fa	ttening f	locks	·										
Isolates out of a monitoring program (yes/no)		Hungary																								
Number of isolates available in the laboratory		38  20  1-20																								
Antimicrobials:	Cut-off value	N	n	<=0.002	<=0.004	0.008	0.015	0.016	0.03	0.06	0.12	0.25	0.5	1	2	4	8	16	32	64	128	256	512	>4096	1024	2048
Aminoglycosides - Gentamicin	2	35	34											1				28	6							
Aminoglycosides - Streptomycin	16	35	32														1	2	12	19	1					
Amphenicols - Chloramphenicol	16	35	0												4	28	3									
Cephalosporins - Cefotaxime	0	35	35							4	30	1														
Fluoroquinolones - Ciprofloxacin	0	35	35													2	33									
Penicillins - Ampicillin	8	35	35																35							
Quinolones - Nalidixic acid	16	35	35																	35						
Tetracyclines - Tetracycline	8	35	34												1				1	33						
Trimethoprim	2	35	0										35													
Sulfonamides - Sulfamethoxazole	256	35	34																1						34	

S. Kentu	cky	Turkeys - fattening flocks					
	Isolates out of a monitoring program (yes/no)						
	38						
Antimicrob	ials:	lowest	highest				
Aminoglycosides	- Gentamicin	0.25	32				
Aminoglycosides	- Streptomycin	2	128				
Amphenicols - Ch	2	64					

### Table Antimicrobial susceptibility testing of S. Kentucky in Turkeys - fattening flocks - quantitative data [Dilution method]

S. Kentucky	Turk fattenin	eys - g flocks
Isolates out of a monitoring program (yes/no)		
Number of isolates available in the laboratory	3	8
Antimicrobials:	lowest	highest
Cephalosporins - Cefotaxime	0.06	4
Fluoroquinolones - Ciprofloxacin	0.008	8
Penicillins - Ampicillin	0.5	32
Quinolones - Nalidixic acid	4	64
Tetracyclines - Tetracycline	1	64
Trimethoprim	0.5	32
Sulfonamides - Sulfamethoxazole	8	1024

Hungary - 2012 Report on trends and sources of zoonoses

S. Bovismorbificans							·					Turk	eys - fat	tening fl	ocks											
Isolates out of a monitoring program (yes/no)																										
Number of isolates available in the laboratory													1	4												
Antimicrobials:	Cut-off value	N	n	<=0.002	<=0.004	0.008	0.015	0.016	0.03	0.06	0.12	0.25	0.5	1	2	4	8	16	32	64	128	256	512	>4096	1024	2048
Aminoglycosides - Gentamicin	2	5	0									4	1													
Aminoglycosides - Streptomycin	16	5	0													1	3	1								
Amphenicols - Chloramphenicol	16	5	0												2	2	1									
Cephalosporins - Cefotaxime	0	5	5							4	1															
Fluoroquinolones - Ciprofloxacin	0	5	5			2	2			1																
Penicillins - Ampicillin	8	5	0										2	2	1											
Quinolones - Nalidixic acid	16	5	0													4	1									
Tetracyclines - Tetracycline	8	5	0											3	2											
Trimethoprim	2	5	0										4	1												
Sulfonamides - Sulfamethoxazole	256	5	0																1	2	2					

S. Bovis	morbificans	Turkeys - fattening flocks					
	Isolates out of a monitoring program (yes/no)						
	14						
Antimicrol	oials:	lowest	highest				
Aminoglycosides	s - Gentamicin	0.25	32				
Aminoglycosides	s - Streptomycin	2	128				
Amphenicols - C	2	64					

## Table Antimicrobial susceptibility testing of S. Bovismorbificans in Turkeys - fattening flocks - quantitative data [Dilution method]

S. Bovismorbificans	Turkeys - fattening flocks						
Isolates out of a monitoring program (yes/no)							
Number of isolates available in the laboratory	1	4					
Antimicrobials:	lowest	highest					
Cephalosporins - Cefotaxime	0.06	4					
Fluoroquinolones - Ciprofloxacin	0.008 8						
Penicillins - Ampicillin	0.5	32					
Quinolones - Nalidixic acid	4	64					
Tetracyclines - Tetracycline	1	64					
Trimethoprim	0.5	32					
Sulfonamides - Sulfamethoxazole	8	1024					

Concentration (µg/ml), number of isolates with a concentration of inhibition equal t	to
--	----

S. Saintpaul												Turk	eys - fat	tening fl	ocks											
Isolates out of a monitoring program (yes/no)																										
Number of isolates available in the laboratory														7												
Antimicrobials:	Cut-off value	N	n	<=0.002	<=0.004	0.008	0.015	0.016	0.03	0.06	0.12	0.25	0.5	1	2	4	8	16	32	64	128	256	512	>4096	1024	2048
Aminoglycosides - Gentamicin	2	6	0									1	5													
Aminoglycosides - Streptomycin	16	6	2														3	1		1	1					
Amphenicols - Chloramphenicol	16	6	0													3	1	2								
Cephalosporins - Cefotaxime	0	6	6							4	1	1														
Fluoroquinolones - Ciprofloxacin	0	6	6								4	1		1												
Penicillins - Ampicillin	8	6	0											4	1		1									
Quinolones - Nalidixic acid	16	6	6																	6						
Tetracyclines - Tetracycline	8	6	2											3	1					2						
Trimethoprim	2	6	1										5						1							
Sulfonamides - Sulfamethoxazole	256	6	2																1	3					2	

S. Saintpaul	S. Saintpaul								
	Isolates out of a monitoring program (yes/no)								
Numl in the	7								
Antimicrobials	lowest	highest							
Aminoglycosides - Gent	tamicin	0.25	32						
Aminoglycosides - Strep	ptomycin	2	128						
Amphenicols - Chloram	2	64							

## Table Antimicrobial susceptibility testing of S. Saintpaul in Turkeys - fattening flocks - quantitative data [Dilution method]

S. Saintpaul	Turkeys - fattening flocks						
Isolates out of a monitoring program (yes/no)							
Number of isolates available in the laboratory	-	7					
Antimicrobials:	lowest	highest					
Cephalosporins - Cefotaxime	0.06 4						
Fluoroquinolones - Ciprofloxacin	0.008 8						
Penicillins - Ampicillin	0.5	32					
Quinolones - Nalidixic acid	4	64					
Tetracyclines - Tetracycline	1	64					
Trimethoprim	0.5	32					
Sulfonamides - Sulfamethoxazole	8	1024					

Concentration (µg/ml), number of isolates with a concentration of inhibition equa	ıl to
---	-------

S. Enteritidis												Turk	eys - fa	tening fl	ocks												-
Isolates out of a monitoring program (yes/no)																											9
Number of isolates available in the laboratory													2	18													-
Antimicrobials:	Cut-off value	N	n	<=0.002	<=0.004	0.008	0.015	0.016	0.03	0.06	0.12	0.25	0.5	1	2	4	8	16	32	64	128	256	512	>4096	1024	2048	0 0
Aminoglycosides - Gentamicin	2	1	0									1															1
Aminoglycosides - Streptomycin	16	1	0													1											2
Amphenicols - Chloramphenicol	16	1	0													1											
Cephalosporins - Cefotaxime	0	1	1							1																	
Fluoroquinolones - Ciprofloxacin	0	1	1				1																				2
Penicillins - Ampicillin	8	1	0												1												٥
Quinolones - Nalidixic acid	16	1	0													1											0
Tetracyclines - Tetracycline	8	1	0											1													2
Trimethoprim	2	1	0										1														
Sulfonamides - Sulfamethoxazole	256	1	0																1								000

S. Enter	Turkeys - fattening flock						
	Isolates out of a monitoring program (yes/no)						
	28						
Antimicrob	oials:	lowest	highest				
Aminoglycosides	- Gentamicin	0.25	32				
Aminoglycosides	- Streptomycin	2	128				
Amphenicols - Cl	2	64					

## Table Antimicrobial susceptibility testing of S. Enteritidis in Turkeys - fattening flocks - quantitative data [Dilution method]

S. Enter	S. Enteritidis								
	Number of isolates available in the laboratory	2	8						
Antimicrob	Antimicrobials:								
Cephalosporins -	- Cefotaxime	0.06 4							
Fluoroquinolones	s - Ciprofloxacin	0.008	8						
Penicillins - Amp	icillin	0.5	32						
Quinolones - Nal	idixic acid	4	64						
Tetracyclines - T	etracycline	1	64						
Trimethoprim		0.5	32						
Sulfonamides - S	8	1024							

Concentration (µg/ml)	number of isolates with a concentration	of inhibition equal to

S. Agona												Turk	eys - fat	tening fl	ocks											
Isolates out of a monitoring program (yes/no)																										
Number of isolates available in the laboratory													8	3												
Antimicrobials:	Cut-off value	N	n	<=0.002	<=0.004	0.008	0.015	0.016	0.03	0.06	0.12	0.25	0.5	1	2	4	8	16	32	64	128	256	512	>4096	1024	2048
Aminoglycosides - Gentamicin	2	2	0										2													
Aminoglycosides - Streptomycin	16	2	0														2									
Amphenicols - Chloramphenicol	16	2	0														2									
Cephalosporins - Cefotaxime	0	2	2								2															
Fluoroquinolones - Ciprofloxacin	0	2	2				1		1																	
Penicillins - Ampicillin	8	2	0											1	1											
Quinolones - Nalidixic acid	16	2	0													2										
Tetracyclines - Tetracycline	8	2	0												2											
Trimethoprim	2	2	0										2													
Sulfonamides - Sulfamethoxazole	256	2	0																	1	1					

S. Agona	Turkeys - fattening flocks						
	8						
Antimicrob	lowest	highest					
Aminoglycosides	- Gentamicin	0.25	32				
Aminoglycosides	- Streptomycin	2	128				
Amphenicols - C	2	64					

## Table Antimicrobial susceptibility testing of S. Agona in Turkeys - fattening flocks - quantitative data [Dilution method]

S. Agona	a		eys - g flocks									
	Isolates out of a monitoring program (yes/no)											
	Number of isolates available in the laboratory											
Antimicrob	antimicrobials:											
Cephalosporins -	ephalosporins - Cefotaxime											
Fluoroquinolones	luoroquinolones - Ciprofloxacin											
Penicillins - Amp	icillin	0.5	32									
Quinolones - Nal	idixic acid	4	64									
Tetracyclines - T	etracycline	1	64									
Trimethoprim	0.5	32										
Sulfonamides - S	ulfonamides - Sulfamethoxazole											

S. Stanley		Turkeys - fattening flocks																								
Isolates out of a monitoring program (yes/no)																										
Number of isolates available in the laboratory													5	55												
Antimicrobials:	Cut-off value	N	n	<=0.002	<=0.004	0.008	0.015	0.016	0.03	0.06	0.12	0.25	0.5	1	2	4	8	16	32	64	128	256	512	>4096	1024	2048
Aminoglycosides - Gentamicin	2	53	2									3	41	6	1			2								
Aminoglycosides - Streptomycin	16	53	2														37	14	1	1						
Amphenicols - Chloramphenicol	16	53	1												3	45	2	2	1							
Cephalosporins - Cefotaxime	0	53	53							45	7		1													
Fluoroquinolones - Ciprofloxacin	0	53	53						2		32	14	2	1			2									
Penicillins - Ampicillin	8	53	12										1	34	4	2			12							
Quinolones - Nalidixic acid	16	53	53																2	51						
Tetracyclines - Tetracycline	8	53	2											38	9	3	1	1		1						
Trimethoprim	2	53	1										52						1							
Sulfonamides - Sulfamethoxazole	256	53	3															2	27	19	2				3	

S. Stanle	<b>Э</b> у		eys - g flocks							
	Isolates out of a monitoring program (yes/no) Number of isolates available in the laboratory									
	Number of isolates available in the laboratory									
Antimicrob	antimicrobials:									
Aminoglycosides	- Gentamicin	0.25	32							
Aminoglycosides	- Streptomycin	2	128							
Amphenicols - Cl	hloramphenicol	2	64							

## Table Antimicrobial susceptibility testing of S. Stanley in Turkeys - fattening flocks - quantitative data [Dilution method]

S. Stanley	Turk fattenin	eys - g flocks	
Isolates out of a monitoring program (yes/no)			
Number of isolates available in the laboratory	5	5	
Antimicrobials:	lowest	highest	
Cephalosporins - Cefotaxime	0.06	4	
Fluoroquinolones - Ciprofloxacin	0.008	8	
Penicillins - Ampicillin	0.5	32	
Quinolones - Nalidixic acid	4	64	
Tetracyclines - Tetracycline	1	64	
Trimethoprim	0.5 32		
Sulfonamides - Sulfamethoxazole	8	1024	

S. Senftenberg												Turk	eys - fa	ttening f	locks												Ţ
Isolates out of a monitoring program (yes/no)																											Hungary
Number of isolates available in the laboratory														2													1
Antimicrobials:	Cut-off value	N	n	<=0.002	<=0.004	0.008	0.015	0.016	0.03	0.06	0.12	0.25	0.5	1	2	4	8	16	32	64	128	256	512	>4096	1024	2048	2012
Aminoglycosides - Gentamicin	2	1	0										1														
Aminoglycosides - Streptomycin	16	1	0															1									Report
Amphenicols - Chloramphenicol	16	1	0														1										on tre
Cephalosporins - Cefotaxime	0	1	1								1																ends
Fluoroquinolones - Ciprofloxacin	0	1	1				1																				and
Penicillins - Ampicillin	8	1	0											1													(O
Quinolones - Nalidixic acid	16	1	0													1											ources
Tetracyclines - Tetracycline	8	1	0											1													of zo
Trimethoprim	2	1	0										1														onos
Sulfonamides - Sulfamethoxazole	256	1	0																		1						ses

S. Senfte	inoglycosides - Gentamicin								
	Isolates out of a monitoring program (yes/no)  Number of isolates available in the laboratory								
	program (yes/no)  Number of isolates available in the laboratory  Intimicrobials:  minoglycosides - Gentamicin								
Antimicrob	ŕ								
Aminoglycosides	- Gentamicin	0.25	32						
Aminoglycosides	- Streptomycin	2	128						
Amphenicols - Ch	noglycosides - Streptomycin shenicols - Chloramphenicol								

## Table Antimicrobial susceptibility testing of S. Senftenberg in Turkeys - fattening flocks - quantitative data [Dilution method]

S. Senftenberg		eys - g flocks
Isolates out of a monitoring program (yes/no)		
Number of isolates available in the laboratory	2	2
Antimicrobials:	lowest	highest
Cephalosporins - Cefotaxime	0.06	4
Fluoroquinolones - Ciprofloxacin	0.008	8
Penicillins - Ampicillin	0.5	32
Quinolones - Nalidixic acid	4	64
Tetracyclines - Tetracycline	1	64
Trimethoprim	0.5	32
Sulfonamides - Sulfamethoxazole	8	1024

S. Tennessee		Turkeys - fattening flocks																								
Isolates out of a monitoring program (yes/no)																										
Number of isolates available in the laboratory														6												
Antimicrobials:	Cut-off value	N	n	<=0.002	<=0.004	0.008	0.015	0.016	0.03	0.06	0.12	0.25	0.5	1	2	4	8	16	32	64	128	256	512	>4096	1024	2048
Aminoglycosides - Gentamicin	2	1	0											1												
Aminoglycosides - Streptomycin	16	1	1																1							
Amphenicols - Chloramphenicol	16	1	0														1									
Cephalosporins - Cefotaxime	0	1	1								1															
Fluoroquinolones - Ciprofloxacin	0	1	1						1																	
Penicillins - Ampicillin	8	1	0												1											
Quinolones - Nalidixic acid	16	1	0													1										
Tetracyclines - Tetracycline	8	1	0												1											
Trimethoprim	2	1	0										1													
Sulfonamides - Sulfamethoxazole	256	1	0																	1						

S. Tennessee		Turk fattenin	eys - g flocks					
Isolates of program	out of a monitoring (yes/no)							
Number in the lab	(	5						
Antimicrobials:	lowest	highest						
Aminoglycosides - Gentami	icin	0.25	32					
Aminoglycosides - Strepton	nycin	2	128					
Amphenicols - Chloramphe	phenicols - Chloramphenicol							

## Table Antimicrobial susceptibility testing of S. Tennessee in Turkeys - fattening flocks - quantitative data [Dilution method]

S. Tennessee	Turkeys - fattening flocks					
Isolates out of a monitoring program (yes/no)						
Number of isolates available in the laboratory	(	3				
Antimicrobials:	lowest	highest				
Cephalosporins - Cefotaxime	0.06	4				
Fluoroquinolones - Ciprofloxacin	0.008	8				
Penicillins - Ampicillin	0.5	32				
Quinolones - Nalidixic acid	4	64				
Tetracyclines - Tetracycline	1	64				
Trimethoprim	0.5	32				
Sulfonamides - Sulfamethoxazole	8	1024				

Concentration (µg/ml), number of isolates with a concentration of inhibition equal	entration of inhibition equal	a concentration	of isolates with	Concentration (µg/ml), number
--	-------------------------------	-----------------	------------------	-------------------------------

S. Infantis												Turk	eys - fat	ttening fl	ocks											
Isolates out of a monitoring program (yes/no)																										
Number of isolates available in the laboratory		201																								
Antimicrobials:	Cut-off value	N	n	<=0.002	<=0.004	0.008	0.015	0.016	0.03	0.06	0.12	0.25	0.5	1	2	4	8	16	32	64	128	256	512	>4096	1024	2048
Aminoglycosides - Gentamicin	2	21	0									12	9													
Aminoglycosides - Streptomycin	16	21	18												1	1	1		15	3						
Amphenicols - Chloramphenicol	16	21	0												3	5	9	4								
Cephalosporins - Cefotaxime	0	21	21							5	8	8														
Fluoroquinolones - Ciprofloxacin	0	21	21							2		8	10	1												
Penicillins - Ampicillin	8	21	1										4	6	9	1			1							
Quinolones - Nalidixic acid	16	21	20															1	1	19						
Tetracyclines - Tetracycline	8	21	19											2					1	18						
Trimethoprim	2	21	0										21													
Sulfonamides - Sulfamethoxazole	256	21	19																2						19	

S. Infant	Turkeys - fattening flocks		
	201		
Antimicrob	lowest	highest	
Aminoglycosides	0.25	32	
Aminoglycosides	2	128	
Amphenicols - Cl	nloramphenicol	2	64

## Table Antimicrobial susceptibility testing of S. Infantis in Turkeys - fattening flocks - quantitative data [Dilution method]

S. Infantis	Turkeys - fattening flocks			
Isolates out of a monitoring program (yes/no)				
Number of isolates available in the laboratory	201			
Antimicrobials:	lowest	highest		
Cephalosporins - Cefotaxime	0.06	4		
Fluoroquinolones - Ciprofloxacin	0.008	8		
Penicillins - Ampicillin	0.5	32		
Quinolones - Nalidixic acid	4	64		
Tetracyclines - Tetracycline	1	64		
Trimethoprim	0.5	32		
Sulfonamides - Sulfamethoxazole	8	1024		

## Table Cut-off values for antibiotic resistance testing of Salmonella in Animals

Test Method Used	Standard methods used for testing

			Concentration (microg/ml)	Zone diameter (mm)
		Standard	Resistant >	Resistant <=
Aminoglycosides	Gentamicin	EFSA	2	
	Streptomycin	EFSA	32	
Amphenicols	Chloramphenicol	EFSA	16	
Cephalosporins	Cefotaxime	EFSA	0.5	
Fluoroquinolones	Ciprofloxacin	EFSA	0.06	
Penicillins	Ampicillin	EFSA	4	
Quinolones	Nalidixic acid	EFSA	16	
Sulfonamides	Sulfonamides	EFSA	256	
Tetracyclines	Tetracycline	EFSA	8	
Trimethoprim	Trimethoprim	EFSA	2	

## Table Cut-off values for antibiotic resistance testing of Salmonella in Feed

Test Method Used	Standard methods used for testing

			Concentration (microg/ml)	Zone diameter (mm)
		Standard	Resistant >	Resistant <=
Aminoglycosides	Gentamicin		2	
	Streptomycin		32	
Amphenicols	Chloramphenicol		16	
Cephalosporins	Cefotaxime		0.5	
Fluoroquinolones	Ciprofloxacin		0.06	
Penicillins	Ampicillin		4	
Quinolones	Nalidixic acid		16	
Sulfonamides	Sulfonamides		256	
Tetracyclines	Tetracycline		8	
Trimethoprim	Trimethoprim		2	

## Table Cut-off values for antibiotic resistance testing of Salmonella in Food

Test Method Used		
Broth dilution		

Standard methods used for testing
EFSA 2007

			Concentration (microg/ml)	Zone diameter (mm)
		Standard	Resistant >	Resistant <=
Aminoglycosides	Gentamicin	EFSA	2	
	Streptomycin	EFSA	32	
Amphenicols	Chloramphenicol	EFSA	16	
Cephalosporins	Cefotaxime	EFSA	0.5	
Fluoroquinolones	Ciprofloxacin	EFSA	0.06	
Penicillins	Ampicillin	EFSA	4	
Quinolones	Nalidixic acid	EFSA	16	
Sulfonamides	Sulfonamides	EFSA	256	
Tetracyclines	Tetracycline	EFSA	8	
Trimethoprim	Trimethoprim	EFSA	2	

#### 2.2 CAMPYLOBACTERIOSIS

#### 2.2.1 General evaluation of the national situation

#### A. Thermophilic Campylobacter general evaluation

Relevance of the findings in animals, feedingstuffs and foodstuffs to human cases (as a source of infection)

The main source of human campylobacter infections in Hungary is raw meat especially poultry meat. The seasonal prevalence of campylobacters in raw chicken meat shows a strong correlation with the seasonal distribution of human cases. The prevalence in raw milk is low, but it can mean a possible source in some cases. As typing of Campylobacter of food origin is not performed at a large scale, PFGE and other molecular based methods are used mainly for outbreak invetigations and in small scale regional studies, the identification of sources should be improved in the future.

#### Recent actions taken to control the zoonoses

Actions specifically used for the control of campylobacters are not implemented in Hungary. Hygienic measurements used in the primary production (all in -all out systems, cleaning, desinfection, pest control)HACCP and GHP systems at slaughterhouses, improvement of the packaging of raw meat, labelling the minced meat and meat preparations with the requirement of heat treatment before consumption are the main actions in use.

#### 2.2.2 Campylobacteriosis in humans

#### A. Thermophilic Campylobacter in humans

#### Reporting system in place for the human cases

There are around 80 communicable diseases notifiable in Hungary based on legal background. The physician (in primary health care, specialist care, inpatient medical institution or pathology) who first diagnoses a case of a notifiable communicable disease (even the suspicion of the disease!) immediately reports data of case to the first level of the epidemiological network (municipal institute) of National Public Health and Medical Officer's Service (NPHMOS). Data must be reported both at the beginning and at end of the illness (recovery/death, result of laboratory test). The NPHMOS has a nationwide electronic system for registering and analysing data of communicable diseases in a combined national database, so the system provides online connection between the three levels (municipal, regional and national level – National Centre of Epidemiology - NCE) of the organization. The NCE prepares reports regularly (weekly, monthly, yearly) to the Chief Medical Officer, the MoH and the Hungarian Central Statistical Office.

#### Case definition

Confirmed case: a clinically compatible case when the campylobacter infection is laboratory confirmed. Probable case: a clinically compatible case that is not confirmed by laboratory investigation, but it has an epidemiological link to a confirmed campylobacter outbreak.

#### Diagnostic/analytical methods used

Campylobacter isolates are obtained by culturing the faeces samples of the patients on selectivedifferentiating media, using reduced oxigen tension and special incubation temperature, followed by biochemical tests.

#### Notification system in place

The laboratories of NPHMOS have been able to identify campylobacters since 1987. Human cases have been notifiable since 1998. The physician reports data of case on a "case report form" by mail to the municipal institute of NPHMOS. The specialist of the institute records data immediately in the electronic system of the NPHMOS. Hungary has also a laboratory based surveillance system, and the NPHMOS has representative dataset from most of the microbiological laboratories about the laboratory investigated cases (since 2003 antibiotic resistances have also been reported from 5 regional laboratories of NPHMOS and from a number of laboratories of universities or hospitals).

The illness is reported first as enteritis infectiosa syndrome on the basis of the symptoms. Having the results of the laboratory tests this syndrome-based diagnose is modified to etiology-based diagnose. In some cases the reporting follows only the available laboratory test results.

#### History of the disease and/or infection in the country

The laboratories of NPHMOS have been able to identify campylobacters since 1987. In 1990 the National Centre for Epidemiology prepared a guideline on campylobacter enteritis, and then the collection of data on campylobacteriosis was started on this basis. The number of isolates increased from 5 500/year in 1990 to 12 000/year in 1996. Since 1998 this number has varied between 9 500 – 11 500 /year. Human cases have been notifiable since 1998, so the laboratory and clinical surveillance have been linked in this year.

The number of registered cases remained around 8 300-9 200 between 1998 and 2004 (incidence: 81,6 -

#### Hungary - 2012 Report on trends and sources of zoonoses

91,0 /100 000 inhabitants/year).

Altogether four death cases were registered between 1998 and 2004 (case fatality rate ranged between 0.0 - 0.02%/year). The highest age-specific incidence was observed among children under five years in all periods, and the incidence has declined with the progressing of the age.

The 95% of cases were sporadic, widespread outbreaks were observed very rarely; outbreaks mostly appeared in families (200 – 300/year). The most of the outbreaks were caused by poultry prepared with inadequate heat treatment or additionally contaminated food. There has not been any evidence in Hungary for outbreaks caused by ready-to-eat foods of industrial origin.

[In 1998 a single outbreak was investigated that occurred among consumers exposed to non-pasteurised milk (cow) consumed on a livestock market and exhibition (51 cases)]

75-80% of isolated strains were C.jejuni, around 10% were C.coli, 4-5% were C.lari, the distribution of campylobacter specieses did not changed significantly during the last five years.

#### Relevance as zoonotic disease

It is supposed that person-to-person transmission of campylobacter occur only in very few cases (infants, etc). Most of the outbreaks originated from poultry, via contaminated food. However, this facts have not based on statistical or laboratory evidences in Hungary.

#### 2.2.3 Campylobacter in foodstuffs

#### A. Thermophilic Campylobacter in Broiler meat and products thereof

#### Monitoring system

#### Sampling strategy

At slaughterhouse and cutting plant

There is an annual monitoring program based on the production capacity of the region. The monitoring plan is prepared by the central authority. The samples are taken by the regional authorities. Only one sample unit is taken from a batch, 25 grams are examined in the laboratory. These official samples are examined in the NRL Campylobacter with a presence-absence test followed by species identification and antimicrobial resistance.

#### At retail

To be reported via ECDC.

#### Frequency of the sampling

At slaughterhouse and cutting plant

Sampling distributed evenly throughout the year

#### Type of specimen taken

At slaughterhouse and cutting plant

Fresh meat

#### Methods of sampling (description of sampling techniques)

At slaughterhouse and cutting plant

At least 500 grams of fresh meat is sampled in a sterile plastic bag. The sample is transported to the laboratory in a cool box by courier.

#### Definition of positive finding

At slaughterhouse and cutting plant

When a strain of thermophilic Campylobacter is isolated from the sample (25g) after enrichment.

#### Diagnostic/analytical methods used

At slaughterhouse and cutting plant

Bacteriological method: ISO 10272:1995

#### National evaluation of the recent situation, the trends and sources of infection

Thermophilic Campylobacter - as in many countries - shows a high prevalence in broiler meat with a marked sesonal disribution of 30 % in winter to more than 60% in the summer months.

Table Campylobacter in other food

	Source of information	Sampling strategy	Sampler	Sample type	Sample origin	Sampling unit	Sample weight	Units tested	Total units positive for Campylobact er	C. coli	C. jejuni
Meat from pig - fresh - at slaughterhouse				food sample > meat							
Meat from pig - fresh - at processing plant		Objective sampling	Official sampling	food sample > meat		Single	25 grams	219	11	5	
Meat from pig - fresh - at retail		Objective sampling	Official sampling	food sample > meat		Single	25 grams	64	1	1	
Meat from bovine animals - fresh - at processing plant		Objective sampling	Official sampling	food sample > meat		Single	25 grams	77	5	2	3
Meat from bovine animals - fresh - at retail		Objective sampling	Official sampling	food sample > meat		Single	25 grams	4	0		
Milk, cows' - raw milk - at farm		Objective sampling	Official sampling	food sample > milk	Domestic	Batch	50 ml	160	1		

	C. lari	C. upsaliensis	Thermophilic Campylobact er spp., unspecified
Meat from pig - fresh - at slaughterhouse			
Meat from pig - fresh - at processing plant			6
Meat from pig - fresh - at retail			
Meat from bovine animals - fresh - at processing plant			
Meat from bovine animals - fresh - at retail			
Milk, cows' - raw milk - at farm			1

Table Campylobacter in poultry meat

	Source of information	Sampling strategy	Sampler	Sample type	Sample origin	Sampling unit	Sample weight	Units tested	Total units positive for Campylobact er	C. coli	C. jejuni
Meat from broilers (Gallus gallus) - carcase - at slaughterhouse		Objective sampling	Official sampling	food sample > meat		Single	25 grams	70	32	17	7
Meat from broilers (Gallus gallus) - fresh - at processing plant		Objective sampling	Official sampling	food sample > meat		Single	25 grams	140	42	25	6
Meat from broilers (Gallus gallus) - fresh - at retail		Objective sampling	Official sampling	food sample > meat		Single	25 grams	276	104	39	22
Meat from geese - fresh - at retail		Objective sampling	Official sampling	food sample > meat		Single	25 grams	1	0		
Meat from duck - fresh - at processing plant		Objective sampling	Official sampling	food sample > meat		Single	25 grams	67	10		5
Meat from duck - fresh - at retail		Objective sampling	Official sampling	food sample > meat		Single	25 grams	4	0		
Meat from geese - fresh - at processing plant		Objective sampling	Official sampling	food sample > meat		Single	25 grams	45	7	2	4
Meat from turkey - fresh - at processing plant - Surveillance		Objective sampling	Official sampling	food sample > meat		Single	25 grams	271	42	20	9
Meat from turkey - fresh - at retail		Objective sampling	Official sampling	food sample > meat		Single	25 grams	16	3	2	

	C. lari	C. upsaliensis	Thermophilic Campylobact er spp., unspecified
Meat from broilers (Gallus gallus) - carcase - at slaughterhouse			8
Meat from broilers (Gallus gallus) - fresh - at processing plant			11

## Table Campylobacter in poultry meat

	C. lari	C. upsaliensis	Thermophilic Campylobact er spp., unspecified
Meat from broilers (Gallus gallus) - fresh - at retail	1		42
Meat from geese - fresh - at retail			
Meat from duck - fresh - at processing plant			5
Meat from duck - fresh - at retail			
Meat from geese - fresh - at processing plant			1
Meat from turkey - fresh - at processing plant - Surveillance			13
Meat from turkey - fresh - at retail			1

## 2.2.4 Campylobacter in animals

## Table Campylobacter in animals

	Source of information	Sampling strategy	Sampler	Sample type	Sample origin	Sampling unit	Units tested	Total units positive for Campylobact er	C. coli	C. jejuni	C. lari
Pigs - fattening pigs - at slaughterhouse - Monitoring	NFCSO - VDD	Unspecified	Not applicable	animal sample	Domestic	Holding	153	79	62	2	
Gallus gallus (fowl) - broilers - at slaughterhouse - Monitoring	NFCSO - VDD	Unspecified	Not applicable	animal sample	Domestic	Flock	165	138	74	63	

	C. upsaliensis	Thermophilic Campylobact er spp., unspecified
Pigs - fattening pigs - at slaughterhouse - Monitoring		15
Gallus gallus (fowl) - broilers - at slaughterhouse - Monitoring		1

#### 2.2.5 Antimicrobial resistance in Campylobacter isolates

#### A. Antimicrobial resistance in Campylobacter jejuni and coli in foodstuff derived from poultry

#### Sampling strategy used in monitoring

#### Frequency of the sampling

Isolates derive from monitoring system performed for measurement of prevalence of campylobacters in fresh poultry meat. The sampling is random, performed by the regional competent authorities. The samples are taken in slaughterhouses, and is a part of a permanent monitoring scheme.

#### Type of specimen taken

500 grams of fresh poultry meat.

Procedures for the selection of isolates for antimicrobial testing

Almost every isolated strains are tested.

#### Methods used for collecting data

All the tests are performed by the NRL.

#### Laboratory methodology used for identification of the microbial isolates

Disc diffusion method on horseblood agar plates. Control strains are used.

# Table Antimicrobial susceptibility testing of C. jejuni in Meat from broilers (Gallus gallus) - fresh - Official sampling - food sample - meat - quantitative data [Dilution method]

Concentration	(µg/ml)	, number c	of isolates	with a	concentration	of inhibition	equal to
---------------	---------	------------	-------------	--------	---------------	---------------	----------

C. jejuni		Meat from broilers (Gallus gallus) - fresh																								
Isolates out of a monitoring program (yes/no)		yes																								
Number of isolates available in the laboratory		22																								
Antimicrobials:	Cut-off value	N	n	<=0.002	<=0.004	0.008	0.015	0.016	0.03	0.06	0.12	0.25	0.5	1	2	4	8	16	32	64	128	256	512	>4096	1024	2048
Aminoglycosides - Gentamicin	1	22	1								1	13	4	3	1											
Aminoglycosides - Streptomycin	2	22	4											13	5	2	2									
Fluoroquinolones - Ciprofloxacin	1	22	18							1	3				3	9	6									
Tetracyclines - Tetracycline	2	22	12									2	4	3	1			6	6							
Fully sensitive		3	3	3																						
Macrolides - Erythromycin	4	22	0										15	4	2	1										
Resistant to 1 antimicrobial		7	7	7																						
Resistant to 2 antimicrobials		9	9	9																						
Resistant to 3 antimicrobials		2	2	2																						
Resistant to 4 antimicrobials		1	1	1																						

C. jejuni		Meat from broilers (Gallu gallus) - fres					
	Isolates out of a monitoring program (yes/no)	ye	es				
	Number of isolates available in the laboratory	2	2				
Antimicrob	ials:	lowest	highest				
Aminoglycosides	- Gentamicin	0.12	4				
Aminoglycosides	- Streptomycin	0.5	64				
Fluoroquinolones	- Ciprofloxacin	0.06	8				

Table Antimicrobial susceptibility testing of C. jejuni in Meat from broilers (Gallus gallus) - fresh - Official sampling - food sample - meat - quantitative data [Dilution method]

	ativo data [Bildt		
C. jejuni		broilers	from (Gallus - fresh
	Isolates out of a monitoring program (yes/no)	ye	es
	2	2	
Antimicrob	oials:	lowest	highest
Tetracyclines - To	etracycline	0.25	32
Fully sensitive			
Macrolides - Eryt	hromycin	0.12	64
Resistant to 1 an	timicrobial		
Resistant to 2 an	timicrobials		
Resistant to 3 an	timicrobials		
Resistant to 4 an	timicrobials		

## Table Antimicrobial susceptibility testing of C. jejuni in Meat from turkey - fresh - Official sampling - food sample - meat - quantitative data [Dilution method]

Concentration (µg/ml), number of isolates with a concentration of inhibition equal to

								, , ,														
C. jejuni												Mea	it from tu	urkey - f	resh							
Isolates out of a monitoring program (yes/no)			yes																			
Number of isolates available in the laboratory		9																				
Antimicrobials:	Cut-off value	N n <=0.002 <=0.004 0.008 0.015 0.016 0.03 0.06 0.12 0.25 0.5 1 2 4 8 16 32 64 128 256 512 >4096 1024 2048																				
Aminoglycosides - Gentamicin	1	9	0								3	1	4	1								
Aminoglycosides - Streptomycin	2	9	0										1	3	5							
Fluoroquinolones - Ciprofloxacin	1	9	5							2	2					3	2					
Tetracyclines - Tetracycline	2	9	2									2	5					2				
Fully sensitive		4	4	4																		
Macrolides - Erythromycin	4	9	0										7	2								
Resistant to 1 antimicrobial		3	3	3																		
Resistant to 2 antimicrobials		2	2	2																		

C. jejuni	Isolates out of a monitoring program (yes/no) Number of isolates available in the laboratory  ntimicrobials: inoglycosides - Gentamicin inoglycosides - Streptomycin		from - fresh							
	~	ye	es							
		,	9							
Antimicrob	oials:	lowest	highest							
Aminoglycosides	- Gentamicin	0.12	4							
Aminoglycosides	- Streptomycin	0.5	64							
Fluoroquinolones	s - Ciprofloxacin	0.06	8							
Tetracyclines - T	program (yes/no)  Number of isolates available in the laboratory  ntimicrobials:  inoglycosides - Gentamicin									
Fully sensitive			·							

Table Antimicrobial susceptibility testing of C. jejuni in Meat from turkey - fresh - Official sampling - food sample - meat - quantitative data [Dilution method]

C. jejuni		Meat turkey	from - fresh
	Isolates out of a monitoring program (yes/no)	ye	es
	Number of isolates available in the laboratory		9
Antimicrob	oials:	lowest	highest
Macrolides - Eryt	thromycin	0.12	64
Resistant to 1 an	itimicrobial		
Resistant to 2 an	itimicrobials		

### Table Antimicrobial susceptibility testing of C. coli in Meat from broilers (Gallus gallus) - fresh - Official sampling - food sample - meat quantitative data [Dilution method]

Concentration (µg/ml), number of isolates with a concentration of inhibition equal to

C. coli	Meat from broilers (Gallus gallus) - fresh																									
Isolates out of a monitoring program (yes/no)													у	es												
Number of isolates available in the laboratory		47																								
Antimicrobials:	Cut-off value	N	n	<=0.002	<=0.004	0.008	0.015	0.016	0.03	0.06	0.12	0.25	0.5	1	2	4	8	16	32	64	128	256	512	>4096	1024	2048
Aminoglycosides - Gentamicin	2	47	0								5	14	19	6	3											
Aminoglycosides - Streptomycin	4	47	6											25	11	5	2	3		1						
Fluoroquinolones - Ciprofloxacin	1	47	41								4	2				31	9	1								
Tetracyclines - Tetracycline	2	47	16								1	3	18	8	1			10	6							
Fully sensitive		3	3	3																						
Macrolides - Erythromycin	16	47	1								1	6	26	7	3	3			1							
Resistant to 1 antimicrobial		26	26	26																						
Resistant to 2 antimicrobials		16	16	16																						
Resistant to 3 antimicrobials		2	2	2																						

C. coli	Meat from broilers (Gallus gallus) - fresh				
	Isolates out of a monitoring program (yes/no)	yes			
	Number of isolates available in the laboratory				
Antimicrob	lowest	highest			
Aminoglycosides	0.12	4			
Aminoglycosides	0.5	64			
Fluoroquinolones	0.06	8			
Tetracyclines - T	0.12	32			

Table Antimicrobial susceptibility testing of C. coli in Meat from broilers (Gallus gallus) - fresh - Official sampling - food sample - meat - quantitative data [Dilution method]

Meat from broilers (Gallu gallus) - fresh				
yes				
4	47			
lowest	highest			
0.12	64			
	broilers gallus)  yu  4  lowest			

## Table Antimicrobial susceptibility testing of C. coli in Meat from turkey - fresh - Official sampling - food sample - meat - quantitative data [Dilution method]

Concentration (µg/ml), number of isolates with a concentration of inhibition equal to

								, , ,																		
C. coli	Meat from turkey - fresh																									
Isolates out of a monitoring program (yes/no)		yes																								
Number of isolates available in the laboratory		11																								
Antimicrobials:	Cut-off value	N	n	<=0.002	<=0.004	0.008	0.015	0.016	0.03	0.06	0.12	0.25	0.5	1	2	4	8	16	32	64	128	256	512	>4096	1024	2048
Aminoglycosides - Gentamicin	2	11	0									2	4	5												
Aminoglycosides - Streptomycin	4	11	0											3	4	4										
Fluoroquinolones - Ciprofloxacin	1	11	10								1					5	5									
Tetracyclines - Tetracycline	2	11	5										1	5				4	1							
Fully sensitive		1	1	1																						
Macrolides - Erythromycin	16	11	0									1	3	3	4											
Resistant to 1 antimicrobial		5	5	5																						
Resistant to 2 antimicrobials		5	5	5														_								

C. coli	Meat from turkey - fresh				
	Isolates out of a monitoring program (yes/no)	ye	es		
	11				
Antimicrol	lowest	highest			
Aminoglycosides	0.12	4			
Aminoglycosides	0.5	64			
Fluoroquinolone	0.06	8			
Tetracyclines - T	0.25	32			
Fully sensitive					

Table Antimicrobial susceptibility testing of C. coli in Meat from turkey - fresh - Official sampling - food sample - meat - quantitative data [Dilution method]

C. coli	Meat from turkey - fresh					
	Isolates out of a monitoring program (yes/no)					
	Number of isolates available in the laboratory					
Antimicro	lowest	highest				
Macrolides - Ery	0.12	64				
Resistant to 1 ar						
Resistant to 2 ar						

### Table Antimicrobial susceptibility testing of C. jejuni in Pigs - fattening pigs

### - quantitative data [Dilution method]

Concentration (µg/ml), number of isolates with a concentration of inhibition equal to

C. jejuni							- 4					Р	igs - fatt	ening pi	gs											
Isolates out of a monitoring program (yes/no)																										
Number of isolates available in the laboratory													. 4	9												
Antimicrobials:	Cut-off value	N	n	<=0.002	<=0.004	0.008	0.015	0.016	0.03	0.06	0.12	0.25	0.5	1	2	4	8	16	32	64	128	256	512	>4096	1024	2048
Aminoglycosides - Gentamicin	2	3	0									1	1	1												
Aminoglycosides - Streptomycin	4	3	2											1				2								
Amphenicols - Chloramphenicol	16	3	0												2	1										
Fluoroquinolones - Ciprofloxacin	0	3	3								1					2										
Quinolones - Nalidixic acid	16	3	2														1			2						
Tetracyclines - Tetracycline	1	3	2									1						2								
Macrolides - Erythromycin	4	3	0										1	1		1										

C. jejuni		_	attening gs	
	Isolates out of a monitoring program (yes/no)			
	4	9		
Antimicro	lowest	highest		
Aminoglycosides	0.12	16		
Aminoglycosides	s - Streptomycin	1	16	
Amphenicols - C	hloramphenicol	2	32	
Fluoroquinolone	s - Ciprofloxacin	0.06	4	
Quinolones - Na	lidixic acid	2 64		
Tetracyclines - T	etracycline	0.25	16	

## Table Antimicrobial susceptibility testing of C. jejuni in Pigs - fattening pigs - quantitative data [Dilution method]

C. jejuni	_	attening gs
Isolates out of a monitoring program (yes/no)		
Number of isolates available in the laboratory	4	9
Antimicrobials:	lowest	highest
Macrolides - Erythromycin	0.5	32

## Table Antimicrobial susceptibility testing of C. jejuni in Gallus gallus (fowl) - broilers - quantitative data [Dilution method]

Concentration (µg/ml), number of isolates with a concentration of inhibition equal to

C. jejuni												Gallus	gallus (	fowl) - b	roilers											
Isolates out of a monitoring program (yes/no)																										
Number of isolates available in the laboratory													4	9												
Antimicrobials:	Cut-off value	N	n	<=0.002	<=0.004	0.008	0.015	0.016	0.03	0.06	0.12	0.25	0.5	1	2	4	8	16	32	64	128	256	512	>4096	1024	2048
Aminoglycosides - Gentamicin	2	46	0								12	23	11													
Aminoglycosides - Streptomycin	4	46	0											43	3											
Amphenicols - Chloramphenicol	16	46	0												43	3										
Fluoroquinolones - Ciprofloxacin	0	46	46							4	2					40										
Quinolones - Nalidixic acid	16	46	39												1	3	3		2	37						
Tetracyclines - Tetracycline	1	46	20									20	5	1		1		19								
Macrolides - Erythromycin	4	46	0										40	6												

C. jejuni			gallus broilers	
	Isolates out of a monitoring program (yes/no)			
	4	9		
Antimicro	oials:	lowest	highest	
Aminoglycosides	s - Gentamicin	0.12	16	
Aminoglycosides	s - Streptomycin	1	16	
Amphenicols - C	hloramphenicol	2	32	
Fluoroquinolone	s - Ciprofloxacin	0.06	4	
Quinolones - Na	lidixic acid	2 64		
Tetracyclines - T	etracycline	0.25	16	

## Table Antimicrobial susceptibility testing of C. jejuni in Gallus gallus (fowl) - broilers - quantitative data [Dilution method]

C. jejuni		gallus broilers
Isolates out of a monitoring program (yes/no)		
Number of isolates available in the laboratory	4	9
Antimicrobials:	lowest	highest
Macrolides - Erythromycin	0.5	32

### Table Antimicrobial susceptibility testing of C. coli in Pigs - fattening pigs - quantitative data [Dilution method]

Concentration (µg/ml), number of isolates with a concentration of inhibition equal to

C. coli												Р	igs - fatt	ening pi	gs								
Isolates out of a monitoring program (yes/no)																							
Number of isolates available in the laboratory													1	16									
Antimicrobials:	Cut-off value	N n <=0.002 <=0.004 0.008 0.015 0.016 0.03 0.06 0.12 0.25 0.5 1 2 4 8 16 32 64 128 256 512 >4096 1024 2048																					
Aminoglycosides - Gentamicin	2	53	2								2		19	30				2					
Aminoglycosides - Streptomycin	4	53	46											1	3	3	2	44					
Amphenicols - Chloramphenicol	16	53	0												29	18	6						
Fluoroquinolones - Ciprofloxacin	0	53	53							11	7	7	1			27							
Quinolones - Nalidixic acid	16	53	27													7	16	3		27			
Tetracyclines - Tetracycline	2	53	47									2	1	2	1		1	46					
Macrolides - Erythromycin	8	53	8										16	17	10	2		1	7				

C. coli		_	attening gs	
	Isolates out of a monitoring program (yes/no)			
	1	16		
Antimicro	oials:	lowest	highest	
Aminoglycosides	0.12	16		
Aminoglycosides	s - Streptomycin	1	16	
Amphenicols - C	hloramphenicol	2	32	
Fluoroquinolone	s - Ciprofloxacin	0.06	4	
Quinolones - Na	lidixic acid	2 64		
Tetracyclines - T	etracycline	0.25	16	

## Table Antimicrobial susceptibility testing of C. coli in Pigs - fattening pigs - quantitative data [Dilution method]

C. coli	Pigs - fa	attening gs
Isolates out of a monitoring program (yes/no)		
Number of isolates available in the laboratory	1:	16
Antimicrobials:	lowest	highest
Macrolides - Erythromycin	0.5	32

### Table Antimicrobial susceptibility testing of C. coli in Gallus gallus (fowl) - broilers

### - quantitative data [Dilution method]

Concentration (µg/ml), number of isolates with a concentration of inhibition equal to

C. coli												Gallus	gallus (	fowl) - b	roilers											
Isolates out of a monitoring program (yes/no)																										
Number of isolates available in the laboratory													1:	16												
Antimicrobials:	Cut-off value	N	n	<=0.002	<=0.004	0.008	0.015	0.016	0.03	0.06	0.12	0.25	0.5	1	2	4	8	16	32	64	128	256	512	>4096	1024	2048
Aminoglycosides - Gentamicin	2	63	0								11	9	35	7	1											
Aminoglycosides - Streptomycin	4	63	4											20	30	9		4								
Amphenicols - Chloramphenicol	16	63	0												51	11	1									
Fluoroquinolones - Ciprofloxacin	0	63	63							6	4	2		1	1	49										
Quinolones - Nalidixic acid	16	63	52													7	2	2	1	51						
Tetracyclines - Tetracycline	2	63	33									20	6	2	2		1	32								
Macrolides - Erythromycin	8	63	1										53	5	4				1							

C. coli			gallus broilers	
	Isolates out of a monitoring program (yes/no)			
	Number of isolates available in the laboratory	1	16	
Antimicrob	oials:	lowest	highest	
Aminoglycosides	s - Gentamicin	0.12	16	
Aminoglycosides	s - Streptomycin	1	16	
Amphenicols - C	hloramphenicol	2	32	
Fluoroquinolones	s - Ciprofloxacin	0.06	4	
Quinolones - Nal	idixic acid	2 64		
Tetracyclines - T	etracycline	0.25	16	

## Table Antimicrobial susceptibility testing of C. coli in Gallus gallus (fowl) - broilers - quantitative data [Dilution method]

C. coli	Gallus gallu: (fowl) - broile	
Isolates out of a monito program (yes/no)	oring	
Number of isolates ava in the laboratory	ailable 116	
Antimicrobials:	lowest highe	st
Macrolides - Erythromycin	0.5 32	

## Table Cut-off values used for antimicrobial susceptibility testing of C. coli in Animals

Test Method Used	Standard methods used for testing	

			Concentration (microg/ml)	Zone diameter (mm)
		Standard	Resistant >	Resistant <=
Aminoglycosides	Gentamicin		2	
	Streptomycin		4	
Fluoroquinolones	Ciprofloxacin		1	
Macrolides	Erythromycin		16	
Tetracyclines	Tetracycline		2	

## Table Cut-off values used for antimicrobial susceptibility testing of C. coli in Feed

Test Method Used	Standard methods used for testing	

			Concentration (microg/ml)	Zone diameter (mm)
		Standard	Resistant >	Resistant <=
Aminoglycosides	Gentamicin		2	
	Streptomycin		4	
Fluoroquinolones	Ciprofloxacin		1	
Macrolides	Erythromycin		16	
Tetracyclines	Tetracycline		2	

## Table Cut-off values used for antimicrobial susceptibility testing of C. coli in Food

Test Method Used	Standard methods used for testing
Broth dilution	EFSA 2007

			Concentration (microg/ml)	Zone diameter (mm)
		Standard	Resistant >	Resistant <=
Aminoglycosides	Gentamicin	EFSA	2	
	Streptomycin	EFSA	4	
Fluoroquinolones	Ciprofloxacin	EFSA	1	
Macrolides	Erythromycin	EFSA	16	
Tetracyclines	Tetracycline	EFSA	2	

## Table Cut-off values used for antimicrobial susceptibility testing of C. jejuni in Animals

Test Method Used	Standard methods used for testing	

			Concentration (microg/ml)	Zone diameter (mm)
		Standard	Resistant >	Resistant <=
Aminoglycosides	Gentamicin		1	
	Streptomycin		2	
Fluoroquinolones	Ciprofloxacin		1	
Macrolides	Erythromycin		4	
Tetracyclines	Tetracycline		2	

## Table Cut-off values used for antimicrobial susceptibility testing of C. jejuni in Feed

Test Method Used	Standard methods used for testing

			Concentration (microg/ml)	Zone diameter (mm)
		Standard	Resistant >	Resistant <=
Aminoglycosides	Gentamicin		1	
	Streptomycin		2	
Fluoroquinolones	Ciprofloxacin		1	
Macrolides	Erythromycin		4	
Tetracyclines	Tetracycline		2	

## Table Cut-off values used for antimicrobial susceptibility testing of C. jejuni in Food

Test Method Used	Standard methods used for testing
Broth dilution	EFSA 2007

			Concentration (microg/ml)	Zone diameter (mm)
		Standard	Resistant >	Resistant <=
Aminoglycosides	Gentamicin	EFSA	1	
	Streptomycin	EFSA	2	
Fluoroquinolones	Ciprofloxacin	EFSA	1	
Macrolides	Erythromycin	EFSA	4	
Tetracyclines	Tetracycline	EFSA	2	

### 2.3 LISTERIOSIS

### 2.3.1 General evaluation of the national situation

### A. Listeriosis general evaluation

Relevance of the findings in animals, feedingstuffs and foodstuffs to human cases (as a source of infection)

Testing of ready-to-eat products for the presence/and/or the determination of the number of Listeria monocytogenes is obligatory for food business operators based on Reg.2073/2005/EC. The official monitoring program concentrates to take samples from these products on a risk based approach as well. Only the data of official control are presented in this report, because only these data are collected in the database of the authority. The legislative background has changed a lot, because before 2006 only milk and milk products were regularly tested for Listeria monocytogenes and only by presence absence tests. In the frame of USDA-FSIS monitoring obligatory for US exporting establishments raw cured products were tested as well with presence-abscence tests and MPN based method suitable for enumeration of low numbers of the microorganism

From 2006, those RTE products that not support the growth of Listeria, are examined by the enumeration method ISO 11290:2 (e.g. salami, raw smoked ham). If the product is able to support the growth of the pathogen, presence-abscence test is used as a first step (ISO 11290:1), or the two method run paralel (depending on the expiry date, the amount of sample is enough to perform an enumeration test if the first test is positive). The pathogen is enumerated from all the positive samples.

Based on the past decade's USDA Listeria monitoring data, Listeria monocytogenes can be frequently isolated from traditional raw and smoked meat products as salami and sausages, but the highest contamination level was 2.3 cells (MPN method)/gram. Therefore this product group certainly does not play an important role in human infections.

Listeria monocytogenes can be isolated from mixes salads as well, but because of low pH and preservatives charateristic for this product group generally do not support the growth of the pathogen, and only level of <10 cells per gram was measured from the positive samples.

Milk products are characteristically made of pasteurised milk in Hungary, therefore these types of foodstuff are practically free from Listeria.

Consumers show an increasing interest to by raw milk for consumption in the past few years. Despite of the obligatory labelling to call the consumers' attention for heat treating of raw milk, this product can be considered as a potential source of infection in the future.

Recent actions taken to control the zoonoses

Based on Reg. 2073/2005/EC.

### 2.3.2 Listeriosis in humans

#### A. Listeriosis in humans

#### Reporting system in place for the human cases

There are around 80 communicable diseases notifiable in Hungary based on legal background. The physician (in primary health care, specialist care, inpatient medical institution or pathology) who first diagnoses a case of a notifiable communicable disease (even the suspicion of the disease!) immediately reports data of case to the first level of the epidemiological network (municipal institute) of National Public Health and Medical Officer's Service (NPHMOS). Data must be reported both at the beginning and at end of the illness (recovery/death, result of laboratory test). The NPHMOS has a nationwide electronic reporting system for registering and analysing data of communicable diseases in a combined national database, so the system provides online connection amid the three levels (municipal, regional and national level – National Centre of Epidemiology - NCE) of the organization. The NCE prepares reports regularly (weekly, monthly, yearly) to the Chief Medical Officer, the MoH and the Hungarian Central Statistical Office.

#### Case definition

Confirmed case: Clinical picture of an invasive illness (meningitis purulenta, sepsis, stillbirth etc.), and L.monocytogenes has been isolated from invasive sample (liquor, blood, amniotic fluid etc.)

#### Diagnostic/analytical methods used

The samples are cultivated on enriched medium. The isolation is followed by the biochemical tests, and antimicrobial susceptibility testing.

### Notification system in place

Listeriosis has been notifiable since 1998 in Hungary. The physician reports data of case on a "case report form" by mail to the municipal institute of NPHMOS. The specialist of the institute records data immediately in the electronic system of the NPHMOS. Hungary also has a laboratory based surveillance system, and the NPHMOS has representative dataset from most of the microbiological laboratories about the investigated cases (since 2003 antibiotic resistances has also been reported from 20 county institutes and 12 laboratories from universities or hospitals).

The illness is reported first as meningitis purulenta syndrome on the basis of the symptoms. Having the results of the laboratory tests this syndrome-based diagnose is modified to etiology-based diagnose (listeriosis).

#### History of the disease and/or infection in the country

Listeriosis has been notifiable since 1998 in Hungary, there have been 91 cases registered since then. The number of yearly registered cases ranged between 4-25 (incidence  $0.04-0.2/100\ 0.00$  inhabitants/year; median: 14 cases), the case fatality rate ranged between 0-50% (median 22,2%). The age-distribution of cases: 12% infants, 1-14 year 3,4%, 15-19 year 0%, 20-49 year 20%, 50-59 year 20%, >60 year 43%. Most of the cases are meningitis, less of them are sepsis.

#### Relevance as zoonotic disease

Listeriosis is underreported in Hungary. No evidence has been found for a food-borne case based on laboratory tests in Hungary.

Hungary - 2012 Report on trends and sources of zoonoses

## 2.3.3 Listeria in foodstuffs

	Source of information	Sampling strategy	Sampler	Sample type	Sample origin	Sampling unit	Sample weight	Units tested	Total units positive for L. monocytogen es	Units tested with detection method	Listeria monocytogen es presence in x g
Milk, cows' - raw milk - intended for direct human consumption - at farm - Surveillance	National Food Chain Safety Office	Objective sampling	Official sampling	food sample > milk	Domestic	Single	25 ml or 10 ml	112	6	95	6
Milk, cows' - pasteurised milk - at processing plant - Surveillance	National Food Chain Safety Office	Objective sampling	Official sampling	food sample > milk	Domestic	Single	25 ml	116	0	0	0
Milk, cows' - pasteurised milk - at retail - Surveillance	National Food Chain Safety Office	Objective sampling	Official sampling	food sample > milk	Unknown	Single	25 ml	10	0	0	0
Milk, goats' - raw milk - intended for direct human consumption - at farm - Surveillance	National Food Chain Safety Office	Unspecified	Official sampling	food sample > milk	Domestic	Single	25 ml	1	0	1	0
Cheeses made from cows' milk - soft and semi-soft - made from pasteurised milk - at processing plant - Surveillance	National Food Chain Safety Office	Objective sampling	Official sampling	food sample	Domestic	Single	25 g	63	0	50	0
Cheeses made from cows' milk - soft and semi-soft - made from pasteurised milk - at retail - Surveillance	National Food Chain Safety Office	Objective sampling	Official sampling	food sample	Unknown	Single	25 g	83	0	53	0
Cheeses made from goats' milk - soft and semi-soft - made from pasteurised milk - at processing plant - Surveillance	National Food Chain Safety Office	Objective sampling	Official sampling	food sample	Domestic	Single	25 g	7	0	6	0
Cheeses made from goats' milk - soft and semi-soft - made from pasteurised milk - at retail - Surveillance	National Food Chain Safety Office	Objective sampling	Official sampling	food sample	Unknown	Single	25 g	3	0	2	0
Cheeses made from sheep's milk - soft and semi- soft - made from pasteurised milk - at processing plant - Surveillance	National Food Chain Safety Office	Objective sampling	Official sampling	food sample	Domestic	Single	25 g	7	0	7	0

	Source of information	Sampling strategy	Sampler	Sample type	Sample origin	Sampling unit	Sample weight	Units tested	Total units positive for L. monocytogen es	Units tested with detection method	Listeria monocytogen es presence in x g
Cheeses made from sheep's milk - soft and semi- soft - made from pasteurised milk - at retail - Surveillance	National Food Chain Safety Office	Objective sampling	Official sampling	food sample	Unknown	Single	25 g	2	0	1	0
Dairy products (excluding cheeses) - butter - made from pasteurised milk - at processing plant - Surveillance	National Food Chain Safety Office	Objective sampling	Official sampling	food sample	Domestic	Single	25 g	26	0	22	0
Dairy products (excluding cheeses) - butter - made from pasteurised milk - at retail - Surveillance	National Food Chain Safety Office	Objective sampling	Official sampling	food sample	Unknown	Single	25 g	37	0	25	0
Cheeses made from cows' milk - curd - at processing plant - Surveillance	National Food Chain Safety Office	Objective sampling	Official sampling	food sample	Domestic	Single	25 g	40	0	33	0
Cheeses made from cows' milk - curd - at retail - Surveillance	National Food Chain Safety Office	Objective sampling	Official sampling	food sample	Unknown	Single	25 g	33	0	21	0
Cheeses made from sheep's milk - fresh - made from pasteurised milk - at processing plant - Surveillance	National Food Chain Safety Office	Objective sampling	Official sampling	food sample	Domestic	Single	25 g	2	0	2	0
Cheeses made from sheep's milk - fresh - made from pasteurised milk - at retail - Surveillance	National Food Chain Safety Offic	Objective sampling	Official sampling	food sample	Unknown	Single	25 g	13	0	10	0
Dairy products (excluding cheeses) - dairy desserts - at processing plant - Surveillance	National Food Chain Safety Offic	Objective sampling	Official sampling	food sample	Domestic	Single	25 g	29	0	22	0
Dairy products (excluding cheeses) - dairy desserts - at retail - Surveillance	National Food Chain Safety Offic	Objective sampling	Official sampling	food sample	Unknown	Single	25 g	42	0	28	0
Dairy products (excluding cheeses) - fermented dairy products - at processing plant - Surveillance	National Food Chain Safety Offic	Objective sampling	Official sampling	food sample	Domestic	Single	25 g	65	0	58	0

	Source of information	Sampling strategy	Sampler	Sample type	Sample origin	Sampling unit	Sample weight		Total units positive for L. monocytogen es	with detection	Listeria monocytogen es presence in x g
Dairy products (excluding cheeses) - fermented dairy products - at retail - Surveillance	National Food Chain Safety Office	Objective sampling	Official sampling	food sample	Unknown	Single	25 g	86	0	78	0
Dairy products (excluding cheeses) - ice-cream - at catering - Surveillance	National Food Chain Safety Office	Objective sampling	Official sampling	food sample	Domestic	Single	25 g	112	0	91	0
Dairy products (excluding cheeses) - ice-cream - at processing plant - Surveillance	National Food Chain Safety Office	Objective sampling	Official sampling	food sample	Domestic	Single	25 g	104	0	102	0
Dairy products (excluding cheeses) - ice-cream - at retail - Surveillance	National Food Chain Safety Office	Objective sampling	Official sampling	food sample	Unknown	Single	25 g	174	1	117	1
Dairy products (excluding cheeses) - milk powder and whey powder - at processing plant - Surveillance	National Food Chain Safety Office	Objective sampling	Official sampling	food sample	Domestic	Single	25 g	20	0	17	0
Dairy products (excluding cheeses) - milk powder and whey powder - at retail - Surveillance	National Food Chain Safety Office	Objective sampling	Official sampling	food sample	Unknown	Single	25 g	68	0	40	0

	Units tested with enumeration method	> detection limit but <= 100 cfu/g	L. monocytogen es > 100 cfu/g
Milk, cows' - raw milk - intended for direct human consumption - at farm - Surveillance	17	0	0
Milk, cows' - pasteurised milk - at processing plant - Surveillance	116	0	0
Milk, cows' - pasteurised milk - at retail - Surveillance	10	0	0

	Units tested with enumeration method	> detection limit but <= 100 cfu/g	L. monocytogen es > 100 cfu/g
Milk, goats' - raw milk - intended for direct human consumption - at farm - Surveillance	0	0	0
Cheeses made from cows' milk - soft and semi-soft - made from pasteurised milk - at processing plant - Surveillance	13	0	0
Cheeses made from cows' milk - soft and semi-soft - made from pasteurised milk - at retail - Surveillance	30	0	0
Cheeses made from goats' milk - soft and semi-soft - made from pasteurised milk - at processing plant - Surveillance	1	0	0
Cheeses made from goats' milk - soft and semi-soft - made from pasteurised milk - at retail - Surveillance	1	0	0
Cheeses made from sheep's milk - soft and semi- soft - made from pasteurised milk - at processing plant - Surveillance	0	0	0
Cheeses made from sheep's milk - soft and semi- soft - made from pasteurised milk - at retail - Surveillance	1	0	0
Dairy products (excluding cheeses) - butter - made from pasteurised milk - at processing plant - Surveillance	4	0	0
Dairy products (excluding cheeses) - butter - made from pasteurised milk - at retail - Surveillance	12	0	0
Cheeses made from cows' milk - curd - at processing plant - Surveillance	7	0	0

	Units tested with enumeration method	> detection limit but <= 100 cfu/g	L. monocytogen es > 100 cfu/g
Cheeses made from cows' milk - curd - at retail - Surveillance	12	0	0
Cheeses made from sheep's milk - fresh - made from pasteurised milk - at processing plant - Surveillance	0	0	0
Cheeses made from sheep's milk - fresh - made from pasteurised milk - at retail - Surveillance	3	0	0
Dairy products (excluding cheeses) - dairy desserts - at processing plant - Surveillance	7	0	0
Dairy products (excluding cheeses) - dairy desserts - at retail - Surveillance	14	0	0
Dairy products (excluding cheeses) - fermented dairy products - at processing plant - Surveillance	7	0	0
Dairy products (excluding cheeses) - fermented dairy products - at retail - Surveillance	8	0	0
Dairy products (excluding cheeses) - ice-cream - at catering - Surveillance	21	0	0
Dairy products (excluding cheeses) - ice-cream - at processing plant - Surveillance	2	0	0
Dairy products (excluding cheeses) - ice-cream - at retail - Surveillance	57	0	0
Dairy products (excluding cheeses) - milk powder and whey powder - at processing plant - Surveillance	3	0	0

	Units tested with enumeration method	> detection limit but <= 100 cfu/g	L. monocytogen es > 100 cfu/g
Dairy products (excluding cheeses) - milk powder and whey powder - at retail - Surveillance	28	0	0

53

50

0

2

25 g

25 g

53

34

0

1

#### Total units Listeria Units tested monocytogen Sample type Sample origin Sampling unit positive for L with detection Source of Sampling Sample monocytogen Sampler Units tested es presence information strategy weight method es in x g National Food Meat from broilers (Gallus gallus) - meat products -Objective Official Chain Safety cooked, ready-to-eat - at processing plant food sample Domestic Sinale 25 a 26 0 20 0 sampling sampling Surveillance Office National Food Meat from broilers (Gallus gallus) - meat products -Objective Official cooked, ready-to-eat - at retail - Surveillance Chain Safety 65 food sample Unknown Single 25 g 84 0 0 sampling sampling Office National Food Objective Official Meat from pig - meat products - cooked, ready-to-Chain Safety food sample Domestic Single 25 g 20 0 15 0 eat - at processing plant - Surveillance sampling sampling Office National Food Objective Official Meat from pig - meat products - cooked, ready-to-Chain Safety 81 3 59 2 food sample Unknown Single 25 g eat - at retail - Surveillance sampling sampling Office National Food Meat from bovine animals - meat products - cooked, Objective Official Chain Safety 3 0 2 0 ready-to-eat - at processing plant - Surveillance food sample Domestic Sinale 25 g sampling sampling Office National Food Official Meat from bovine animals - meat products - cooked, Objective Chain Safety food sample Unknown Single 25 g 31 21 1 ready-to-eat - at retail - Surveillance sampling sampling Office National Food Objective Official Fish - smoked - at retail - Surveillance Chain Safety food sample Unknown Single 25 g 57 2 39 2 sampling sampling Office National Food Molluscan shellfish - cooked - at retail - Surveillance Objective Official Chain Safety 40 25 0 food sample Unknown Single 25 g 0 sampling sampling Office National Food Objective Official

food sample

food sample

Unknown

Unknown

Single

Sinale

Chain Safety

Office National Food

Chain Safety

Office

sampling

Objective

sampling

sampling

Official

sampling

Infant formula - at retail - Surveillance

- sandwiches - at retail - Surveillance

Other processed food products and prepared dishes

	Source of information	Sampling strategy	Sampler	Sample type	Sample origin	Sampling unit	Sample weight		Total units positive for L. monocytogen es	Units tested with detection method	Listeria monocytogen es presence in x g
Vegetables - pre-cut - ready-to-eat - at retail - Surveillance	National Food Chain Safety Office	Objective sampling	Official sampling	food sample	Unknown	Single	25 g	14	0	12	0
Ready-to-eat salads	National Food Chain Safety Office	Objective sampling	Official sampling	food sample	Unknown	Single	25 g	405	13	275	13
Bakery products - cakes - Surveillance	National Food Chain Safety Office	Objective sampling	Official sampling	food sample	Domestic	Single	25 g	282	1	193	1
Cereals and meals - flakes - unspecified - Surveillance	National Food Chain Safety Office	Objective sampling	Official sampling	food sample	Unknown	Single	25 g	107	0	65	0
Chocolate - at processing plant - Surveillance	National Food Chain Safety Office	Objective sampling	Official sampling	food sample	Domestic	Single	25 g	18	0	12	0
Chocolate - at retail - Surveillance	National Food Chain Safety Office	Objective sampling	Official sampling	food sample	Unknown	Single	25 g	93	0	36	0
Cocoa and cocoa preparations, coffee and tea - at processing plant - Surveillance	National Food Chain Safety Office	Objective sampling	Official sampling	food sample	Unknown	Single	25 g	3	0	1	0
Cocoa and cocoa preparations, coffee and tea - at retail - Surveillance	National Food Chain Safety Office	Objective sampling	Official sampling	food sample	Unknown	Single	25 g	104	0	60	0
Follow-on formulae - at retail - Surveillance	National Food Chain Safety Office	Objective sampling	Official sampling	food sample	Unknown	Single	25 g	65	0	65	0
Meat from pig - meat products - fermented sausages - at processing plant - Surveillance	National Food Chain Safety Office	Objective sampling	Official sampling	food sample	Unknown	Single	25 g	317	14	185	12
Meat from pig - meat products - fermented sausages - at retail - Surveillance	National Food Chain Safety Office	Objective sampling	Official sampling	food sample	Unknown	Single	25 g	399	2	255	2
Meat from pig - meat products - raw ham - at processing plant - Surveillance	National Food Chain Safety Office	Objective sampling	Official sampling	food sample	Domestic	Single	25 g	142	7	122	5

	Source of information	Sampling strategy	Sampler	Sample type	Sample origin	Sampling unit	Sample weight	Units tested	Total units positive for L. monocytogen es	With detection	imonocytodeni
Meat from pig - meat products - raw ham - at retail - Surveillance	National Food Chain Safety Office	Objective sampling	Official sampling	food sample	Unknown	Single	25 g	99	5	65	3
Meat from turkey - meat products - cooked, ready-to -eat - at processing plant - Surveillance	National Food Chain Safety Office	Objective sampling	Official sampling	food sample	Domestic	Single	25 g	56	0	45	0
Meat from turkey - meat products - cooked, ready-to -eat - at retail - Surveillance	National Food Chain Safety Office	Objective sampling	Official sampling	food sample	Unknown	Single	25 g	150	0	105	0
Nuts and nut products - Surveillance	National Food Chain Safety Office	Objective sampling	Official sampling	food sample	Unknown	Single	25 g	23	0	14	0
Other processed food products and prepared dishes - Surveillance	National Food Chain Safety Office	Objective sampling	Official sampling	food sample	Unknown	Single	25 g	727	5	550	4
Seeds, sprouted - ready-to-eat - Surveillance	National Food Chain Safety Office	Objective sampling	Official sampling	food sample	Unknown	Single	25 g	34	1	28	1

	Units tested with enumeration method	> detection limit but <= 100 cfu/g	L. monocytogen es > 100 cfu/g
Meat from broilers (Gallus gallus) - meat products - cooked, ready-to-eat - at processing plant - Surveillance	6	0	0
Meat from broilers (Gallus gallus) - meat products - cooked, ready-to-eat - at retail - Surveillance	19	0	0
Meat from pig - meat products - cooked, ready-to- eat - at processing plant - Surveillance	5	0	0

	Units tested with enumeration method	> detection limit but <= 100 cfu/g	L. monocytogen es > 100 cfu/g
Meat from pig - meat products - cooked, ready-to- eat - at retail - Surveillance	22	1	0
Meat from bovine animals - meat products - cooked, ready-to-eat - at processing plant - Surveillance	1	0	0
Meat from bovine animals - meat products - cooked, ready-to-eat - at retail - Surveillance	10	0	0
Fish - smoked - at retail - Surveillance	18	0	0
Molluscan shellfish - cooked - at retail - Surveillance	15	0	0
Infant formula - at retail - Surveillance	0	0	0
Other processed food products and prepared dishes - sandwiches - at retail - Surveillance	16	0	1
Vegetables - pre-cut - ready-to-eat - at retail - Surveillance	2	0	0
Ready-to-eat salads	130	0	0
Bakery products - cakes - Surveillance	89	0	0
Cereals and meals - flakes - unspecified - Surveillance	42	0	0
Chocolate - at processing plant - Surveillance	6	0	0
Chocolate - at retail - Surveillance	57	0	0
Cocoa and cocoa preparations, coffee and tea - at processing plant - Surveillance	2	0	0

	Units tested with enumeration method	> detection limit but <= 100 cfu/g	L. monocytogen es > 100 cfu/g
Cocoa and cocoa preparations, coffee and tea - at retail - Surveillance	44	0	0
Follow-on formulae - at retail - Surveillance	0	0	0
Meat from pig - meat products - fermented sausages - at processing plant - Surveillance	132	2	0
Meat from pig - meat products - fermented sausages - at retail - Surveillance	144	0	0
Meat from pig - meat products - raw ham - at processing plant - Surveillance	20	2	0
Meat from pig - meat products - raw ham - at retail - Surveillance	34	2	0
Meat from turkey - meat products - cooked, ready-to -eat - at processing plant - Surveillance	11	0	0
Meat from turkey - meat products - cooked, ready-to -eat - at retail - Surveillance	45	0	0
Nuts and nut products - Surveillance	9	0	0
Other processed food products and prepared dishes - Surveillance	177	0	1
Seeds, sprouted - ready-to-eat - Surveillance	6	0	0

## 2.3.4 Listeria in animals

## Table Listeria in animals

	Source of information	Sampling strategy	Sampler	Sample type	Sample origin	Sampling unit	Units tested	Total units positive for Listeria	L. monocytogen es	Listeria spp., unspecified
Gallus gallus (fowl) - at farm - Clinical investigations	NFCSO - VDD	Suspect sampling	Not applicable	animal sample	Domestic	Animal	1	1	1	0
Sheep - at farm - Clinical investigations	NFCSO - VDD	Suspect sampling	Not applicable	animal sample	Domestic	Animal	9	9	0	9

### 2.4 E. COLI INFECTIONS

### 2.4.1 General evaluation of the national situation

### A. Verotoxigenic Escherichia coli infections general evaluation

### Additional information

E. coli- microbiological examination of food according to ISO 16654 (E. coli O157) identification by antisera

# 2.4.2 Escherichia coli, pathogenic in foodstuffs

### Table VT E. coli in food

	Source of information	Sampling strategy	Sampler	Sample type	Sample origin	Analytical Method	Sampling unit	Sample weight	Units tested	Total units positive for Verotoxigenio E. coli (VTEC)	Verotoxigenic E. coli (VTEC) - VTEC O157
Meat from bovine animals - fresh - at processing plant - Surveillance	National Food Chain Safety Office	Objective sampling	Official sampling	food sample	Unknown	ISO 16654:2001	Single	25 g	77		0
Meat from bovine animals - fresh - at retail - Surveillance	National Food Chain Safety Office	Objective sampling	Official sampling	food sample	Unknown	ISO 16654:2001	Single	25 g	4		0
Milk, cows' - raw milk - intended for direct human consumption - at farm - Surveillance	National Food Chain Safety Office	Objective sampling	Official sampling	food sample > milk	Domestic	ISO 16654:2001	Single	25 ml	113		0
Milk, goats' - raw milk - intended for direct human consumption - at farm - Surveillance	National Food Chain Safety Office	Unspecified	Official sampling	food sample > milk	Domestic	ISO 16654:2001	Single	25 ml	1		0
Vegetables - pre-cut - ready-to-eat - at retail - Surveillance	National Food Chain Safety Office	Objective sampling	Official sampling	food sample	Unknown	ISO 16654:2001	Single	25 g	90		0
Seeds, sprouted - ready-to-eat - at processing plant - Surveillance	National Food Chain Safety Office	Objective sampling	Official sampling	food sample	Domestic	ISO 16654:2001	Single	25 g	5		0
Seeds, sprouted - ready-to-eat - at retail - Surveillance	National Food Chain Safety Office	Objective sampling	Official sampling	food sample	Unknown	ISO 16654:2001	Single	25 g	44		0
Meat from bovine animals - minced meat - intended to be eaten cooked - at processing plant - Surveillance	National Food Chain Safety Office	Objective sampling	Official sampling	food sample	Domestic	ISO 16654:2001	Single	25 g	23		0
Meat from bovine animals - minced meat - intended to be eaten cooked - at retail - Surveillance	National Food Chain Safety Office	Objective sampling	Official sampling	food sample	Unknown	ISO 16654:2001	Single	25 g	8		0

Table VT E. coli in food

	Source of information	Sampling strategy	Sampler	Sample type	Sample origin	Analytical Method	Sampling unit	Sample weight	Units tested	Verotoxigenic	Verotoxigenic E. coli (VTEC) - VTEC O157
Seeds, sprouted - ready-to-eat - unspecified - Clinical investigations	National Food Chain Safety Office	Suspect sampling	Official sampling	food sample	Domestic	ISO/PRF TS 13136	Single	25 g	1	0	

	Verotoxigenic E. coli (VTEC) - VTEC non- O157	Verotoxigenic E. coli (VTEC) - VTEC, unspecified
Meat from bovine animals - fresh - at processing plant - Surveillance		
Meat from bovine animals - fresh - at retail - Surveillance		
Milk, cows' - raw milk - intended for direct human consumption - at farm - Surveillance		
Milk, goats' - raw milk - intended for direct human consumption - at farm - Surveillance		
Vegetables - pre-cut - ready-to-eat - at retail - Surveillance		
Seeds, sprouted - ready-to-eat - at processing plant - Surveillance		
Seeds, sprouted - ready-to-eat - at retail - Surveillance		
Meat from bovine animals - minced meat - intended to be eaten cooked - at processing plant - Surveillance		

## Table VT E. coli in food

	Verotoxigenic E. coli (VTEC) - VTEC non- O157	Verotoxigenic E. coli (VTEC) - VTEC, unspecified
Meat from bovine animals - minced meat - intended to be eaten cooked - at retail - Surveillance		
Seeds, sprouted - ready-to-eat - unspecified - Clinical investigations		

### 2.4.3 Escherichia coli, pathogenic in animals

### A. Verotoxigenic Escherichia coli in cattle (bovine animals)

#### Monitoring system

Sampling strategy

Monitoring, Official sampling, objective sampling

#### Frequency of the sampling

Animals at farm

Sampling distributed evenly throughout the year

Animals at slaughter (herd based approach)

Sampling distributed evenly throughout the year

#### Type of specimen taken

Animals at slaughter (herd based approach)

meat, minced meat

#### Methods of sampling (description of sampling techniques)

Animals at slaughter (herd based approach)

500 gram meat sample is taken (from one animal), the weight of test portion is 25 grams (cutted from the surface of meat).

The samples are examined by ISO 16654:2001 Standard. Immuno-magnetic concentration is used for the detection of the most important serotype O157. If a strain belongig to the O 157 serotype is isolated, the toxin production is detected by a latex based agglutination test.

#### Case definition

Animals at slaughter (herd based approach)

The sample is considered to be positive if E. coli O157 was isolated, and the strain produces verotoxin (VT-1, VT-2 or both)

#### Diagnostic/analytical methods used

Animals at slaughter (herd based approach)

Bacteriological method: ISO 16654:2001

### 2.5 TUBERCULOSIS, MYCOBACTERIAL DISEASES

### 2.5.1 General evaluation of the national situation

### A. Tuberculosis general evaluation

### History of the disease and/or infection in the country

In bovine populations, eradication measures for tuberculosis started in 1962. The eradication of bovine tuberculosis was considered to be completed at the end of 1980. Since then, only sporadic cases occur.

As regards of tuberculosis in man, the favourable tendency which could be observed from the 1950s in the epidemiology of tuberculosis seemed to stop and getting worse in 1990. (Incidence raised by 19% between 1990 and 1995.)In order to lower the incidence and improve the situation, a National Tuberculosis Programme was adopted in 1994 which also incorporated a national surveillance programme based on a central, computerised database.

#### Recent actions taken to control the zoonoses

Regular screening of the human population is provided. All farm workers have to be checked by the competent public health authority for their compliance with the rules set for persons dealing with animals and food intended for human consumption. The documents proving their compliance are subject to on farm checks performed by the veterinary service. Each county veterinary authority has the right to set further health requirements for persons dealing with animals kept on small size farms.

### 2.5.2 Mycobacterium in animals

### A. Mycobacterium bovis in bovine animals

#### Status as officially free of bovine tuberculosis during the reporting year

#### The entire country free

The nationwide program for eradication of bovine tuberculosis in Hungary has successfully been completed by 31 December 1980 and the tuberculosis free status of the country were declared to the OIE. Since then no evidence of the presence of infection in more than 0,1 % of our herds has been found.

### Monitoring system

### Sampling strategy

#### Post mortem inspections

According to the meat inspection rules in force in Hungary, based on a tradition of at least a century, each animal for slaughter is to be checked individually ante and post mortem. Technical methods applied at meat inspection is suitable to detect even the slightest tuberculotic lesions. The legal provisions for tuberculosis require that the organs, together with the lymphnodes belonging to them, shall be sent to the National Food Chain Safety Office, Veterinary Diagnostic Directorate (former Central Veterinary Institute) for further laboratory examination, if during post mortem inspection of a slaughtered animal the tuberculotic lesions are revealed. In case of animals ordered to be slaughtered for establishing the reason for unclarified positive or inconclusive reactions during intradermal tuberculin testing, a set of lymph nodes belonging to several organs and systems, as listed in the Annex 3 of the Decree No. 65/2002. (VIII. 9.) FVM and in the Technical Guideline, shall be sent to the National Food Chain Safety Office, Veterinary Diagnostic Directorate.

#### Intradermal tuberculin testing

Together with the post mortem control program, the compulsory intradermal tuberculin testing with a yearly interval of the whole Hungarian cattle population (older than six weeks), as well as case by case testing of animals moved from one herd to another, has been maintained and executed.

#### Frequency of the sampling

See above.

#### Methods of sampling (description of sampling techniques)

According to the Annex 3 of the Decree No. 65/2002. (VIII.9) FVM the rules of taking samples are the followings:

All-samples taken from animals with a large body (cattle, swine) must include the organs showing signs of the disease and the adjacent lymphatic glands, in case of birds and smaller animals the sample must be an entire carcass:

All-samples used for confirming paraallergic reaction must include the tonsils, pharyngal, mesenteric and portal lymphatic glands of the slaughtered animal;

All the purpose of detecting the presence of mycobacteria from the feedingstuffs, litter, soil etc. 20-50 gramm samples must be taken, 20 gramm samples from faeces, 50cm3 from urine and 5 litres from drinking water. The samples must be sent to the CVI with a view to carry out tests to detect tuberculosis and confirm the presence of mycobacteria.

#### Case definition

An animal is considered a positive case, if the presence of tuberculosis is confirmed by the isolation of M. bovis from its lymph node(s) or parenchymatous organs on laboratory examination.

Suspension or withdrawal of the free status of a herd is based upon the analysis of the results of the intradermal tuberculin tests (if necessary, repeated and completed by simultaneous testing), post mortem examinations and laboratory tests. According to the Annex 1 of the Decree No. 65/2002. (VIII.9) the officially tuberculosis -free status of the herd have to be withdrawn if the presence of tuberculosis is confirmed by the isolation of M. bovis on laboratory examination.

#### Diagnostic/analytical methods used

The identification of Mycobacterium bovis is carried out only the National Food Chain Safety Office, Veterinary Diagnostic Directorate(VDD) (Budapest). The VDD works according to the OIE Manual of Standards for Diagnostic tests and Vaccines, Forth Edition, Chapter 2.3.3. (bovine tuberculosis).

Annex 7. of the Decree No. 65/2002. (VIII.9) FVM contains the standards for the tuberculin (bovine and avian) to be used during the intradermal tests. These rules are fully compatible with Annex B point 2.1. of Council Directive 64/432/EEC.

Annex 2., which contains the standards for the test procedures is fully compatible with Council Directive 64/432/EEC.

## Vaccination policy

Preventive vaccination against M. bovis is prohibited by Decree No. 65/2002. (VIII. 9.) FVM.

## Control program/mechanisms

#### The control program/strategies in place

The whole cattle population is continuously monitored for bovine tuberculosis on a yearly basis by the intradermal tuberculine tests and by post-mortem inspections.

For measures taken in case of single cases, see "Measures in case of the positive findings or single cases".

#### Recent actions taken to control the zoonoses

Guidelines have been issued first by the Ministry of Agriculture and Rural Development and later by the Central Agricultural Office (the currently valid guideline was issued in March 2010) about the carrying out the tuberculin test in cattle herds taking into consideration the fals positive or interference reactions as well as the data collection, and reporting by the regional authorities.

#### Measures in case of the positive findings or single cases

When an animal is considered to be a positive reactor in the intradermal tests, it is removed from the herd and slaughtered. The post-mortem, laboratory and epidemiological examinations shall be carried out. The status of the herd will remain suspended until the all laboratory examinations have been completed. If the presence of tuberculosis is not confirmed, the suspension of the officially tuberculosis-free status may be lifted following a test of all animals over six weeks of age with negative results at least 42 days after the removal of the reactor animal.

According to the Annex 1 of the Decree No. 65/2002. (VIII.9) the officially tuberculosis -free status of the herd have to be withdrawn if the presence of tuberculosis is confirmed by the isolation of M. bovis on laboratory examination.

The district chief veterinarian may initiate a procedure to withdraw the tuberculosis-free status of the herd, and the animal health and food control station may withdraw the status, if

Â-the conditions for retention of the officially free status are not complied with, or

·classical lesions of tuberculosis are seen at post-mortem examination,

A an epidemiological enquiry establishes the likelihood of infection,

·it is deemed necessary to control of bovine tuberculosis in the herd for any other reason.

## Notification system in place

Bovine tuberculosis is compulsory notifiable by virtue of the Veterinary Act No CLXXVI. of 2005, which replaced the Veterinary Act No XCI of 1995, from 1 September 2008 by the Decree No 113/2008 (VIII. 30.) of the Ministry of Agriculture and Rural Development (MARD) on notification of animal diseases. The detailed rules regarding bovine tuberculosis are laid down by the Decree No. 65/2002. (VIII.9) FVM of the Minister of Agriculture and Rural Development, which texts replaced the relevant parts of the Zoo-Sanitary Code implemented by the Decree No 41/1997. (V. 28.) FM of the Minister of Agriculture. As regards keeping and movements of the bovine animals the Zoosanitary Code is applied further. Before the 1st of July of 1997 the Decree No. 28/1981. (XII. 30.) MEM of the Minister of Agriculture and Alimentation contained the rules for the bovine tuberculosis and keeping or movements of the bovine animals. It is very important that the former legislative rules were essentially the same as the current ones.

## Results of the investigation

During the past consecutive seven years the rate of herds infected with bovine tuberculosis has never reached 0,1 % and at least 99,9% of herds have achieved officially tuberculosis free status each year during this period.

National evaluation of the recent situation, the trends and sources of infection Hungary is free of bovine tuberculosis. However, sporadic cases are reported.

Table Tuberculosis in other animals

	Source of information	Sampling strategy	Sampler	Sample type	Sample origin	Sampling unit		Total units positive for Mycobacteriu m	M. bovis	M. tuberculosis	Mycobacteriu m spp., unspecified
Sheep	NFCSO - VDD	Suspect sampling	Official sampling	animal sample > lymph nodes	Domestic	Animal	2	0			
Goats	NFCSO - VDD	Suspect sampling	Official sampling	animal sample > lymph nodes	Domestic	Animal	5	0			
Pigs	NFCSO - VDD	Suspect sampling	Official sampling	animal sample > lymph nodes	Domestic	Animal	1	0			
Badgers	NFCSO - VDD	Suspect sampling	Official sampling	animal sample > lymph nodes	Domestic	Animal	1	0			
Deer - wild - red deer - in total - Monitoring - active	NFCSO - VDD	Convenience sampling	Official sampling	animal sample > lymph nodes	Domestic	Animal	41	9	0	0	9
Wild boars - wild - in total - Monitoring - active	NFCSO - VDD	Convenience sampling	Official sampling	animal sample >	Domestic	Animal	507	143	32	0	124

# Table Bovine tuberculosis in countries and regions that do not receive Community co-financing for eradication programmes

If present, the row "Total -1" refers to analogous data of the previous year.

	Total number of	f existing bovine	Officially f	ree herds	Infecte	d herds	Routine tube	erculin testing	Number of tuberculin tests carried out before the introduction	Number of animals with suspicious lesions of	Number of animals detected
Region	Herds	Animals	Number of herds	%	Number of herds	%	Interval between routine tuberculin tests	Number of animals tested	into the herds (Annex A(I)(2)(c) third indent (1) of Directive 64/432/EEC)	tuberculosis examined and submitted to histopathological and bacteriological	positive in bacteriological examination
Baranya	406	29786	405	99.75	1	.25	once a year	24311	752	39	6
Borsod-Abaúj-Zemplén	928	46155	926	99.78	0	0	once a year	39606	2372	30	0
Budapest	33	1091	33	100	0	0	once a year	1043	0	0	0
Bács-Kiskun	2055	71101	2055	100	0	0	once a year	56144	1975	19	0
Békés	1525	66380	1525	100	0	0	once a year	49356	3118	11	0
Csongrád	1444	42473	1443	99.93	0	0	once a year	32713	1366	13	0
Fejér	531	47286	531	100	0	0	once a year	42216	3683	19	0
Győr-Moson-Sopron	856	54719	856	100	0	0	once a year	51258	5159	6	0
Hajdú-Bihar	2235	99091	2235	100	0	0	once a year	84325	1987	19	0
Heves	332	15079	332	100	0	0	once a year	12636	635	7	0
Jász-Nagykun-Szolnok	1103	58203	1103	100	0	0	once a year	43181	917	42	0
Komárom-Esztergom	238	14884	238	100	0	0	once a year	13110	1358	22	0

# Table Bovine tuberculosis in countries and regions that do not receive Community co-financing for eradication programmes

310	15492	309	99.68	0	0	once a year	12915	1095	7	0
1288	53305	1287	99.92	0	0	once a year	45629	7713	16	0
506	36156	502	99.21	0	0	once a year	47265	20397	13	0
949	41865	947	99.79	0	0	once a year	35244	4676	1	0
432	24565	431	99.77	0	0	once a year	24277	3726	33	0
589	30211	589	100	0	0	once a year	27290	1879	0	0
409	41640	409	100	0	0	once a year	36349	4614	12	0
476	25659	476	100	0	0	once a year	18667	18579	6	0
16645	815141	16632	99.92	1	.01	N.A.	697535	86001	315	6
	1288 506 949 432 589 409	1288     53305       506     36156       949     41865       432     24565       589     30211       409     41640       476     25659	1288     53305     1287       506     36156     502       949     41865     947       432     24565     431       589     30211     589       409     41640     409       476     25659     476	1288     53305     1287     99.92       506     36156     502     99.21       949     41865     947     99.79       432     24565     431     99.77       589     30211     589     100       409     41640     409     100       476     25659     476     100	1288     53305     1287     99.92     0       506     36156     502     99.21     0       949     41865     947     99.79     0       432     24565     431     99.77     0       589     30211     589     100     0       409     41640     409     100     0       476     25659     476     100     0	1288       53305       1287       99.92       0       0         506       36156       502       99.21       0       0         949       41865       947       99.79       0       0         432       24565       431       99.77       0       0         589       30211       589       100       0       0         409       41640       409       100       0       0         476       25659       476       100       0       0	1288       53305       1287       99.92       0       0       once a year         506       36156       502       99.21       0       0       once a year         949       41865       947       99.79       0       0       once a year         432       24565       431       99.77       0       0       once a year         589       30211       589       100       0       0       once a year         409       41640       409       100       0       0       once a year         476       25659       476       100       0       0       once a year	1288       53305       1287       99.92       0       0       once a year       45629         506       36156       502       99.21       0       0       once a year       47265         949       41865       947       99.79       0       0       once a year       35244         432       24565       431       99.77       0       0       once a year       24277         589       30211       589       100       0       0       once a year       27290         409       41640       409       100       0       0       once a year       36349         476       25659       476       100       0       0       once a year       18667	1288       53305       1287       99.92       0       0       once a year       45629       7713         506       36156       502       99.21       0       0       once a year       47265       20397         949       41865       947       99.79       0       0       once a year       35244       4676         432       24565       431       99.77       0       0       once a year       24277       3726         589       30211       589       100       0       once a year       27290       1879         409       41640       409       100       0       once a year       36349       4614         476       25659       476       100       0       once a year       18667       18579	1288       53305       1287       99.92       0       0       once a year       45629       7713       16         506       36156       502       99.21       0       0       once a year       47265       20397       13         949       41865       947       99.79       0       0       once a year       35244       4676       1         432       24565       431       99.77       0       0       once a year       24277       3726       33         589       30211       589       100       0       once a year       27290       1879       0         409       41640       409       100       0       once a year       36349       4614       12         476       25659       476       100       0       once a year       18667       18579       6

# Comments:

<sup>1)</sup> N.A.

# 2.6 BRUCELLOSIS

## 2.6.1 General evaluation of the national situation

# A. Brucellosis general evaluation

History of the disease and/or infection in the country

Hungary is practically free of Brucellosis in bovine, ovine and caprine populations. For detailed information, please refer to the specific texts.

## 2.6.2 Brucellosis in humans

## A. Brucellosis in humans

#### Reporting system in place for the human cases

1. Reporting system in place for the human cases:

There are around 80 communicable diseases notifiable in Hungary based on legal background. The physician (in primary health care, specialist care, inpatient medical institution or pathology) who first diagnoses a case of a notifiable communicable disease (even the suspicion of the disease!) immediately reports data of case to the first level of the epidemiological network (municipal institute) of National Public Health and Medical Officer's Service (NPHMOS). Data must be reported both at the beginning and at end of the illness (recovery/death, result of laboratory test). The NPHMOS has a nationwide electronic system for registering and analysing data of communicable diseases in a combined national database, so the system makes online connection amid the three levels (municipal, county and national level – National Centre of Epidemiology - NCE) of the organization. The NCE prepares reports regularly (weekly, monthly, yearly) to the Chief Medical Officer, the MoH and the Hungarian Central Statistical Office.

#### Case definition

Confirmed case: a clinically compatible case and the infection is laboratory confirmed.

## Diagnostic/analytical methods used

A serological test (Widal type tube agglutination) is used to confirm the brucellosis diagnose in Hungary. The test preparation is a TTC stained B. melitensis biovar. abortus HNCMB 93007 strain (internationally used diagnostic strain). Result is positive: titre 1:80; uncertain: titre 1:40; negative titre between 1:20 - 1:10. The acute illness is confirmed by the increasing titre of paired sera.

#### Notification system in place

The disease has been notifiable since 1950 in Hungary. The physician reports data of case on a "case report form" by mail to the municipal institute of NPHMOS. The specialist of the institute records data immediately in the electronic system of the NPHMOS. Hungary has also a laboratory based surveillance system, and the NPHMOS has representative dataset from most of the microbiological laboratories about the cases investigated by the laboratory

#### History of the disease and/or infection in the country

The disease has been notifiable since 1950 in Hungary. The annual number of reported cases ranged between 0-132 (incidence:  $0-1.3/100\ 000$  inhabitants/year, median 21 case/year  $-0.2/100\ 000$  inhabitant/year). In the 1950s and 1960s the number of registered cases was about 40-60/year. The most cases were registered between 1970 and 1975 (110-135 cases/year - incidence: $1.1-1.3/100\ 000$  inhabitant/year). Between 1976 and 1986 the number of registered cases decreased to 10 cases/year. 11 death cases occurred between 1950 and 1978. The case fatality rate ranged between 0-6.5% (median 0%).

#### National evaluation of the recent situation, the trends and sources of infection

There were five cases registered in 2000 - 2001 (2000: 1, 2001: 4 cases), no case was reported between 2002 and 2004, in 2006 and 2008, and only 1-1 case was identified in 2005 and 2007 in Hungary. (The data of laboratory surveillance: 2000 – 4 800 tests, 23 positive; 2001 - 4 900 tests, 30 positive; between 2002 and 2003: about 3 900 tests/year, 6 – 9 /year positive.) No death was registered in this period. One case in 2001 was imported from abroad, in the four other cases between 2000-2001 the place and source of infection could not be identified. Cases registered in 2005 and 2007 were imported cases. No domestic

Hungary - 2012 Report on trends and sources of zoonoses case was reported since then.

### 2.6.3 Brucella in animals

## A. Brucella abortus in bovine animals

## Status as officially free of bovine brucellosis during the reporting year

#### The entire country free

The nationwide programme for eradication of bovine brucellosis in Hungary has successfully been completed by the 31st of August 1985. and the brucellosis free status of the country were declared to the OIE. Since then no evidence of the presence of infection in more than 0,2 % of our herds has been found.

## Monitoring system

#### Sampling strategy

Together with the random blood sampling of the Hungarian cattle population, as well as case-by-case testing of animals moved from one herd to another, a system of checking abortions and irregular parturition has been maintained.

## Frequency of the sampling

The whole cattle population in Hungary is subject to regular checks. Investigation of abortion and related cases is the key point of the system. Random, yearly serological testing is a complementary element. 10 % of cows in herds containing 50 or more animals shall be tested yearly, after calving. If necessary, the district veterinary officer is entitled to extend the testing to the whole herd.

Small herds are serologically tested every three years, linked to the EBL screening.

## Type of specimen taken

Blood

#### Methods of sampling (description of sampling techniques)

Blood, milk and semen samples are taken at farm. In case of abortion, the aborted fetus, its chorions and a blood sample from the aborted cattle shall be sent to the laboratory.

#### Case definition

An animal is considered to be infected with B. abortus, when

- it shows clinical signs of the disease and pathological lesions can be detected on its internal organs or on its fetus or on the chorions; or
- bacteria of B. abortus could be isolated from its body fluids, its chorions or from the organs of the fetus, or
- it was suspected to be infected with B. abortus and the serological or bacteriological investigations were positive for that animal.

#### Diagnostic/analytical methods used

For the diagnosis of B. abortus the following diagnostic methods are used:

- -pathology
- -bacteriology
- -immunology (CFT, ELISA, SAT)

#### Vaccination policy

Preventive vaccination against B. abortus is prohibited in the whole territory of Hungary.

#### Control program/mechanisms

#### Recent actions taken to control the zoonoses

Continuous monitoring of bovine herds and investigation of aborted fetuses as well as pre-movement checks are continued.

#### Measures in case of the positive findings or single cases

Infected male animals are

to be killed as soon as possible but not later than five days or,

to be castrated and placed under movement prohibition until it is slaughtered.

Female animals must be placed under breeding prohibition and movement control. They must be slaughtered within 15 days after the acute period or the recovery after the abortion.

#### Notification system in place

Bovine brucellosis (B. abortus) is compulsorily notifiable by virtue of the Act on Food Chain Safety and its official control No XLVI of 2008 that is effective since 1 September 2008 and the Decree of the Minister of Agriculture No 12/2008 (II. 14.) on detailed rules of the protection regarding certain Brucella species. Notification, as well as investigation of cases of abortion is compulsory. In case of abortion or irregular parturition, the veterinarian in charge has to send a set of samples, listed in the decree mentioned above, for further laboratory examination. Until thorough clarification of the case, the animal is kept separated and, if necessary, repeatedly tested.

## Results of the investigation

Since 1985 no infection of B. abortus has been found.

## B. Brucella melitensis in goats

## Status as officially free of caprine brucellosis during the reporting year

## The entire country free

Ovine and caprine brucellosis (B. melitensis) has been a compulsorily notifiable animal disease in Hungary since 1982. Further to the existing rules laid down in the Zoo-Sanitary Code, the recent legal provisions give the power to the Ministry of Agriculture to introduce any additional measures, should an outbreak of a disease caused by B. melitensis occur in our country.

Neither a single clinical case, nor any positive serological or bacteriological test result for B. melitensis has ever occurred in Hungary.

## Monitoring system

#### Sampling strategy

Given, that B. melitensis is not an agent which can be spread under Hungary's geographical and climatic conditions, furthermore no sign of the disease has ever been revealed, there was no scientifically based reason for an extended serological survey. Since 2007, all caprine animals tested for B. melitensis were negative.

#### Frequency of the sampling

Approximately 5% of the caprine population is sampled and tested for B. melitensis.

#### Type of specimen taken

Blood

#### Methods of sampling (description of sampling techniques)

Blood samples are taken at farm.

#### Case definition

An animal is considered to be infected with B. melitensis, when

- it shows clinical signs of the disease and pathological lesions can be detected on its internal organs or on its fetus or on the chorions; or
- bacteria of B. melitensis could be isolated from its body fluids, its chorions or from the organs of the fetus, or
- it was suspected to be infected with B. melitensis and the serological or bacteriological investigations were positive for that animal.

#### Diagnostic/analytical methods used

For the diagnosis of B. melitensis in goats, the CFT is used.

#### Vaccination policy

Vaccines for B. melitensis have never been registered in Hungary and the using of vaccines without the registration is banned in the country. Therefore no vaccination against this disease has ever been practised in the territory of Hungary.

#### Control program/mechanisms

#### The control program/strategies in place

Hungary is free of B. melitensis. However, monitoring of ovine and caprine populations is continuously done.

#### Measures in case of the positive findings or single cases

In case of positive findings the positive animals have to be killed without delay. The herd containing the positive animal is subject to movement control. The further measures affecting the herd shall be decided

following screening of the animals and epidemiological investigation.

## Notification system in place

Ovine and caprine brucellosis (B. melitensis) are compulsorily notifiable by virtue of the Veterinary Act No CLXXVI. of 2005 (which replaced the Veterinary Act No XCI of 1995) and the Zoo-Sanitary Code implemented by the Decree No 41/1997. (V. 28.) FM of the Minister of Agriculture. These legal texts replaced the former regulations, namely Law Decree No 3. of 1981. and Decree No. 28/1981. (XII. 30.) MEM of the Minister of Agriculture and Alimentation, which have contained the same provisions for the diseases mentioned above. Therefore we can declare that ovine and caprine brucellosis is compulsory since 1 January 1982 on the basis of Decree No. 28/1981. (XII. 30.) MEM of the Minister of Agriculture and Alimentation.

## Results of the investigation

No evidence of infection with B. melitensis was ever found.

## C. Brucella melitensis in sheep

## Status as officially free of ovine brucellosis during the reporting year

#### The entire country free

Ovine and caprine brucellosis (B. melitensis) has been a compulsorily notifiable animal disease in Hungary since 1982. Further to the existing rules laid down in the Zoo-Sanitary Code, the recent legal provisions give the power to the Ministry of Agriculture to introduce any additional measures, should an outbreak of a disease caused by B. melitensis occur in our country.

Neither a single clinical case, nor any positive serological or bacteriological test result for B. melitensis has ever occurred in Hungary.

## Monitoring system

#### Sampling strategy

Given, that B. melitensis is not an agent which can be spread under Hungary's geographical and climatic conditions, furthermore no sign of the disease has ever been revealed, there was no scientifically based reason for an extended serological survey. However, between 1997 and 2000 a limited serological screening was carried out and all results were negative. Since 2001 an extended serological survey has been started to demonstrate the B. melitensis free status of Hungary. During 2001, 2002 and 2003 more than 10% of the ovine animals over six months of age were tested serologically for B. melitensis and all results were negative. All ovine animals tested for B. melitensis were negative.

#### Frequency of the sampling

Approximately 10% of the ovine population were tested.

#### Type of specimen taken

Blood

#### Methods of sampling (description of sampling techniques)

Blood samples are taken at farm.

#### Case definition

An animal is considered to be infected with B. melitensis, when

- it shows clinical signs of the disease and pathological lesions can be detected on its internal organs or on its fetus or on the chorions; or
- bacteria of B. melitensis could be isolated from its body fluids, its chorions or from the organs of the fetus, or
- it was suspected to be infected with B. melitensis and the serological or bacteriological investigations were positive for that animal.

#### Diagnostic/analytical methods used

For the diagnostic serological tests of B. melitensis the CFT is used.

#### Vaccination policy

Vaccines for B. melitensis have never been registered in Hungary and the using of vaccines without the registration is banned in the country. Therefore no vaccination against this disease has ever been practised in the territory of Hungary.

#### Control program/mechanisms

#### The control program/strategies in place

Hungary is free of B. melitensis. However, monitoring of ovine and caprine populations is continuously done.

## Measures in case of the positive findings or single cases

In case of positive findings the positive animals have to be killed without delay. The herd containing the positive animal is subject to movement control. The further measures affecting the herd shall be decided following screening of the animals and epidemiological investigation.

## Notification system in place

Ovine and caprine brucellosis (B. melitensis) are compulsorily notifiable by virtue of the Veterinary Act No CLXXVI. of 2005 (which replaced the Veterinary Act No XCI of 1995) and the Zoo-Sanitary Code implemented by the Decree No 41/1997. (V. 28.) FM of the Minister of Agriculture. These legal texts replaced the former regulations, namely Law Decree No 3. of 1981. and Decree No. 28/1981. (XII. 30.) MEM of the Minister of Agriculture and Alimentation, which have contained the same provisions for the diseases mentioned above. Therefore we can declare that ovine and caprine brucellosis is compulsory since 1 January 1982 on the basis of Decree No. 28/1981. (XII. 30.) MEM of the Minister of Agriculture and Alimentation.

#### Results of the investigation

No evidence of infection with B. melitensis were found.

# Table Ovine or Caprine Brucellosis in countries and regions that do not receive Community co-financing for eradication programme

If present, the row "Total -1" refers to analogous data of the previous year.

	Total number	otal number of existing Officially free herds		Infecte	d herds		Surveillance			Investig	ations of suspe	ct cases		
Region	Herds	Animals	Number of herds	%	Number of herds	%	Number of herds tested	Number of animals tested	Number of infected herds	Number of animals tested with serological blood tests	Number of animals positive serologically	Number of animals examined microbio logically	Number of animals positive microbio logically	Number of suspended herds
Magyarország	7235	886083	7235	100	0	0	2373	45351	0	0	0	0	0	0
Total:	7235	886083	7235	100	0	0	2373	45351	0	0	0	0	0	0

# Comments:

1) N.A.

# Table Bovine brucellosis in countries and regions that do not receive Community co-financing for eradication programme

If present, the row "Total -1" refers to analogous data of the previous year.

	Total nu	umber of	Officially t	free herds	lasta atau	nfected herds			Surve	illance		· ·				Investigation	ons of sus	pect cases	3		
	existing	g bovine			Infected	a neras	Se	rological te	ests	Examiı	nation of b	ulk milk	Info	ormation al	oout		Epic	lemiologica	al investig	ation	
							Number of	Number of	Number of	Number of	Number of	Number of	Number of notified	Number of isolations	Number of abortions	Number of animals tested with	Number of	Number o		Number of animals	Number of animals
	Herds	Animals	Number of herds	%	Number of herds	%	bovine herds tested	animals tested	infected herds	bovine herds tested	animals or pools tested	infected herds	abortions whatever	of Brucella infection	due to Brucella	serological blood tests	suspended	Sero logically	BST	examined microbio	positive microbio
Region													cause		abortus			logically		logically	logically
Baranya	406	29786	406	100	0	0	272	9870	0	0	0	0	58	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Borsod-Abaúj-Zemplén	928	46155	928	100	0	0	726	19376	0	0	0	0	67	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Budapest	33	1091	33	100	0	0	23	547	0	0	0	0	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Bács-Kiskun	2055	71101	2055	100	0	0	1855	35555	0	17	1015	0	42	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Békés	1525	66380	1525	100	0	0	1525	26372	0	0	0	0	219	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Csongrád	1444	42473	1444	100	0	0	1242	19786	0	1	27	0	81	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Fejér	531	47286	531	100	0	0	531	22410	0	8	2231	0	54	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Győr-Moson-Sopron	856	54719	856	100	0	0	464	28266	0	9	2572	0	136	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Hajdú-Bihar	2235	99091	2235	100	0	0	1868	47410	0	0	0	0	110	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Heves	332	15079	332	100	0	0	332	9281	0	1	354	0	25	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Jász-Nagykun-Szolnok	1103	58203	1103	100	0	0	690	23365	0	0	0	0	23	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Komárom-Esztergom	238	14884	238	100	0	0	202	6692	0	0	0	0	36	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

# Table Bovine brucellosis in countries and regions that do not receive Community co-financing for eradication programme

Nógrád	310	15492	310	100	0	0	293	8807	0	0	0	0	12	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Pest	1288	53305	1288	100	0	0	1181	31457	0	6	3342	0	21	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Somogy	506	36156	506	100	0	0	504	19291	0	0	0	0	12	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Szabolcs-Szatmár- Bereg	949	41865	949	100	0	0	949	21269	0	0	0	0	16	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Tolna	432	24565	432	100	0	0	241	8844	0	20	1310	0	20	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Vas	589	30211	589	100	0	0	485	16442	0	0	0	0	46	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Veszprém	409	41640	409	100	0	0	409	20323	0	0	0	0	54	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Zala	476	25659	476	100	0	0	384	9910	0	0	0	0	14	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Total :	16645	815141	16645	100	0	0	14176	385273	0	62	10851	0	1048	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

# Comments:

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1)</sup> N.A.

# 2.7 YERSINIOSIS

## 2.7.1 General evaluation of the national situation

## A. Yersinia enterocolitica general evaluation

## Additional information

diagnostic methods: bacteriological examination and PCR

## 2.7.2 Yersiniosis in humans

## A. Yersinosis in humans

## Reporting system in place for the human cases

There are around 80 communicable diseases notifiable in Hungary based on legal background. The physician (in primary health care, specialist care, inpatient medical institution or pathology) who first diagnoses a case of a notifiable communicable disease (even the suspicion of the disease!) immediately reports data of case to the first level of the epidemiological network (municipal institute) of National Public Health and Medical Officer's Service (NPHMOS). Data must be reported both at the beginning and at end of the illness (recovery/death, result of laboratory test). The NPHMOS has a nationwide electronic system for registering and analysing data of communicable diseases in a combined national database, so the system makes online connection between the three levels (municipal, regional and national level – National Centre of Epidemiology - NCE) of the organization. The NCE prepares reports regularly (weekly, monthly, yearly) to the Chief Medical Officer, the MoH and the Hungarian Central Statistical Office.

#### Case definition

Confirmed case: a clinically compatible case when the Yersinia infection is laboratory confirmed.

## Diagnostic/analytical methods used

Yersinia isolates are obtained by culturing the faeces samples of the patients on selective-differentiating media, which is followed by biochemical tests and serotyping. Earlier the sera of the patient was tested by Widal-typed method, beside this test the ELISA method has been also in use since 2003.

## Notification system in place

Human cases have been notifiable since 1998. The physician reports data of case on a "case report form" by mail to the municipal institute of NPHMOS. The specialist of the institute records data immediately in the electronic system of the NPHMOS. Hungary has also a laboratory based surveillance system, and the NPHMOS has representative dataset from most of the microbiological laboratories about the laboratory investigated cases (since 2003 antibiotic resistances has also been reported from 20 county institutes and 12 laboratories from universities or hospitals).

The illness is reported firstly as enteritis infectiosa syndrome on the basis of the symptoms. Having the results of the laboratory tests this syndrome-based diagnose is modified to etiology-based diagnose. There is a part of the cases which are reported only subsequently when the result of the laboratory test is available.

## History of the disease and/or infection in the country

The human cases have been notifiable since 1998. The number of cases varied between 68 - 176/year (incidence:  $0.7 - 1.7/100\ 000$  inhabitant/year, median 125 cases/year -  $1.3/100\ 000$  inhabitant/year). There was no death registered. A few number of family outbreaks were investigated, community or institutional outbreaks did not occur. Laboratory or epidemiological evidences are not available to assess the source of infection.

#### National evaluation of the recent situation, the trends and sources of infection

Yersiniosis do not influence significantly the epidemiological situation of the human acut gastroenteritis caused by zoonotic agents. Between 2000 -2004 the dominant serotype is Y.enterocolitica O3. It is confirmed also by the results of culture and serologic methods.

# 2.7.3 Yersinia in animals

# Table Yersinia in animals

	Source of information	Sampling strategy	Sampler	Sample type	Sample origin	Sampling unit	Units tested	Total units positive for Yersinia	Y. enterocolitica		Yersinia spp., unspecified
Pigs - breeding animals - at farm - Unspecified	NFCSO - VDD	Unspecified	Not applicable	animal sample	Domestic	Animal	18	2	2	0	0
Wild animals - in total - Unspecified	NFCSO -	Unspecified	Not	animal	Domestic	Animal	1	1	0	1	0
	VDD	Onspecified	applicable	sample	Domestic	Aiiiiiai	<u>'</u>	'		ľ	

	Y. enterocolitica - O:3	Y. enterocolitica - O:9	Y. enterocolitica - unspecified
Pigs - breeding animals - at farm - Unspecified	0	0	2
Wild animals - in total - Unspecified	0	0	0

## Comments:

1) Swallow

# 2.8 TRICHINELLOSIS

## 2.8.1 General evaluation of the national situation

## A. Trichinellosis general evaluation

## History of the disease and/or infection in the country

In Hungary, mandatory testing for Trichinella spp. is in place since 1960. Slaughtered susceptible animals intended to be placed on the market are subject to mandatory testing for Trichinella spp.

#### National evaluation of the recent situation, the trends and sources of infection

Trichinellosis was a significant zoonotic disease in Hungary in the 1950s and 1960s. Due to the introduction of control strategies, the average annual incidence of trichinellosis decreased to 0-0.7 cases per 100,000 for the early 1990s. In the past 15 years, the annual incidence dropped to 0-0.07 cases per 100,000, and no mortality in men caused by the parasite was observed in the same period. The decrease of incidence observed in men is similar to that of prevalence seen in swine at slaughterhouses.

Nevertheless, some increasing trends of incidence might be observed in both men and swine in the past years. Trichinella spiralis still persists in the southern and eastern border region of the country. Sporadic Trichinella infections (in average few cases per year) were also detected in wild boars and in less than 1.8% of red foxes. In wild boars, both T. spiralis and Trichinella britovi were detected. In foxes, T. britovi is the dominant species; nevertheless, T. spiralis and Trichinella pseudospiralis were also reported from this species.

#### Recent actions taken to control the zoonoses

Mandatory testing during meat inspection in all susceptible cases (swine, horse, nutria, wild boar).

### 2.8.2 Trichinellosis in humans

#### A. Trichinellosis in humans

#### Reporting system in place for the human cases

There are about 80 communicable diseases notifiable in Hungary based on legal background. The physician (in primary health care, specialist care, inpatient medical institution or pathology) who first diagnoses a case of a notifiable communicable disease (even the suspicion of the disease!) immediately reports data of case to the first level of the epidemiological network (municipal institute) of National Public Health and Medical Officer's Service (NPHMOS). Data must be reported both at the beginning and at end of the illness (recovery/death, result of laboratory test). The NPHMOS has a nationwide electronic system for registering and analysing data of communicable diseases in a combined national database, so the system provides online connection between the three levels (municipal, regional and national level – National Centre of Epidemiology - NCE) of the organization. The NCE prepares reports regularly (weekly, monthly, yearly) to the Chief Medical Officer, the MoH and the Hungarian Central Statistical Office.

#### Case definition

Confirmed case: a clinically compatible case when the Trichinella infection is laboratory confirmed. Probable case: a clinically compatible case that is not confirmed by laboratory investigation, but it has an epidemiological link to a confirmed trichinellosis outbreak.

## Diagnostic/analytical methods used

Microprecipitic test on live larvae as diagnostic method has been used since 1983 in the Helmithozoonotic Reference Laboratory of the National Centre of Epidemiology. Parallel with this test an ELISA test (NOVATEC TRICHINELLA SPIRALIS IgG-ELISA, NovaTec Immundiagnostica, Germany) was introduced in 2002. The positive results of the previously mentioned tests have been confirmed by WB (TRICHINELLA WESTERN BLOT IgG, Ldbio Diagnostics, France) since 2004.

#### Notification system in place

Human cases have been notifiable since 1960. The physician reports data of case on a "case report form" by mail to the municipal institute of NPHMOS. The specialist of the institute records data immediately in the electronic system of the NPHMOS.

#### History of the disease and/or infection in the country

Human cases have been notifiable since 1960. The number of cases varied between 0-121 (incidence  $0-1,2/100\ 000$  inhabitants/year – the highest one was registered in 1964). Between 1960 and 2004 the 85% of cases had epidemiological link to an outbreak. Only one death case has been registered during the Hungarian history of trichinellosis.

Between 1960 and 1975 the swine were the source of infection in 18 outbreaks (83% of all outbreaks) and wild boar in 17% of outbreaks. The significance of swine as the source of infection decreased between 1976 and 1995: 3 outbreaks (23%) were caused by swine, and 10 outbreaks (77%) were associated with consumption of wild boar meat. (Indigenous swine were the source of two outbreaks in 1978 and 1990, and swine imported from Romania and processed at home were the source of one outbreak in 1995).

#### National evaluation of the recent situation, the trends and sources of infection

In the last ten years the number of reported cases ranged between 0 - 7/year (incidence 0 - 0.07/100~000 inhabitants/year), there was no death in this period. All cases linked to family outbreaks and most of sporadic cases were imported from the neighbouring counties. The indigenous cases were linked to the

consumption of indigenous wild boar meat. All human cases were caused by T.spiralis.

## 2.8.3 Trichinella in animals

## A. Trichinella in pigs

#### Monitoring system

## Sampling strategy

Trichinella sampling and testing is mandatory for all pigs intended to be placed on the market.

#### Frequency of the sampling

Every slaughtered animal is sampled

## Type of specimen taken

Diaphragm muscle

#### Methods of sampling (description of sampling techniques)

Methods specified in Regulation 2075/2005/EC

#### Case definition

Animal with one or more Trichinella larva in the official examination.

#### Diagnostic/analytical methods used

Artificial digestion method of collective samples.

## Vaccination policy

None.

## Control program/mechanisms

The control program/strategies in place

See above.

## Measures in case of the positive findings or single cases

Positive cases are considered not to be eligible for human consumption.

#### Results of the investigation

All slaughtered swine are investigated. There was no positive finding for Trichinella.

#### B. Trichinella in horses

## Monitoring system

#### Sampling strategy

Trichinella testing is mandatory, all animal is sampled.

#### Frequency of the sampling

Every slaughtered animal is sampled

#### Type of specimen taken

Diaphragm muscle

## Methods of sampling (description of sampling techniques)

2075/2005/EC regulation

#### Case definition

Animal with one or more Trichinella larva in the official examination

#### Diagnostic/analytical methods used

Artificial digestion method of collective samples

## Vaccination policy

None.

## Measures in case of the positive findings or single cases

Positive cases are considered not to be eligible for human consumption.

## Results of the investigation

All the slaughtered horses (as all other susceptible animals) are investigated. There was no positive finding for trichinella.

#### National evaluation of the recent situation, the trends and sources of infection

Trichinella infection has never been detected in horses in Hungary.

# Table Trichinella in animals

	Source of information	Sampling strategy	Sampler	Sample type	Sample origin	Sampling unit	Units tested	Total units positive for Trichinella	T. spiralis	Trichinella spp., unspecified	T. britovi
Pigs - fattening pigs	National Food Chain Safety Office	Census	Official sampling	animal sample > organ/tissue	Domestic	Animal	4058146	0	0	0	0
Wild boars - wild - Surveillance	National Food Chain Safety Office	Census	Official sampling	animal sample > organ/tissue	Domestic	Animal	69171	16	3	1	12
Foxes - Monitoring	National Food Chain Safety Office	Objective sampling	Official sampling	animal sample > organ/tissue	Domestic	Animal	615	12	0	0	12

## 2.9 ECHINOCOCCOSIS

## 2.9.1 General evaluation of the national situation

## A. Echinococcus spp. general evaluation

## History of the disease and/or infection in the country

#### Echinococcus granulosus

Cystic echinococcosis caused by E. granulosus was a significant zoonosis in Hungary in the 1960s and 1970s. Due to the introduction of integrated control strategies, the average annual incidence of human cystic echinococcosis decreased to 0.08-0.2 case per 100,000 population for the early 1990s. The decrease of incidence observed in man is almost parallel with that of overall prevalence seen in swine, sheep and cattle at slaughterhouses.

Echinococcus multilocularis was not detected in man or animals in Hungary until 2002.

#### National evaluation of the recent situation, the trends and sources of infection

#### Echinococcus granulosus

In the past decade, the annual incidence was 0.05-0.1 case per 100,000 human population. The prevalence was under 0.2% in sheep, cattle and swine at slaughterhouses. Genotype identification of slaughterhouse isolates was intitiated in 2010.

#### Echinococcus multilocularis

E. multilocularis was first detected in red foxes (Vulpes vulpes) in Hungary in the northern border area in 2002. Between 2002 and 2004, the parasite was described in 7 northern counties with low overall prevalence (8.7%) in foxes. In the study carried out in 2009, E. multilocularis was detected in foxes of 16 out of the 19 Hungarian counties and in the suburban areas of the capital, Budapest. The prevalence of infection was significantly higher in the north-western half (16.2%) than in the south-eastern half (4.2%) of the country. The multi-locus microsatellite analysis of the isolates indicate that Hungary should be considered as a peripheral area of a single European focus, where the dispersal movement of foxes resulted in the spreading of E. multilocularis within a time period short enough to avoid a substantial genetic drift.

## 2.9.2 Echinococcosis in humans

## A. Echinococcus spp. in humans

## Reporting system in place for the human cases

There are about 80 communicable diseases notifiable in Hungary based on legal background. The physician (in primary health care, specialist care, inpatient medical institution or pathology) who first diagnoses a case of a notifiable communicable disease (even the suspicion of the disease!) immediately reports data of case to the first level of the epidemiological network (municipal institute) of National Public Health and Medical Officer's Service (NPHMOS). Data must be reported both at the beginning and at end of the illness (recovery/death, result of laboratory test). The NPHMOS has a nationwide electronic system for registering and analysing data of communicable diseases in a combined national database, so the system provides online connection amid the three levels (municipal, regional and national level – National Centre of Epidemiology - NCE) of the organization. The NCE prepares reports regularly (weekly, monthly, yearly) to the Chief Medical Officer, the MoH and the Hungarian Central Statistical Office.

#### Case definition

Confirmed case: a clinically compatible case when the Echinococcus infection is laboratory confirmed

## Diagnostic/analytical methods used

The punctatum originated from cyst or sample from extracted cyst is investigated by microscopic methods. IHA (CELLOGNOST ECHINOCOCCOSIS for IHA, Dade Behring, Germany) and ELISA (HYDATIDOSIS ELISA IgG, Vircell, Spain) screening methods have been used parallel since 2002 in the Helminthozoonoses Reference Laboratory in 'Johan Béla' National Centre for Epidemiology. The positive results are confirmed by Western blot method (WB) (ECHINOCOCCUS WESTERN BLOT IgG, Ldbio Diagnostics, France).

#### Notification system in place

The disease has been notifiable since 1950 in Hungary. The physician reports data of case on a "case report form" by mail to the municipal institute of NPHMOS. The specialist of the institute records data immediately in the electronic system of the NPHMOS.

#### History of the disease and/or infection in the country

Complement-fixed test has been used since 1934 in Hungary to identify the presence of anti-Echinococcus antibody titre. The human cases have been notifiable since 1960. The "home made" indirect hemagglutination (IHA) was introduced in 1985, and the "home made" ELISA method in 1987. The number of registered cases ranged between 0 - 18 /year (more then 10 cases registered in the 1980s only), the incidence varied between 0 - 0.2 cases/100 000 inhabitants/year. There were 0 - 4 death cases reported yearly (the median of case fatality rate: 20%). Since 1991 there has not been any death case with this diagnosis.

#### National evaluation of the recent situation, the trends and sources of infection

The number of annually reported cases varied between 5 and 13 in the last five years, there was no death registered. All the reported cases were caused by E. granulosus confirmed in the reference laboratory by Western immunoblot method. In Hungary, autochtonous human case has not been identified as E. multilocularis infection.

# 2.9.3 Echinococcus in animals

# Table Echinococcus in animals

	Source of information	Sampling strategy	Sampler	Sample type	Sample origin	Sampling unit	Region	Units tested	Total units positive for Echinococcus	E. granulosus	E. multilocularis
Sheep - at slaughterhouse - Surveillance	National Food Chain Safety Office		Official sampling	animal sample > organ/tissue	Domestic	Animal	Magyarorszá g	258	10	10	0
Pigs - at slaughterhouse - Surveillance	Nationa Food Chain Safety Office		Official sampling	animal sample > organ/tissue	Domestic	Animal	Magyarorszá g	1148	1	1	0
Foxes - Monitoring	National Food Chain Safety Office	Census	Official sampling	animal sample > organ/tissue	Domestic	Animal	Magyarorszá g	722	62		62

	Echinococcus spp., unspecified
Sheep - at slaughterhouse - Surveillance	0
Pigs - at slaughterhouse - Surveillance	0
Foxes - Monitoring	

## 2.10 TOXOPLASMOSIS

## 2.10.1 General evaluation of the national situation

## 2.10.2 Toxoplasmosis in humans

## A. Toxoplasmosis in humans

## Reporting system in place for the human cases

There are around 80 communicable diseases notifiable in Hungary based on legal background. The physician (in primary health care, specialist care, inpatient medical institution or pathology) who first diagnoses a case of a notifiable communicable disease (even the suspicion of the disease!) immediately reports data of case to the first level of the epidemiological network (municipal institute) of National Public Health and Medical Officer's Service (NPHMOS). Data must be reported both at the beginning and at end of the illness (recovery/death, result of laboratory test). The NPHMOS has a nationwide electronic system for registering and analysing data of communicable diseases in a combined national database, so the system makes online connection amid the three levels (municipal, regional and national level – National Centre of Epidemiology - NCE) of the organization. The NCE prepares reports regularly (weekly, monthly, yearly) to the Chief Medical Officer, the MoH and the Hungarian Central Statistical Office.

#### Case definition

Confirmed case: a clinically compatible case when the Toxoplasma infection is laboratory confirmed.

## Diagnostic/analytical methods used

The anti-Toxoplasma ELISA IgG and IgM methods (TOXONOSTIKA IgG, TOXONOSTIKA IgM, Organon Teknika, Hollandia) are used in the everyday diagnostic work since 1986 in Hungary. Today the specific anti-Toxoplasma IgG (PLATELIA® Toxo IgG, Bio-Rad, France), IgM (PLATELIA® Toxo IgM, Bio-Rad, France), IgG avidity identification (VIDAS, BioMérieux S/A, France) is used to test for the anti-Toxoplasma serologic profile.

The PCR method (classical: PRODECT TOXO B1, Bioanalisi Centro Sud s.n.c., Italy; and the light cycler method: LIGHTCYCLER FASTSTART DNA MASTERPLUS HYBRIDIZATION PROBES, Roche (Hungary) Ltd.), further the IgG/IgM Western blot test comparing the immunprofile of mother and child (TOXOPLASMA WESTERN BLOT IgG/IgM, Ldbio Diagnostics, France) are applied. For quality assurance purposes the Toxoplasma Reference Laboratory participate twice in a year in proficiency test, and the Reference Laboratory also organise proficiency tests for laboratory of NPHMOS.

#### History of the disease and/or infection in the country

Anti-Toxoplasma antibody assay (Sabin-Feldman dye test) has been in use since 1958 in Hungary. The human cases have been notifiable since 1967. The "home made" complement-fixed assay and indirect hemagglutination methods (IHA) were introduced in 1969.

The annual number of registered cases ranged between 0-333 (median: 136 case/year), so the incidence varied  $0-3.1/100\ 000$  inhabitants/year (median 1.3/100 000/year). Between 1970 and 1985 the highest number of death cases reported was 1-5 deaths/year (max. case fatality rate 10%). Only two death cases occurred between 1985 and 2004.

National evaluation of the recent situation, the trends and sources of infection

The number of annually registered cases ranged between 292 - 107 /year (incidence 2,9 – 1,1/100 000 inhabitant/year – median 1,8/100 000 inhabitant/year), the trend of the incidence is decreasing. There was no death registered in this period. It was a seroprevalence survey performed by Helmithozoonotic Reference Laboratory of National Centre for Epidemiology in 2001. 6 985 persons without sings or symptoms were tested by serologic method for the presence of Toxoplasma antibodies. The proportion of positive persons ranged between 22,8% - 41,3% by county. The proportion of positive persons was 75% among pupils aged more than 60 years.

# 2.10.3 Toxoplasma in animals

# Table Toxoplasma in animals

	Source of information	Sampling strategy	Sampler	Sample type	Sample origin	Analytical Method	Sampling unit	Units tested	Total units positive for Toxoplasma	T. gondii	Toxoplasma spp., unspecified
Sheep - at farm - Clinical investigations	NFCSO - VDD	Suspect sampling	Not applicable	animal sample	Domestic	Immuno Histo Chemistry (IHC)	Animal	1	0	0	0
Cats - Clinical investigations	NFCSO - VDD	Suspect sampling	Not applicable	animal sample	Domestic	Immuno Histo Chemistry (IHC)	Animal	1	0	0	0
Shrews - wild - in total - Unspecified	NFCSO - VDD	Unspecified	Not applicable	animal sample	Domestic	Immuno Histo Chemistry (IHC)	Animal	1	0	0	0
Zoo animals, all - at zoo - Clinical investigations	NFCSO - VDD	Suspect sampling	Not applicable	animal sample	Domestic	Immuno Histo Chemistry (IHC)	Animal	1	0	0	0

# **2.11 RABIES**

#### 2.11.1 General evaluation of the national situation

## A. Rabies general evaluation

## History of the disease and/or infection in the country

At the beginning of the twentieth century, rabies predominantly occurred in Hungary in its urban form and was transmitted to humans mainly by dogs. Therefore, in the 1930's strict animal health regulations were introduced, the main elements of these remained unchanged till recent days. These measures included nationwide mandatory regular vaccination of dogs over three months of age.

During World War II, epidemiological actions were hindered, which resulted in a re-emergence of urban rabies in 1946-47.

The re-introduction of regulatory measures as well as mandatory preventive vaccination, urban rabies seems to be sporadic in Hungary. The register of the annual vaccination of dogs show that around 1.5 Million of dogs are vaccinated every year.

In recent days, together with the disappearing of rabies from dogs, rabies in cats is considered to be of high importance. Preventive vaccination of cats against rabies is recommended but not mandatory and special epidemiological aspects are to be considered. (The movement of animals is hard to control and there is a relative large number of semi-wild living animals of this species.)

Sylvatic rabies reached the North-Eastern part of Hungary in the year 1954. Until 1966 cases remained sporadic (a total of 97 foxes, 16 badgers and wild cats confirmed positive for rabies). In the same timeframe, 35 dogs and 96 domestic cats were confirmed positive for the disease.

In 1967, sylvatic rabies crossed the Danube and by 1971 the whole country was infected. At this time, intensive attempts were executed in order to lower the number of foxes, with minimum results. These actions were suspended in 1987.

Between 1988 and 1996 around 1000 rabies cases in foxes were diagnosed per year. Oral vaccination of foxes was introduced in Hungary in 1992. From that year, the rabies cases in foxes decreased year by year, as the vaccination zone was extended from the western part of the country to the whole territory of Hungary. From 1988, rabies cases in foxes decreased by 90%.

The efficacy of the oral immunization of foxes can be demonstrated by the considerable decrease of rabies cases in the country. During the recent years the number of the detected positive cases remained under ten cases. In the calendar years 2005 only 9, in 2006 only 3, in 2007 only 4, in 2008 only 7 and in 2009 only 2 positive cases could be detected for the whole territory of the country. In 2010 fox rabies cases happened in Hungary: from this 6 cases in county Csongrád (close to the border of the country) and 1 dog in the same county, 1 case in county Hajdú-Bihar, 2 cases in county Szabolcs-Szatmár-Bereg. In 2011 two(2) rabies cases in bats were proved. In 2012 one (1) rabies case was confirmed in bat.

#### National evaluation of the recent situation, the trends and sources of infection

Although Hungary seems to be free from rabies it is of high importance that the countrywide oral vaccination of foxes is continued.

#### Recent actions taken to control the zoonoses

In order to eradicate rabies from Hungary and to protect public health, regulatory measures on domestic animals are in place. Regular preventive vaccination of dogs is mandatory two times between 3 months of age and under 1 year of age with monovalent vaccine. Stray dogs are removed from public areas and are vaccinated against the disease. Oral vaccination of foxes is done on the whole territory of Hungary.

## 2.11.2 Rabies in humans

## A. Rabies in humans

## Reporting system in place for the human cases

There are around 80 communicable diseases notifiable in Hungary based on legal background. The physician (in primary health care, specialist care, inpatient medical institution or pathology) who first diagnoses a case of a notifiable communicable disease (even the suspicion of the disease!) immediately reports data of case to the first level of the epidemiological network (municipal institute) of National Public Health and Medical Officer's Service (NPHMOS). The suspicion of the human lyssa is obligatory to be reported immediately also by telephone. Data must be reported both at the beginning and at end of the illness (recovery/death, result of laboratory test). The NPHMOS has a nationwide electronic system for registering and analysing data of communicable diseases in a combined national database, so the system provides online connection between the three levels (municipal, regional and national level – National Centre of Epidemiology - NCE) of the organization. The NCE prepares reports regularly (weekly, monthly, yearly) to the Chief Medical Officer, the MoH and the Hungarian Central Statistical Office.

#### Case definition

Confirmed case: Clinical picture compatible with human lyssa and the antigen/genetic material/specific antibodies are identified or viruses have been isolated from appropriate sample.

Suspected case: Clinical picture compatible with human lyssa and the patient has anamnestic data about exposure by a rabies suspected animal

## Diagnostic/analytical methods used

The identification of the virus in vivo from cornea imprint of the patient by immunofluorescence method, or to determine the specific antibody titre of the blood or liquor by immunofluorescence method during the second week of the illness. Post mortem: detection of the Negri-body in the brain tissue, or the antigen by immunofluorescence method, or identification of the viral genetic material by PCR, or isolation of the virus in mouse.

#### Notification system in place

Human cases have been notifiable since 1950 in Hungary, injury suspected to lyssa-infection has been notifiable since 1964. The physician reports data of case on a "case report form" by mail to the municipal institute of NPHMOS. The suspicion of the human lyssa is obligatory to be reported immediately also by telephone. The specialist of the institute records data immediately in the electronic system of the NPHMOS.

#### History of the disease and/or infection in the country

Human cases have been notifiable since 1950 in Hungary, injury suspect to human lyssa-infection has been notifiable since 1964. 8 human lyssa cases have been reported since 1950 in Hungary. Seven cases were indigenous; only one case was presumably imported from Africa. Cat was the source of infection in four of the cases, fox in two cases, and one case was caused by a dog. The origin of the imported case remained unknown. The vaccine based on brain-extract was used for post exposure prophylaxis in Hungary until 1989. Since then the cell cultured vaccine has been used. The change in the vaccine used and not in the epidemiological situation of lyssa is reflected in the statistics of vaccinated persons (1985 – 1988.: 2000 – 3000 person vaccinated/year, 1994 – 1998. 8000 – 10 500/year, 1999 - 2003.: 9 500 – 11 000/year).

National evaluation of the recent situation, the trends and sources of infection

Hungary - 2012 Report on trends and sources of zoonoses

No human lyssa case has been registered since 1994 in Hungary.

Hungary - 2012 320

## 2.11.3 Lyssavirus (rabies) in animals

### A. Rabies in dogs

Measures in case of the positive findings or single cases

There were no positive domestic cases since 2010.

Hungary - 2012 321

Table Rabies in animals

	Source of information	Sampling strategy	Sampler	Sample type	Sample origin	Sampling unit	Region	Units tested	Total units positive for Lyssavirus (rabies)	Rabies virus (RABV)	EBLV-1
Cattle (bovine animals)	NFCSO	Unspecified	Official sampling	animal sample	Domestic	Animal	Magyarorszá g	29	0		
Sheep	NFCSO	Unspecified	Official sampling	animal sample	Domestic	Animal	Magyarorszá g	10	0		
Goats	NFCSO	Unspecified	Official sampling	animal sample	Domestic	Animal	Magyarorszá g	6	0		
Dogs - stray dogs	NFCSO	Unspecified	Official sampling	animal sample	Domestic	Animal	Magyarorszá g	285	0		
Cats - stray cats	NFCSO	Unspecified	Official sampling	animal sample	Domestic	Animal	Magyarorszá g	347	0		
Bats - wild - Monitoring	NFCSO	Unspecified	Not applicable	animal sample	Domestic	Animal	Budapest	15	1	0	1
Foxes - wild - Monitoring	NFCSO	Objective sampling	Official sampling	animal sample	Domestic	Animal	Magyarorszá g	4136	0		
Jackals - wild - in total - Monitoring	NFCSO	Objective sampling	Official sampling	animal sample	Domestic	Animal	Magyarorszá g	28	0		
Rats - wild - in total - Unspecified	NFCSO	Objective sampling	Official	animal sample	Domestic	Animal	Magyarorszá	13	0		

	EBLV-2	Lyssavirus (unspecified virus)
Cattle (bovine animals)		
Sheep		
Goats		
Dogs - stray dogs		
Cats - stray cats		

## Table Rabies in animals

	EBLV-2	Lyssavirus (unspecified virus)
Bats - wild - Monitoring	0	0
Foxes - wild - Monitoring		
Jackals - wild - in total - Monitoring		
Rats - wild - in total - Unspecified		

# 2.12 STAPHYLOCOCCUS INFECTION

## 2.12.1 General evaluation of the national situation

# 2.12.2 Staphylococcus in animals

## Table Staphylococcus in Animals

	Source of information	Sampling strategy	Sampler	Sample type	Sample origin	Sampling unit	Sample weight	Units tested	Total units positive for Staphylococc us	S. aureus, meticillin resistant (MRSA)	S. aureus, meticillin resistant (MRSA) - spa -type t011
Cattle (bovine animals) - at farm - Clinical investigations	NFCSO - VDD	Suspect sampling	Not applicable	animal sample	Domestic	Herd		39		0	0
Gallus gallus (fowl) - at farm - Clinical investigations	NFCSO - VDD	Suspect sampling	Not applicable	animal sample	Domestic	Flock		24		0	0
Geese - at farm - Clinical investigations	NFCSO - VDD	Suspect sampling	Not applicable	animal sample	Domestic	Flock		13		0	0
Goats - at farm - Clinical investigations	NFCSO - VDD	Suspect sampling	Not applicable	animal sample	Domestic	Herd		2		0	0
Pigs - at farm - Clinical investigations	NFCSO - VDD	Suspect sampling	Not applicable	animal sample	Domestic	Holding		11		0	0
Sheep - at farm - Clinical investigations	NFCSO - VDD	Suspect sampling	Not applicable	animal sample	Domestic	Herd		2		0	0
Turkeys - at farm - Clinical investigations	NFCSO - VDD	Suspect sampling	Not applicable	animal sample	Domestic	Flock		7		0	0

#### Table Staphylococcus in Animals

	S. aureus, meticillin resistant (MRSA) - spa -type t108	S. aureus, meticillin resistant (MRSA) - spa -type t034	S. aureus, meticillin resistant (MRSA) - MRSA, unspecified
Cattle (bovine animals) - at farm - Clinical investigations	0	0	0
Gallus gallus (fowl) - at farm - Clinical investigations	0	0	0
Geese - at farm - Clinical investigations	0	0	0
Goats - at farm - Clinical investigations	0	0	0
Pigs - at farm - Clinical investigations	0	0	0
Sheep - at farm - Clinical investigations	0	0	0
Turkeys - at farm - Clinical investigations	0	0	0

#### Footnote:

Out of the 39 cattle units 37 was S. spp. positive. None of them was MRSA.

Out of the 2 sheep units 2 was S. spp. positive. None of them was MRSA.

Out of the 2 goat units 2 was S. spp. positive. None of them was MRSA.

Out of the 11 pig units 11 was S. spp. positive. None of them was MRSA.

Out of the 24 gallus gallus units 24 was S. spp. positive. None of them was MRSA.

Out of the 7 turkey units 7 was S. spp. positive. None of them was MRSA.

Out of the 13 geese units 13 was S. spp. positive. None of them was MRSA.

## **2.13 Q-FEVER**

### 2.13.1 General evaluation of the national situation

#### A. Coxiella burnetii (Q-fever) general evaluation

#### Additional information

Diagnostic methods : Complement fixation test (CFT) and immunohistochemical test

Hungary - 2012 326

# 2.13.2 Coxiella (Q-fever) in animals

# Table Coxiella burnetii (Q fever) in animals

	Source of information	Sampling strategy	Sampler	Sample type	Sample origin	Analytical Method	Sampling unit	Units tested	Total units positive for Coxiella (Q- fever)	C. burnetii	No of clinically affected herds
Cattle (bovine animals) - at farm - Clinical investigations	NFCSO - VDD	Suspect sampling	Not applicable	animal sample	Domestic	Immuno Histo Chemistry (IHC)	Animal	15	1	1	1
Sheep - at farm - Clinical investigations	NFCSO - VDD	Suspect sampling	Not applicable	animal sample	Domestic	Immuno Histo Chemistry (IHC)	Animal	2	0	0	0

### 2.14 WEST NILE VIRUS INFECTIONS

#### 2.14.1 General evaluation of the national situation

#### 2.14.2 West Nile Virus in animals

#### A. West Nile Virus in Animals

#### Vaccination policy

In case of equine animals vaccination for West Nile Virus is on a voluntary basis.

#### Notification system in place

In case of animals West Nile Virus is not a notifiable disease.

#### Additional information

In 2004 goshawks in Hungary (Accipiter gentilis) showed symptoms of lethal encephalitis. West Nile virus nucleic acid and antigens were detected in the brain of the animals. The complete genome analysis indicated that the strain belonged to the lineage 2 of WNV. The same lineage was detected in 2005 in four goshawks and one sparrowhawk. Furthermore in 2007 the virus was detected in geese and in red-footed falcons as well. The first human case was confirmed in 2008.

Hungary - 2012 328

## Table West Nile Virus in Animals

	Source of information	Sampling strategy	Sampler	Sample type	Sample origin	Vaccination status	Analytical Method	Sampling unit	Region	Units tested	Total units positive for West Nile Virus
Wild animals - in total - Unspecified	NFCSO - VDD	Unspecified	Not applicable	animal sample	Domestic	no	Immuno Histo Chemistry (IHC)	Animal	Magyarorszá g	15	1

Comments:

<sup>1)</sup> goshawk

3. INFORMATION ON SPECIFIC INDICATORS OF ANTIMICROBIAL RESISTANCE

Hungary - 2012 330

# 3.1 ESCHERICHIA COLI, NON-PATHOGENIC

- 3.1.1 General evaluation of the national situation
- 3.1.2 Antimicrobial resistance in Escherichia coli, non-pathogenic

Table Antimicrobial susceptibility testing of E. coli in Meat from bovine animals

Escherichia coli, non- pathogenic	patho	, non- genic, ecified		
Isolates out of a monitoring program (yes/no)	ye	yes		
Number of isolates available in the laboratory	3	1		
Antimicrobials:	N	n		
Aminoglycosides - Gentamicin	31	0		
Aminoglycosides - Kanamycin	0	0		
Aminoglycosides - Neomycin	0	0		
Aminoglycosides - Streptomycin	31	2		
Amphenicols - Chloramphenicol	31	0		
Amphenicols - Florfenicol	0	0		
Cephalosporins - 3rd generation cephalosporins	31	1		
Fluoroquinolones - Ciprofloxacin	31	1		
Fluoroquinolones - Enrofloxacin	0	0		
Penicillins - Ampicillin	31	3		
Quinolones - Nalidixic acid	31	1		
Sulfonamides	31	0		
Tetracyclines - Tetracycline	31	4		

## Table Antimicrobial susceptibility testing of E. coli in Meat from bovine animals

Escheri pathoge	E.coli, non- pathogenic, unspecified		
	Isolates out of a monitoring program (yes/no)	ye	es
	Number of isolates available in the laboratory	3	1
Antimicro	bials:	Ν	n
Trimethoprim		31	1
Fully sensitive		31	21
Resistant to 1 a	ntimicrobial	31	7
Resistant to 2 a	ntimicrobials	31	2
Resistant to 3 a	ntimicrobials	31	0
Resistant to 4 a	31	0	
Resistant to >4	antimicrobials	31	0

Footnote:

1 ESBL strain.

Escherichia coli, non- pathogenic	patho	, non- genic, ecified
Isolates out of a monitoring program (yes/no)	y	es
Number of isolates available in the laboratory	1	4
Antimicrobials:	N	n
Aminoglycosides - Gentamicin	14	0
Aminoglycosides - Kanamycin	0	0
Aminoglycosides - Neomycin	0	0
Aminoglycosides - Streptomycin	14	3
Amphenicols - Chloramphenicol	14	1
Amphenicols - Florfenicol	0	0
Cephalosporins - 3rd generation cephalosporins	14	0
Fluoroquinolones - Ciprofloxacin	14	4
Fluoroquinolones - Enrofloxacin	0	0
Penicillins - Ampicillin	14	4
Quinolones - Nalidixic acid	14	4
Sulfonamides	14	3
Tetracyclines - Tetracycline	14	5
Trimethoprim	14	3
Fully sensitive	14	7
Resistant to 1 antimicrobial	14	1
Resistant to 2 antimicrobials	14	2
Resistant to 3 antimicrobials	14	1
Resistant to 4 antimicrobials	14	1

# Table Antimicrobial susceptibility testing of E. coli in Meat from pig

Escherichia coli, non- pathogenic	E.coli, non- pathogenic, unspecified		
Isolates out of a monitoring program (yes/no)	yes		
Number of isolates available in the laboratory	1	4	
Antimicrobials:	Ν	n	
Resistant to >4 antimicrobials	14	2	

# Table Antimicrobial susceptibility testing of E. coli in Meat from broilers (Gallus gallus)

Escherichia coli, non- pathogenic	patho	, non- genic, ecified
Isolates out of a monitoring program (yes/no)	y	es
Number of isolates available in the laboratory	6	4
Antimicrobials:	N	n
Aminoglycosides - Gentamicin	64	2
Aminoglycosides - Kanamycin	0	0
Aminoglycosides - Neomycin	0	0
Aminoglycosides - Streptomycin	64	9
Amphenicols - Chloramphenicol	64	8
Amphenicols - Florfenicol	0	0
Cephalosporins - 3rd generation cephalosporins	64	0
Fluoroquinolones - Ciprofloxacin	64	48
Fluoroquinolones - Enrofloxacin	0	0
Penicillins - Ampicillin	64	17
Quinolones - Nalidixic acid	64	48
Sulfonamides	64	19
Tetracyclines - Tetracycline	64	21
Trimethoprim	64	12
Fully sensitive	64	12
Resistant to 1 antimicrobial	64	2
Resistant to 2 antimicrobials	64	18
Resistant to 3 antimicrobials	64	12
Resistant to 4 antimicrobials	64	5

# Table Antimicrobial susceptibility testing of E. coli in Meat from broilers (Gallus gallus)

Escherichia coli, non- pathogenic	E.coli patho unspe	genic,				
Isolates out of a monitoring program (yes/no)	yes					
Number of isolates available in the laboratory	64					
Antimicrobials:	N	n				
Resistant to >4 antimicrobials	64	15				

# Table Antimicrobial susceptibility testing of E. coli in Meat from other poultry species

Escherichia coli, non- pathogenic	patho	, non- genic, ecified			
Isolates out of a monitoring program (yes/no)	ye	es			
Number of isolates available in the laboratory	10				
Antimicrobials:	N	n			
Aminoglycosides - Gentamicin	10	0			
Aminoglycosides - Kanamycin	0	0			
Aminoglycosides - Neomycin	0	0			
Aminoglycosides - Streptomycin	10	1			
Amphenicols - Chloramphenicol	10	0			
Amphenicols - Florfenicol	0	0			
Cephalosporins - 3rd generation cephalosporins	10	0			
Fluoroquinolones - Ciprofloxacin	10	3			
Fluoroquinolones - Enrofloxacin	0	0			
Penicillins - Ampicillin	10	6			
Quinolones - Nalidixic acid	10	1			
Sulfonamides	10	1			
Tetracyclines - Tetracycline	10	4			
Trimethoprim	10	1			
Fully sensitive	10	2			
Resistant to 1 antimicrobial	10	2			
Resistant to 2 antimicrobials	10	4			
Resistant to 3 antimicrobials	10	2			
Resistant to 4 antimicrobials	10	0			

# Table Antimicrobial susceptibility testing of E. coli in Meat from other poultry species

Escherichia coli, non- pathogenic	E.coli patho unspe	genic,				
Isolates out of a monitoring program (yes/no)	yes					
Number of isolates available in the laboratory	10					
Antimicrobials:	N	n				
Resistant to >4 antimicrobials	10	0				

## Table Antimicrobial susceptibility testing of E.coli, non-pathogenic, unspecified in Meat from bovine animals - fresh - Official sampling - food sample - meat - quantitative data [Dilution method]

Concentration (ug/ml), number of isolates with a concentration of inhibition equal to

E.coli, non-pathogenic, unspecified		Meat from bovine animals - fresh																								
Isolates out of a monitoring program (yes/no)		yes Qay																								
Number of isolates available in the laboratory													3	1												
Antimicrobials:	Cut-off value	N	n	<=0.002	<=0.004	0.008	0.015	0.016	0.03	0.06	0.12	0.25	0.5	1	2	4	8	16	32	64	128	256	512	>4096	1024	2048
Aminoglycosides - Gentamicin	2	31	0										9	17	5											
Aminoglycosides - Streptomycin	16	31	2												9	14	5	1		1		1				
Amphenicols - Chloramphenicol	16	31	0												10	15	6									
Cephalosporins - Cefotaxime	0.25	31	1								30					1										
Fluoroquinolones - Ciprofloxacin	0.03	31	1						30			1														
Penicillins - Ampicillin	8	31	3											1	9	15	3	1			1	1				
Quinolones - Nalidixic acid	16	31	1											6	10	14					1					
Sulfonamides	256	31	0														1	10	15	3	1	1				
Tetracyclines - Tetracycline	8	31	4											20	7				1	1	2					
Trimethoprim	2	31	1								6	7	11	5	1			1								

E.coli, non-pathogenic, unspecified		Meat from bovine animal - fresh					
Isolates out of a monitoring program (yes/no)	yes						
Number of isolates availabin the laboratory	le	31					
Antimicrobials:		lowest	highest				
Aminoglycosides - Gentamicin		0.12	16				
Aminoglycosides - Streptomycin		2	256				
Amphenicols - Chloramphenicol		1	128				

Table Antimicrobial susceptibility testing of E.coli, non-pathogenic, unspecified in Meat from bovine animals - fresh - Official sampling - food sample - meat - quantitative data [Dilution method]

E.coli, no unspecific	Meat from bovine animals								
	yes								
	Number of isolates available in the laboratory	3	1						
Antimicrobi	Antimicrobials:								
Cephalosporins - 0	0.015	8							
Fluoroquinolones -	Ciprofloxacin	0.015	8						
Penicillins - Ampic	illin	1	128						
Quinolones - Nalid	ixic acid	1	128						
Sulfonamides		8	1024						
Tetracyclines - Tet	1	128							
Trimethoprim	0.12	16							

# Table Antimicrobial susceptibility testing of E.coli, non-pathogenic, unspecified in Meat from pig - fresh - food sample - meat - quantitative data [Dilution method]

Concentration (µg/ml), number of isolates with a concentration of inhibition equal to

								J , ,				1 4 0011														
E.coli, non-pathogenic, unspecified												M	eat from	pig - fre	sh											
Isolates out of a monitoring program (yes/no)													y	es												
Number of isolates available in the laboratory													1	4												
Antimicrobials:	Cut-off value	N	n	<=0.002	<=0.004	0.008	0.015	0.016	0.03	0.06	0.12	0.25	0.5	1	2	4	8	16	32	64	128	256	512	>4096	1024	2048
Aminoglycosides - Gentamicin	2	14	0									6	7	1												
Aminoglycosides - Streptomycin	16	14	3												5	5	1			1	2					
Amphenicols - Chloramphenicol	16	14	1												9	3	1			1						
Cephalosporins - Cefotaxime	0.25	14	0								13	1														
Fluoroquinolones - Ciprofloxacin	0.03	14	4						10		1	2					1									
Penicillins - Ampicillin	8	14	4											1	3	6				1		3				
Quinolones - Nalidixic acid	16	14	4											4	3	2	1			1	2	1				
Sulfonamides	256	14	3															2	4	3	2					3
Tetracyclines - Tetracycline	8	14	5											8	1					3	2					
Trimethoprim	2	14	3								3	5	1	2				3								

E.coli, n unspecit	Meat fro	om pig - sh					
	yes						
	14						
Antimicro	oials:	lowest	highest				
Aminoglycosides	s - Gentamicin	0.12	16				
Aminoglycosides	s - Streptomycin	2	256				
Amphenicols - C	1	128					

Table Antimicrobial susceptibility testing of E.coli, non-pathogenic, unspecified in Meat from pig - fresh - food sample - meat - quantitative data [Dilution method]

	E.coli, non-pathogenic, unspecified								
	yes								
	Number of isolates available in the laboratory	1	4						
Antimicrob	Antimicrobials:								
Cephalosporins - (	0.015	8							
Fluoroquinolones	- Ciprofloxacin	0.015	8						
Penicillins - Ampic	illin	1	128						
Quinolones - Nalid	dixic acid	1	128						
Sulfonamides		8	1024						
Tetracyclines - Te	1	128							
Trimethoprim	0.12	16							

# Table Antimicrobial susceptibility testing of E.coli, non-pathogenic, unspecified in Meat from broilers (Gallus gallus) - fresh - Official sampling - quantitative data [Dilution method]

Concentration (µg/ml), number of isolates with a concentration of inhibition equal to

							т. т. т. т.	9, , , ,		01 10010	100 11111		3011111411	011 01 11		· oqua.										
E.coli, non-pathogenic, unspecified		Meat from broilers (Gallus gallus) - fresh																								
Isolates out of a monitoring program (yes/no)													ye	es												
Number of isolates available in the laboratory													6	64												
Antimicrobials:	Cut-off value	N	n	<=0.002	<=0.004	0.008	0.015	0.016	0.03	0.06	0.12	0.25	0.5	1	2	4	8	16	32	64	128	256	512	>4096	1024	2048
Aminoglycosides - Gentamicin	2	64	2									16	39	7		2										
Aminoglycosides - Streptomycin	16	64	9												12	23	15	5	1	5	3					
Amphenicols - Chloramphenicol	16	64	8												18	32	6		3	5						
Cephalosporins - Cefotaxime	0.25	64	0								56	8														
Fluoroquinolones - Ciprofloxacin	0.03	64	48						16		6	14	4	2	4	6	12									
Penicillins - Ampicillin	8	64	17											2	15	19	11	2				15				
Quinolones - Nalidixic acid	16	64	48										3	3	6	3	1			9	6	33				
Sulfonamides	256	64	19														2	12	19	8	3	1	1		18	
Tetracyclines - Tetracycline	8	64	21											33	10			1	4	5	9	2				
Trimethoprim	2	64	12								8	14	16	13	1		1	11								

E.coli, non- unspecified	Meat from broilers (Gallu gallus) - fresh						
lso pro	yes						
Nui in t	64						
Antimicrobial	s:	lowest	highest				
Aminoglycosides - Ge	entamicin	0.12	16				
Aminoglycosides - Str	2	256					
Amphenicols - Chlora	1	128					

Table Antimicrobial susceptibility testing of E.coli, non-pathogenic, unspecified in Meat from broilers (Gallus gallus) - fresh - Official sampling - quantitative data [Dilution method]

E.coli, non-pathogenic, unspecified	broilers	from (Gallus - fresh
Isolates out of a monitoring program (yes/no)	y	es
Number of isolates available in the laboratory	6	i4
Antimicrobials:	lowest	highest
Cephalosporins - Cefotaxime	0.015	8
Fluoroquinolones - Ciprofloxacin	0.015	8
Penicillins - Ampicillin	1	128
Quinolones - Nalidixic acid	1	128
Sulfonamides	8	1024
Tetracyclines - Tetracycline	1	128
Trimethoprim	0.12	16

# Table Antimicrobial susceptibility testing of E.coli, non-pathogenic, unspecified in Meat from turkey - fresh - Official sampling - quantitative data [Dilution method]

Concentration (µg/ml), number of isolates with a concentration of inhibition equal to

E.coli, non-pathogenic,		concentration (pgmm), named or isolates with a concentration of immediate																								
unspecified		Meat from turkey - fresh																								
Isolates out of a monitoring program (yes/no)		yes																								
Number of isolates available in the laboratory		10 <u>10                                 </u>																								
Antimicrobials:	Cut-off value	N	n	<=0.002	<=0.004	0.008	0.015	0.016	0.03	0.06	0.12	0.25	0.5	1	2	4	8	16	32	64	128	256	512	>4096	1024	2048
Aminoglycosides - Gentamicin	2	10	0									1	6	2	1											
Aminoglycosides - Streptomycin	16	10	1												1	3	4	1		1						
Amphenicols - Chloramphenicol	16	10	0												1	5	4									
Cephalosporins - Cefotaxime	0.25	10	0								9	1														
Fluoroquinolones - Ciprofloxacin	0.03	10	3					3	4			3														
Penicillins - Ampicillin	8	10	6												3	1				2	1	3				
Quinolones - Nalidixic acid	16	10	1												1	6	1	1			1					
Sulfonamides	256	10	1														3	1	4	1					1	
Tetracyclines - Tetracycline	8	10	4											3	3				1	1	1	1				
Trimethoprim	2	10	1								1	5	3							1						

E.coli, n unspeci	Meat from turkey - fresh				
	yes				
	10				
Antimicrol	oials:	lowest	highest		
Aminoglycosides	s - Gentamicin	0.12	16		
Aminoglycosides	s - Streptomycin	2	256		
Amphenicols - C	2	256			

Table Antimicrobial susceptibility testing of E.coli, non-pathogenic, unspecified in Meat from turkey - fresh - Official sampling - quantitative data [Dilution method]

E.coli, non-pathogenic, Meat from										
unspecifi	turkey - fres									
	Isolates out of a monitoring program (yes/no)									
	Number of isolates available in the laboratory	1	0							
Antimicrob	lowest	highest								
Cephalosporins -	0.015	8								
Fluoroquinolones	- Ciprofloxacin	0.015	8							
Penicillins - Ampid	cillin	1	128							
Quinolones - Nali	dixic acid	1	128							
Sulfonamides	8	1024								
Tetracyclines - Te	1	128								
Trimethoprim	0.12	16								

E.coli, non-pathogenic, unspecified												P	igs - fatte	ening pig	gs											
Isolates out of a monitoring program (yes/no)		y and y																								
Number of isolates available in the laboratory		172																								
Antimicrobials:	Cut-off value	N	n	<=0.002	<=0.004	0.008	0.015	0.016	0.03	0.06	0.12	0.25	0.5	1	2	4	8	16	32	64	128	256	512	>4096	1024	2048
Aminoglycosides - Gentamicin	2	68	2									1	21	41	3		1		1							
Aminoglycosides - Streptomycin	8	68	31													9	28	6	3	8	14					
Amphenicols - Chloramphenicol	16	68	10												3	19	33	3	2	8						
Cephalosporins - Cefotaxime	0	68	68							61	6					1										
Fluoroquinolones - Ciprofloxacin	0	68	68			7	45		7			7					2									
Penicillins - Ampicillin	8	68	33												14	18	3	1	32							
Quinolones - Nalidixic acid	16	68	7													60	1			7						
Tetracyclines - Tetracycline	8	68	47											1	19	1		1	2	44						
Trimethoprim	2	68	16										51	1					16							
Sulfonamides - Sulfamethoxazole	64	68	24														13	20	11						24	

E.coli, n unspecit	Pigs - fattening pigs				
	172				
Antimicro	oials:	lowest	highest		
Aminoglycosides	s - Gentamicin	0.25	32		
Aminoglycosides	s - Streptomycin	2	128		
Amphenicols - C	2	64			

E.coli, non-pat unspecified	Pigs - fattening pigs							
	Isolates out of a monitoring program (yes/no)							
Number in the lab	of isolates available oratory	17	72					
Antimicrobials:	lowest	highest						
Cephalosporins - Cefotaxim	ne	0.06	4					
Fluoroquinolones - Ciproflo	xacin	0.008	8					
Penicillins - Ampicillin		0.5	32					
Quinolones - Nalidixic acid		4	64					
Tetracyclines - Tetracycline		1	64					
Trimethoprim		0.5	32					
Sulfonamides - Sulfametho	xazole	8	1024					

#### Table Antimicrobial susceptibility testing of E.coli, non-pathogenic, unspecified in Gallus gallus (fowl) - broilers - quantitative data [Dilution method]

Concentration (µg/ml), number of isolates with a concentration of inhibition equal to

E.coli, non-pathogenic, unspecified		Gallus gallus (fowl) - broilers																								
Isolates out of a monitoring program (yes/no)		gary																								
Number of isolates available in the laboratory		172 																								
Antimicrobials:	Cut-off value	N	n	<=0.002	<=0.004	0.008	0.015	0.016	0.03	0.06	0.12	0.25	0.5	1	2	4	8	16	32	64	128	256	512	>4096	1024	2048
Aminoglycosides - Gentamicin	2	104	2										32	69	1			1	1							
Aminoglycosides - Streptomycin	8	104	35													12	57	8	3	4	20					
Amphenicols - Chloramphenicol	16	104	10												3	39	45	7	1	9						
Cephalosporins - Cefotaxime	0	105	105							83	13	1		1	2	5										
Fluoroquinolones - Ciprofloxacin	0	104	104				22		5	1	3	21	5	12	7	4	24									
Penicillins - Ampicillin	8	105	55											2	16	28	4		55							
Quinolones - Nalidixic acid	16	104	74													27	3		1	73						
Tetracyclines - Tetracycline	8	104	38											10	51	4	1		2	36						
Trimethoprim	2	104	29										72	3		1	1		27							
Sulfonamides - Sulfamethoxazole	64	104	34														19	32	17	2	1				33	

E.coli, no unspecifi	Gallus gallus (fowl) - broiler				
	172				
Antimicrob	ials:	lowest	highest		
Aminoglycosides	- Gentamicin	0.25	32		
Aminoglycosides	2	128			
Amphenicols - Ch	loramphenicol	2	64		

Table Antimicrobial susceptibility testing of E.coli, non-pathogenic, unspecified in Gallus gallus (fowl) - broilers - quantitative data [Dilution method]

E.coli, no unspecif	Gallus gallus (fowl) - broilers				
	Number of isolates available in the laboratory	17	72		
Antimicrob	lowest	highest			
Cephalosporins -	0.06	4			
Fluoroquinolones	- Ciprofloxacin	0.008	8		
Penicillins - Ampi	cillin	0.5	32		
Quinolones - Nali	dixic acid	4	64		
Tetracyclines - Te	etracycline	1	64		
Trimethoprim	0.5	32			
Sulfonamides - S	8	1024			

## Table Cut-off values used for antimicrobial susceptibility testing of Escherichia coli, non-pathogenic in Animals

Test Method Used	Standard methods used for testing

			Concentration (microg/ml)	Zone diameter (mm)
		Standard	Resistant >	Resistant <=
Aminoglycosides	Gentamicin		2	
	Streptomycin		16	
Amphenicols	Chloramphenicol		16	
Cephalosporins	Cefotaxime		0.25	
Fluoroquinolones	Ciprofloxacin		0.03	
Penicillins	Ampicillin		8	
Quinolones	Nalidixic acid		16	
Sulfonamides	Sulfonamides		256	
Tetracyclines	Tetracycline		8	
Trimethoprim	Trimethoprim		2	

## Table Cut-off values used for antimicrobial susceptibility testing of Escherichia coli, non-pathogenic in Feed

Test Method Used	Standard methods used for testing

			Concentration (microg/ml)	Zone diameter (mm)
		Standard	Resistant >	Resistant <=
Aminoglycosides	Gentamicin		2	
	Streptomycin		16	
Amphenicols	Chloramphenicol		16	
Cephalosporins	Cefotaxime		0.25	
Fluoroquinolones	Ciprofloxacin		0.03	
Penicillins	Ampicillin		8	
Quinolones	Nalidixic acid		16	
Sulfonamides	Sulfonamides		256	
Tetracyclines	Tetracycline		8	
Trimethoprim	Trimethoprim		2	

## Table Cut-off values used for antimicrobial susceptibility testing of Escherichia coli, non-pathogenic in Food

Test Method Used		
Broth dilution		

Standard methods used for testing
EFSA 2008

			Concentration (microg/ml)	Zone diameter (mm)
		Standard	Resistant >	Resistant <=
Aminoglycosides	Gentamicin	EFSA	2	
	Streptomycin	EFSA	16	
Amphenicols	Chloramphenicol	EFSA	16	
Cephalosporins	Cefotaxime	EFSA	0.25	
Fluoroquinolones	Ciprofloxacin	EFSA	0.03	
Penicillins	Ampicillin	EFSA	8	
Quinolones	Nalidixic acid	EFSA	16	
Sulfonamides	Sulfonamides	EFSA	256	
Tetracyclines	Tetracycline	EFSA	8	
Trimethoprim	Trimethoprim	EFSA	2	

## 3.2 ENTEROCOCCUS, NON-PATHOGENIC

#### 3.2.1 General evaluation of the national situation

## 3.2.2 Antimicrobial resistance in Enterococcus, non-pathogenic isolates

Table Antimicrobial susceptibility testing of E. faecalis in Meat from broilers (Gallus gallus) - fresh - Official sampling - quantitative data [Dilution method]

Concentration (µg/ml), number of isolates with a concentration of inhibition equal to

E. faecalis	Meat from broilers (Gallus gallus) - fresh																									
Isolates out of a monitoring program (yes/no)	yes																									
Number of isolates available in the laboratory	52																									
Antimicrobials:	Cut-off value	N	n	<=0.002	<=0.004	0.008	0.015	0.016	0.03	0.06	0.12	0.25	0.5	1	2	4	8	16	32	64	128	256	512	>4096	1024	2048
Aminoglycosides - Gentamicin	32	52	3										1	1	2	4	12	24	5		3					
Aminoglycosides - Streptomycin	512	52	18																1	2	2	8	21		5	13
Amphenicols - Chloramphenicol	32	52	2										1	1	2	21	21		4	2						
Penicillins - Ampicillin	4	52	0									3	30	19												
Tetracyclines - Tetracycline	2	52	43										9						11	9	23					
Fully sensitive		7	7	7																						
Glycopeptides (Cyclic peptides, Polypeptides) - Vancomycin	4	52	3	0										25	20	4	3									
Macrolides - Erythromycin	4	52	27										23	2					1	2	24					
Oxazolidines - Linezolid	4	52	0											2	26	24										
Resistant to 1 antimicrobial		13	13	13																						
Resistant to 2 antimicrobials		16	16	16																						
Resistant to 3 antimicrobials		13	13	13																						
Streptogramins - Pristinamycin	32	52	0									3	4	3	8	16	17	1			_					

Table Antimicrobial susceptibility testing of E. faecalis in Meat from broilers (Gallus gallus) - fresh - Official sampling - quantitative data [Dilution method]

E. faecalis	Meat from broilers (Gallus gallus) - fresh					
Isolates out of a mo program (yes/no)	onitoring	yes				
Number of isolates in the laboratory	available	52				
Antimicrobials:		lowest	highest			
Aminoglycosides - Gentamicin		0.5	128			
Aminoglycosides - Streptomycin		8	1024			
Amphenicols - Chloramphenicol		0.5	128			
Penicillins - Ampicillin		0.25	32			
Tetracyclines - Tetracycline		0.5	64			
Fully sensitive						
Glycopeptides (Cyclic peptides, Polyp Vancomycin	eptides) -	1	128			
Macrolides - Erythromycin		0.5	64			
Oxazolidines - Linezolid		0.12	16			
Resistant to 1 antimicrobial						
Resistant to 2 antimicrobials						
Resistant to 3 antimicrobials						
Streptogramins - Pristinamycin		0.12	16			

# Table Antimicrobial susceptibility testing of E. faecalis in Meat from turkey - fresh - Official sampling - quantitative data [Dilution method]

Concentration (µg/ml), number of isolates with a concentration of inhibition equal to

E. faecalis		Meat from turkey - fresh																								
Isolates out of a monitoring program (yes/no)		yes																								
Number of isolates available in the laboratory		22																								
Antimicrobials:	Cut-off value	N	n	<=0.002	<=0.004	0.008	0.015	0.016	0.03	0.06	0.12	0.25	0.5	1	2	4	8	16	32	64	128	256	512	>4096	1024	2048
Aminoglycosides - Gentamicin	32	22	1													1	12	4	4		1					
Aminoglycosides - Streptomycin	512	22	5																			2	15		2	3
Amphenicols - Chloramphenicol	32	22	0												1	6	15									
Penicillins - Ampicillin	4	22	0										21	1												
Tetracyclines - Tetracycline	2	22	20									2						2	12	1	5					
Fully sensitive		1	1	1																						
Glycopeptides (Cyclic peptides, Polypeptides) - Vancomycin	4	22	0											14	8											
Macrolides - Erythromycin	4	22	5										15		1	1				1	4					
Oxazolidines - Linezolid	4	22	0												5	17										
Resistant to 1 antimicrobial		13	13	13																						
Resistant to 2 antimicrobials		5	5	5																						
Resistant to 3 antimicrobials		3	3	3																						
Resistant to 4 antimicrobials		0	0	0																						
Streptogramins - Pristinamycin	32	22	0									1				15	6									

# Table Antimicrobial susceptibility testing of E. faecalis in Meat from turkey - fresh - Official sampling - quantitative data [Dilution method]

E. faecalis	Meat from turkey - fresh				
	olates out of a monitoring ogram (yes/no)	ye	es		
	umber of isolates available the laboratory	22			
Antimicrobia	lowest	highest			
Aminoglycosides - G	entamicin	0.5	128		
Aminoglycosides - S	treptomycin	8	1024		
Amphenicols - Chlor	amphenicol	0.5	128		
Penicillins - Ampicilli	n	0.25	32		
Tetracyclines - Tetra	cycline	0.5	64		
Fully sensitive					
Glycopeptides (Cycli Vancomycin	ic peptides, Polypeptides) -	1	128		
Macrolides - Erythro	mycin	0.5	64		
Oxazolidines - Linez	olid	0.12	16		
Resistant to 1 antimi	crobial				
Resistant to 2 antimi	crobials				
Resistant to 3 antimi	crobials				
Resistant to 4 antimi	crobials				
Streptogramins - Pris	stinamycin	0.12	16		

# Table Antimicrobial susceptibility testing of E. faecalis in Meat from bovine animals - fresh - Official sampling - quantitative data [Dilution method]

Concentration (µg/ml), number of isolates with a concentration of inhibition equal to

							ο (μ.	9 , , ,																		
E. faecalis		Meat from bovine animals - fresh																								
Isolates out of a monitoring program (yes/no)		yes																								
Number of isolates available in the laboratory		16																								
Antimicrobials:	Cut-off value	N	n	<=0.002	<=0.004	0.008	0.015	0.016	0.03	0.06	0.12	0.25	0.5	1	2	4	8	16	32	64	128	256	512	>4096	1024	2048
Aminoglycosides - Gentamicin	32	16	0											1	1		2	8	4							
Aminoglycosides - Streptomycin	512	16	2																	2	1	3	8	2		
Amphenicols - Chloramphenicol	32	16	0													12	3		1							
Penicillins - Ampicillin	4	16	0										8	7	1											
Tetracyclines - Tetracycline	2	16	4										12						3	1						
Fully sensitive		10	10	10																						
Glycopeptides (Cyclic peptides, Polypeptides) - Vancomycin	4	16	0											9	6	1										
Macrolides - Erythromycin	4	16	0										12	3	1											
Oxazolidines - Linezolid	4	16	0												7	9										
Resistant to 1 antimicrobial		6	6	6																						
Streptogramins - Pristinamycin	32	16	0								1	1		3	4	6	1									

E. faecalis	bovine	t from animals resh			
Isolates out of a monitoring program (yes/no)		yes			
Number of isolates available in the laboratory		16			
Antimicrobials:	lowest	highest			
Aminoglycosides - Gentamicin	0.5	128			
Aminoglycosides - Streptomycin	8	1024			

Table Antimicrobial susceptibility testing of E. faecalis in Meat from bovine animals - fresh - Official sampling - quantitative data [Dilution method]

E. faeca		from animals esh		
	yes			
	Number of isolates available in the laboratory	1	6	
Antimicrob	lowest	highest		
Amphenicols - Cl	hloramphenicol	0.5	128	
Penicillins - Ampi	icillin	0.25	32	
Tetracyclines - To	etracycline	0.5	64	
Fully sensitive				
Glycopeptides (C Vancomycin	Cyclic peptides, Polypeptides) -	1	128	
Macrolides - Eryt	hromycin	0.5	64	
Oxazolidines - Li	nezolid	0.12	16	
Resistant to 1 an	timicrobial			
Streptogramins -	0.12	16		

# Table Antimicrobial susceptibility testing of E. faecalis in Meat from pig - fresh - Official sampling - quantitative data [Dilution method]

Concentration (µg/ml), number of isolates with a concentration of inhibition equal to

E. faecalis		Meat from pig - fresh																								
Isolates out of a monitoring program (yes/no)		yes																								
Number of isolates available in the laboratory		18																								
Antimicrobials:	Cut-off value	N	n	<=0.002	<=0.004	0.008	0.015	0.016	0.03	0.06	0.12	0.25	0.5	1	2	4	8	16	32	64	128	256	512	>4096	1024	2048
Aminoglycosides - Gentamicin	32	18	1										2			2	5	7	1		1					
Aminoglycosides - Streptomycin	512	18	0																2			7	9			
Amphenicols - Chloramphenicol	32	18	0												2	9	6		1							
Penicillins - Ampicillin	4	18	0										14	4												
Tetracyclines - Tetracycline	2	18	6										9	3					4	2						
Fully sensitive		12	12	12																						
Glycopeptides (Cyclic peptides, Polypeptides) - Vancomycin	4	18	0											12	5	1										
Macrolides - Erythromycin	4	18	1										16	1							1					
Oxazolidines - Linezolid	4	18	0								1			1	5	11										
Resistant to 1 antimicrobial		4	4	4																						
Resistant to 2 antimicrobials		2	2	2																						
Streptogramins - Pristinamycin	32	18	0								1	2	3	1	1	7	3									

E. faecalis	Meat from pig - fresh					
Isolates out of a monitoring program (yes/no)	yes					
Number of isolates available in the laboratory	18					
Antimicrobials:	lowest	highest				
Aminoglycosides - Gentamicin	0.5	128				

# Table Antimicrobial susceptibility testing of E. faecalis in Meat from pig - fresh - Official sampling - quantitative data [Dilution method]

E. faecal	Meat from pig - fresh				
	yes				
	Number of isolates available in the laboratory	18			
Antimicrob	ials:	lowest	highest		
Aminoglycosides	- Streptomycin	8	1024		
Amphenicols - Ch	loramphenicol	0.5	128		
Penicillins - Ampi	cillin	0.25	32		
Tetracyclines - Te	tracycline	0.5	128		
Fully sensitive					
Glycopeptides (C	yclic peptides, Polypeptides) -	1	128		
Macrolides - Eryth	nromycin	0.5	64		
Oxazolidines - Lin	ezolid	0.12	16		
Resistant to 1 ant	imicrobial				
Resistant to 2 ant	imicrobials	_			
Streptogramins - I	Pristinamycin	0.12	16		

# Table Cut-off values for antibiotic resistance of E. faecalis in Animals

Test Method Used	Standard methods used for testing

			Concentration (microg/ml)	Zone diameter (mm)
		Standard	Resistant >	Resistant <=
Aminoglycosides	Gentamicin		32	
	Streptomycin		512	
Amphenicols	Chloramphenicol		32	
Glycopeptides (Cyclic peptides, Polypeptides)	Vancomycin		4	
Macrolides	Erythromycin		4	
Oxazolidines	Linezolid		4	
Penicillins	Ampicillin		4	
Streptogramins	Quinupristin/Dalfopristin		32	
Tetracyclines	Tetracycline		2	

# Table Cut-off values for antibiotic resistance of E. faecalis in Feed

Test Method Used	Standard methods used for testing

			Concentration (microg/ml)	Zone diameter (mm)
		Standard	Resistant >	Resistant <=
Aminoglycosides	Gentamicin		32	
	Streptomycin		512	
Amphenicols	Chloramphenicol		32	
Glycopeptides (Cyclic peptides, Polypeptides)	Vancomycin		4	
Macrolides	Erythromycin		4	
Oxazolidines	Linezolid		4	
Penicillins	Ampicillin		4	
Streptogramins	Quinupristin/Dalfopristin		32	
Tetracyclines	Tetracycline		2	

# Table Cut-off values for antibiotic resistance of E. faecalis in Food

Standard methods used for testing
EFSA 2008

			Concentration (microg/ml)	Zone diameter (mm)
		Standard	Resistant >	Resistant <=
Aminoglycosides	Gentamicin	EFSA	32	
	Streptomycin	EFSA	512	
Amphenicols	Chloramphenicol	EFSA	32	
Glycopeptides (Cyclic peptides, Polypeptides)	Vancomycin	EFSA	4	
Macrolides	Erythromycin	EFSA	4	
Oxazolidines	Linezolid	EFSA	4	
Penicillins	Ampicillin	EFSA	4	
Streptogramins	Quinupristin/Dalfopristin	EFSA	32	
Tetracyclines	Tetracycline	EFSA	2	

# Table Cut-off values for antibiotic resistance of E. faecium in Animals

Test Method Used	Standard methods used for testing

			Concentration (microg/ml)	Zone diameter (mm)
		Standard	Resistant >	Resistant <=
Aminoglycosides	Gentamicin		32	
	Streptomycin		128	
Amphenicols	Chloramphenicol		32	
Glycopeptides (Cyclic peptides, Polypeptides)	Vancomycin		4	
Macrolides	Erythromycin		4	
Oxazolidines	Linezolid		4	
Penicillins	Ampicillin		4	
Streptogramins	Quinupristin/Dalfopristin		1	
Tetracyclines	Tetracycline		2	

# Table Cut-off values for antibiotic resistance of E. faecium in Feed

Test Method Used	Standard methods used for testing

			Concentration (microg/ml)	Zone diameter (mm)
		Standard	Resistant >	Resistant <=
Aminoglycosides	Gentamicin		32	
	Streptomycin		128	
Amphenicols	Chloramphenicol		32	
Glycopeptides (Cyclic peptides, Polypeptides)	Vancomycin		4	
Macrolides	Erythromycin		4	
Oxazolidines	Linezolid		4	
Penicillins	Ampicillin		4	
Streptogramins	Quinupristin/Dalfopristin		1	
Tetracyclines	Tetracycline		2	

# Table Cut-off values for antibiotic resistance of E. faecium in Food

ethod Used	-
dilution	ı
unution	'

Standard methods used for testing
EFSA Journal (2008) 141:17

			Concentration (microg/ml)	Zone diameter (mm)
		Standard	Resistant >	Resistant <=
Aminoglycosides	Gentamicin	EFSA	32	
	Streptomycin	EFSA	128	
Amphenicols	Chloramphenicol	EFSA	32	
Glycopeptides (Cyclic peptides, Polypeptides)	Vancomycin	EFSA	4	
Macrolides	Erythromycin	EFSA	4	
Oxazolidines	Linezolid	EFSA	4	
Penicillins	Ampicillin	EFSA	4	
Streptogramins	Quinupristin/Dalfopristin	EFSA	1	
Tetracyclines	Tetracycline	EFSA	2	

4. INFORMATION ON SPECIFIC MICROBIOLOGICAL AGENTS

# 4.1 CRONOBACTER

# 4.1.1 General evaluation of the national situation

# 4.1.2 Cronobacter in foodstuffs

## Table Cronobacter in food

	Source of information	Sampling strategy	Sampler	Sample type	Sample origin	Sampling unit	Sample weight	Units tested	Total units positive for Cronobacter	Cronobacter sakazakii	Cronobacter spp, unspecified
Infant formula - dried - at retail - Surveillance	National Food Chain Safety Office	Objective sampling	Official sampling	food sample	Unknown	Single	10 g	60	0	0	0
Follow-on formulae - at retail - Surveillance	National Food Chain Safety Office	Objective sampling	Official sampling	food sample	Unknown	Single	10 g	16	0	0	0
Foodstuffs intended for special nutritional uses - processed cereal-based food for infants and young children - at retail - Surveillance	National Food Chain Safety Office	Objective sampling	Official sampling	food sample	Unknown	Single	10 g	24	0	0	0

# 4.2 HISTAMINE

#### 4.2.1 General evaluation of the national situation

# 4.3 STAPHYLOCOCCAL ENTEROTOXINS

#### 4.3.1 General evaluation of the national situation

### 4.3.2 Staphylococcal enterotoxins in foodstuffs

#### A. Staphylococcal enterotoxins in foodstuffs

#### Monitoring system

#### Sampling strategy

There is no direct sampling strategy, samples containing more than 100.000 coagulase positive staphyloccocci/gram are tested for the presence of enterotoxin.

Only those product groups are routinely tested for coagulase positive staphyloccocci, for which there is a criterion in 2073/2005/EC.

#### Type of specimen taken

milk products

#### Definition of positive finding

If ELFA test shows a positive result, the product is considered to be positive.

#### Diagnostic/analytical methods used

Validated detection method of the CRL based on VIDAS enterotoxin test is used.

# Table Staphylococcal enterotoxins in food

	Source of information	Sampling strategy	Sampler	Sample type	Sample origin	Sampling unit	Sample weight		Total units positive for Staphylococc al enterotoxins
All foodstuffs - unspecified - Clinical investigations	National Food Chain Safety Office	l Suspect l	Official sampling	food sample	Unknown	Single	25 g	13	0

### 5. FOODBORNE

Foodborne outbreaks are incidences of two or more human cases of the same disease or infection where the cases are linked or are probably linked to the same food source. Situation, in which the observed human cases exceed the expected number of cases and where a same food source is suspected, is also indicative of a foodborne outbreak.

#### A. Foodborne outbreaks

# System in place for identification, epidemological investigations and reporting of foodborne outbreaks

Data on foodborne outbreaks have been collected in Hungary by legal background at the Public Health Authority since 1931. There are two surveillance systems in Hungary since 1st January 2007. One of them is for collection of communicable diseases included the human data of foodborne outbreaks (based on the obligatory reports of a physician and microbiological laboratories). The reporting system of human cases belongs to the institutes of the National Public Health and Medical Officers' Service (National Center for Epidemiologie = NCE and National Institute for Food and Nutrition Science = NIFNS). The other surveillance system is operated by the Central Agricultural Office, (since 15 March 2012 it's name is National Food Chain Safety Office = NFCSO), which is working under the supervision of Ministry of Agriculture. This system based on the reports of the food business operators, the drinking water suppliers and the data of the communicable disease reporting system. The role of the NFCSO is in this topic to investigate which food was the sourse of the outbreaks, collection and analysis of obtained data – in all events if the outbreak was general or the supposed product is produced by the food industry and/or catering, and not located to a household. The household outbreaks are investigated by the Public Health Authority. The investigation of an outbreak is usually initiated with the information about the human cases provided by the public health service. The two authorities cooperate in the whole process of investigation.

#### Description of the types of outbreaks covered by the reporting:

Outbreak: At least two cases with epidemiological link (exposed by the same food).

Household outbreak: At least two related cases in the same household.

General outbreak: At least two related cases in a community (school, kindergarten, hospital, events etc.).

#### National evaluation of the reported outbreaks in the country:

Trends in numbers of outbreaks and numbers of human cases involved

Altogether there were 114 general and household outbreaks verified as foodborne in 2012 (2011:174) in Hungary. 1414 cases (2011: 1631) were linked to the outbreaks, among them 206 (14,6%) hospitalised cases (2011: 111 (10,7%). Nobody died. Although the number of the outbreakes decreased significantly (65,5%) and cases decreased also (86,7%), the rate of hospitalisation increased.

There were 9 outbreaks with strong and 105 with weak evidence based on the data of enteric surveillance in Hungary. The surveillance based on results of laboratories and the reports of physitians. The epidemiological investigation was carred out by Public Health Services. If it has been suspected the outbreake was foodborne, the investigation at the food chain was conducted by National Food Chain Safety Office.

The number of foodborne outbreaks registrated by National Food Chain Safety Office was less than in 2011, and the number of cases decreased compared to the previous year.

51,6 % (16) of the outbreaks was caused by Salmonella spp., 16,1 % (5) Norovirus, 3,2 % (1) Clostridium perfringens, 6,5 % (2) high microbial count and 22,6 % (7) outbreaks had unknown etiology. The proportion of Salmonella etiology increased compared to 2011 (2012: 42,9 %, 2011: 37%).

There was no major change in the type of food vehicles. The most foodborne outbreaks (58 %) were caused by mixed foods. The number of cases caused by broiler meats and products thereof increased, there were not any egg and egg product-mediated diseases.

The most food borne events occurred in public canteens and the number of events decreased compared to 2011 (2012: 58,1 %, 2011: 63,3 %). 41,9 % of the outbreaks occured in catering services (restaurant, bar, cafe, etc.), the number of cases increased compared to the previous year.

#### Salmonellosis

NCE registered 6250 sporadic salmonellosis cases or linked to outbreaks, it is a slightly increase (+3,2%) compared to 2010 (6250). The number of outbreaks were 171 (2010: 170, 2009: 178). The most frequent serotypes were: S.Enteritidis (60,7%, 2010: 55,6%, 2009: 60,1%); S.Typhimurium (10,5%, 2010: 16,8%, 2009: 16,9%); monophasic S.Typhimurium 1.4.[5].12:i:- (3,5%, 2010: 3,1%), S.Infantis (7,2%, 2010: 6,9%, 2009: 7,3%).

1530 (39,1%) S.Enteritidis strains from human origin were phage typed, the most frequent phage type was PT2 (44,2%, 2010: 36,4%), followed by PT8 (18,0%, 2010: 14,8%), PT21 (10,8%, 2010: 15,3%), PT51 (8,0%, 2010: 6,9%) and PT4 (7,0%, 2010: 9,6%).

308 (45,6%) S.Typhimurium strains were phage typed, 22,1% were PT104b, 22,1% PT 193, 13,3% were PT195, 10,4% PT 104.

#### Campylobacteriosis

The campylobacteriosis was the second most frequent zoonosis in 2011 in Hungary. NCE registered 6135 (2010: 7201) cases and 43 (2010:55) outbreaks. 19,4% (2010: 17,5%) of strains were C.jejuni, 4,5% (2010: 2,9%) were C.coli, 0,3% (2010: 1%) were C.lari, and 75,8% (2010:78,6%) were not typed.

# Table Foodborne Outbreaks: summarised data

	Weak	evidence or n	oreaks			
	Number of outbreaks	Human cases	Hospitalized	Deaths	Strong evidence Number of Outbreaks	Total number of outbreaks
Salmonella - S. Typhimurium	4	17	4	0	3	7
Salmonella - S. Enteritidis	61	340	33	0	5	66
Salmonella - Other serovars	6	16	6	0	1	7
Campylobacter	16	40	2	0	0	16
Listeria - Listeria monocytogenes	0	0	0	0	0	0
Listeria - Other Listeria	0	0	0	0	0	0
Yersinia	0	0	0	0	0	0
Escherichia coli, pathogenic - Verotoxigenic E. coli (VTEC)	0	0	0	0	0	0
Bacillus - B. cereus	1	13	0	0	0	1
Bacillus - Other Bacillus	0	0	0	0	0	0
Staphylococcal enterotoxins	0	0	0	0	0	0
Clostridium - Cl. botulinum	0	0	0	0	0	0
Clostridium - Cl. perfringens	1	13	0	0	0	1

	Weak	evidence or r	o vehicle outb	oreaks		
	Number of outbreaks	Human cases	Hospitalized	Deaths	Strong evidence Number of Outbreaks	Total number of outbreaks
Clostridium - Other Clostridia	0	0	0	0	0	0
Other Bacterial agents - Brucella	0	0	0	0	0	0
Other Bacterial agents - Shigella	0	0	0	0	0	0
Other Bacterial agents - Other Bacterial agents	2	46	7	0	0	2
Parasites - Trichinella	0	0	0	0	0	0
Parasites - Giardia	0	0	0	0	0	0
Parasites - Cryptosporidium	0	0	0	0	0	0
Parasites - Anisakis	0	0	0	0	0	0
Parasites - Other Parasites	0	0	0	0	0	0
Viruses - Norovirus	8	336	21	0	0	8
Viruses - Hepatitis viruses	0	0	0	0	0	0
Viruses - Other Viruses	0	0	0	0	0	0
Other agents - Histamine	0	0	0	0	0	0
Other agents - Marine biotoxins	0	0	0	0	0	0
Other agents - Other Agents	0	0	0	0	0	0

Hungary - 2012 Report on trends and sources of zoonoses

Weak	evidence or n	o vehicle outb	oreaks		
Number of outbreaks	Human cases	Hospitalized	Deaths	Strong evidence Number of Outbreaks	Total number of outbreaks
6	76	0	0	1	7

Unknown agent

## Table Foodborne Outbreaks: detailed data for Salmonella

Please use CTRL for multiple selection fields

# S. Typhimurium - 1b

#### Value

FBO Code	36/2_NCE
Number of outbreaks	1
Number of human cases	25
Number of hospitalisations	3
Number of deaths	0
Food vehicle	Other foods
More food vehicle information	
Nature of evidence	Detection of causative agent in food vehicle or its component - Detection of indistinguishable causative agent in humans
Outbreak type	Household / domestic kitchen
Setting	Household / domestic kitchen
Place of origin of problem	Household / domestic kitchen
Origin of food vehicle	Domestic
Contributory factors	Storage time/temperature abuse
Mixed Outbreaks (Other Agent)	
Additional information	Next day after wedding at the "after-wedding party" was served for guests the same buoillon.

# S. Typhimurium

### Value

FBO Code	25_ETBI, 39/4_NCE
Number of outbreaks	1
Number of human cases	7
Number of hospitalisations	0
Number of deaths	0
Food vehicle	Other foods
More food vehicle information	
Nature of evidence	Analytical epidemiological evidence
Outbreak type	General
Setting	Residential institution (nursing home, prison, boarding school)
Place of origin of problem	Canteen or workplace catering
Origin of food vehicle	Domestic
Contributory factors	Unknown
Mixed Outbreaks (Other Agent)	
Additional information	

## S. Enteritidis - PT 8

#### Value

FBO Code	19_ETBI, 33/5_NCE
I DO Code	19_L1DI, 33/3_NGL
Number of outbreaks	1
Number of human cases	43
Number of hospitalisations	37
Number of deaths	0
Food vehicle	Other foods
More food vehicle information	
Nature of evidence	Detection of causative agent in food chain or its environment - Symptoms and onset of illness pathognomonic to causative agent
Outbreak type	General
Setting	Restaurant, Cafe, Pub, Bar, Hotel
Place of origin of problem	Restaurant/Café/Pub/Bar/Hotel/Catering service
Origin of food vehicle	Domestic
Contributory factors	Unknown
Mixed Outbreaks (Other Agent)	
Additional information	

# S. Typhimurium

### Value

FBO Code	23_ETBI, 38/2_NCE
Number of outbreaks	1
Number of human cases	42
Number of hospitalisations	7
Number of deaths	0
Food vehicle	Pig meat and products thereof
More food vehicle information	
Nature of evidence	Detection of causative agent in food vehicle or its component - Symptoms and onset of illness pathognomonic to causative agent
Outbreak type	General
Setting	School, kindergarten
Place of origin of problem	Canteen or workplace catering
Origin of food vehicle	Domestic
Contributory factors	Unprocessed contaminated ingredient
Mixed Outbreaks (Other Agent)	
Additional information	

# S. Stanley

#### Value

FBO Code	14_ETBI, O2_NCE
Number of outbreaks	1
Number of human cases	204
Number of hospitalisations	69
Number of deaths	0
Food vehicle	Turkey meat and products thereof
More food vehicle information	
Nature of evidence	Detection of causative agent in food vehicle or its component - Symptoms and onset of illness pathognomonic to causative agent
Outbreak type	General
Setting	Other setting
Place of origin of problem	Canteen or workplace catering
Origin of food vehicle	Domestic
Contributory factors	Inadequate heat treatment
Mixed Outbreaks (Other Agent)	
Additional information	This outbreake is part of the EU-known S.Stanley outbreake. We have found in Hungary 2 cases in a camp for children, the other cases have detected in households, sporadic.

# S. Enteritidis

#### Value

FBO Code	4_ETBI, 16/5_NCE
Number of outbreaks	1
Number of human cases	54
Number of hospitalisations	2
Number of deaths	0
Food vehicle	Other foods
More food vehicle information	
Nature of evidence	Analytical epidemiological evidence
Outbreak type	General
Setting	School, kindergarten
Place of origin of problem	Canteen or workplace catering
Origin of food vehicle	Domestic
Contributory factors	Cross-contamination
Mixed Outbreaks (Other Agent)	
Additional information	

## S. Enteritidis - PT 8

#### Value

FBO Code	11_ETBI, 25/2_NCE
Number of outbreaks	1
Number of human cases	97
Number of hospitalisations	6
Number of deaths	0
Food vehicle	Other foods
More food vehicle information	
Nature of evidence	Analytical epidemiological evidence
Outbreak type	General
Setting	School, kindergarten
Place of origin of problem	Canteen or workplace catering
Origin of food vehicle	Domestic
Contributory factors	Cross-contamination
Mixed Outbreaks (Other Agent)	
Additional information	

## S. Enteritidis

#### Value

FBO Code	14/3_NCE
Number of outbreaks	1
Number of human cases	41
Number of hospitalisations	6
Number of deaths	0
Food vehicle	Herbs and spices
More food vehicle information	
Nature of evidence	Analytical epidemiological evidence
Outbreak type	General
Setting	School, kindergarten
Place of origin of problem	Restaurant/Café/Pub/Bar/Hotel/Catering service
Origin of food vehicle	Domestic
Contributory factors	Unknown
Mixed Outbreaks (Other Agent)	
Additional information	

## S. Enteritidis - PT 8

#### Value

FBO Code	15_ETBI, 31/1_NCE
Number of outbreaks	1
Number of human cases	4
Number of hospitalisations	3
Number of deaths	0
Food vehicle	Other foods
More food vehicle information	
Nature of evidence	Detection of causative agent in food vehicle or its component - Symptoms and onset of illness pathognomonic to causative agent
Outbreak type	General
Setting	Restaurant, Cafe, Pub, Bar, Hotel
Place of origin of problem	Restaurant/Café/Pub/Bar/Hotel/Catering service
Origin of food vehicle	Domestic
Contributory factors	Infected food handler
Mixed Outbreaks (Other Agent)	
Additional information	

## Table Foodborne Outbreaks: detailed data for Unknown agent

Please use CTRL for multiple selection fields

### Unknown

#### Value

FBO Code	28_ETBI
Number of outbreaks	1
Number of human cases	27
Number of hospitalisations	0
Number of deaths	0
Food vehicle	Other foods
More food vehicle information	
Nature of evidence	Analytical epidemiological evidence
Outbreak type	General
Setting	School, kindergarten
Place of origin of problem	Canteen or workplace catering
Origin of food vehicle	Domestic
Contributory factors	Unknown
Mixed Outbreaks (Other Agent)	
Additional information	