



IRELAND

The Report referred to in Article 9 of Directive 2003/ 99/ EC

TRENDS AND SOURCES OF ZOONOSES AND ZOOBOTIC AGENTS IN HUMANS, FOODSTUFFS, ANIMALS AND FEEDINGSTUFFS

including information on foodborne outbreaks, antimicrobial resistance in zoonotic agents and some pathogenic microbiological agents

IN 2007

INFORMATION ON THE REPORTING AND MONITORING SYSTEMCountry: **Ireland**Reporting Year: **2007****Institutions and laboratories involved in reporting and monitoring:**

| Laboratory name | Description | Contribution |
|------------------------|--|---|
| CVRL | Central Veterinary Research Laboratory (DAFF official laboratory) Laboratories approved by the Department of Agriculture Fisheries and Food (DAFF) | Samples taken at processing plants and submitted to DAFF approved laboratories for analysis (Salmonella). Serotyping performed at the CVRL |
| CMCL | Central meat Control Laboratory | Samples taken at processing plants and submitted to the CMCL (a Department of Agriculture, Fisheries and Food officical laboratory) for analysis (Salmonella, Listeria monocytogenes and some Campylobacter) |
| DAFF | Department of Agriculture, Fisheries and Food | Surveillance samples taken as an extension of the Enhanced Poultry Monitoring Programme which commenced in 2000. Samples were taken at processing plants and submitted to a DAFF approved, private laboratory for analysis (Salmonela and Campylobacter). Included this year are samples taken under a programme to monitor industry`s own processing hygiene checks. Also, samples taken at processing plants and submitted to a DAFF official laboratory for analysis (Salmonella). |
| SFPA | Sea Fisheries Protection Authority (formerly Department of Communications, Marine and Natural Resources (DCMNR) approved laboratories | Samples taken at processing plants and submitted to SFPA approved laboratories for analysis (Salmonella and Listeria spp) |
| DSL | Dairy Science Laboratories | Samples taken at prcessing plants and submitted to DAFF official laboratories for analysis (Salmonella and Listeria spp). |

| | | |
|------|---|--|
| OFML | Official Food Microbiology Laboratories | The majority of samples taken at retail level (catering and retail premises). A small % of samples taken from Distributors/ Transporters, Manufacturers/ packers and Primary Producers. Samples submitted to Official Food Microbiology Laboratories for analysis and include routine samples, samples taken for national survey programmes and samples arising from complaints. |
|------|---|--|

PREFACE

This report is submitted to the European Commission in accordance with Article 9 of Council Directive 2003/99/EC¹. The information has also been forwarded to the European Food Safety Authority (EFSA).

The report contains information on trends and sources of zoonoses and zoonotic agents in Ireland during the year 2007. The information covers the occurrence of these diseases and agents in humans, animals, foodstuffs and in some cases also in feedingstuffs. In addition the report includes data on antimicrobial resistance in some zoonotic agents and commensal bacteria as well as information on epidemiological investigations of foodborne outbreaks. Complementary data on susceptible animal populations in the country is also given.

The information given covers both zoonoses that are important for the public health in the whole European Community as well as zoonoses, which are relevant on the basis of the national epidemiological situation.

The report describes the monitoring systems in place and the prevention and control strategies applied in the country. For some zoonoses this monitoring is based on legal requirements laid down by the Community Legislation, while for the other zoonoses national approaches are applied.

The report presents the results of the examinations carried out in the reporting year. A national evaluation of the epidemiological situation, with special reference to trends and sources of zoonotic infections, is given. Whenever possible, the relevance of findings in foodstuffs and animals to zoonoses cases in humans is evaluated.

The information covered by this report is used in the annual Community Summary Report on zoonoses that is published each year by EFSA.

¹ Directive 2003/99/EC of the European Parliament and of the Council of 12 December 2003 on the monitoring of zoonoses and zoonotic agents, amending Decision 90/424/EEC and repealing Council Directive 92/117/EEC, OJ L 325, 17.11.2003, p. 31

LIST OF CONTENTS

| | |
|---|-----|
| 1. ANIMAL POPULATIONS | 1 |
| 2. INFORMATION ON SPECIFIC ZOOSE AND ZONOTIC AGENTS | 3 |
| 2.1. <i>SALMONELLOSIS</i> | 4 |
| 2.1.1. General evaluation of the national situation | 4 |
| 2.1.2. Salmonellosis in humans | 4 |
| 2.1.3. Salmonella in foodstuffs | 4 |
| 2.1.4. Salmonella in animals | 24 |
| 2.1.5. Salmonella in feedingstuffs | 28 |
| 2.1.6. Salmonella serovars and phagetype distribution | 31 |
| 2.1.7. Antimicrobial resistance in Salmonella isolates | 40 |
| 2.2. <i>CAMPYLOBACTERIOSIS</i> | 81 |
| 2.2.1. General evaluation of the national situation | 81 |
| 2.2.2. Campylobacteriosis in humans | 81 |
| 2.2.3. Campylobacter in foodstuffs | 81 |
| 2.2.4. Campylobacter in animals | 86 |
| 2.2.5. Antimicrobial resistance in Campylobacter isolates | 87 |
| 2.3. <i>LISTERIOSIS</i> | 88 |
| 2.3.1. General evaluation of the national situation | 88 |
| 2.3.2. Listeriosis in humans | 88 |
| 2.3.3. Listeria in foodstuffs | 88 |
| 2.3.4. Listeria in animals | 94 |
| 2.4. <i>E. COLI INFECTIONS</i> | 95 |
| 2.4.1. General evaluation of the national situation | 95 |
| 2.4.2. E. Coli Infections in humans | 95 |
| 2.4.3. Escherichia coli, pathogenic in foodstuffs | 95 |
| 2.4.4. Escherichia coli, pathogenic in animals | 98 |
| 2.5. <i>TUBERCULOSIS, MYCOBACTERIAL DISEASES</i> | 99 |
| 2.5.1. General evaluation of the national situation | 99 |
| 2.5.2. Tuberculosis, Mycobacterial Diseases in humans | 99 |
| 2.5.3. Mycobacterium in animals | 99 |
| 2.6. <i>BRUCELLOSIS</i> | 101 |
| 2.6.1. General evaluation of the national situation | 101 |
| 2.6.2. Brucellosis in humans | 101 |
| 2.6.3. Brucella in foodstuffs | 101 |
| 2.6.4. Brucella in animals | 101 |
| 2.7. <i>YERSINIOSIS</i> | 106 |
| 2.7.1. General evaluation of the national situation | 106 |
| 2.7.2. Yersiniosis in humans | 106 |
| 2.7.3. Yersinia in foodstuffs | 106 |
| 2.7.4. Yersinia in animals | 106 |
| 2.8. <i>TRICHINELLOSIS</i> | 107 |
| 2.8.1. General evaluation of the national situation | 107 |
| 2.8.2. Trichinellosis in humans | 107 |
| 2.8.3. Trichinella in animals | 107 |

| | |
|--|-----|
| 2.9. <i>ECHINOCOCCOSIS</i> | 108 |
| 2.9.1. General evaluation of the national situation | 108 |
| 2.9.2. Echinococcosis in humans | 108 |
| 2.9.3. Echinococcus in animals | 108 |
| 2.10. <i>TOXOPLASMOSIS</i> | 109 |
| 2.10.1. General evaluation of the national situation | 109 |
| 2.10.2. Toxoplasmosis in humans | 109 |
| 2.10.3. Toxoplasma in animals | 109 |
| 2.11. <i>RABIES</i> | 110 |
| 2.11.1. General evaluation of the national situation | 110 |
| 2.11.2. Lyssavirus (rabies) in animals | 110 |
| 2.12. <i>Q-FEVER</i> | 112 |
| 2.12.1. General evaluation of the national situation | 112 |
| 2.12.2. Coxiella (Q-fever) in animals | 112 |
| 3. INFORMATION ON SPECIFIC INDICATORS OF ANTIMICROBIAL RESISTANCE | 113 |
| 3.1. <i>ENTEROCOCCUS, NON-PATHOGENIC</i> | 114 |
| 3.1.1. General evaluation of the national situation | 114 |
| 3.1.2. Antimicrobial resistance in Enterococcus, non-pathogenic isolates | 115 |
| 3.2. <i>ESCHERICHIA COLI, NON-PATHOGENIC</i> | 119 |
| 3.2.1. General evaluation of the national situation | 119 |
| 3.2.2. Antimicrobial resistance in Escherichia coli, non-pathogenic isolates | 120 |
| 4. INFORMATION ON SPECIFIC MICROBIOLOGICAL AGENTS | 122 |
| 4.1. <i>HISTAMINE</i> | 123 |
| 4.1.1. General evaluation of the national situation | 123 |
| 4.1.2. Histamine in foodstuffs | 123 |
| 4.2. <i>ENTEROBACTER SAKAZAKII</i> | 124 |
| 4.2.1. General evaluation of the national situation | 124 |
| 4.2.2. Enterobacter sakazakii in foodstuffs | 124 |
| 4.3. <i>STAPHYLOCOCCAL ENTEROTOXINS</i> | 125 |
| 4.3.1. General evaluation of the national situation | 125 |
| 4.3.2. Staphylococcal enterotoxins in foodstuffs | 125 |
| 5. FOODBORNE OUTBREAKS | 126 |

1. ANIMAL POPULATIONS

The relevance of the findings on zoonoses and zoonotic agents has to be related to the size and nature of the animal population in the country.

Table Susceptible animal populations

* Only if different than current reporting year

| Animal species | Category of animals | Number of herds or flocks | | Number of slaughtered animals | | Livestock numbers (live animals) | | Number of holdings | | |
|-------------------------|--|---------------------------|-------|-------------------------------|-------|----------------------------------|-------|--------------------|-------|--|
| | | | Year* | | Year* | | Year* | | Year* | |
| Cattle (bovine animals) | calves (under 1 year) | | | 11584 | | 1849339 | | | | |
| | in total | 112931 | | 1772446 | | 6162266 | | | | |
| Ducks | meat production flocks | 16 | | | | | | 3 | | |
| | in total | 16 | | | | | | 3 | | |
| Gallus gallus (fowl) | breeding flocks, unspecified - in total | 93 | | 605000 | | 630000 | | 70 | | |
| | grandparent breeding flocks for meat production line | 18 | | 79174 | | 83000 | | 7 | | |
| | parent breeding flocks for meat production line | 93 | | 605000 | | 630000 | | 70 | | |
| | breeding flocks for meat production line - in total | 93 | | 605000 | | 630000 | | 70 | | |
| | laying hens | 400 | | 1900000 | | 2000000 | | 267 | | |
| | grandparent breeding flocks, unspecified - in total | 18 | | 79174 | | 83000 | | 7 | | |
| | broilers | 300 | | 63514000 | | 10000000 | | 250 | | |
| | in total | 811 | | 66098174 | | 12713000 | | 594 | | |
| | Geese | meat production flocks | 2 | | 25000 | | 8000 | | 10 | |
| | | in total | 2 | | 25000 | | 8000 | | 10 | |
| Pigs | breeding animals | 339 | | 94000 | | 165000 | | 339 | | |
| | fattening pigs | 390 | | 2476000 | | 1422000 | | 390 | | |
| | in total | 729 | | 2570000 | | 1587000 | | 729 | | |
| Sheep | in total | 35006 | 2006 | | | 3601064 | 2006 | | | |
| Turkeys | meat production flocks | 126 | | 2235500 | | 700000 | | 65 | | |
| | breeding flocks, unspecified - in total | 11 | | 24000 | | 28000 | | 5 | | |
| | parent breeding flocks | 11 | | 24000 | | 28000 | | 5 | | |
| | in total | 137 | | 2259500 | | 728000 | | 70 | | |

2. INFORMATION ON SPECIFIC ZOOSES AND ZOO NOTIC AGENTS

Zoonoses are diseases or infections, which are naturally transmissible directly or indirectly between animals and humans. Foodstuffs serve often as vehicles of zoonotic infections. Zoonotic agents cover viruses, bacteria, fungi, parasites or other biological entities that are likely to cause zoonoses.

2.1. SALMONELLOSIS**2.1.1. General evaluation of the national situation****2.1.2. Salmonellosis in humans****2.1.3. Salmonella in foodstuffs****Table Salmonella in poultry meat and products thereof (Part A)**

| Source of information | Sampling unit | Sample weight | Units tested | Total units positive for Salmonella spp. | S. Kentucky | S. Paratyphi B | S. Enteritidis | S. Typhimurium | Salmonella spp., unspecified | S. Bareilly | S. Virchow | S. Mbandaka | S. Derby | S. Infantis | S. Kotbus | S. Orion | S. Goldcoast | S. Altona | S. Anatum | |
|--|---------------------|---------------|--------------|--|-------------|----------------|----------------|----------------|------------------------------|-------------|------------|-------------|----------|-------------|-----------|----------|--------------|-----------|-----------|--|
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Meat from broilers (Gallus gallus) fresh | CMCI single 25g | | 6 | 0 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | OFMI single 25g | | 4 | 0 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | CVRL single 25g | | 4932 | 269 | 219 | 3 | 6 | 2 | 1 | 2 | 1 | 2 | 1 | 2 | | 1 | | | | |
| | | | | | 7 | 5 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | DAFF single various | | 112 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | DAFF bat various | | 261 | 30 | 28 | 1 | | | | | | | | 1 | | | | | | |
| meat products raw but intended to be eaten cooked | CMCI single 25g | | 101 | 6 | 3 | 1 | 1 | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | OFMI single 25g | | 8 | 0 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | CVRL single 25g | | 1081 | 18 | 3 | 2 | 6 | | | | 1 | 1 | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |

| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
|---|-----------------|----|---|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| meat products cooked, ready-to-eat - at processing plant - at retail | CVRL single 25g | 2 | 0 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | OFMI single 25g | 20 | 0 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Meat from poultry, unspecified | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| meat products raw but intended to be eaten cooked - at processing plant cooked, ready-to-eat - at processing plant | CMCI single 25g | 31 | 0 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | CMCI single 25g | 66 | 0 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |

Footnote

The sampling programme for all samples was Surveillance except where source of information is "DAFF" and sampling unit is "batch". For these samples the sampling programme is Monitoring.

Table Salmonella in poultry meat and products thereof (Part B)

| | S. Schwarzengrund | S. Newport | S. Agona | S. Brandenburg | S. Livingstone | S. Indiana | S. Corvallis |
|---|-------------------|------------|----------|----------------|----------------|------------|--------------|
| Meat from broilers (Gallus gallus) fresh | | | | | | | |
| - at processing plant | | | | | | | |
| - at retail | | | | | | | |
| - at processing plant (processing source 2) | 1 | | 26 | | 1 | | 1 |
| - at processing plant (processing source 3) | | | 2 | | | | |
| - at processing plant (processing source 4) | | | | | | | |
| meat products | | | | | | | |
| raw but intended to be eaten cooked | | | | | | | |
| - at processing plant | | | 1 | | | | |
| - at retail | | | | | | | |
| - at processing plant (processing source 2) | | | 4 | | | | 1 |
| cooked, ready-to-eat | | | | | | | |
| - at processing plant | | | | | | | |
| - at retail | | | | | | | |
| - at processing plant (processing source 2) | | | 1 | | | | |

| | | | | | | | | | | |
|---|--|--|---|--|--|--|---|--|--|--|
| - at retail | | | | | | | | | | |
| Meat from turkey | | | | | | | | | | |
| fresh | | | | | | | | | | |
| - at processing plant | | | | | | | | | | |
| - at processing plant (processing source 2) | | | 1 | | | | | | | |
| - at processing plant (processing source 3) | | | | | | | | | | |
| - at processing plant (processing source 4) | | | | | | | | | | |
| meat products | | | | | | | | | | |
| raw but intended to be eaten cooked | | | | | | | | | | |
| - at processing plant | | | | | | | | | | |
| - at processing plant (processing source 2) | | | | | | | | | | |
| cooked, ready-to-eat | | | | | | | | | | |
| - at processing plant | | | | | | | | | | |
| - at retail | | | | | | | | | | |
| - at processing plant (processing source 2) | | | | | | | | | | |
| - at retail | | | | | | | | | | |
| Meat from duck | | | | | | | | | | |
| fresh | | | | | | | | | | |
| - at processing plant | | | | | | | | | | |
| - at processing plant (processing source 2) | | | | | | | 2 | | | |
| meat products | | | | | | | | | | |
| cooked, ready-to-eat | | | | | | | | | | |
| - at processing plant | | | | | | | | | | |
| - at retail | | | | | | | | | | |

| | | | | | | | | | | |
|---|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| <p>Meat from poultry, unspecified</p> | | | | | | | | | | |
| <p>meat products raw but intended to be eaten cooked - at processing plant cooked, ready-to-eat - at processing plant</p> | | | | | | | | | | |

Footnote

The sampling programme for all samples was Surveillance except where source of information is "DAFF" and sampling unit is "batch". For these samples the sampling programme is Monitoring.

Table Salmonella in milk and dairy products

| | Source of information | Sampling unit | Sample weight | Units tested | Total units positive for Salmonella spp. | S. Enteritidis | S. Typhimurium | Salmonella spp., unspecified | S. Dublin | S. Agona |
|---|-----------------------|---------------|---------------|--------------|--|----------------|----------------|------------------------------|-----------|----------|
| Cheeses made from goats' milk | | | | | | | | | | |
| unspecified | | | | | | | | | | |
| - at retail | OFML | single | 25g | 4 | 0 | | | | | |
| Cheeses made from sheep's milk | | | | | | | | | | |
| - at retail | OFML | single | 25g | 1 | 0 | | | | | |
| Dairy products (excluding cheeses) | | | | | | | | | | |
| milk powder and whey powder | | | | | | | | | | |
| - at processing plant | DSL | batch | Various | 83 | 0 | | | | | |
| - at processing plant (processing source 2) | CVRL | single | Not Stated | 5814 | 0 | | | | | |
| ice-cream | | | | | | | | | | |
| - at retail | OFML | single | 25g | 342 | 0 | | | | | |
| made from pasteurised milk | | | | | | | | | | |
| - at processing plant | DSL | batch | Various | 84 | 0 | | | | | |
| butter | | | | | | | | | | |
| - at retail | OFML | single | 25g | 3 | 0 | | | | | |
| made from raw or low heat-treated milk | | | | | | | | | | |
| - at processing plant | DSL | batch | Various | 3 | 0 | | | | | |
| made from pasteurised milk | | | | | | | | | | |
| - at processing plant | DSL | batch | Various | 2 | 0 | | | | | |
| cream | | | | | | | | | | |
| - at retail | OFML | single | 25g | 62 | 0 | | | | | |
| dairy desserts | | | | | | | | | | |
| - at retail | OFML | single | 25g | 6 | 0 | | | | | |
| dairy products, not specified | | | | | | | | | | |
| - at retail | OFML | single | 25g | 61 | 0 | | | | | |
| made from pasteurised milk | | | | | | | | | | |
| - at processing plant | DSL | batch | Various | 18 | 0 | | | | | |

Ireland 2007 Report on trends and sources of zoonoses

| | | | | | | | | | | |
|---|------|--------|------------|------|---|--|--|---|---|---|
| - at processing plant | CVRL | single | Not Stated | 5896 | 1 | | | | | 1 |
| Milk from other animal species or unspecified | | | | | | | | | | |
| - at retail | OFML | single | 25g | 6 | 0 | | | | | |
| raw | | | | | | | | | | |
| - at processing plant | CVRL | single | Not Stated | 2 | 1 | | | | 1 | |
| pasteurised | | | | | | | | | | |
| - at processing plant | CVRL | single | Not Stated | 110 | 0 | | | | | |
| Cheeses, made from unspecified milk or other animal milk | | | | | | | | | | |
| soft and semi-soft | | | | | | | | | | |
| - at retail | OFML | single | 25g | 2 | 0 | | | | | |
| unspecified | | | | | | | | | | |
| - at retail | OFML | single | 25g | 158 | 0 | | | | | |
| made from raw or low heat-treated milk | | | | | | | | | | |
| - at processing plant | DSL | batch | Various | 115 | 2 | | | 2 | | |

Footnote

The sampling programme for all samples was Surveillance except where source of information is "DAFF" and sampling unit is "batch". For these samples the sampling programme is Monitoring.

DSL: Batches comprised of 1 - 30 samples with each sample of weight 25g.

Table Salmonella in red meat and products thereof (Part A)

| | Source of information | Sampling unit | Sample weight | Units tested | Total units positive for Salmonella spp. | S. Enteritidis | S. Typhimurium | Salmonella spp., unspecified | S. Livingstone | S. Rissen | S. Altona | S. Bredeney | S. Manhattan | S. Dublin | S. Infantis | S. Poona | S. Butantan | S. Mbandaka | S. Reading | S. Agona | |
|--|---|---------------|---------------|--------------|--|----------------|----------------|------------------------------|----------------|-----------|-----------|-------------|--------------|-----------|-------------|----------|-------------|-------------|------------|----------|---|
| Meat from pig | fresh | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | - at processing plant | single | 25g | 22 | 0 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | - at processing plant (processing source 2) | single | 25g | 1992 | 58 | 1 | 32 | 1 | | | 1 | 3 | | | 1 | 1 | | | | | 1 |
| minced meat intended to be eaten cooked | - at processing plant | single | 25g | 1 | 0 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | meat products | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| raw but intended to be eaten cooked | - at processing plant | single | 25g | 126 | 3 | | 3 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | - at retail | single | 25g | 4 | 0 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | - at processing plant (processing source 2) | single | 25g | 4705 | 47 | | 17 | | 1 | | | 9 | 1 | | | | 1 | 1 | 1 | | |
| | cooked, ready-to-eat | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | - at processing plant | single | 25g | 162 | 4 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |

| | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
|---|------|--------|-----|------|---|---|---|---|---|--|--|--|---|
| - at processing plant | CVRL | single | 25g | 1728 | 7 | 2 | 1 | 2 | 1 | | | | |
| - at retail | OFML | single | 25g | 6 | 0 | | | | | | | | 1 |
| cooked, ready-to-eat | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| - at processing plant | CVRL | single | 25g | 3282 | 2 | | 1 | | | | | | |
| - at retail | OFML | single | 25g | 234 | 0 | | | | | | | | |
| - at retail | OFML | single | 25g | 62 | 0 | | | | | | | | |
| minced meat intended to be eaten | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| cooked | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| - at retail | OFML | single | 25g | 2 | 0 | | | | | | | | |

Footnote

The sampling programme for all samples was Surveillance except where source of information is "DAFF" and sampling unit is "batch". For these samples the sampling programme is Monitoring.

Table Salmonella in red meat and products thereof (Part B)

| | S. Brandenburg | S. Heidelberg | S. Give | S. Panama | S. London | S. Derby | S. Ohio | S. Kentucky |
|---|----------------|---------------|---------|-----------|-----------|----------|---------|-------------|
| Meat from pig | | | | | | | | |
| fresh | | | | | | | | |
| - at processing plant | | | | | | | | |
| - at processing plant (processing source 2) | 1 | 1 | | 2 | 4 | 7 | | 2 |
| minced meat | | | | | | | | |
| intended to be eaten cooked | | | | | | | | |
| - at processing plant | | | | | | | | |
| meat products | | | | | | | | |
| raw but intended to be eaten cooked | | | | | | | | |
| - at processing plant | | | | | | | | |
| - at retail | | | | | | | | |
| - at processing plant (processing source 2) | 3 | | | | 1 | 12 | | |
| cooked, ready-to-eat | | | | | | | | |
| - at processing plant | | | | | | | | |
| - at retail | | | | | | | | |
| - at processing plant (processing source 2) | | | | | | | 2 | |
| - at retail | | | | | | | | |
| Meat from bovine animals | | | | | | | | |
| fresh | | | | | | | | |

| | | | | | | | | |
|--|---|--|--|--|--|--|--|---|
| <p>- at processing plant - at processing plant (processing source 2) cooked, ready-to-eat - at processing plant - at processing plant (processing source 2) - at retail - at retail</p> | | | | | | | | |
| <p>offal</p> | | | | | | | | |
| <p>Meat, mixed meat meat products cooked, ready-to-eat - at processing plant - at processing plant (processing source 2) - at retail - at retail</p> | | | | | | | | |
| <p>Meat from other animal species or not specified fresh</p> | | | | | | | | |
| <p>- at processing plant meat products raw but intended to be eaten cooked - at processing plant - at retail cooked, ready-to-eat - at processing plant - at retail - at retail</p> | 1 | | | | | | | 1 |

| | | | | | | | | | | |
|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| minced meat intended to be eaten cooked - at retail | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | |

Footnote

The sampling programme for all samples was Surveillance except where source of information is "batch". For these samples the sampling programme is Monitoring.

Table Salmonella in other food

| | Source of information | Sampling unit | Sample weight | Units tested | Total units positive for Salmonella spp. | S. Mbandaka | S. Enteritidis | S. Typhimurium | Salmonella spp., unspecified | S. Havana | S. Rideau | S. Agona | S. Dublin | S. Infantis |
|-------------------------------|--|---------------|---------------|--------------|--|-------------|----------------|----------------|------------------------------|-----------|-----------|----------|-----------|-------------|
| Eggs | raw material (liquid egg) for egg products | single | 25g | 16 | 0 | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | 88 | 1 | | | | | | | | | |
| table eggs | - at retail | single | 25g | 16 | 0 | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | 88 | 1 | | | | | | | | | |
| Egg products | - at processing plant | single | 25g | 955 | 0 | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | 7 | 0 | | | | | | | | | |
| Fishery products, unspecified | - at retail | single | 25g | 233 | 0 | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | 472 | 0 | | | | | | | | | |
| cooked | - at retail | single | 25g | 98 | 0 | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | 297 | 0 | | | | | | | | | |

| | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
|------------------------------|------|--------|-----|------|---|--|--|--|--|--|--|---|---|
| raw - at retail | OFML | single | 25g | 16 | 0 | | | | | | | | |
| | OFML | single | 25g | 50 | 0 | | | | | | | | |
| | SPPA | single | 25g | 22 | 0 | | | | | | | | |
| Crustaceans | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| - at processing plant | SPPA | single | 25g | 9 | 0 | | | | | | | | |
| unspecified | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| cooked | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| - at retail | OFML | single | 25g | 28 | 0 | | | | | | | | |
| - at retail | OFML | single | 25g | 3 | 0 | | | | | | | | |
| Molluscan shellfish | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| cooked | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| - at retail | OFML | single | 25g | 10 | 0 | | | | | | | | |
| raw | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| - at retail | OFML | single | 25g | 24 | 0 | | | | | | | | |
| Seeds, sprouted | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| ready-to-eat | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| - at retail | OFML | single | 25g | 4 | 0 | | | | | | | | |
| Fruits and vegetables | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| - at processing plant | CVRL | single | 25g | 3477 | 1 | | | | | | | | 1 |
| - at retail | OFML | single | 25g | 263 | 1 | | | | | | | 1 | |
| Juice | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| fruit juice | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| unpasteurised | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| - at retail | OFML | single | 25g | 272 | 0 | | | | | | | | |
| - at retail | OFML | single | 25g | 9 | 0 | | | | | | | | |
| vegetable juice | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| - at retail | OFML | single | 25g | 29 | 0 | | | | | | | | |

| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
|--|-----------------------|------|--------|---------|-------|----|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| mixed juice | - at retail | OFML | single | 25g | 24 | 0 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | - at retail | OFML | single | 25g | 12 | 0 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Infant formula | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| dried | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| intended for infants below 6 months | - at processing plant | DSL | batch | Various | 12 | 0 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| - at processing plant (intended for infants above 6 months) | | DSL | batch | Various | 13 | 0 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| - at retail | | OFML | single | 25g | 56 | 0 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Mushrooms | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| - at processing plant | | CVRL | single | 25g | 86 | 0 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| - at retail | | OFML | single | 25g | 4 | 0 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Other processed food products and prepared dishes unspecified | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| - at retail | | OFML | single | 25g | 2509 | 0 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Other food | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| - at retail | | OFML | single | 25g | 42 | 0 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| - at processing plant (1) | | CVRL | single | 25g | 19768 | 11 | 2 | 5 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| Sauce and dressings | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| - at retail | | OFML | single | 25g | 264 | 0 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Soups | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| - at retail | | OFML | single | 25g | 93 | 0 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Spices and herbs | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| - at retail | | OFML | single | 25g | 42 | 0 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Bakery products | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| desserts | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |

| | | | | | | | | | | |
|---------------------------------|------|--------|-----|-----|---|--|--|--|--|--|
| - at retail | OFML | single | 25g | 144 | 0 | | | | | |
| - at retail | OFML | single | 25g | 218 | 0 | | | | | |
| Beverages, non-alcoholic | | | | | | | | | | |
| - at retail | OFML | single | 25g | 513 | 0 | | | | | |
| Cereals and meals | | | | | | | | | | |
| - at retail | OFML | single | 25g | 190 | 0 | | | | | |

(1) : Units tested food grade water=375, 3 pos, 1 Dublin, 2 Typhimurium. Foods not specified=2812, 6 pos, 1 Infantis, 1 Mbandaka, 1 Rideau, 3 Typhimurium. Prepared foods to be cooked=8455, 0 pos. Ready-to-eat foods=8120, 2 pos, 1 Havana, 1 Mbandaka. Water bottled/ well=6, 0 pos.

Footnote

The sampling programme for all samples was Surveillance except where source of information is "DAFF" and sampling unit is "batch". For these samples the sampling programme is Monitoring.

DSL: Batches comprised of 1 - 30 samples with each sample of weight 25g.

2.1.4. Salmonella in animals

Table Salmonella in breeding flocks of Gallus gallus

| Gallus gallus (fowl) grandparent breeding flocks for meat production line during production period parent breeding flocks for meat production line during production period | Source of information | | Sampling unit | Units tested | Total units positive for Salmonella spp. | S. Enteritidis | S. Typhimurium | S. Hadar | S. Infantis | S. Virchow | Salmonella spp., unspecified | S. Mbandaka | S. Kentucky | S. Senftenberg | S. Derby |
|---|-----------------------|-------|---------------|--------------|--|----------------|----------------|----------|-------------|------------|------------------------------|-------------|-------------|----------------|----------|
| | NCP | flock | 2 | 0 | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | NCP | flock | 487 | 27 | | | | | | | | 15 | 12 | | |

Footnote

NCP - National Control Programme

Table Salmonella in other poultry

| | Source of information | | Sampling unit | Units tested | Total units positive for Salmonella spp. | S. Enteritidis | S. Typhimurium | Salmonella spp., unspecified | S. Senftenberg | S. Mbandaka | S. Schwarzengrund | S. Brandenburg | S. Indiana | S. Derby |
|-----------------------------|-----------------------|-------|---------------|--------------|--|----------------|----------------|------------------------------|----------------|-------------|-------------------|----------------|------------|----------|
| | NCP | flock | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Gallus gallus (fowl) | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| laying hens | | | | 337 | 2 | | | | 1 | | | | | 1 |
| Ducks | | | | 22 | 7 | | | | | | | | 6 | |
| Turkeys | | | | 27 | 4 | | | | | | | | | 3 |

Footnote

NCP - National Control Programme

Table Salmonella in other birds

| | Source of information | Sampling unit | Units tested | Total units positive for Salmonella spp. | S. Enteritidis | S. Typhimurium | Salmonella spp., unspecified | S. Dublin |
|-------------------------|-----------------------|---------------|--------------|--|----------------|----------------|------------------------------|-----------|
| Pigeons | Diagnostic | animal | 20 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Guinea fowl | Diagnostic | animal | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Quails | Diagnostic | animal | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Pheasants | Diagnostic | animal | 52 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Partridges | Diagnostic | animal | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Ostriches | Diagnostic | animal | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Zoo animals, all | | | | | | | | |
| (Exotic(zoo)) | Diagnostic | animal | 31 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| Swans | Diagnostic | animal | 9 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |

Table Salmonella in other animals

| | Source of information | Sampling unit | Units tested | Total units positive for Salmonella spp. | S. Enteritidis | S. Typhimurium | Salmonella spp., unspecified | S. London | S. Derby | S. Dublin | S. Agona | S. enterica subsp. arizonae | S. Kissen | S. enterica subsp. diarizonae |
|--------------------------------|-----------------------|---------------|--------------|--|----------------|----------------|------------------------------|-----------|----------|-----------|----------|-----------------------------|-----------|-------------------------------|
| Cattle (bovine animals) | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| calves (under 1 year) | Diagnostic | animal | 4982 | 97 | 0 | 13 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 84 | 0 | 0 | | |
| others | Diagnostic | animal | 2740 | 73 | 0 | 15 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 57 | 1 | | | |
| Sheep | Diagnostic | animal | 1132 | 6 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 1 | 1 |
| Goats | Diagnostic | animal | 49 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | | |
| Pigs | Diagnostic | animal | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | | |
| breeding animals | | animal | 20 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | | |
| fattening pigs | | animal | 398 | 22 | 0 | 20 | 1 | | 1 | 0 | 0 | | | |
| Solipeds, domestic | Diagnostic | animal | 1304 | 8 | 0 | 6 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | | | |
| Badgers | Diagnostic | animal | 9 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | | | |
| Dogs | Diagnostic | animal | 579 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | | | |
| Deer | Diagnostic | animal | 33 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | | | |
| Zoo animals, all | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| (Exotic (zoo)) | Diagnostic | animal | 66 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | | 1 |

2.1.5. Salmonella in feedingstuffs

Table Salmonella in feed material of animal origin

| | Source of information | Sampling unit | Sample weight | Units tested | Total units positive for Salmonella spp. | S. Enteritidis | S. Typhimurium | Salmonella spp., unspecified |
|--|-----------------------|---------------|---------------|--------------|--|----------------|----------------|------------------------------|
| Feed material of marine animal origin | | | | | | | | |
| fish meal | | single | 25g | 2 | 0 | | | |

Table Salmonella in other feed matter

| | Source of information | Sampling unit | Sample weight | Units tested | Total units positive for Salmonella spp. | S. Enteritidis | S. Typhimurium | Salmonella spp., unspecified | S. Senftenberg | S. Mbandaka |
|--|-----------------------|---------------|---------------|--------------|--|----------------|----------------|------------------------------|----------------|-------------|
| Feed material of cereal grain origin | | | | | | | | | | |
| barley derived | | single | 25g | 1 | 0 | | | | | |
| wheat derived | | single | 25g | 5 | 0 | | | | | |
| maize derived | | single | 25g | 5 | 0 | | | | | |
| | | single | 25g | 8 | 0 | | | | | |
| Feed material of oil seed or fruit origin | | | | | | | | | | |
| rape seed derived | | single | 25g | 11 | 0 | | | | | |
| palm kernel derived | | single | 25g | 5 | 0 | | | | | |
| soya (bean) derived | | single | 25g | 14 | 1 | | | | 1 | |
| sunflower seed derived | | single | 25g | 2 | 1 | | | | | 1 |
| Other feed material | | | | | | | | | | |
| other seeds and fruits | | single | 25g | 1 | 0 | | | | | |
| forages and roughages | | single | 25g | 1 | 0 | | | | | |
| other plants | | single | 25g | 1 | 0 | | | | | |

Table Salmonella in compound feedingstuffs

| | Source of information | Sampling unit | Sample weight | Units tested | Total units positive for Salmonella spp. | S. Typhimurium | S. Enteritidis | Salmonella spp., unspecified | S. Infantis |
|---|-----------------------|---------------|---------------|--------------|--|----------------|----------------|------------------------------|-------------|
| Compound feedingstuffs for cattle | | | | | | | | | |
| final product | | single | 25g | 69 | 0 | | | | |
| Compound feedingstuffs for pigs | | | | | | | | | |
| final product | | single | 25g | 7 | 1 | | | | 1 |
| Compound feedingstuffs for poultry (non specified) | | | | | | | | | |
| final product | | single | 25g | 4 | 0 | | | | |
| Compound feedingstuffs for poultry - laying hens | | | | | | | | | |
| final product | | single | 25g | 10 | 0 | | | | |
| Compound feedingstuffs for poultry - broilers | | | | | | | | | |
| final product | | single | 25g | 8 | 0 | | | | |
| Compound feedingstuffs for sheep | | single | 25g | 4 | 0 | | | | |
| Compound feedingstuffs for horses | | single | 25g | 2 | 0 | | | | |
| Compound feedingstuffs for fish | | single | 25g | 6 | 0 | | | | |

2.1.6. Salmonella serovars and phagetype distribution

The methods of collecting, isolating and testing of the Salmonella isolates are described in the chapters above respectively for each animal species, foodstuffs and humans. The serotype and phagetype distributions can be used to investigate the sources of the Salmonella infections in humans. Findings of same serovars and phagetypes in human cases and in foodstuffs or animals may indicate that the food category or animal species in question serves as a source of human infections. However as information is not available from all potential sources of infections, conclusions have to be drawn with caution.

Table Salmonella serovars in animals

| Serovars | Cattle (bovine animals) | | Pigs | | Gallus gallus (fowl) | | Other poultry | | Other animals | |
|--------------------------------------|-------------------------|-----|------|----|----------------------|---|---------------|---|---------------|----|
| | M | C | M | C | M | C | M | C | M | C |
| Sources of isolates (*) | | | | | | | | | | |
| Number of isolates in the laboratory | N= | 171 | 0 | 24 | 0 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 25 |
| Number of isolates serotyped | N= | 171 | 0 | 24 | 0 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 25 |
| Number of isolates per type | | | | | | | | | | |
| S. Agona | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| S. Derby | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| S. Dublin | 0 | 141 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 9 |
| S. Enteritidis | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| S. Kentucky | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| S. London | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 |
| S. Mbandaka | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| S. Rissen | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| S. Typhimurium | 0 | 28 | 0 | 21 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 8 |
| S. group B | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| S. enterica subsp. arizonae | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 |
| S. enterica subsp. diarizonae | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 |

Footnote

(*) M : Monitoring, C : Clinical

Table Salmonella serovars in food

| Serovars | Meat from turkey | | Other food | | Meat from bovine animals | | Meat from pig | | Meat from broilers (Gallus gallus) | | Other poultry | | Other products of animal origin | | Meat from duck | | Meat from sheep | | Meat from other animal species or not specified | | |
|--------------------------------------|------------------|---|------------|---|--------------------------|---|---------------|----|------------------------------------|---|---------------|---|---------------------------------|---|----------------|---|-----------------|---|---|---|---|
| | M | C | M | C | M | C | M | C | M | C | M | C | M | C | M | C | M | C | M | C | |
| Sources of isolates (*) | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Number of isolates in the laboratory | N= | | 13 | | 35 | | 117 | | 332 | | | | 5 | | 9 | | 2 | | 22 | | |
| Number of isolates serotyped | N= | | 13 | 0 | 35 | 0 | 116 | 0 | 331 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 5 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 20 | 0 | 0 |
| Number of isolates per type | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| S. Agona | | | 1 | | 1 | | 1 | | 1 | | | | 2 | | 2 | | | | | | |
| S. Altona | | | | | 1 | | 1 | | 1 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| S. Anatum | 1 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| S. Bareilly | 1 | | | | | | | | 2 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| S. Brandenburg | | | | | 2 | | 4 | | | | | | | | | | 2 | | | | |
| S. Bredeney | | | | | | | 12 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| S. Butantan | | | | | | | 1 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| S. Corvallis | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| S. Derby | | | | | | | 3 | 25 | 1 | | | | | | | | | | 2 | | |
| S. Dublin | | | 1 | | 7 | | | | | | | 1 | | | | | | | 1 | | 1 |

Table Salmonella Enteritidis phagetypes in animals

| Phagetype | Other animals | | Cattle (bovine animals) | | Pigs | | Gallus gallus (fowl) | | Other poultry | |
|--------------------------------------|---------------|---|-------------------------|---|------|---|----------------------|---|---------------|---|
| | M | C | M | C | M | C | M | C | M | C |
| Sources of isolates (*) | | | | | | | | | | |
| Number of isolates in the laboratory | N= | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Number of isolates phagetyped | N= | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Number of isolates per type | | | | | | | | | | |
| 4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |

Footnote

(*) M : Monitoring, C : Clinical

Table Salmonella Enteritidis phagetypes in food

| Phagetype | Meat from bovine animals | | Meat from pig | | Meat from broilers (Gallus gallus) | | Other poultry | | Other products of animal origin | |
|--------------------------------------|--------------------------|---|---------------|---|------------------------------------|---|---------------|---|---------------------------------|---|
| | M | C | M | C | M | C | M | C | M | C |
| Sources of isolates (*) | | | | | | | | | | |
| Number of isolates in the laboratory | N= 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 14 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Number of isolates phagetyped | N= 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 13 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Number of isolates per type | | | | | | | | | | |
| PT 4 | | | | | 7 | | | | | |
| PT 6 | | | | | 6 | | | | | |
| PT 8 | 1 | | 1 | | | | | | | |

Footnote

(*) M : Monitoring, C : Clinical

Table Salmonella Typhimurium phage types in animals

| Phagetype | Solipeds, domestic - horses | | Sheep | | Cattle (bovine animals) | | Pigs | | Gallus gallus (fowl) | | Other poultry | |
|--------------------------------------|-----------------------------|---|-------|---|-------------------------|----|------|----|----------------------|---|---------------|---|
| | M | C | M | C | M | C | M | C | M | C | M | C |
| Sources of isolates (*) | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Number of isolates in the laboratory | N= | 4 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 21 | 0 | 13 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 0 |
| Number of isolates phagetyped | N= | 3 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 21 | 0 | 13 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 0 |
| Number of isolates per type | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| DT 104 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 19 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| DT 104b | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| DT 193 | | | | | | | | 4 | | | | |
| DT 40 | | | | | | | | | | 1 | | |
| DT 99 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| DT 135 | | | | 1 | | | | | | | | |
| U 288 | | | | | | | | 4 | | | | |
| DT 2 | | | | | | | | | | 1 | | |
| DT 56 | | | | | | | | | | 1 | | |

Footnote

(*) M : Monitoring, C : Clinical

Table Salmonella Typhimurium phage types in food

| Phagetype | Meat from bovine animals | | Meat from pig | | Meat from broilers (Gallus gallus) | | Other poultry | | Other products of animal origin | | Meat from sheep | | Meat from other animal species or not specified | |
|--------------------------------------|--------------------------|---|---------------|---|------------------------------------|---|---------------|---|---------------------------------|---|-----------------|---|---|---|
| | M | C | M | C | M | C | M | C | M | C | M | C | M | C |
| Sources of isolates (*) | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Number of isolates in the laboratory | 12 | 0 | 53 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 5 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 5 | 0 |
| Number of isolates phagetyped | 12 | 0 | 47 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 5 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 5 | 0 |
| Number of isolates per type | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| DT 12 | | | 2 | | | | | | | | | | | |
| DT 104 | | | 2 | | 1 | | | | 2 | | | | 1 | |
| DT 104b | 5 | | 24 | | | | | | 2 | | 1 | | 4 | |
| DT 193 | 2 | | 3 | | | | | | | | | | | |
| DT 208 | | | 1 | | | | | | | | | | | |
| U 302 | | | 2 | | | | | | | | | | | |
| DT 132 | | | | | 1 | | | | | | | | | |
| DT 195 | 3 | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| U 288 | | | 4 | | | | | | | | | | | |
| other (1) | 2 | | 6 | | | | | | | | | | | |

2.1.7. Antimicrobial resistance in Salmonella isolates

Antimicrobial resistance is the ability of certain microorganisms to survive or grow in the presence of a given concentration of antimicrobial agent that usually would kill or inhibit the microorganism species in question. Antimicrobial resistant Salmonella strains may be transferred from animals or foodstuffs to humans.

Table Antimicrobial susceptibility testing in S. Agona

| n = Number of resistant isolates | | |
|--|----|----|
| S. Agona | | |
| Cattle (bovine animals) | | |
| Isolates out of a monitoring programme | | no |
| Number of isolates available in the laboratory | | 1 |
| | | |
| Antimicrobials: | N | n |
| Aminoglycosides | | |
| Apramycin | 1 | 0 |
| Framycetin | 1 | 0 |
| Gentamicin | 0 | 0 |
| Kanamycin | 0 | 0 |
| Neomycin | 11 | 0 |
| Streptomycin | 0 | 0 |
| Amphenicols | | |
| Chloramphenicol | 0 | 0 |
| Florfenicol | 0 | 0 |
| Cephalosporins | | |
| Cefalexin | 1 | 0 |
| Cefotaxim | 0 | 0 |
| Fluoroquinolones | | |
| Ciprofloxacin | 0 | 0 |
| Enrofloxacin | 1 | 0 |
| Fully sensitive | 1 | 1 |
| Penicillins | | |
| Amoxicillin / Clavulanic acid | 1 | 0 |
| Penicillin | 1 | 0 |
| Quinolones | | |
| Nalidixic acid | 0 | 0 |
| Resistant to 1 antimicrobial | 0 | 0 |
| Resistant to 2 antimicrobials | 0 | 0 |
| Resistant to 3 antimicrobials | 0 | 0 |
| Resistant to 4 antimicrobials | 0 | 0 |
| Resistant to >4 antimicrobials | 0 | 0 |
| Sulfonamides | | |
| Sulfonamide | 0 | 0 |
| Tetracyclines | | |
| Tetracyclin | 1 | 0 |
| Trimethoprim | 0 | 0 |
| Trimethoprim + sulfonamides | | |
| Trimethoprim + Sulfamethoxazol | 1 | 0 |

Table Antimicrobial susceptibility testing of *S. Bredenehy* in Pigs - Monitoring (Baseline study: lymph nodes) - quantitative data [Dilution method]

| | | S. Bredenehy | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
|--|-----------------|---|---|---|--------|------|------|------|-----|---|---|---|---|----|----|----|-----|-----|-----|------|------|-------|--------|---------|------|
| | | Pigs - Monitoring (Baseline study: lymph nodes) | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Isolates out of a monitoring programme | | yes | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Number of isolates available in the laboratory | | 6 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | Number of resistant isolates (n) and number of isolates with the concentration (u/ml) or zone (mm) of inhibition equal to | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Antimicrobials: | | Break point | N | n | <=0.03 | 0.06 | 0.12 | 0.25 | 0.5 | 1 | 2 | 4 | 8 | 16 | 32 | 64 | 128 | 256 | 512 | 1024 | 2048 | >2048 | lowest | highest | |
| Aminoglycosides | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | Gentamicin | 2 | 6 | 0 | | | 5 | 1 | | | | 2 | 3 | 1 | | | | | | | | | | .25 | 32 |
| | Streptomycin | 32 | 6 | 0 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | 2 | 128 |
| Amphenicols | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | Chloramphenicol | 16 | 6 | 0 | | | | | | | | 6 | | | | | | | | | | | | 2 | 64 |
| | Florfenicol | 16 | 6 | 0 | | | | | | | 6 | | | | | | | | | | | | | 2 | 64 |
| Cephalosporins | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | Cefotaxim | 0.5 | 6 | 0 | | 5 | 1 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | .06 | 4 |
| | Ceftazidim | 2 | 6 | 0 | | | 6 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | .25 | 16 |
| Fluoroquinolones | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | Ciprofloxacin | 0.06 | 6 | 0 | 6 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | .008 | 8 |
| Penicillins | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | Ampicillin | 4 | 6 | 0 | | | | | | 4 | 2 | | | | | | | | | | | | | .5 | 32 |
| Quinolones | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | Nalidixic acid | 16 | 6 | 0 | | | | | | | 5 | 1 | | | | | | | | | | | | 4 | 64 |
| Sulfonamides | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | Sulfonamide | 256 | 6 | 1 | | | | | | | | | | 2 | 2 | 1 | | | | 1 | | | | 8 | 1024 |
| Tetracyclines | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | Tetracyclin | 8 | 6 | 0 | | | | | | | 5 | 1 | | | | | | | | | | | | 1 | 64 |
| | Trimethoprim | 2 | 6 | 0 | | | | | 6 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | .5 | 32 |

Table Antimicrobial susceptibility testing in S. Bredeney

| n = Number of resistant isolates | | |
|--|----------|----------|
| S. Bredeney | | |
| Pigs | | |
| Isolates out of a monitoring programme | | yes |
| Number of isolates available in the laboratory | | 6 |
| Antimicrobials: | | |
| | N | n |
| Aminoglycosides | | |
| Gentamicin | 6 | 0 |
| Streptomycin | 6 | 0 |
| Amphenicols | | |
| Chloramphenicol | 6 | 0 |
| Cephalosporins | | |
| Cefotaxim | 6 | 0 |
| Ceftazidim | 6 | 0 |
| Fluoroquinolones | | |
| Ciprofloxacin | 6 | 0 |
| Fully sensitive | 5 | 5 |
| Penicillins | | |
| Ampicillin | 6 | 0 |
| Quinolones | | |
| Nalidixic acid | 6 | 0 |
| Resistant to 1 antimicrobial | 1 | 1 |
| Sulfonamides | | |
| Sulfonamide | 6 | 1 |
| Tetracyclines | | |
| Tetracyclin | 6 | 0 |
| Trimethoprim | 6 | 0 |

Table Antimicrobial susceptibility testing of S. Derby in Pigs - Monitoring (Baseline study: lymph nodes) - quantitative data [Dilution method]

| S. Derby | | Pigs - Monitoring (Baseline study: lymph nodes) | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
|--|-------------|---|---|---|------|------|------|-----|---|---|---|---|----|----|----|-----|-----|-----|------|------|-------|--------|---------|
| Isolates out of a monitoring programme | | yes | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Number of isolates available in the laboratory | | 9 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Antimicrobials: | Break point | N | n | Number of resistant isolates (n) and number of isolates with the concentration (u/ml) or zone (mm) of inhibition equal to | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | <=0.03 | 0.06 | 0.12 | 0.25 | 0.5 | 1 | 2 | 4 | 8 | 16 | 32 | 64 | 128 | 256 | 512 | 1024 | 2048 | >2048 | lowest | highest |
| Aminoglycosides | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Gentamicin | 2 | 9 | 0 | | | | 2 | 5 | 2 | | | | | | | | | | | | | .25 | 32 |
| Streptomycin | 32 | 9 | 0 | | | | | | | | | 5 | 3 | 1 | | | | | | | | 2 | 128 |
| Amphenicols | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Chloramphenicol | 16 | 9 | 2 | | | | | | | | | 7 | | 1 | 1 | | | | | | | 2 | 64 |
| Florfenicol | 16 | 9 | 2 | | | | | | | | 6 | 1 | | 2 | | | | | | | | 2 | 64 |
| Cephalosporins | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Cefotaxim | 0.5 | 9 | 0 | | 4 | 4 | 1 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | .06 | 4 |
| Ceftazidim | 2 | 9 | 0 | | | 3 | 4 | 1 | 1 | | | | | | | | | | | | | .25 | 16 |
| Fluoroquinolones | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Ciprofloxacin | 0.06 | 9 | 0 | 9 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | .008 | 8 |
| Penicillins | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Ampicillin | 4 | 9 | 1 | | | | | | 4 | 3 | 1 | 1 | | | | | | | | | | .5 | 32 |
| Quinolones | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Nalidixic acid | 16 | 9 | 0 | | | | | | | | 9 | | | | | | | | | | | 4 | 64 |
| Sulfonamides | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Sulfonamide | 256 | 9 | 3 | | | | | | | 1 | 3 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 2 | | | | | | | 8 | 1024 |
| Tetracyclines | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Tetracyclin | 8 | 9 | 6 | | | | | | | | | | | 3 | | | | | | | | 1 | 64 |
| Trimethoprim | 2 | 9 | 2 | | | | | | | 6 | 1 | | | | | | | | | | | .5 | 32 |

Table Antimicrobial susceptibility testing of S. Derby in Turkeys - Monitoring (Baseline study) - quantitative data [Dilution method]

| S. Derby | | Turkeys - Monitoring (Baseline study) | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
|--|-------------|---------------------------------------|---|---|------|------|------|-----|---|---|---|---|----|----|----|--------|---------|-----|-----|-----|------|
| Isolates out of a monitoring programme | yes | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Number of isolates available in the laboratory | 6 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Antimicrobials: | Break point | N | n | Number of resistant isolates (n) and number of isolates with the concentration (u/ml) or zone (mm) of inhibition equal to | | | | | | | | | | | | lowest | highest | | | | |
| | | | | <=0.03 | 0.06 | 0.12 | 0.25 | 0.5 | 1 | 2 | 4 | 8 | 16 | 32 | 64 | | | 128 | 256 | 512 | 1024 |
| Aminoglycosides | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Gentamicin | 2 | 6 | 0 | | | 4 | 2 | | | | | | | | | | | | | .25 | 32 |
| Streptomycin | 32 | 6 | 6 | | | | | | | | 6 | | | | | | | | | 2 | 128 |
| Amphenicols | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Chloramphenicol | 16 | 6 | 0 | | | | | | | | | | 6 | | | | | | | 2 | 64 |
| Florfenicol | 16 | 6 | 0 | | | | | | 2 | 4 | | | | | | | | | | 2 | 64 |
| Cephalosporins | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Cefotaxim | 0.5 | 6 | 0 | | | 6 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | .06 | 4 |
| Ceftazidim | 2 | 6 | 0 | | | | 6 | | | | | | | | | | | | | .25 | 16 |
| Fluoroquinolones | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Ciprofloxacin | 0.06 | 6 | 0 | 6 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | .08 | 8 |
| Penicillins | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Ampicillin | 4 | 6 | 0 | | | | | 3 | 3 | | | | | | | | | | | .5 | 32 |
| Quinolones | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Nalidixic acid | 16 | 6 | 0 | | | | | | 6 | | | | | | | | | | | 4 | 64 |
| Sulfonamides | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Sulfonamide | 256 | 6 | 6 | | | | | | | | | | | 6 | | | | | | 8 | 1024 |
| Tetracyclines | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Tetracyclin | 8 | 6 | 6 | | | | | | | | | | | | | 6 | | | | 1 | 64 |
| Trimethoprim | 2 | 6 | 0 | | | | 6 | | | | | | | | | | | | | .5 | 32 |

Table Antimicrobial susceptibility testing in S. Derby

| n = Number of resistant isolates | | | | | | |
|--|----------|----------|---------------------------------|----------|----------|----------|
| S. Derby | | | | | | |
| | Pigs | | Gallus gallus (fowl) - broilers | | Turkeys | |
| Isolates out of a monitoring programme | yes | | | | yes | |
| Number of isolates available in the laboratory | 9 | | | | 6 | |
| Antimicrobials: | | | | | | |
| | N | n | N | n | N | n |
| Aminoglycosides | | | | | | |
| Gentamicin | 9 | 0 | | | 6 | 0 |
| Streptomycin | 9 | 0 | | | 6 | 6 |
| Amphenicols | | | | | | |
| Chloramphenicol | 9 | 2 | | | 6 | 0 |
| Cephalosporins | | | | | | |
| Cefotaxim | 9 | 0 | | | 6 | 0 |
| Ceftazidim | 9 | 0 | | | 6 | 0 |
| Fluoroquinolones | | | | | | |
| Ciprofloxacin | 9 | 0 | | | 6 | 0 |
| Fully sensitive | 1 | 1 | | | | |
| Penicillins | | | | | | |
| Quinolones | | | | | | |
| Nalidixic acid | 9 | 0 | | | 6 | 0 |
| Resistant to 1 antimicrobial | 5 | 5 | | | | |
| Resistant to 2 antimicrobials | 0 | 0 | | | | |
| Resistant to 3 antimicrobials | 2 | 2 | | | 6 | 6 |
| Resistant to >4 antimicrobials | 1 | 1 | | | | |
| Sulfonamides | | | | | | |
| Sulfonamide | 9 | 3 | | | 6 | 6 |
| Tetracyclines | | | | | | |
| Tetracyclin | 9 | 6 | | | 6 | 6 |
| Trimethoprim | 9 | 2 | | | 6 | 0 |

Table Antimicrobial susceptibility testing in S. Dublin

| n = Number of resistant isolates | | | | | | |
|--|----------------------|---|-------------------------|-----|------|---|
| S. Dublin | | | | | | |
| | Gallus gallus (fowl) | | Cattle (bovine animals) | | Pigs | |
| Isolates out of a monitoring programme | | | | no | | |
| Number of isolates available in the laboratory | | | | 184 | | |
| Antimicrobials: | N | n | N | n | N | n |
| Aminoglycosides | | | | | | |
| Apramycin | | | 144 | 0 | | |
| Framycetin | | | 145 | 0 | | |
| Gentamicin | | | 0 | 0 | | |
| Kanamycin | | | 0 | 0 | | |
| Neomycin | | | 145 | 2 | | |
| Streptomycin | | | 36 | 7 | | |
| Amphenicols | | | | | | |
| Chloramphenicol | | | 0 | 0 | | |
| Florfenicol | | | 182 | 3 | | |
| Cephalosporins | | | | | | |
| Cefalexin | | | 39 | 4 | | |
| Cefotaxim | | | 0 | 0 | | |
| Fluoroquinolones | | | | | | |
| Ciprofloxacin | | | 0 | 0 | | |
| Enrofloxacin | | | 183 | 0 | | |
| Fully sensitive | | | 183 | 156 | | |
| Penicillins | | | | | | |
| Amoxicillin / Clavulanic acid | | | 184 | 3 | | |
| Penicillin | | | 36 | 14 | | |
| Quinolones | | | | | | |
| Nalidixic acid | | | 0 | 0 | | |
| Resistant to 1 antimicrobial | | | 183 | 17 | | |
| Resistant to 2 antimicrobials | | | 183 | 4 | | |
| Resistant to 3 antimicrobials | | | 183 | 2 | | |
| Resistant to 4 antimicrobials | | | 183 | 3 | | |
| Resistant to >4 antimicrobials | | | 183 | 1 | | |
| Sulfonamides | | | | | | |
| Sulfonamide | | | 0 | 0 | | |
| Tetracyclines | | | | | | |
| Tetracyclin | | | 181 | 6 | | |
| Trimethoprim | | | 0 | 0 | | |
| Trimethoprim + sulfonamides | | | | | | |
| Trimethoprim + Sulfamethoxazol | | | 183 | 10 | | |

Table Antimicrobial susceptibility testing of S. Enteritidis in animals

| n = Number of resistant isolates | | | | | | | | | | | | |
|--|-------------------------|------|----------------------|---------|------------------------------------|---------------------------------|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| S. Enteritidis | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | Cattle (bovine animals) | Pigs | Gallus gallus (fowl) | Turkeys | Gallus gallus (fowl) - laying hens | Gallus gallus (fowl) - broilers | | | | | | |
| Isolates out of a monitoring programme | no | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Number of isolates available in the laboratory | 1 | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Antimicrobials: | N | n | N | n | N | n | N | n | N | n | N | n |
| Aminoglycosides | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Apramycin | 0 | 0 | | | | | | | | | | |
| Framycetin | 0 | 0 | | | | | | | | | | |
| Gentamicin | 0 | 0 | | | | | | | | | | |
| Kanamycin | 0 | 0 | | | | | | | | | | |
| Neomycin | 0 | 0 | | | | | | | | | | |
| Streptomycin | 1 | 0 | | | | | | | | | | |
| Amphenicols | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Chloramphenicol | 0 | 0 | | | | | | | | | | |
| Florfenicol | 1 | 0 | | | | | | | | | | |
| Cephalosporins | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Cefalexin | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | | | | | | | | |
| Cefotaxim | 0 | 0 | | | | | | | | | | |
| Fluoroquinolones | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Ciprofloxacin | 0 | 0 | | | | | | | | | | |
| Enrofloxacin | 1 | 0 | | | | | | | | | | |
| Fully sensitive | 1 | 0 | | | | | | | | | | |
| Penicillins | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Amoxicillin / Clavulanic acid | 1 | 0 | | | | | | | | | | |
| Penicillin | 1 | 1 | | | | | | | | | | |
| Quinolones | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Nalidixic acid | 0 | 0 | | | | | | | | | | |
| Resistant to 1 antimicrobial | 1 | 1 | | | | | | | | | | |
| Resistant to 2 antimicrobials | 1 | 0 | | | | | | | | | | |
| Resistant to 3 antimicrobials | 1 | 0 | | | | | | | | | | |
| Resistant to 4 antimicrobials | 1 | 0 | | | | | | | | | | |
| Resistant to >4 antimicrobials | 1 | 0 | | | | | | | | | | |
| Sulfonamides | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Sulfonamide | 0 | 0 | | | | | | | | | | |
| Tetracyclines | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Tetracyclin | 1 | 0 | | | | | | | | | | |
| Trimethoprim | 0 | 0 | | | | | | | | | | |
| Trimethoprim + sulfonamides | | | | | | | | | | | | |

Table Antimicrobial susceptibility testing of S. Goldcoast in Pigs - Monitoring (Baseline study: lymph nodes) - quantitative data [Dilution method]

| S. Goldcoast | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
|---|-------------|---|---|--------|------|------|------|-----|---|---|---|---|----|----|----|-----|-----|-----|------|------|-------|--------|---------|------|------|
| Pigs - Monitoring (Baseline study: lymph nodes) | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Isolates out of a monitoring programme | yes | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | 1 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Number of isolates available in the laboratory | 1 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Number of resistant isolates (n) and number of isolates with the concentration (u/ml) or zone (mm) of inhibition equal to | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Antimicrobials: | Break point | N | n | <=0.03 | 0.06 | 0.12 | 0.25 | 0.5 | 1 | 2 | 4 | 8 | 16 | 32 | 64 | 128 | 256 | 512 | 1024 | 2048 | >2048 | lowest | highest | | |
| Aminoglycosides | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Gentamicin | 2 | 1 | 0 | | | | 1 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | .25 | 32 |
| Streptomycin | 32 | 1 | 0 | | | | | | | 1 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | 2 | 128 |
| Amphenicols | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Chloramphenicol | 16 | 1 | 0 | | | | | | | | 1 | | | | | | | | | | | | | 2 | 64 |
| Florfenicol | 16 | 1 | 0 | | | | | | | | 1 | | | | | | | | | | | | | 2 | 64 |
| Cephalosporins | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Cefotaxim | 0.5 | 1 | 0 | | | | | | | | | | 1 | | | | | | | | | | | .06 | 4 |
| Ceftazidim | 2 | 1 | 0 | | | | | | 1 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | .25 | 16 |
| Fluoroquinolones | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Ciprofloxacin | 0.06 | 1 | 0 | 1 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | .008 | 8 |
| Penicillins | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Ampicillin | 4 | 1 | 0 | | | | | | 1 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | .5 | 32 |
| Quinolones | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Nalidixic acid | 16 | 1 | 0 | | | | | | | | 1 | | | | | | | | | | | | | 4 | 64 |
| Sulfonamides | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Sulfonamide | 256 | 1 | 0 | | | | | | | | | | | 1 | | | | | | | | | | 8 | 1024 |
| Tetracyclines | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Tetracyclin | 8 | 1 | 0 | | | | | | | 1 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | 1 | 64 |
| Trimethoprim | 2 | 1 | 0 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | .5 | 32 |

Table Antimicrobial susceptibility testing in S. Goldcoast

| n = Number of resistant isolates | | |
|--|----------|----------|
| S. Goldcoast | | |
| | Pigs | |
| Isolates out of a monitoring programme | | yes |
| Number of isolates available in the laboratory | | 1 |
| Antimicrobials: | | |
| | N | n |
| Aminoglycosides | | |
| Gentamicin | 1 | 0 |
| Streptomycin | 1 | 0 |
| Amphenicols | | |
| Chloramphenicol | 1 | 0 |
| Cephalosporins | | |
| Cefotaxim | 1 | 0 |
| Ceftazidim | 1 | 0 |
| Fluoroquinolones | | |
| Ciprofloxacin | 1 | 0 |
| Fully sensitive | 1 | 1 |
| Penicillins | | |
| Ampicillin | 1 | 0 |
| Quinolones | | |
| Nalidixic acid | 1 | 0 |
| Sulfonamides | | |
| Sulfonamide | 1 | 0 |
| Tetracyclines | | |
| Tetracyclin | 1 | 0 |
| Trimethoprim | 1 | 0 |

Table Antimicrobial susceptibility testing in S. Indiana

| n = Number of resistant isolates | | |
|--|----------|----------|
| S. Indiana | | |
| Turkeys | | |
| Isolates out of a monitoring programme | | yes |
| Number of isolates available in the laboratory | | 14 |
| Antimicrobials: | | |
| | N | n |
| Aminoglycosides | | |
| Gentamicin | 14 | 0 |
| Streptomycin | 14 | 0 |
| Amphenicols | | |
| Chloramphenicol | 14 | 0 |
| Cephalosporins | | |
| Cefotaxim | 14 | 0 |
| Ceftazidim | 14 | 0 |
| Fluoroquinolones | | |
| Ciprofloxacin | 14 | 0 |
| Penicillins | | |
| Ampicillin | 14 | 1 |
| Quinolones | | |
| Nalidixic acid | 14 | 0 |
| Resistant to 2 antimicrobials | 13 | 13 |
| Resistant to 3 antimicrobials | 1 | 1 |
| Sulfonamides | | |
| Sulfonamide | 14 | 14 |
| Tetracyclines | | |
| Tetracyclin | 14 | 14 |
| Trimethoprim | 14 | 0 |

Table Antimicrobial susceptibility testing of S. Indiana in Turkeys - Monitoring (Baseline study) - quantitative data [Dilution method]

| S. Indiana | | Turkeys - Monitoring (Baseline study) | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
|--|-------------|---------------------------------------|----|---|------|------|------|-----|---|----|---|---|----|----|----|-----|-----|-----|------|------|-------|--------|---------|
| Isolates out of a monitoring programme (1) | | yes | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Number of isolates available in the laboratory | | 14 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Antimicrobials: | Break point | N | n | Number of resistant isolates (n) and number of isolates with the concentration (u/ml) or zone (mm) of inhibition equal to | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | <=0.03 | 0.06 | 0.12 | 0.25 | 0.5 | 1 | 2 | 4 | 8 | 16 | 32 | 64 | 128 | 256 | 512 | 1024 | 2048 | >2048 | lowest | highest |
| Aminoglycosides | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Gentamicin | 2 | 14 | 0 | | | 12 | 2 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | .25 | 32 |
| Streptomycin | 32 | 14 | 0 | | | | | | 3 | 11 | | | | | | | | | | | | 2 | 128 |
| Amphenicols | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Chloramphenicol | 16 | 14 | 0 | | | | | | | | | | 9 | 5 | | | | | | | | 2 | 64 |
| Florfenicol | 16 | 14 | 0 | | | | | | | | | | 13 | 1 | | | | | | | | 2 | 64 |
| Cephalosporins | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Cefotaxim | 0.5 | 14 | 0 | | 12 | 2 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | .06 | 4 |
| Ceftazidim | 2 | 14 | 0 | | | 14 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | .25 | 16 |
| Fluoroquinolones | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Ciprofloxacin | 0.06 | 14 | 0 | 14 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | .08 | 8 |
| Penicillins | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Ampicillin | 4 | 14 | 1 | | | | | 13 | | | | | | 1 | | | | | | | | .5 | 32 |
| Quinolones | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Nalidixic acid | 16 | 14 | 0 | | | | | | | | | | 14 | | | | | | | | | 4 | 64 |
| Sulfonamides | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Sulfonamide | 256 | 14 | 14 | | | | | | | | | | | | | 14 | | | | | | 8 | 1024 |
| Tetracyclines | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Tetracyclin | 8 | 14 | 14 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | 14 | 64 |
| Trimethoprim | 2 | 14 | 0 | | | | 13 | 1 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | .5 | 32 |

(1) : Baseline study

Table Antimicrobial susceptibility testing of S. Infantis in Pigs - Monitoring (Baseline study: lymph nodes) - quantitative data [Dilution method]

| S. Infantis | | Pigs - Monitoring (Baseline study: lymph nodes) | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
|--|-------------|---|---|---|------|------|------|-----|---|---|---|---|----|----|----|--------|---------|------|------|
| Isolates out of a monitoring programme | | yes | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Number of isolates available in the laboratory | | 2 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Antimicrobials: | Break point | N | n | Number of resistant isolates (n) and number of isolates with the concentration (u/ml) or zone (mm) of inhibition equal to | | | | | | | | | | | | lowest | highest | | |
| | | | | <=0.03 | 0.06 | 0.12 | 0.25 | 0.5 | 1 | 2 | 4 | 8 | 16 | 32 | 64 | | | 128 | 256 |
| Aminoglycosides | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Gentamicin | 2 | 2 | 0 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | .25 | 32 |
| Streptomycin | 32 | 2 | 1 | | | | | | | | | | | | | 1 | | 2 | 128 |
| Amphenicols | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Chloramphenicol | 16 | 2 | 0 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | 2 | 64 |
| Florfenicol | 16 | 2 | 0 | | | | | | | | | | | | | 1 | | 2 | 64 |
| Cephalosporins | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Cefotaxim | 0.5 | 2 | 1 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | .06 | 4 |
| Ceftazidim | 2 | 2 | 1 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | .25 | 16 |
| Fluoroquinolones | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Ciprofloxacin | 0.06 | 2 | 0 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | .008 | 8 |
| Penicillins | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Ampicillin | 4 | 2 | 2 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | .5 | 32 |
| Quinolones | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Nalidixic acid | 16 | 2 | 0 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | 4 | 64 |
| Sulfonamides | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Sulfonamide | 256 | 2 | 1 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | 8 | 1024 |
| Tetracyclines | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Tetracyclin | 8 | 2 | 1 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | 1 | 64 |
| Trimethoprim | 2 | 2 | 0 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | .5 | 32 |

Table Antimicrobial susceptibility testing in S. Infantis

| n = Number of resistant isolates | | |
|--|----------|----------|
| S. Infantis | | |
| Pigs | | |
| Isolates out of a monitoring programme | | yes |
| Number of isolates available in the laboratory | | 2 |
| Antimicrobials: | | |
| | N | n |
| Aminoglycosides | | |
| Gentamicin | 2 | 0 |
| Streptomycin | 2 | 1 |
| Amphenicols | | |
| Chloramphenicol | 2 | 0 |
| Cephalosporins | | |
| Cefotaxim | 2 | 1 |
| Ceftazidim | 2 | 1 |
| Fluoroquinolones | | |
| Ciprofloxacin | 2 | 0 |
| Penicillins | | |
| Ampicillin | 2 | 2 |
| Quinolones | | |
| Nalidixic acid | 2 | 0 |
| Resistant to 3 antimicrobials | 1 | 1 |
| Resistant to 4 antimicrobials | 1 | 1 |
| Sulfonamides | | |
| Sulfonamide | 2 | 1 |
| Tetracyclines | | |
| Tetracyclin | 2 | 1 |
| Trimethoprim | 2 | 1 |

Table Antimicrobial susceptibility testing of *S. Kottbus* in Turkeys - Monitoring (Baseline study) - quantitative data [Dilution method]

| S. Kottbus | |
|--|--|
| Turkeys - Monitoring (Baseline study) | |
| Isolates out of a monitoring programme | yes |
| Number of isolates available in the laboratory | 3 |
| Antimicrobials: | |
| | Number of resistant isolates (n) and number of isolates with the concentration (u/ml) or zone (mm) of inhibition equal to |
| | Break point N n <=0.03 0.06 0.12 0.25 0.5 1 2 4 8 16 32 64 128 256 512 1024 2048 >2048 lowest highest |
| Aminoglycosides | |
| Gentamicin | 2 3 0 1 .25 32 |
| Streptomycin | 32 3 0 1 1 1 2 128 |
| Amphenicols | |
| Chloramphenicol | 16 3 0 3 2 64 |
| Florfenicol | 16 3 0 3 2 64 |
| Cephalosporins | |
| Cefotaxim | 0.5 3 0 .06 4 |
| Ceftazidim | 2 3 0 3 .25 16 |
| Fluoroquinolones | |
| Ciprofloxacin | 0.06 3 0 3 .008 8 |
| Penicillins | |
| Ampicillin | 4 3 0 2 1 .5 32 |
| Quinolones | |
| Nalidixic acid | 16 3 0 2 1 4 64 |
| Sulfonamides | |
| Sulfonamide | 256 3 0 1 2 8 1024 |
| Tetracyclines | |
| Tetracyclin | 8 3 0 3 1 64 |
| Trimethoprim | 2 3 0 .5 32 |

Table Antimicrobial susceptibility testing in S. Kottbus

| n = Number of resistant isolates | | |
|--|----------|----------|
| S. Kottbus | | |
| Turkeys | | |
| Isolates out of a monitoring programme | | yes |
| Number of isolates available in the laboratory | | 3 |
| Antimicrobials: | | |
| | N | n |
| Aminoglycosides | | |
| Gentamicin | 3 | 0 |
| Streptomycin | 3 | 0 |
| Amphenicols | | |
| Chloramphenicol | 3 | 0 |
| Cephalosporins | | |
| Cefotaxim | 3 | 0 |
| Ceftazidim | 3 | 0 |
| Fluoroquinolones | | |
| Ciprofloxacin | 3 | 0 |
| Fully sensitive | 3 | 3 |
| Penicillins | | |
| Ampicillin | 3 | 0 |
| Quinolones | | |
| Nalidixic acid | 3 | 0 |
| Sulfonamides | | |
| Sulfonamide | 3 | 0 |
| Tetracyclines | | |
| Tetracyclin | 3 | 0 |
| Trimethoprim | 3 | 0 |

Table Antimicrobial susceptibility testing of S. Livingstone in Pigs - Monitoring (Baseline study: lymph nodes) - quantitative data [Dilution method]

| S. Livingstone | | Pigs - Monitoring (Baseline study: lymph nodes) | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
|--|-------------|---|---|---|------|------|------|-----|---|---|---|---|----|----|----|-----|-----|-----|------|------|-------|--------|---------|------|
| Isolates out of a monitoring programme | | yes | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Number of isolates available in the laboratory | | 1 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Antimicrobials: | Break point | N | n | Number of resistant isolates (n) and number of isolates with the concentration (u/ml) or zone (mm) of inhibition equal to | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | <=0.03 | 0.06 | 0.12 | 0.25 | 0.5 | 1 | 2 | 4 | 8 | 16 | 32 | 64 | 128 | 256 | 512 | 1024 | 2048 | >2048 | lowest | highest | |
| Aminoglycosides | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Gentamicin | 2 | 1 | 0 | | | | 1 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | .25 | 32 |
| Streptomycin | 32 | 1 | 0 | | | | | | | | | 1 | | | | | | | | | | | 2 | 128 |
| Amphenicols | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Chloramphenicol | 16 | 1 | 0 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | 2 | 64 |
| Florfenicol | 16 | 1 | 0 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | 2 | 64 |
| Cephalosporins | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Cefotaxim | 0.5 | 1 | 0 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | .06 | 4 |
| Ceftazidim | 2 | 1 | 0 | | | | | | | | | | 1 | | | | | | | | | | .25 | 16 |
| Fluoroquinolones | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Ciprofloxacin | 0.06 | 1 | 0 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | .008 | 8 |
| Penicillins | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Ampicillin | 4 | 1 | 0 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | .5 | 32 |
| Quinolones | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Nalidixic acid | 16 | 1 | 0 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | 4 | 64 |
| Sulfonamides | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Sulfonamide | 256 | 1 | 0 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | 8 | 1024 |
| Tetracyclines | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Tetracyclin | 8 | 1 | 0 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | 1 | 64 |
| Trimethoprim | 2 | 1 | 0 | | | | | | | | | | 1 | | | | | | | | | | .5 | 32 |

Table Antimicrobial susceptibility testing in S. Livingstone

| n = Number of resistant isolates | | |
|--|----------|----------|
| S. Livingstone | | |
| | Pigs | |
| Isolates out of a monitoring programme | | yes |
| Number of isolates available in the laboratory | | 1 |
| Antimicrobials: | | |
| | N | n |
| Aminoglycosides | | |
| Gentamicin | 1 | 0 |
| Streptomycin | 1 | 0 |
| Amphenicols | | |
| Chloramphenicol | 1 | 0 |
| Cephalosporins | | |
| Cefotaxim | 1 | 0 |
| Ceftazidim | 1 | 0 |
| Fluoroquinolones | | |
| Ciprofloxacin | 1 | 0 |
| Fully sensitive | 1 | 1 |
| Penicillins | | |
| Ampicillin | 1 | 0 |
| Quinolones | | |
| Nalidixic acid | 1 | 0 |
| Sulfonamides | | |
| Sulfonamide | 1 | 0 |
| Tetracyclines | | |
| Tetracyclin | 1 | 0 |
| Trimethoprim | 1 | 0 |

Table Antimicrobial susceptibility testing of S. London in Pigs - Monitoring (Baseline study: lymph nodes) - quantitative data [Dilution method]

| S. London | | Pigs - Monitoring (Baseline study: lymph nodes) | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
|--|-------------|---|---|---|------|------|------|-----|---|---|---|---|----|----|----|--------|---------|-----|-----|------|------|
| Isolates out of a monitoring programme | | yes | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Number of isolates available in the laboratory | | 1 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Antimicrobials: | Break point | N | n | Number of resistant isolates (n) and number of isolates with the concentration (u/ml) or zone (mm) of inhibition equal to | | | | | | | | | | | | lowest | highest | | | | |
| | | | | <=0.03 | 0.06 | 0.12 | 0.25 | 0.5 | 1 | 2 | 4 | 8 | 16 | 32 | 64 | | | 128 | 256 | 512 | 1024 |
| Aminoglycosides | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Gentamicin | 2 | 1 | 0 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | .25 | 32 | |
| Streptomycin | 32 | 1 | 0 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | 2 | 128 |
| Amphenicols | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Chloramphenicol | 16 | 1 | 0 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | 2 | 64 |
| Florfenicol | 16 | 1 | 0 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | 2 | 64 |
| Cephalosporins | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Cefotaxim | 0.5 | 1 | 0 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | .06 | 4 |
| Ceftazidim | 2 | 1 | 0 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | .25 | 16 |
| Fluoroquinolones | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Ciprofloxacin | 0.06 | 1 | 0 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | .008 | 8 |
| Penicillins | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Ampicillin | 4 | 1 | 0 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | .5 | 32 |
| Quinolones | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Nalidixic acid | 16 | 1 | 0 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | 4 | 64 |
| Sulfonamides | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Sulfonamide | 256 | 1 | 1 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | 8 | 1024 |
| Tetracyclines | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Tetracyclin | 8 | 1 | 0 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | 1 | 64 |
| Trimethoprim | 2 | 1 | 0 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | .5 | 32 |

Table Antimicrobial susceptibility testing in S. London

| n = Number of resistant isolates | | |
|--|----------|----------|
| S. London | | |
| | Pigs | |
| Isolates out of a monitoring programme | | yes |
| Number of isolates available in the laboratory | | 1 |
| Antimicrobials: | | |
| | N | n |
| Aminoglycosides | | |
| Gentamicin | 1 | 0 |
| Streptomycin | 1 | 0 |
| Amphenicols | | |
| Chloramphenicol | 1 | 0 |
| Cephalosporins | | |
| Cefotaxim | 1 | 0 |
| Ceftazidim | 1 | 0 |
| Fluoroquinolones | | |
| Ciprofloxacin | 1 | 0 |
| Penicillins | | |
| Ampicillin | 1 | 0 |
| Quinolones | | |
| Nalidixic acid | 1 | 0 |
| Resistant to 1 antimicrobial | 1 | 1 |
| Sulfonamides | | |
| Sulfonamide | 1 | 1 |
| Tetracyclines | | |
| Tetracyclin | 1 | 0 |
| Trimethoprim | 1 | 0 |

Table Antimicrobial susceptibility testing in S. Manhattan

| n = Number of resistant isolates | | |
|--|----------|----------|
| S. Manhattan | | |
| | Pigs | |
| Isolates out of a monitoring programme | | yes |
| Number of isolates available in the laboratory | | 1 |
| Antimicrobials: | | |
| | N | n |
| Aminoglycosides | | |
| Gentamicin | 1 | 0 |
| Streptomycin | 1 | 0 |
| Amphenicols | | |
| Chloramphenicol | 1 | 0 |
| Cephalosporins | | |
| Cefotaxim | 1 | 0 |
| Ceftazidim | 1 | 0 |
| Fluoroquinolones | | |
| Ciprofloxacin | 1 | 0 |
| Penicillins | | |
| Ampicillin | 1 | 0 |
| Quinolones | | |
| Nalidixic acid | 1 | 0 |
| Resistant to 2 antimicrobials | 1 | 0 |
| Sulfonamides | | |
| Sulfonamide | 1 | 1 |
| Tetracyclines | | |
| Tetracyclin | 1 | 0 |
| Trimethoprim | 1 | 0 |

Table Antimicrobial susceptibility testing of S. Manhattan in Pigs - Monitoring (Baseline study: lymph nodes) - quantitative data [Dilution method]

| S. Manhattan | | Pigs - Monitoring (Baseline study: lymph nodes) | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
|--|-------------|---|---|---|------|------|------|-----|---|---|---|---|----|----|----|--------|---------|------|------|
| Isolates out of a monitoring programme | | yes | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Number of isolates available in the laboratory | | 1 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Antimicrobials: | Break point | N | n | Number of resistant isolates (n) and number of isolates with the concentration (u/ml) or zone (mm) of inhibition equal to | | | | | | | | | | | | lowest | highest | | |
| | | | | <=0.03 | 0.06 | 0.12 | 0.25 | 0.5 | 1 | 2 | 4 | 8 | 16 | 32 | 64 | | | 128 | 256 |
| Aminoglycosides | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Gentamicin | 2 | 1 | 0 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | .25 | 32 |
| Streptomycin | 32 | 1 | 0 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | 2 | 128 |
| Amphenicols | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Chloramphenicol | 16 | 1 | 0 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | 2 | 64 |
| Florfenicol | 16 | 1 | 0 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | 2 | 64 |
| Cephalosporins | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Cefotaxim | 0.5 | 1 | 0 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | .06 | 4 |
| Ceftazidim | 2 | 1 | 0 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | .25 | 16 |
| Fluoroquinolones | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Ciprofloxacin | 0.06 | 1 | 0 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | .008 | 8 |
| Penicillins | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Ampicillin | 4 | 1 | 0 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | .5 | 32 |
| Quinolones | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Nalidixic acid | 16 | 1 | 0 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | 4 | 64 |
| Sulfonamides | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Sulfonamide | 256 | 1 | 1 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | 8 | 1024 |
| Tetracyclines | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Tetracyclin | 8 | 1 | 0 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | 1 | 64 |
| Trimethoprim | 2 | 1 | 1 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | .5 | 32 |

Table Antimicrobial susceptibility testing in S. Montevideo

| n = Number of resistant isolates | | |
|--|----------|----------|
| S. Montevideo | | |
| Turkeys | | |
| Isolates out of a monitoring programme | | yes |
| Number of isolates available in the laboratory | | 2 |
| Antimicrobials: | | |
| | N | n |
| Aminoglycosides | | |
| Gentamicin | 2 | 0 |
| Streptomycin | 2 | 0 |
| Amphenicols | | |
| Chloramphenicol | 2 | 0 |
| Cephalosporins | | |
| Cefotaxim | 2 | 0 |
| Ceftazidim | 2 | 0 |
| Fluoroquinolones | | |
| Ciprofloxacin | 2 | 0 |
| Fully sensitive | 2 | 2 |
| Penicillins | | |
| Ampicillin | 2 | 0 |
| Quinolones | | |
| Nalidixic acid | 2 | 0 |
| Sulfonamides | | |
| Sulfonamide | 2 | 0 |
| Tetracyclines | | |
| Tetracyclin | 2 | 0 |
| Trimethoprim | 2 | 0 |

Table Antimicrobial susceptibility testing of S. Montevideo in Turkeys - Monitoring (Baseline study) - quantitative data [Dilution method]

| S. Montevideo | | Turkeys - Monitoring (Baseline study) | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
|--|-------------|---------------------------------------|---|---|------|------|------|-----|---|---|---|---|----|----|----|-----|--------|---------|-----|------|------|
| Isolates out of a monitoring programme | yes | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Number of isolates available in the laboratory | 2 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Antimicrobials: | Break point | N | n | Number of resistant isolates (n) and number of isolates with the concentration (u/ml) or zone (mm) of inhibition equal to | | | | | | | | | | | | | lowest | highest | | | |
| | | | | <=0.03 | 0.06 | 0.12 | 0.25 | 0.5 | 1 | 2 | 4 | 8 | 16 | 32 | 64 | 128 | | | 256 | 512 | 1024 |
| Aminoglycosides | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Gentamicin | 2 | 2 | 0 | | | | | 2 | | | | | | | | | | | .25 | 32 | |
| Streptomycin | 32 | 2 | 0 | | | | | | | | | | 2 | | | | | | | 2 | 128 |
| Amphenicols | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Chloramphenicol | 16 | 2 | 0 | | | | | | | | | | | 2 | | | | | | 2 | 64 |
| Florfenicol | 16 | 2 | 0 | | | | | | | | | | 2 | | | | | | | 2 | 64 |
| Cephalosporins | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Cefotaxim | 0.5 | 2 | 0 | | | | | | | | | | 2 | | | | | | | .06 | 4 |
| Ceftazidim | 2 | 2 | 0 | | | | | | 2 | | | | | | | | | | | .25 | 16 |
| Fluoroquinolones | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Ciprofloxacin | 0.06 | 2 | 0 | 2 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | .008 | 8 |
| Penicillins | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Ampicillin | 4 | 2 | 0 | | | | | | | 2 | | | | | | | | | | .5 | 32 |
| Quinolones | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Nalidixic acid | 16 | 2 | 0 | | | | | | | | | | 2 | | | | | | | 4 | 64 |
| Sulfonamides | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Sulfonamide | 256 | 2 | 0 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | 8 | 1024 |
| Tetracyclines | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Tetracyclin | 8 | 2 | 0 | | | | | | | | | | 2 | | | | | | | 1 | 64 |
| Trimethoprim | 2 | 2 | 0 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | .5 | 32 |

Table Antimicrobial susceptibility testing of S. Newport in Turkeys - Monitoring (Baseline study) - quantitative data [Dilution method]

| S. Newport | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
|--|-------------|-----|---|---|------|------|------|-----|---|---|---|---|----|----|----|-----|-----|-----|------|------|-------|--------|---------|------|
| Turkeys - Monitoring (Baseline study) | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Isolates out of a monitoring programme | | yes | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Number of isolates available in the laboratory | | 2 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Antimicrobials: | Break point | N | n | Number of resistant isolates (n) and number of isolates with the concentration (u/ml) or zone (mm) of inhibition equal to | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | <=0.03 | 0.06 | 0.12 | 0.25 | 0.5 | 1 | 2 | 4 | 8 | 16 | 32 | 64 | 128 | 256 | 512 | 1024 | 2048 | >2048 | lowest | highest | |
| Aminoglycosides | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Gentamicin | 2 | 2 | 0 | | | | 1 | 1 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | .25 | 32 |
| Streptomycin | 32 | 2 | 0 | | | | | | | | | 1 | 1 | | | | | | | | | | 2 | 128 |
| Amphenicols | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Chloramphenicol | 16 | 2 | 0 | | | | | | | | | | 2 | | | | | | | | | | 2 | 64 |
| Florfenicol | 16 | 2 | 0 | | | | | | | | | | 2 | | | | | | | | | | 2 | 64 |
| Cephalosporins | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Cefotaxim | 0.5 | 2 | 0 | | | | | | | | | | 2 | | | | | | | | | | .06 | 4 |
| Ceftazidim | 2 | 2 | 0 | | | | | | 2 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | .25 | 16 |
| Fluoroquinolones | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Ciprofloxacin | 0.06 | 2 | 0 | 2 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | .008 | 8 | |
| Penicillins | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Ampicillin | 4 | 2 | 0 | | | | | | | 1 | 1 | | | | | | | | | | | | .5 | 32 |
| Quinolones | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Nalidixic acid | 16 | 2 | 0 | | | | | | | | | | 2 | | | | | | | | | | 4 | 64 |
| Sulfonamides | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Sulfonamide | 256 | 2 | 0 | | | | | | | | | | | 1 | 1 | | | | | | | | 8 | 1024 |
| Tetracyclines | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Tetracyclin | 8 | 2 | 0 | | | | | | | | | | | | 2 | | | | | | | | 1 | 64 |
| Trimethoprim | 2 | 2 | 0 | | | | | | | 2 | | | | | | | | | | | | | .5 | 32 |

Table Antimicrobial susceptibility testing in S. Newport

| n = Number of resistant isolates | | |
|--|----------|----------|
| S. Newport | | |
| Turkeys | | |
| Isolates out of a monitoring programme | | yes |
| Number of isolates available in the laboratory | | 2 |
| Antimicrobials: | | |
| | N | n |
| Aminoglycosides | | |
| Gentamicin | 2 | 0 |
| Streptomycin | 2 | 0 |
| Amphenicols | | |
| Chloramphenicol | 2 | 0 |
| Cephalosporins | | |
| Cefotaxim | 2 | 0 |
| Ceftazidim | 2 | 0 |
| Fluoroquinolones | | |
| Ciprofloxacin | 2 | 0 |
| Fully sensitive | 2 | 2 |
| Penicillins | | |
| Ampicillin | 2 | 0 |
| Quinolones | | |
| Nalidixic acid | 2 | 0 |
| Sulfonamides | | |
| Sulfonamide | 2 | 0 |
| Tetracyclines | | |
| Tetracyclin | 2 | 0 |
| Trimethoprim | 2 | 0 |

Table Antimicrobial susceptibility testing in S. Oranienburg

| n = Number of resistant isolates | | |
|--|----------|----------|
| S. Oranienburg | | |
| | Pigs | |
| Isolates out of a monitoring programme | | yes |
| Number of isolates available in the laboratory | | 1 |
| Antimicrobials: | | |
| | N | n |
| Aminoglycosides | | |
| Gentamicin | 1 | 0 |
| Streptomycin | 1 | 0 |
| Amphenicols | | |
| Chloramphenicol | 1 | 0 |
| Cephalosporins | | |
| Cefotaxim | 1 | 0 |
| Ceftazidim | 1 | 0 |
| Fluoroquinolones | | |
| Ciprofloxacin | 1 | 0 |
| Fully sensitive | 1 | 1 |
| Penicillins | | |
| Ampicillin | 1 | 0 |
| Quinolones | | |
| Nalidixic acid | 1 | 0 |
| Sulfonamides | | |
| Sulfonamide | 1 | 0 |
| Tetracyclines | | |
| Tetracyclin | 1 | 0 |
| Trimethoprim | 1 | 0 |

Table Antimicrobial susceptibility testing of S. Oranienburg in Pigs - Monitoring (Baseline study: lymph nodes)
lymph nodes) - quantitative data [Dilution method]

| S. Oranienburg | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
|---|-------------|---|---|--------|------|------|------|-----|---|---|---|---|----|----|----|-----|-----|-----|------|------|-------|--------|---------|------|
| Pigs - Monitoring (Baseline study: lymph nodes) | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Isolates out of a monitoring programme | yes | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | 1 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Number of isolates available in the laboratory | 1 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Number of resistant isolates (n) and number of isolates with the concentration (u/ml) or zone (mm) of inhibition equal to | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Antimicrobials: | Break point | N | n | <=0.03 | 0.06 | 0.12 | 0.25 | 0.5 | 1 | 2 | 4 | 8 | 16 | 32 | 64 | 128 | 256 | 512 | 1024 | 2048 | >2048 | lowest | highest | |
| Aminoglycosides | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Gentamicin | 2 | 1 | 0 | | | | | 1 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | .25 | 32 |
| Streptomycin | 32 | 1 | 0 | | | | | | | | | | | 1 | | | | | | | | | 2 | 128 |
| Amphenicols | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Chloramphenicol | 16 | 1 | 0 | | | | | | | 1 | | | | | | | | | | | | | 2 | 64 |
| Florfenicol | 16 | 1 | 0 | | | | | | | 1 | | | | | | | | | | | | | 2 | 64 |
| Cephalosporins | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Cefotaxim | 0.5 | 1 | 0 | | | | 1 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | .06 | 4 |
| Ceftazidim | 2 | 1 | 0 | | | | | 1 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | .25 | 16 |
| Fluoroquinolones | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Ciprofloxacin | 0.06 | 1 | 0 | 1 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | .008 | 8 |
| Penicillins | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Ampicillin | 4 | 1 | 0 | | | | | | 1 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | .5 | 32 |
| Quinolones | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Nalidixic acid | 16 | 1 | 0 | | | | | | | 1 | | | | | | | | | | | | | 4 | 64 |
| Sulfonamides | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Sulfonamide | 256 | 1 | 0 | | | | | | | | | | 1 | | | | | | | | | | 8 | 1024 |
| Tetracyclines | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Tetracyclin | 8 | 1 | 0 | | | | | | | 1 | | | | | | | | | | | | | 1 | 64 |
| Trimethoprim | 2 | 1 | 0 | | | | | 1 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | .5 | 32 |

Table Antimicrobial susceptibility testing in S. Orion

| n = Number of resistant isolates | | |
|--|----------|----------|
| S. Orion | | |
| Turkeys | | |
| Isolates out of a monitoring programme | | yes |
| Number of isolates available in the laboratory | | 63 |
| Antimicrobials: | | |
| | N | n |
| Aminoglycosides | | |
| Gentamicin | 63 | 0 |
| Streptomycin | 63 | 0 |
| Amphenicols | | |
| Chloramphenicol | 63 | 0 |
| Cephalosporins | | |
| Cefotaxim | 63 | 0 |
| Ceftazidim | 63 | 0 |
| Fluoroquinolones | | |
| Ciprofloxacin | 63 | 0 |
| Fully sensitive | 58 | 58 |
| Penicillins | | |
| Ampicillin | 63 | 5 |
| Quinolones | | |
| Nalidixic acid | 63 | 0 |
| Resistant to 1 antimicrobial | 5 | 5 |
| Sulfonamides | | |
| Sulfonamide | 63 | 0 |
| Tetracyclines | | |
| Tetracyclin | 63 | 0 |
| Trimethoprim | 63 | 0 |

Table Antimicrobial susceptibility testing of S. Orion in Turkeys - Monitoring - quantitative data [Dilution method]

| S. Orion | | Turkeys - Monitoring | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
|--|-------------|----------------------|---|---|------|------|------|-----|----|----|----|---|----|----|----|-----|-----|-----|------|------|-------|--------|---------|
| Isolates out of a monitoring programme (1) | | yes | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Number of isolates available in the laboratory | | 63 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Antimicrobials: | Break point | N | n | Number of resistant isolates (n) and number of isolates with the concentration (u/ml) or zone (mm) of inhibition equal to | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | <=0.03 | 0.06 | 0.12 | 0.25 | 0.5 | 1 | 2 | 4 | 8 | 16 | 32 | 64 | 128 | 256 | 512 | 1024 | 2048 | >2048 | lowest | highest |
| Aminoglycosides | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Gentamicin | 2 | 63 | 0 | | | | 57 | 6 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | .25 | 32 |
| Streptomycin | 32 | 63 | 0 | | | | | | | | | | 2 | 59 | 2 | | | | | | | 2 | 128 |
| Amphenicols | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Chloramphenicol | 16 | 63 | 0 | | | | | | | | | | 19 | 44 | | | | | | | | 2 | 64 |
| Florfenicol | 16 | 63 | 0 | | | | | | | | | 1 | 62 | | | | | | | | | 2 | 64 |
| Cephalosporins | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Cefotaxim | 0.5 | 63 | 0 | | | 17 | 46 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | .06 | 4 |
| Ceftazidim | 2 | 63 | 0 | | | | 42 | 21 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | .25 | 16 |
| Fluoroquinolones | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Ciprofloxacin | 0.06 | 63 | 0 | 63 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | .008 | 8 |
| Penicillins | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Ampicillin | 4 | 63 | 5 | | | | | 1 | 51 | 6 | | | | | | | | | | | | .5 | 32 |
| Quinolones | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Nalidixic acid | 16 | 63 | 0 | | | | | | | | | | | 63 | | | | | | | | 4 | 64 |
| Sulfonamides | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Sulfonamide | 256 | 63 | 0 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | 8 | 1024 |
| Tetracyclines | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Tetracyclin | 8 | 63 | 0 | | | | | | | 23 | 40 | | | | | | | | | | | 1 | 64 |
| Trimethoprim | 2 | 63 | 0 | | | | | 63 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | .5 | 32 |

(1) : Baseline study

Footnote

Table Antimicrobial susceptibility testing in S. Panama

| n = Number of resistant isolates | | |
|--|----------|----------|
| S. Panama | | |
| | Pigs | |
| Isolates out of a monitoring programme | | yes |
| Number of isolates available in the laboratory | | 1 |
| Antimicrobials: | | |
| | N | n |
| Aminoglycosides | | |
| Gentamicin | 1 | 0 |
| Streptomycin | 1 | 0 |
| Amphenicols | | |
| Chloramphenicol | 1 | 0 |
| Cephalosporins | | |
| Cefotaxim | 1 | 0 |
| Ceftazidim | 1 | 0 |
| Fluoroquinolones | | |
| Ciprofloxacin | 1 | 0 |
| Fully sensitive | 1 | 1 |
| Penicillins | | |
| Ampicillin | 1 | 0 |
| Quinolones | | |
| Nalidixic acid | 1 | 0 |
| Sulfonamides | | |
| Sulfonamide | 1 | 0 |
| Tetracyclines | | |
| Tetracyclin | 1 | 0 |
| Trimethoprim | 1 | 0 |

Table Antimicrobial susceptibility testing of S. Panama in Pigs - Monitoring (Baseline study: lymph nodes) - quantitative data [Dilution method]

| S. Panama | | Pigs - Monitoring (Baseline study: lymph nodes) | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
|--|-------------|---|---|---|------|------|------|-----|---|---|---|---|----|----|----|-----|-----|-----|------|------|-------|--------|---------|------|
| Isolates out of a monitoring programme | | yes | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Number of isolates available in the laboratory | | 1 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Antimicrobials: | Break point | N | n | Number of resistant isolates (n) and number of isolates with the concentration (u/ml) or zone (mm) of inhibition equal to | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | <=0.03 | 0.06 | 0.12 | 0.25 | 0.5 | 1 | 2 | 4 | 8 | 16 | 32 | 64 | 128 | 256 | 512 | 1024 | 2048 | >2048 | lowest | highest | |
| Aminoglycosides | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Gentamicin | 2 | 1 | 0 | | | | 1 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | .25 | 32 |
| Streptomycin | 32 | 1 | 0 | | | | | | | | 1 | | | | | | | | | | | | 2 | 128 |
| Amphenicols | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Chloramphenicol | 16 | 1 | 0 | | | | | | | | | | | 1 | | | | | | | | | 2 | 64 |
| Florfenicol | 16 | 1 | 0 | | | | | | | | | 1 | | | | | | | | | | | 2 | 64 |
| Cephalosporins | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Cefotaxim | 0.5 | 1 | 0 | | | | | | | | | | | 1 | | | | | | | | | .06 | 4 |
| Ceftazidim | 2 | 1 | 0 | | | | | | | | | | 1 | | | | | | | | | | .25 | 16 |
| Fluoroquinolones | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Ciprofloxacin | 0.06 | 1 | 0 | | | | | | | | | | | 1 | | | | | | | | | .008 | 8 |
| Penicillins | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Ampicillin | 4 | 1 | 0 | | | | | | | | | | | 1 | | | | | | | | | .5 | 32 |
| Quinolones | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Nalidixic acid | 16 | 1 | 0 | | | | | | | | | | | 1 | | | | | | | | | 4 | 64 |
| Sulfonamides | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Sulfonamide | 256 | 1 | 0 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | 8 | 1024 |
| Tetracyclines | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Tetracyclin | 8 | 1 | 0 | | | | | | | | | | | 1 | | | | | | | | | 1 | 64 |
| Trimethoprim | 2 | 1 | 0 | | | | | | | | | | | 1 | | | | | | | | | .5 | 32 |

Table Antimicrobial susceptibility testing of S. Typhimurium in Pigs - Monitoring - monitoring survey (Baseline Study, lymph nodes) - quantitative data [Dilution method]

| Isolates out of a monitoring programme | | yes | | Number of resistant isolates (n) and number of isolates with the concentration (u/ml) or zone (mm) of inhibition equal to | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
|--|------|-----|----|---|------|------|------|-----|---|----|---|---|----|----|----|-----|-----|-----|------|------|-------|--------|---------|------|
| | | n | N | <=0.03 | 0.06 | 0.12 | 0.25 | 0.5 | 1 | 2 | 4 | 8 | 16 | 32 | 64 | 128 | 256 | 512 | 1024 | 2048 | >2048 | lowest | highest | |
| Number of isolates available in the laboratory | | 41 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| S. Typhimurium | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Pigs - Monitoring - monitoring survey (Baseline Study, lymph nodes) | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Antimicrobials: | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Aminoglycosides | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Gentamicin | 2 | 41 | 0 | | | | 33 | 6 | 2 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | 0.25 | 32 |
| Streptomycin | 32 | 41 | 17 | | | | | | 1 | 7 | 4 | 2 | 10 | 11 | 6 | | | | | | | | 2 | 128 |
| Amphenicols | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Chloramphenicol | 16 | 41 | 27 | | | | | | | 9 | 5 | | 1 | 26 | | | | | | | | | 2 | 64 |
| Florfenicol | 16 | 41 | 20 | | | | | | 2 | 11 | 3 | 5 | 17 | 3 | | | | | | | | | 2 | 64 |
| Cephalosporins | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Cefotaxim | 0.5 | 41 | 0 | | 29 | 8 | 3 | 1 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | 0.06 | 4 |
| Ceftazidim | 2 | 41 | 1 | | | | 35 | 4 | 1 | | 1 | | | | | | | | | | | | .25 | 16 |
| Fluoroquinolones | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Ciprofloxacin | 0.06 | 41 | 2 | | 37 | 2 | | 2 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | 0.008 | 8 |
| Penicillins | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Ampicillin | 4 | 41 | 31 | | | | | | 7 | 3 | 2 | | 29 | | | | | | | | | | .5 | 32 |
| Quinolones | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Nalidixic acid | 16 | 41 | 2 | | | | | | | 34 | 4 | 1 | | 2 | | | | | | | | | 4 | 64 |
| Sulfonamides | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Sulfonamide | 256 | 41 | 31 | | | | | | | | 3 | 2 | 5 | | | | | | | 31 | | | 8 | 1024 |
| Tetracyclines | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Tetracyclin | 8 | 41 | 33 | | | | | | 1 | 6 | 1 | | 3 | 16 | 14 | | | | | | | | 1 | 64 |
| Trimethoprim | 2 | 41 | 13 | | | | | 27 | 1 | 1 | | | 12 | | | | | | | | | | 0.5 | 32 |

Table Antimicrobial susceptibility testing of S.Typhimurium in animals

| n = Number of resistant isolates | | | | | | | | | | | | |
|--|-------------------------|----|------|----|----------------------|---|---------|---|------------------------------------|---|---------------------------------|---|
| S. Typhimurium | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | Cattle (bovine animals) | | Pigs | | Gallus gallus (fowl) | | Turkeys | | Gallus gallus (fowl) - laying hens | | Gallus gallus (fowl) - broilers | |
| Isolates out of a monitoring programme | no | | yes | | | | | | | | | |
| Number of isolates available in the laboratory | 23 | | 41 | | | | | | | | | |
| Antimicrobials: | N | n | N | n | N | n | N | n | N | n | N | n |
| Aminoglycosides | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Apramycin | 20 | 3 | | | | | | | | | | |
| Framycetin | 21 | 1 | | | | | | | | | | |
| Gentamicin | 0 | 0 | 41 | 0 | | | | | | | | |
| Kanamycin | 0 | 0 | | | | | | | | | | |
| Neomycin | 20 | 5 | | | | | | | | | | |
| Streptomycin | 2 | 0 | 41 | 17 | | | | | | | | |
| Amphenicols | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Chloramphenicol | 0 | 0 | 41 | 27 | | | | | | | | |
| Florfenicol | 23 | 18 | | | | | | | | | | |
| Cephalosporins | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Cefalexin | 2 | 0 | | | | | | | | | | |
| Cefotaxim | 0 | 0 | 41 | 0 | | | | | | | | |
| Ceftazidim | | | 41 | 1 | | | | | | | | |
| Fluoroquinolones | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Ciprofloxacin | 0 | 0 | 41 | 2 | | | | | | | | |
| Enrofloxacin | 23 | 0 | | | | | | | | | | |
| Fully sensitive | 23 | 3 | 6 | 6 | | | | | | | | |
| Penicillins | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Quinolones | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Nalidixic acid | 0 | 0 | 41 | 2 | | | | | | | | |
| Resistant to 1 antimicrobial | 23 | 2 | 5 | 5 | | | | | | | | |
| Resistant to 2 antimicrobials | 23 | 10 | 1 | 1 | | | | | | | | |
| Resistant to 3 antimicrobials | 23 | 5 | 1 | 1 | | | | | | | | |
| Resistant to 4 antimicrobials | 23 | 1 | 1 | 1 | | | | | | | | |
| Resistant to >4 antimicrobials | 23 | 2 | 27 | 27 | | | | | | | | |
| Sulfonamides | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Sulfonamide | 0 | 0 | 41 | 31 | | | | | | | | |
| Tetracyclines | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Tetracyclin | 23 | 19 | 41 | 33 | | | | | | | | |
| Trimethoprim | | | 41 | 13 | | | | | | | | |
| Trimethoprim + sulfonamides | | | | | | | | | | | | |

Table Antimicrobial susceptibility testing of S. Virchow in Pigs - Monitoring (Baseline Study: Lymph Nodes) - quantitative data [Dilution method]

| S. Virchow | | Pigs - Monitoring (Baseline Study: Lymph Nodes) | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
|--|-------------|---|---|---|------|------|------|-----|---|---|---|---|----|----|----|--------|---------|------|------|
| Isolates out of a monitoring programme | | yes | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Number of isolates available in the laboratory | | 1 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Antimicrobials: | Break point | N | n | Number of resistant isolates (n) and number of isolates with the concentration (u/ml) or zone (mm) of inhibition equal to | | | | | | | | | | | | lowest | highest | | |
| | | | | <=0.03 | 0.06 | 0.12 | 0.25 | 0.5 | 1 | 2 | 4 | 8 | 16 | 32 | 64 | | | 128 | 256 |
| Aminoglycosides | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Gentamicin | 2 | 1 | 0 | | | | | 1 | | | | | | | | | | .25 | 32 |
| Streptomycin | 32 | 1 | 0 | | | | | | | | | | 1 | | | | | 2 | 128 |
| Amphenicols | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Chloramphenicol | 16 | 1 | 0 | | | | | | | | | | | 1 | | | | 2 | 64 |
| Florfenicol | 16 | 1 | 0 | | | | | | | | | | 1 | | | | | 2 | 64 |
| Cephalosporins | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Cefotaxim | 0.5 | 1 | 0 | | | | | 1 | | | | | | | | | | .06 | 4 |
| Ceftazidim | 2 | 1 | 0 | | | | | | 1 | | | | | | | | | .25 | 16 |
| Fluoroquinolones | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Ciprofloxacin | 0.06 | 1 | 0 | 1 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | .008 | 8 |
| Penicillins | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Ampicillin | 4 | 1 | 1 | | | | | | | 1 | | | | | | | | .5 | 32 |
| Quinolones | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Nalidixic acid | 16 | 1 | 0 | | | | | | | 1 | | | | | | | | 4 | 64 |
| Sulfonamides | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Sulfonamide | 256 | 1 | 0 | | | | | | | | | | | 1 | | | | 8 | 1024 |
| Tetracyclines | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Tetracyclin | 8 | 1 | 0 | | | | | | | 1 | | | | | | | | 1 | 64 |
| Trimethoprim | 2 | 1 | 0 | | | | | | 1 | | | | | | | | | .5 | 32 |

Table Antimicrobial susceptibility testing in S. Virchow

| n = Number of resistant isolates | | |
|--|----------|----------|
| S. Virchow | | |
| | Pigs | |
| Isolates out of a monitoring programme | | yes |
| Number of isolates available in the laboratory | | 1 |
| Antimicrobials: | | |
| | N | n |
| Aminoglycosides | | |
| Gentamicin | 1 | 0 |
| Streptomycin | 1 | 0 |
| Amphenicols | | |
| Chloramphenicol | 1 | 0 |
| Cephalosporins | | |
| Cefotaxim | 1 | 0 |
| Ceftazidim | 1 | 0 |
| Fluoroquinolones | | |
| Ciprofloxacin | 1 | 0 |
| Penicillins | | |
| Ampicillin | 1 | 1 |
| Quinolones | | |
| Nalidixic acid | 1 | 0 |
| Resistant to 1 antimicrobial | 1 | 1 |
| Sulfonamides | | |
| Sulfonamide | 1 | 0 |
| Tetracyclines | | |
| Tetracyclin | 1 | 0 |
| Trimethoprim | 1 | 0 |

Table Antimicrobial susceptibility testing of Salmonella spp., unspecified in Pigs - Monitoring (Baseline study: Lymph Nodes) - quantitative data [Dilution method]

| Salmonella spp., unspecified | | Pigs - Monitoring (Baseline study: Lymph Nodes) | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
|--|-------------|---|---|---|------|------|------|-----|---|---|---|---|----|----|----|-----|-----|-----|------|------|-------|--------|---------|------|
| Isolates out of a monitoring programme | | yes | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Number of isolates available in the laboratory | | 1 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Antimicrobials: | Break point | N | n | Number of resistant isolates (n) and number of isolates with the concentration (u/ml) or zone (mm) of inhibition equal to | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | <=0.03 | 0.06 | 0.12 | 0.25 | 0.5 | 1 | 2 | 4 | 8 | 16 | 32 | 64 | 128 | 256 | 512 | 1024 | 2048 | >2048 | lowest | highest | |
| Aminoglycosides | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Gentamicin | 2 | 1 | 0 | | | | 1 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | .25 | 32 |
| Streptomycin | 32 | 1 | 1 | | | | | | | | | | | | 1 | | | | | | | | 2 | 128 |
| Amphenicols | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Chloramphenicol | 16 | 1 | 1 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | 2 | 64 |
| Florfenicol | 16 | 1 | 1 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | 2 | 64 |
| Cephalosporins | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Cefotaxim | 0.5 | 1 | 0 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | .06 | 4 |
| Ceftazidim | 2 | 1 | 0 | | | | 1 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | .25 | 16 |
| Fluoroquinolones | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Ciprofloxacin | 0.06 | 1 | 0 | 1 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | .008 | 8 |
| Penicillins | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Ampicillin | 4 | 1 | 1 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | .5 | 32 |
| Quinolones | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Nalidixic acid | 16 | 1 | 0 | | | | | | | | | | | | 1 | | | | | | | | 4 | 64 |
| Sulfonamides | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Sulfonamide | 256 | 1 | 1 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | 1 | | 8 | 1024 |
| Tetracyclines | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Tetracyclin | 8 | 1 | 1 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | 1 | 64 |
| Trimethoprim | 2 | 1 | 0 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | .5 | 32 |

Table Antimicrobial susceptibility testing in Salmonella spp., unspecified

| n = Number of resistant isolates | | |
|--|----------|----------|
| Salmonella spp., unspecified | | |
| | Pigs | |
| Isolates out of a monitoring programme | | yes |
| Number of isolates available in the laboratory | | 1 |
| Antimicrobials: | | |
| | N | n |
| Aminoglycosides | | |
| Gentamicin | 1 | 0 |
| Streptomycin | 1 | 1 |
| Amphenicols | | |
| Chloramphenicol | 1 | 0 |
| Cephalosporins | | |
| Cefotaxim | 1 | 0 |
| Ceftazidim | 1 | 0 |
| Fluoroquinolones | | |
| Ciprofloxacin | 1 | 0 |
| Penicillins | | |
| Ampicillin | 1 | 1 |
| Quinolones | | |
| Nalidixic acid | 1 | 0 |
| Resistant to >4 antimicrobials | 1 | 1 |
| Sulfonamides | | |
| Sulfonamide | 1 | 1 |
| Tetracyclines | | |
| Tetracyclin | 1 | 1 |
| Trimethoprim | 1 | 0 |

Table Breakpoints for antibiotic resistance testing in Animals

Test Method Used

Broth dilution

Standards used for testing

x

| Salmonella | Standard for breakpoint | Breakpoint concentration (microg/ ml) | | | Range tested concentration (microg/ ml) | | Disk content microg | Breakpoint Zone diameter (mm) | | |
|------------------------------------|-------------------------|---------------------------------------|--------------|----------------|---|---------|---------------------|-------------------------------|--------------|-----------------|
| | | Susceptible <= | Intermediate | Resistant > | lowest | highest | | Susceptible >= | Intermediate | Resistant <= |
| Amphenicols | | | | | | | | | | |
| Chloramphenicol | | | | 16 | | | | | | |
| Florfenicol | | | | 16 | | | | | | |
| Tetracyclines | | | | | | | | | | |
| Tetracyclin | | | | 8 | | | | | | |
| Fluoroquinolones | | | | | | | | | | |
| Ciprofloxacin | | | | 0.06 | | | | | | |
| Enrofloxacin | | | | | | | | | | |
| Quinolones | | | | | | | | | | |
| Nalidixic acid | | | | 16 | | | | | | |
| Trimethoprim | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | 2 | | | | | | |
| Sulfonamides | | | | | | | | | | |
| Sulfonamide | | | | 256 | | | | | | |
| Aminoglycosides | | | | | | | | | | |
| Streptomycin | | | | 32 | | | | | | |
| Gentamicin | | | | 2 | | | | | | |
| Neomycin | | | | | | | | | | |
| Kanamycin | | | | 4 | | | | | | |
| Trimethoprim + sulfonamides | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | |
| Cephalosporins | | | | | | | | | | |
| Cefotaxim | | | | 0.5 | | | | | | |
| Ceftazidim | | | | 2 | | | | | | |
| 3rd generation cephalosporins | | | | | | | | | | |
| Penicillins | | | | | | | | | | |
| Ampicillin | | | | 4 | | | | | | |

2.2. CAMPYLOBACTERIOSIS**2.2.1. General evaluation of the national situation****2.2.2. Campylobacteriosis in humans****2.2.3. Campylobacter in foodstuffs****Table Campylobacter in poultry meat**

| | Source of information | Sampling unit | Sample weight | Units tested | Total units positive for thermophilic Campylobacter spp. | C. coli | C. lari | C. upsaliensis | C. jejuni | Thermophilic Campylobacter spp., unspecified |
|--|-----------------------|---------------|---------------|--------------|--|---------|---------|----------------|-----------|--|
| Meat from broilers (Gallus gallus) | | | | | | | | | | |
| fresh | | | | | | | | | | |
| - at processing plant | DAFF | single | Various | 112 | 71 | | | | | 71 |
| - at retail | OFML | single | 25g | 1 | 0 | | | | | |
| meat products | | | | | | | | | | |
| raw but intended to be eaten cooked | | | | | | | | | | |
| - at retail | OFML | single | 25g | 9 | 3 | | | | 3 | |
| cooked, ready-to-eat | | | | | | | | | | |
| - at processing plant | CMCL | single | 25g | 24 | 0 | | | | | |
| - at retail | OFML | single | 25g | 399 | 0 | | | | | |
| - at retail | OFML | single | 25g | 14 | 0 | | | | | |
| Meat from turkey | | | | | | | | | | |
| fresh | | | | | | | | | | |
| - at processing plant | DAFF | single | Various | 12 | 7 | | | | | 7 |
| meat products | | | | | | | | | | |
| cooked, ready-to-eat | | | | | | | | | | |
| - at processing plant | CMCL | single | 25g | 13 | 0 | | | | | |
| - at retail | OFML | single | 25g | 75 | 0 | | | | | |

Ireland 2007 Report on trends and sources of zoonoses

| | | | | | | | | | |
|---------------------------------------|------|--------|---------|----|---|--|--|--|---|
| - at retail | OFML | single | 25g | 4 | 0 | | | | |
| Meat from duck | | | | | | | | | |
| fresh | | | | | | | | | |
| - at processing plant | DAFF | single | Various | 10 | 8 | | | | 8 |
| meat products | | | | | | | | | |
| cooked, ready-to-eat | | | | | | | | | |
| - at retail | OFML | single | 25g | 12 | 0 | | | | |
| Meat from poultry, unspecified | | | | | | | | | |
| meat products | | | | | | | | | |
| cooked, ready-to-eat | | | | | | | | | |
| - at processing plant | CMCL | single | 25g | 9 | 0 | | | | |

Footnote

The sampling programme for all samples was Surveillance except where source of information is "DAFF" and sampling unit is "batch". For these samples the sampling programme is Monitoring.

Table Campylobacter in other food

| | Source of information | Sampling unit | Sample weight | Units tested | Total units positive for thermophilic Campylobacter spp. | C. jejuni | C. coli | C. upsaliensis | C. lari | Thermophilic Campylobacter spp., unspecified |
|--|-----------------------|---------------|---------------|--------------|--|-----------|---------|----------------|---------|--|
| Meat from pig | | | | | | | | | | |
| meat products | | | | | | | | | | |
| cooked, ready-to-eat | | | | | | | | | | |
| - at processing plant | CMCL | single | 25g | 5 | 0 | | | | | |
| - at retail | OFML | single | 25g | 165 | 0 | | | | | |
| raw but intended to be eaten cooked | | | | | | | | | | |
| - at retail | OFML | single | 25g | 2 | 0 | | | | | |
| - at retail | OFML | single | 25g | 1 | 0 | | | | | |
| Meat from bovine animals | | | | | | | | | | |
| meat products | | | | | | | | | | |
| cooked, ready-to-eat | | | | | | | | | | |
| - at retail | OFML | single | 25g | 64 | 0 | | | | | |
| - at retail | OFML | single | 25g | 1 | 0 | | | | | |
| Meat from sheep | | | | | | | | | | |
| meat products | | | | | | | | | | |
| cooked, ready-to-eat | | | | | | | | | | |
| - at retail | OFML | single | 25g | 5 | 0 | | | | | |
| - at retail | OFML | single | 25g | 1 | 0 | | | | | |
| Meat from other animal species or not specified | | | | | | | | | | |
| meat products | | | | | | | | | | |
| raw but intended to be eaten cooked | | | | | | | | | | |
| - at retail | OFML | single | 25g | 1 | 0 | | | | | |
| cooked, ready-to-eat | | | | | | | | | | |
| - at retail | OFML | single | 25g | 33 | 0 | | | | | |
| - at retail | OFML | single | 25g | 3 | 0 | | | | | |
| Meat, mixed meat | | | | | | | | | | |

Ireland 2007 Report on trends and sources of zoonoses

| | | | | | | | | | | |
|---|------|--------|-----|----|---|---|--|--|--|--|
| meat products | | | | | | | | | | |
| cooked, ready-to-eat | | | | | | | | | | |
| - at retail | OFML | single | 25g | 13 | 0 | | | | | |
| - at retail | OFML | single | 25g | 4 | 0 | | | | | |
| Milk from other animal species or unspecified | | | | | | | | | | |
| raw | | | | | | | | | | |
| - at retail | OFML | single | 25g | 1 | 0 | | | | | |
| pasteurised | | | | | | | | | | |
| - at retail | OFML | single | 25g | 1 | 0 | | | | | |
| Cheeses, made from unspecified milk or other animal milk | | | | | | | | | | |
| - at retail | OFML | single | 25g | 4 | 0 | | | | | |
| Dairy products (excluding cheeses) | | | | | | | | | | |
| cream | | | | | | | | | | |
| - at retail | OFML | single | 25g | 3 | 0 | | | | | |
| ice-cream | | | | | | | | | | |
| - at retail | OFML | single | 25g | 3 | 0 | | | | | |
| dairy products, not specified | | | | | | | | | | |
| - at retail | OFML | single | 25g | 4 | 0 | | | | | |
| Eggs | | | | | | | | | | |
| raw material (liquid egg) for egg products | | | | | | | | | | |
| - at retail | OFML | single | 25g | 1 | 0 | | | | | |
| Egg products | | | | | | | | | | |
| - at retail | OFML | single | 25g | 9 | 0 | | | | | |
| Fishery products, unspecified | | | | | | | | | | |
| - at retail | OFML | single | 25g | 41 | 0 | | | | | |
| cooked | | | | | | | | | | |
| - at retail | OFML | single | 25g | 19 | 0 | | | | | |
| raw | | | | | | | | | | |
| - at retail | OFML | single | 25g | 4 | 0 | | | | | |
| Fruits and vegetables | | | | | | | | | | |
| - at retail | OFML | single | 25g | 11 | 1 | 1 | | | | |
| Infant formula | | | | | | | | | | |
| - at retail | OFML | single | 25g | 1 | 0 | | | | | |
| Bakery products | | | | | | | | | | |
| desserts | | | | | | | | | | |
| - at retail | OFML | single | 25g | 2 | 0 | | | | | |
| - at retail | OFML | single | 25g | 5 | 0 | | | | | |
| Beverages, non-alcoholic | | | | | | | | | | |
| - at retail | OFML | single | 25g | 4 | 0 | | | | | |
| Cereals and meals | | | | | | | | | | |
| - at retail | OFML | single | 25g | 4 | 0 | | | | | |

Ireland 2007 Report on trends and sources of zoonoses

| | | | | | | | | | |
|--|------|--------|-----|-----|---|--|--|--|--|
| Other processed food products and prepared dishes | | | | | | | | | |
| unspecified | | | | | | | | | |
| ready-to-eat foods | | | | | | | | | |
| - at retail | OFML | single | 25g | 169 | 0 | | | | |
| non-ready-to-eat foods | | | | | | | | | |
| - at retail | OFML | single | 25g | 1 | 0 | | | | |
| - at retail | OFML | single | 25g | 2 | 0 | | | | |
| Sauce and dressings | | | | | | | | | |
| - at retail | OFML | single | 25g | 24 | 0 | | | | |
| Soups | | | | | | | | | |
| - at retail | OFML | single | 25g | 4 | 0 | | | | |

Footnote

The sampling programme for all samples was Surveillance except where source of information is "DAFF" and sampling unit is "batch". For these samples the sampling programme is Monitoring.

2.2.4. Campylobacter in animals

Table Campylobacter in animals

| | Source of information | Sampling unit | Units tested | Total units positive for thermophilic Campylobacter spp. | C. jejuni | C. coli | C. lari | C. upsaliensis | Thermophilic Campylobacter spp., unspecified |
|--------------------------------|-----------------------|---------------|--------------|--|-----------|---------|---------|----------------|--|
| Cattle (bovine animals) | | | | | | | | | |
| dairy cows | Diagnostic | animal | 16 | 5 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| calves (under 1 year) | Diagnostic | animal | 1869 | 207 | 207 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Sheep | Diagnostic | animal | 195 | 15 | 15 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Goats | Diagnostic | animal | 6 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Pigs | Diagnostic | animal | 5 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Solipeds, domestic | Diagnostic | animal | 14 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Gallus gallus (fowl) | | | | | | | | | |
| broilers | | | | | | | | | |
| - at farm | | animal | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| - at slaughterhouse | | animal | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Turkeys | Diagnostic | animal | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Ducks | | animal | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Geese | | animal | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Dogs | Diagnostic | animal | 48 | 7 | 7 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Cats | Diagnostic | animal | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Pigeons | Diagnostic | animal | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Badgers | Diagnostic | animal | 3 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Deer | Diagnostic | animal | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Zoo animals, all | Diagnostic | animal | 4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |

2.2.5. Antimicrobial resistance in *Campylobacter* isolates

2.3. LISTERIOSIS**2.3.1. General evaluation of the national situation****2.3.2. Listeriosis in humans****2.3.3. Listeria in foodstuffs****Table Listeria monocytogenes in milk and dairy products**

| | Source of information | Sampling unit | Sample weight | Units tested | Total units positive for L.monocytogenes | Units tested with detection method | Listeria monocytogenes presence in x g | Units tested with enumeration method | > detection limit but ≤ 100 cfu/ g | L. monocytogenes > 100 cfu/ g |
|---|-----------------------|---------------|---------------|--------------|--|------------------------------------|--|--------------------------------------|------------------------------------|-------------------------------|
| Cheeses made from goats' milk | | | | | | | | | | |
| unspecified | | | | | | | | | | |
| - at retail | OFML | single | 25g | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 |
| made from pasteurised milk | | | | | | | | | | |
| - at retail | OFML | single | 25g | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 |
| Cheeses made from sheep's milk | | | | | | | | | | |
| unspecified | | | | | | | | | | |
| - at retail | OFML | single | 25g | 2 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 |
| Dairy products (excluding cheeses) | | | | | | | | | | |
| butter | | | | | | | | | | |
| - at retail | OFML | single | 25g | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 0 |
| made from pasteurised milk | | | | | | | | | | |
| - at processing plant | DSL | batch | Various | 46 | 0 | 46 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| made from raw or low heat-treated milk | | | | | | | | | | |
| - at processing plant | DSL | batch | Various | 2 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| cream | | | | | | | | | | |
| - at retail | OFML | single | 25g | 32 | 0 | 10 | 0 | 32 | 0 | 0 |
| made from pasteurised milk | | | | | | | | | | |
| - at retail | OFML | single | 25g | 28 | 0 | 14 | 0 | 28 | 0 | 0 |
| - at processing plant | DSL | batch | Various | 36 | 0 | 36 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |

Ireland 2007 Report on trends and sources of zoonoses

| | | | | | | | | | | |
|--|------|--------|---------|-----|----|-----|----|-----|---|---|
| dairy desserts | | | | | | | | | | |
| - at retail | OFML | single | 25g | 8 | 0 | 7 | 0 | 8 | 0 | 0 |
| dairy products, not specified | | | | | | | | | | |
| - at retail | OFML | single | 25g | 56 | 0 | 26 | 0 | 56 | 0 | 0 |
| made from pasteurised milk | | | | | | | | | | |
| - at processing plant | DSL | batch | Various | 95 | 1 | 95 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| ice-cream | | | | | | | | | | |
| - at retail (2) | OFML | single | 25g | 349 | 1 | 185 | 1 | 349 | 0 | 1 |
| made from pasteurised milk | | | | | | | | | | |
| - at processing plant | DSL | batch | Various | 84 | 0 | 84 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| milk powder and whey powder | | | | | | | | | | |
| - at processing plant | DSL | batch | Various | 84 | 0 | 9 | 0 | 75 | 0 | 0 |
| Milk from other animal species or unspecified pasteurised | | | | | | | | | | |
| - at retail | OFML | single | 25g | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 |
| - at processing plant | DSL | batch | Various | 49 | 2 | 49 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| - at retail | OFML | single | 25g | 4 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 0 |
| Cheeses, made from unspecified milk or other animal milk | | | | | | | | | | |
| unspecified | | | | | | | | | | |
| made from pasteurised milk | | | | | | | | | | |
| - at processing plant | DSL | batch | Various | 175 | 27 | 175 | 27 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| - at retail | OFML | single | 25g | 6 | 0 | 5 | 0 | 6 | 0 | 0 |
| - at retail | OFML | single | 25g | 151 | 1 | 108 | 1 | 151 | 0 | 0 |
| made from raw or low heat-treated milk | | | | | | | | | | |
| - at retail | OFML | single | 25g | 4 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 0 |
| - at processing plant (1) | DSL | batch | Various | 110 | 18 | 110 | 18 | 1 | 0 | 0 |
| soft and semi-soft | | | | | | | | | | |
| - at retail | OFML | single | 25g | 2 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 |
| made from pasteurised milk | | | | | | | | | | |
| - at retail | OFML | single | 25g | 2 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 |
| - at processing plant | DSL | batch | Various | 35 | 0 | 35 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |

(1) : Note 1: One of these DSL samples that had a Listeria mono Positive result for its Qualitative test also performed a Listeria mono Quantitative test. The Quantitative test was found to be <LOD.

(2) : Note 1: Listeria mono was detected in one sample for both Qualitative & Quantitative tests. The Quantitative result is = 340 cfu/ g.

Footnote

The sampling programme for all samples was Surveillance except where source of information is "DAFF" and sampling unit is "batch". For these samples the sampling programme is Monitoring.

DSL: Batches comprised of 1 - 10 samples with each sample of weight 25g.

Table Listeria monocytogenes in other foods

| | Source of information | Sampling unit | Sample weight | Units tested | Total units positive for L.monocytogenes | Units tested with detection method | Listeria monocytogenes presence in x g | Units tested with enumeration method | > detection limit but ≤ 100 cfu/ g | L. monocytogenes > 100 cfu/ g |
|---|-----------------------|---------------|---------------|--------------|--|------------------------------------|--|--------------------------------------|------------------------------------|-------------------------------|
| Meat from broilers (Gallus gallus) | | | | | | | | | | |
| fresh | | | | | | | | | | |
| - at retail | OFML | single | 25g | 3 | 1 | 3 | 1 | 3 | 0 | 0 |
| meat products | | | | | | | | | | |
| cooked, ready-to-eat | | | | | | | | | | |
| - at processing plant | CMCL | single | 25g | 69 | 0 | 69 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| - at retail (1) | OFML | single | 25g | 992 | 10 | 629 | 10 | 983 | 0 | 2 |
| raw but intended to be eaten cooked | | | | | | | | | | |
| - at processing plant | CMCL | single | 25g | 3 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| - at retail | OFML | single | 25g | 128 | 2 | 50 | 2 | 124 | 0 | 0 |
| Meat from pig | | | | | | | | | | |
| meat products | | | | | | | | | | |
| cooked, ready-to-eat | | | | | | | | | | |
| - at processing plant | CMCL | single | 25g | 59 | 0 | 59 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| - at retail (2) | OFML | single | 25g | 899 | 18 | 568 | 17 | 895 | 2 | 0 |
| raw but intended to be eaten cooked | | | | | | | | | | |
| - at retail | OFML | single | 25g | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 |
| - at retail | OFML | single | 25g | 70 | 0 | 33 | 0 | 66 | 0 | 0 |
| Meat from bovine animals | | | | | | | | | | |
| meat products | | | | | | | | | | |
| cooked, ready-to-eat | | | | | | | | | | |
| - at processing plant | CMCL | single | 25g | 18 | 0 | 18 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| - at retail | OFML | single | 25g | 338 | 6 | 197 | 6 | 324 | 0 | 0 |
| - at retail | OFML | single | 25g | 58 | 0 | 13 | 0 | 55 | 0 | 0 |
| Infant formula | | | | | | | | | | |
| - at retail | OFML | single | 25g | 46 | 0 | 45 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 0 |
| dried | | | | | | | | | | |
| - at processing plant (intended for infants above 6 months) | DSL | batch | Various | 14 | 0 | 14 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |

Ireland 2007 Report on trends and sources of zoonoses

| | | | | | | | | | | |
|--|------|--------|---------|-----|---|-----|---|-----|---|---|
| intended for infants below 6 months - at processing plant | DSL | batch | Various | 24 | 0 | 24 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| liquid - at processing plant | DSL | batch | Various | 5 | 0 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Crustaceans unspecified - at processing plant | SFPA | single | 25g | 13 | 0 | 13 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| - at retail | OFML | single | 25g | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 |
| cooked - at retail | OFML | single | 25g | 24 | 1 | 12 | 1 | 24 | 0 | 0 |
| Fishery products, unspecified - at processing plant | SFPA | single | 25g | 35 | 0 | 35 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| cooked - at retail | OFML | single | 25g | 301 | 5 | 226 | 5 | 298 | 0 | 0 |
| raw - at retail | OFML | single | 25g | 11 | 0 | 8 | 0 | 9 | 0 | 0 |
| - at retail (Unspecified) | OFML | single | 25g | 98 | 2 | 15 | 2 | 97 | 0 | 0 |
| - at retail (Smoked) (3) | OFML | single | 25g | 52 | 4 | 32 | 3 | 52 | 0 | 1 |
| Molluscan shellfish - at processing plant | SFPA | single | 25g | 2 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| cooked - at retail | OFML | single | 25g | 7 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 7 | 0 | 0 |
| Meat from turkey meat products cooked, ready-to-eat - at processing plant | CMCL | single | 25g | 58 | 0 | 58 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| - at retail | OFML | single | 25g | 143 | 0 | 93 | 0 | 140 | 0 | 0 |
| - at retail | OFML | single | 25g | 12 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 12 | 0 | 0 |
| Meat from duck meat products cooked, ready-to-eat - at retail | OFML | single | 25g | 19 | 0 | 13 | 0 | 19 | 0 | 0 |
| Meat from poultry, unspecified meat products raw but intended to be eaten cooked - at processing plant | CMCL | single | 25g | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| cooked, ready-to-eat - at processing plant | CMCL | single | 25g | 50 | 0 | 50 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| - at retail | OFML | single | 25g | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 |
| Meat from sheep meat products cooked, ready-to-eat - at retail | OFML | single | 25g | 30 | 0 | 13 | 0 | 27 | 0 | 0 |
| - at retail | OFML | single | 25g | 4 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 0 |

Ireland 2007 Report on trends and sources of zoonoses

| | | | | | | | | | |
|--|------|--------|-----|-----|----|-----|----|-----|---|
| Meat from other animal species or not specified | | | | | | | | | |
| meat products | | | | | | | | | |
| raw but intended to be eaten cooked | | | | | | | | | |
| - at retail | OFML | single | 25g | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 |
| cooked, ready-to-eat | | | | | | | | | |
| - at retail | OFML | single | 25g | 232 | 4 | 152 | 4 | 226 | 0 |
| - at retail | OFML | single | 25g | 59 | 0 | 38 | 0 | 54 | 0 |
| Meat, mixed meat | | | | | | | | | |
| meat products | | | | | | | | | |
| cooked, ready-to-eat | | | | | | | | | |
| - at processing plant | CMCL | single | 25g | 4 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| - at retail | OFML | single | 25g | 49 | 1 | 25 | 1 | 46 | 0 |
| - at retail | OFML | single | 25g | 8 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 8 | 0 |
| Bakery products | | | | | | | | | |
| desserts | | | | | | | | | |
| - at retail | OFML | single | 25g | 144 | 0 | 94 | 0 | 141 | 0 |
| - at retail | OFML | single | 25g | 200 | 0 | 93 | 0 | 200 | 0 |
| Beverages, non-alcoholic | | | | | | | | | |
| soft drinks | | | | | | | | | |
| - at retail | OFML | single | 25g | 513 | 0 | 17 | 0 | 513 | 0 |
| Cereals and meals | | | | | | | | | |
| - at retail | OFML | single | 25g | 189 | 1 | 99 | 1 | 187 | 0 |
| Egg products | | | | | | | | | |
| - at retail | OFML | single | 25g | 475 | 11 | 283 | 11 | 470 | 0 |
| Eggs | | | | | | | | | |
| raw material (liquid egg) for egg products | | | | | | | | | |
| - at retail | OFML | single | 25g | 3 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 2 | 0 |
| table eggs | | | | | | | | | |
| - at retail | OFML | single | 25g | 10 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 10 | 0 |
| Fruits and vegetables | | | | | | | | | |
| - at retail | OFML | single | 25g | 317 | 4 | 164 | 4 | 316 | 0 |
| Juice | | | | | | | | | |
| fruit juice | | | | | | | | | |
| unpasteurised | | | | | | | | | |
| - at retail | OFML | single | 25g | 275 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 275 | 0 |
| - at retail | OFML | single | 25g | 9 | 0 | 8 | 0 | 9 | 0 |
| mixed juice | | | | | | | | | |
| - at retail | OFML | single | 25g | 21 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 21 | 0 |
| vegetable juice | | | | | | | | | |
| - at retail | OFML | single | 25g | 29 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 29 | 0 |
| - at retail (unspecified) | OFML | single | 25g | 12 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 12 | 0 |
| Mushrooms | | | | | | | | | |
| - at retail | OFML | single | 25g | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 |

Ireland 2007 Report on trends and sources of zoonoses

| | | | | | | | | | | |
|--|------|--------|-----|------|----|------|----|------|---|---|
| Other food | | | | | | | | | | |
| - at retail | OFML | single | 25g | 33 | 0 | 9 | 0 | 33 | 0 | 0 |
| Other processed food products and prepared dishes unspecified | | | | | | | | | | |
| - at retail | OFML | single | 25g | 29 | 0 | 5 | 0 | 29 | 0 | 0 |
| non-ready-to-eat foods | | | | | | | | | | |
| - at retail | OFML | single | 25g | 3 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 0 |
| ready-to-eat foods | | | | | | | | | | |
| - at retail (4) | OFML | single | 25g | 2569 | 32 | 1419 | 32 | 2567 | 1 | 2 |
| Sauce and dressings | | | | | | | | | | |
| - at retail | OFML | single | 25g | 266 | 0 | 141 | 0 | 265 | 0 | 0 |
| Seeds, sprouted | | | | | | | | | | |
| ready-to-eat | | | | | | | | | | |
| - at retail | OFML | single | 25g | 4 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 0 |
| Soups | | | | | | | | | | |
| - at retail | OFML | single | 25g | 95 | 0 | 52 | 0 | 95 | 0 | 0 |
| Spices and herbs | | | | | | | | | | |
| - at retail | OFML | single | 25g | 8 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 8 | 0 | 0 |

(1) : Note 1: Listeria mono was detected in two samples for both Qualitative & Quantitative tests. The Quantitative results were = 350 cfu/ g & 480 cfu/ g.

Note 2: Of the other 8 Listeria mono Qualitative tests that had a Positive result; 7 of these had Quantitative results of <20 cfu/ g and 1 had no Quantitative result performed.

(2) : Note 1: Listeria mono was detected in two samples during Quantitative testing. In the first of these samples no Qualitative test was performed. The Quantitative result of this sample was = 5 cfu/ g. In the second of these samples a Qualitative test was also performed and came back as Positive. The Quantitative result result of this sample was = 30 cfu/ g. Note 2: Of the other 16 Listeria mono Qualitative tests that had a Positive result please note that all of these had Quantitative results that were <LOD.

(3) : Note 1: Listeria mono (Quantitative) was detected in one sample. No Qualitative Listeria mono test was performed on this sample. The Quantitative result is = 150 cfu/ g. Note 2: Three Lm Qualitative results tested Positive. All three of these had a Lm Quantitative result of <LOD.

(4) : Note 1: Lm was detected in three samples for both the Qualitative & Quantitative tests. The Lm Quantitative results were = 50 cfu/ g, 300 cfu/ g & 600 cfu/ g. Note 2: Of the other 29 Lm Qualitative tests that had a Positive result please note that all of these had Lm Quantitative results that were <LOD.

Footnote

The sampling programme for all samples was Surveillance except where source of information is "DAFF" and sampling unit is "batch". For these samples the sampling programme is Monitoring.

DSL: Batches comprised of 1 - 10 samples with each sample of weight 25g.

2.3.4. *Listeria* in animalsTable *Listeria* in animals

| | Source of information | Sampling unit | Units tested | Total units positive for <i>Listeria</i> spp. | <i>L. monocytogenes</i> | <i>Listeria</i> spp., unspecified |
|--------------------------------|-----------------------|---------------|--------------|---|-------------------------|-----------------------------------|
| Cattle (bovine animals) | | | | | | |
| dairy cows | Diagnostic | animal | 590 | 1 | 1 | 0 |
| Sheep | Diagnostic | animal | 1133 | 11 | 6 | 5 |
| Goats | Diagnostic | animal | 49 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Pigs | Diagnostic | animal | 418 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Gallus gallus (fowl) | Diagnostic | animal | 160 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Turkeys | Diagnostic | animal | 67 | 0 | 0 | 0 |

2.4. E. COLI INFECTIONS**2.4.1. General evaluation of the national situation****2.4.2. E. Coli Infections in humans****2.4.3. Escherichia coli, pathogenic in foodstuffs****Table VT E. coli in food**

| | Source of information | Sampling unit | Sample weight | Units tested | Verotoxigenic E. coli (VTEC) | Verotoxigenic E. coli (VTEC) - VTEC O157 | Verotoxigenic E. coli (VTEC) - VTEC non-O157 | Verotoxigenic E. coli (VTEC) - VTEC, unspecified |
|--|-----------------------|---------------|---------------|--------------|------------------------------|--|--|--|
| Meat from broilers (Gallus gallus) | | | | | | | | |
| fresh | | | | | | | | |
| - at retail | OFML | single | 25g | 1 | 0 | | | |
| meat products | | | | | | | | |
| cooked, ready-to-eat | | | | | | | | |
| - at retail | OFML | single | 25g | 9 | 0 | | | |
| - at retail | OFML | single | 25g | 1 | 0 | | | |
| raw but intended to be eaten cooked | | | | | | | | |
| - at retail | OFML | single | 25g | 1 | 0 | | | |
| Meat from pig | | | | | | | | |
| meat products | | | | | | | | |
| cooked, ready-to-eat | | | | | | | | |
| - at retail | OFML | single | 25g | 14 | 0 | | | |
| Meat from bovine animals | | | | | | | | |
| fresh | | | | | | | | |
| - at retail | OFML | single | 25g | 8 | 0 | | | |
| minced meat | | | | | | | | |
| intended to be eaten cooked | | | | | | | | |
| - at retail | OFML | single | 25g | 38 | 0 | | | |

Ireland 2007 Report on trends and sources of zoonoses

| | | | | | | | | |
|---|------|--------|-----|-----|---|--|--|--|
| meat products | | | | | | | | |
| cooked, ready-to-eat | | | | | | | | |
| - at retail | OFML | single | 25g | 3 | 0 | | | |
| - at retail | OFML | single | 25g | 4 | 0 | | | |
| raw but intended to be eaten cooked | | | | | | | | |
| - at retail | OFML | single | 25g | 4 | 0 | | | |
| Meat from other animal species or not specified | | | | | | | | |
| meat products | | | | | | | | |
| cooked, ready-to-eat | | | | | | | | |
| - at retail | OFML | single | 25g | 6 | 0 | | | |
| raw but intended to be eaten cooked | | | | | | | | |
| - at retail | OFML | single | 25g | 5 | 0 | | | |
| Fruits and vegetables | | | | | | | | |
| - at retail | OFML | single | 25g | 3 | 0 | | | |
| Juice | | | | | | | | |
| fruit juice | | | | | | | | |
| unpasteurised | | | | | | | | |
| - at retail | OFML | single | 25g | 139 | 0 | | | |
| mixed juice | | | | | | | | |
| - at retail | OFML | single | 25g | 10 | 0 | | | |
| vegetable juice | | | | | | | | |
| - at retail | OFML | single | 25g | 16 | 0 | | | |
| - at retail | OFML | single | 25g | 7 | 0 | | | |
| Fishery products, unspecified | | | | | | | | |
| cooked | | | | | | | | |
| - at retail | OFML | single | 25g | 1 | 0 | | | |
| raw | | | | | | | | |
| - at retail | OFML | single | 25g | 1 | 0 | | | |
| Egg products | | | | | | | | |
| - at retail | OFML | single | 25g | 3 | 0 | | | |
| Dairy products (excluding cheeses) | | | | | | | | |
| cream | | | | | | | | |
| - at retail | OFML | single | 25g | 1 | 0 | | | |
| ice-cream | | | | | | | | |
| - at retail | OFML | single | 25g | 5 | 0 | | | |
| - at retail | OFML | single | 25g | 3 | 0 | | | |
| Cheeses, made from unspecified milk or other animal milk | | | | | | | | |
| - at retail | OFML | single | 25g | 4 | 0 | | | |
| Beverages, non-alcoholic | | | | | | | | |
| - at retail | OFML | single | 25g | 275 | 0 | | | |
| Other food | | | | | | | | |

Ireland 2007 Report on trends and sources of zoonoses

| | | | | | | | | |
|--|------|--------|-----|---|---|--|--|--|
| - at retail | OFML | single | 25g | 1 | 0 | | | |
| Other processed food products and prepared dishes | | | | | | | | |
| unspecified | | | | | | | | |
| ready-to-eat foods | | | | | | | | |
| - at retail | | | | | | | | |
| Sauce and dressings | | | | | | | | |
| - at retail | | | | | | | | |

Footnote

The sampling programme for all samples was Surveillance except where source of information is "DAFF" and sampling unit is "batch". For these samples the sampling programme is Monitoring.

2.4.4. Escherichia coli, pathogenic in animals

2.5. TUBERCULOSIS, MYCOBACTERIAL DISEASES**2.5.1. General evaluation of the national situation****2.5.2. Tuberculosis, Mycobacterial Diseases in humans****2.5.3. Mycobacterium in animals****Table Tuberculosis in other animals**

| | Source of information | Sampling unit | Units tested | Total units positive for Mycobacterium spp. | M. bovis | M. tuberculosis | Mycobacterium spp., unspecified |
|----------------|-----------------------|---------------|--------------|---|----------|-----------------|---------------------------------|
| Sheep | Laboratory | animal | 50 | 12 | 12 | | |
| Goats | Diagnostic | animal | 3 | 0 | | | |
| Badgers | Diagnostic | animal | 898 | 249 | 242 | 1 | 6 |
| Deer | Laboratory | animal | 192 | 46 | 38 | 1 | 7 |
| Foxes | Diagnostic | animal | 1 | 0 | | | |
| Cats | Diagnostic | animal | 1 | 0 | | | |

Table Bovine tuberculosis in countries and regions that do not receive Community co-financing for eradication programmes

| Region | Total number of existing bovine | | Officially free herds | | Infected herds | | Routine tuberculin testing | | Number of tuberculin tests carried out before the introduction into the herds (Annex A(I)(2)(c) third indent (1) of Directive 64/ 432/EEC) | Number of animals with suspicious lesions of tuberculosis examined and submitted to histopathological and bacteriological examinations | Number of animals detected positive in bacteriological examination |
|---------|---------------------------------|---------|-----------------------|--------|-----------------|-------|---|--------------------------|--|--|--|
| | Herds | Animals | Number of herds | % | Number of herds | % | Interval between routine tuberculin tests (*) | Number of animals tested | | | |
| IRELAND | 120652 | 6084037 | 116282 | 96.378 | 5278 | 4.375 | 1 | 6009402 | 236430 | 6234 | 3343 |
| Total | 120652 | 6084037 | 116282 | 96.378 | 5278 | 4.375 | | 6009402 | 236430 | 6234 | 3343 |

Footnote

Many animals are tested as part of a whole herd test prior to movement into other herds. This number is not quantifiable. 236,430 animals were tested specifically (i.e. not whole herd test) prior to movement.

(*) Legend:

In column "Interval between routine tuberculin tests" use the following numeric codes: (0) no routine tests; (1) tests once a year; (2) tests each two years; (3) tests each three years concerning 24 month-old animals; (4) tests each 4 years; (5) others (please give details).

2.6. BRUCELLOSIS**2.6.1. General evaluation of the national situation****2.6.2. Brucellosis in humans****2.6.3. Brucella in foodstuffs****2.6.4. Brucella in animals****Table Brucellosis in other animals**

| | Source of information | Sampling unit | Units tested | Total units positive for Brucella spp. | B. melitensis | B. abortus | B. suis | Brucella spp., unspecified |
|--------------------------------|-----------------------|---------------|--------------|--|---------------|------------|---------|----------------------------|
| Pigs | Diagnostic | animal | 5 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Solipeds, domestic | | | | | | | | |
| horses | Diagnostic | animal | 8 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Cattle (bovine animals) | Diagnostic | animal | 1687 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Sheep and goats | | | | | | | | |
| (Ovine) | Diagnostic | animal | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| (Caprine) | Diagnostic | animal | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Dogs | Diagnostic | animal | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Zoo animals, all | | | | | | | | |
| (Exotic) | Diagnostic | animal | 8 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |

Table Bovine brucellosis - data on herds - Community co-financed eradication programmes

| Region | Total number of herds | Total number of herds under the programme | Number of herds checked | Number of positive herds | Number of new positive herds | Number of herds depopulated | % positive herds depopulated | Indicators | | |
|-----------|-----------------------|---|-------------------------|--------------------------|------------------------------|-----------------------------|------------------------------|-----------------|---|---------------------------------------|
| | | | | | | | | % herd coverage | % positive herds - period herd prevalence | % new positive herds - herd incidence |
| IRELAND | 120652 | 120652 | 116952 | 161 | 161 | 0 | 0 | 96.933 | 0.138 | 0.138 |
| Total | 120652 | 120652 | 116952 | 161 | 161 | 0 | 0 | 96.933 | 0.138 | 0.138 |
| Total - 1 | 122523 | 122523 | 118924 | 132 | 132 | 3 | 2.273 | 97.063 | 0.111 | 0.111 |

Footnote

*While 161 herds had a positive serological result in 2007, no herd had confirmed brucellosis infection. Based on detailed epidemiological and other investigations all serological positives were cross reactions/ false positives.

**While 132 herds had a positive serological result in 2006, only 1 herd had confirmed brucellosis infection. All others were cross reactions/ false positives.

Table Bovine brucellosis - data on animals - Community co-financed eradication programmes

| Region | Total number of animals | Number of animals to be tested under the programme | Number of animals tested | Number of animals tested individually | Number of positive animals | Slaughtering | | Indicators | |
|-----------|-------------------------|--|--------------------------|---------------------------------------|----------------------------|--|-------------------------------------|----------------------------|--|
| | | | | | | Number of animals with positive result slaughtered or culled | Total number of animals slaughtered | % coverage at animal level | % positive animals - animal prevalence |
| IRELAND | 6084037 | 3324511 | 3324511 | 3324511 | 192 | 192 | 192 | 100 | 0.006 |
| Total | 6084037 | 3324511 | 3324511 | 3324511 | 192 | 192 | 192 | 100 | 0.006 |
| Total - 1 | 6260133 | 3592894 | 3592894 | 3592894 | 212 | 212 | 388 | 100 | 0.006 |

Footnote

Note: Based on detailed epidemiology and other investigations, all 192 serologically positive animals in 2007 were false positive/ cross reactions.

Table Bovine brucellosis - data on status of herds at the end of the period - Community co-financed eradication programmes

| Region | Status of herds and animals under the programme | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
|-----------|---|---------|---------|-------|---------------------------------|-------|---------------------|-------|-----------------------------------|-------|---------|-------|-----------------|--------|---------|
| | Total number of herds and animals under the programme | | Unknown | | Not free or not officially free | | | | Free or officially free suspended | | Free | | Officially free | | |
| | | | | | Last check positive | | Last check negative | | | | | | | | |
| Herds | Animals | Herds | Animals | Herds | Animals | Herds | Animals | Herds | Animals | Herds | Animals | Herds | Animals | | |
| IRELAND | 120652 | 3324511 | 0 | 0 | 24 | 2500 | 8 | 650 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 120620 | 6080887 |
| Total | 120652 | 3324511 | 0 | 0 | 24 | 2500 | 8 | 650 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 120620 | 6080887 |
| Total - 1 | 122523 | 6260133 | 0 | 0 | 18 | 1900 | 7 | 750 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 122498 | 6257483 |

Footnote

All herds 'not free or officially not free' at year end were as a result of false positive reactions/ cross reactions

Ovine or Caprine Brucellosis in countries and regions that do not receive Community co-financing for eradication programme

| Region | Total number of existing ovine / caprine | | Officially free herds | | Infected herds | | Surveillance | | | Investigations of suspect cases | | | | |
|---------|--|---------|-----------------------|-----|-----------------|---|------------------------|--------------------------|--------------------------|---|--|---|---|-----------------------------|
| | Herds | Animals | Number of herds | % | Number of herds | % | Number of herds tested | Number of animals tested | Number of infected herds | Number of animals tested with serological blood tests | Number of animals positive serologically | Number of animals examined microbio logically | Number of animals positive microbio logically | Number of unpenfolded herds |
| IRELAND | 35000 | 3600000 | 35000 | 100 | 0 | 0 | 1300 | 25000 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Total | 35000 | 3600000 | 35000 | 100 | 0 | 0 | 1300 | 25000 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |

Footnote

Ireland is recognised as Officially Free of B. Melitensis (Commission Decision 93/ 52), and carries out an annual survey to confirm this freedom

2.7. YERSINIOSIS**2.7.1. General evaluation of the national situation****2.7.2. Yersiniosis in humans****2.7.3. Yersinia in foodstuffs****2.7.4. Yersinia in animals****Table Yersinia in animals**

| | Source of information | Sampling unit | Units tested | Total units positive for Yersinia spp. | Y. enterocolitica | Yersinia spp., unspecified | Y. pseudotuberculosis | Y. enterocolitica - O:9 | Y. enterocolitica - O:3 | Y. enterocolitica - unspecified |
|--------------------------------|-----------------------|---------------|--------------|--|-------------------|----------------------------|-----------------------|-------------------------|-------------------------|---------------------------------|
| Cattle (bovine animals) | Diagnostic | animal | 7723 | 0 | 0 | 0 | | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Sheep | Diagnostic | animal | 1133 | 0 | 0 | 0 | | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Goats | Diagnostic | animal | 49 | 1 | 0 | 1 | | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Pigs | Diagnostic | animal | 418 | 0 | 0 | 0 | | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Solipeds, domestic | Diagnostic | animal | 1304 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Poultry, unspecified | Diagnostic | animal | 160 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Dogs | Diagnostic | animal | 579 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Cats | | animal | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |

2.8. TRICHINELLOSIS**2.8.1. General evaluation of the national situation****2.8.2. Trichinellosis in humans****2.8.3. Trichinella in animals****Table Trichinella in animals**

| | Source of information | Sampling unit | Units tested | Total units positive for Trichinella spp. | T. spiralis | Trichinella spp., unspecified |
|--|-----------------------|---------------|--------------|---|-------------|-------------------------------|
| Pigs | CMCL | animal | 3375 | 0 | | |
| breeding animals unspecified sows and boars | | | | | | |
| | CMCL | animal | 800 | 0 | | |
| - at slaughterhouse | Industry | animal | 2522308 | 0 | | |
| Solipeds, domestic | | | | | | |
| horses | CMCL | animal | 61 | 0 | | |
| - at slaughterhouse | Industry | animal | 1400 | 0 | | |

Footnote

Industry - All pigs and horses slaughtered in Ireland are privately tested for trichinella at CMCL approved laboratories.
 CMCL - In addition the Central Meat Control Laboratory (CMCL), the national reference laboratory, annually tests a number of official samples.

2.9. ECHINOCOCCOSIS

2.9.1. General evaluation of the national situation

2.9.2. Echinococcosis in humans

2.9.3. Echinococcus in animals

2.10. TOXOPLASMOSIS**2.10.1. General evaluation of the national situation****2.10.2. Toxoplasmosis in humans****2.10.3. Toxoplasma in animals****Table Toxoplasma in animals**

| | Source of information | Sampling unit | Units tested | Total units positive for Toxoplasma | T. gondii |
|--------------------------------|-----------------------|---------------|--------------|-------------------------------------|-----------|
| Cattle (bovine animals) | Diagnostic | animal | 19 | 3 | 3 |
| Sheep | Diagnostic | animal | 440 | 72 | 72 |
| Goats | Diagnostic | animal | 4 | 0 | 0 |
| Pigs | | animal | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Solipeds, domestic | Diagnostic | animal | 1 | 0 | 0 |
| Dogs | Diagnostic | animal | 3 | 0 | 0 |
| Cats | Diagnostic | animal | 2 | 0 | 0 |
| All animals | | | | | |
| zoo animals | Diagnostic | animal | 9 | 3 | 3 |

Footnote

Latex Agglutination Test (positive titre $\geq 1/1024$)

2.11. RABIES**2.11.1. General evaluation of the national situation****2.11.2. Lyssavirus (rabies) in animals****Table Rabies in animals**

| | Source of information | Sampling unit | Units tested | Total units positive for Lyssavirus (rabies) | Unspecified Lyssavirus | European Bat Lyssavirus - unspecified | Classical rabies virus (genotype 1) |
|--------------------------------|-----------------------|---------------|--------------|--|------------------------|---------------------------------------|-------------------------------------|
| Cattle (bovine animals) | | animal | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Sheep | | animal | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Goats | | animal | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Pigs | | animal | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Solipeds, domestic | | animal | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Dogs | | animal | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| stray dogs | | animal | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Cats | | animal | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| stray cats | | animal | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Bats | | | | | | | |
| wild | | animal | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Foxes | | | | | | | |
| wild | | animal | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Raccoon dogs | | | | | | | |
| wild | | animal | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Raccoons | | | | | | | |
| wild | | animal | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Wolves | | | | | | | |
| wild | | animal | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Badgers | | | | | | | |
| wild | | animal | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Marten | | | | | | | |
| wild | | animal | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Wild boars | | | | | | | |

Ireland 2007 Report on trends and sources of zoonoses

| | | | | | | |
|-------------|--------|---|---|---|---|---|
| wild | animal | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Deer | animal | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| wild | | | | | | |
| roe deer | animal | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| red deer | animal | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| fallow deer | animal | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |

2.12. Q-FEVER

2.12.1. General evaluation of the national situation

2.12.2. Coxiella (Q-fever) in animals

3. INFORMATION ON SPECIFIC INDICATORS OF ANTIMICROBIAL RESISTANCE

3.1. ENTEROCOCCUS, NON-PATHOGENIC

3.1.1. General evaluation of the national situation

3.1.2. Antimicrobial resistance in Enterococcus, non-pathogenic isolates

Table Antimicrobial susceptibility testing in *E. faecium*

| n = Number of resistant isolates | | | | |
|--|-------------------------|---|------|---|
| <i>E. faecium</i> | | | | |
| | Cattle (bovine animals) | | Dogs | |
| Isolates out of a monitoring programme | no | | no | |
| Number of isolates available in the laboratory | 16 | | 2 | |
| | | | | |
| Antimicrobials: | N | n | N | n |
| Aminoglycosides | | | | |
| Apramycin | 3 | 1 | 0 | 0 |
| Framycetin | 13 | 5 | 0 | 0 |
| Neomycin | 13 | 9 | 0 | 0 |
| Streptomycin | 3 | 3 | 2 | 1 |
| Amphenicols | | | | |
| Chloramphenicol | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Florfenicol | 6 | 0 | 2 | 0 |
| Cephalosporins | | | | |
| Cefalexin | 12 | 8 | 2 | 1 |
| Cefotaxim | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Fluoroquinolones | | | | |
| Ciprofloxacin | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Enrofloxacin | 5 | 3 | 2 | 1 |
| Fully sensitive | 16 | 1 | 2 | 1 |
| Penicillins | | | | |
| Amoxicillin / Clavulanic acid | 16 | 1 | 2 | 0 |
| Penicillin | 12 | 6 | 2 | 1 |
| Quinolones | | | | |
| Nalidixic acid | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Resistant to 1 antimicrobial | 16 | 2 | 2 | 0 |
| Resistant to 2 antimicrobials | 16 | 2 | 2 | 0 |
| Resistant to 3 antimicrobials | 16 | 2 | 2 | 0 |
| Resistant to 4 antimicrobials | 16 | 4 | 2 | 0 |
| Resistant to >4 antimicrobials | 16 | 5 | 2 | 1 |
| Tetracyclines | | | | |
| Tetracyclin | 15 | 9 | 2 | 0 |
| Trimethoprim + sulfonamides | | | | |
| Trimethoprim + Sulfamethoxazol | 6 | 5 | 2 | 1 |

Table Antimicrobial susceptibility testing in *E. faecalis*

| n = Number of resistant isolates | | | | |
|--|-------------------------|---|------|---|
| <i>E. faecalis</i> | | | | |
| | Cattle (bovine animals) | | Dogs | |
| Isolates out of a monitoring programme | no | | no | |
| Number of isolates available in the laboratory | 75 | | 10 | |
| | | | | |
| Antimicrobials: | N | n | N | n |
| Aminoglycosides | | | | |
| Apramycin | 3 | | 3 | 3 |
| Framycetin | 73 | | 3 | 1 |
| Neomycin | 72 | | 3 | 3 |
| Streptomycin | 2 | | 7 | 7 |
| Amphenicols | | | | |
| Chloramphenicol | 0 | | 0 | 0 |
| Florfenicol | 5 | | 10 | 1 |
| Cephalosporins | | | | |
| Fluoroquinolones | | | | |
| Ciprofloxacin | 0 | | 0 | 0 |
| Enrofloxacin | 5 | | 10 | 4 |
| Fully sensitive | 75 | | 10 | 0 |
| Penicillins | | | | |
| Amoxicillin / Clavulanic acid | 75 | | 10 | 0 |
| Penicillin | 72 | | 7 | 1 |
| Quinolones | | | | |
| Nalidixic acid | 0 | | 0 | 0 |
| Resistant to 1 antimicrobial | 75 | | 10 | 0 |
| Resistant to 2 antimicrobials | 75 | | 10 | 0 |
| Resistant to 3 antimicrobials | 75 | | 10 | 3 |
| Resistant to 4 antimicrobials | 75 | | 10 | 5 |
| Resistant to >4 antimicrobials | 75 | | 10 | 2 |
| Tetracyclines | | | | |
| Tetracyclin | 75 | | 10 | 5 |
| Trimethoprim + sulfonamides | | | | |
| Trimethoprim + Sulfamethoxazol | 5 | | 10 | 8 |

Table Breakpoints for antibiotic resistance of *Enterococcus*, non-pathogenic in Animals

Test Method Used _____

Standards used for testing _____

| Enterococcus, non-pathogenic | Standard for breakpoint | Breakpoint concentration (microg/ ml) | | | Range tested concentration (microg/ ml) | | Disk content microg | Breakpoint Zone diameter (mm) | | |
|------------------------------------|----------------------------|---------------------------------------|--------------|----------------|--|---------|------------------------|-------------------------------|--------------|-----------------|
| | | Susceptible <= | Intermediate | Resistant > | lowest | highest | | Susceptible >= | Intermediate | Resistant <= |
| Tetracyclines | | | | | | | 10 | 19 | | 14 |
| Amphenicols | | | | | | | | | | |
| Florfenicol | | | | | | | 30 | 19 | | 14 |
| Fluoroquinolones | | | | | | | | | | |
| Enrofloxacin | | | | | | | 5 | 23 | | 16 |
| Aminoglycosides | | | | | | | | | | |
| Neomycin | | | | | | | 10 | 17 | | 12 |
| Apramycin | | | | | | | 15 | 21 | | 14 |
| Framycetin | | | | | | | 100 | 17 | | 12 |
| Penicillins | | | | | | | | | | |
| Amoxicillin / Clavulanic acid | | | | | | | 30 | 18 | | 13 |
| Trimethoprim + sulfonamides | | | | | | | | | | |
| Trimethoprim + Sulfamethoxazol | | | | | | | 25 | 16 | | 10 |

3.2. *ESCHERICHIA COLI, NON-PATHOGENIC*

3.2.1. General evaluation of the national situation

3.2.2. Antimicrobial resistance in *Escherichia coli*, non-pathogenic isolates

Table Antimicrobial susceptibility testing of E. coli in animals

| n = Number of resistant isolates | | | | | | | | | | | | |
|--|-------|----|-------------------------|------|------|----|----------------------|----|------|----|---------|---|
| E. coli | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | Sheep | | Cattle (bovine animals) | | Pigs | | Gallus gallus (fowl) | | Dogs | | Turkeys | |
| Isolates out of a monitoring programme | no | | no | | no | | no | | no | | | |
| Number of isolates available in the laboratory | 131 | | 3178 | | 71 | | 39 | | 116 | | | |
| Antimicrobials: | N | n | N | n | N | n | N | n | N | n | N | n |
| Aminoglycosides | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Apramycin | 101 | 16 | 2425 | | 51 | 32 | 26 | 2 | 86 | 11 | | |
| Framycetin | 111 | 6 | 3016 | 364 | 55 | 3 | 22 | 1 | 88 | 1 | | |
| Gentamicin | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | | |
| Neomycin | 102 | 39 | 2986 | 1933 | 52 | 27 | 22 | 16 | 86 | 34 | | |
| Streptomycin | 16 | 9 | 86 | 69 | 13 | 9 | 12 | 4 | 22 | 8 | | |
| Amphenicols | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Chloramphenicol | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | | |
| Florfenicol | 130 | 22 | 2705 | 1069 | 71 | 17 | 35 | 4 | 116 | 21 | | |
| Cephalosporins | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Cefalexin | 18 | 9 | 534 | 221 | 14 | 5 | 12 | 4 | 24 | 9 | | |
| Cefotaxim | 0 | 0 | 0 | | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | | |
| Fluoroquinolones | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Ciprofloxacin | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | | |
| Enrofloxacin | 131 | 13 | 2712 | 816 | 71 | 17 | 39 | 7 | 116 | 20 | | |
| Fully sensitive | 131 | 33 | 3178 | 313 | 71 | 1 | 39 | 2 | 116 | 34 | | |
| Penicillins | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Amoxicillin / Clavulanic acid | 128 | 22 | 3165 | | 70 | 21 | 24 | 11 | 113 | 24 | | |
| Penicillin | 20 | 20 | 568 | | 14 | 13 | 12 | 12 | 25 | 23 | | |
| Quinolones | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Nalidixic acid | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | | |
| Resistant to 1 antimicrobial | 131 | 33 | 3178 | 431 | 71 | 4 | 39 | 6 | 116 | 17 | | |
| Resistant to 2 antimicrobials | 131 | 22 | 3178 | 456 | 71 | 13 | 39 | 8 | 116 | 18 | | |
| Resistant to 3 antimicrobials | 131 | 15 | 3178 | 469 | 71 | 14 | 39 | 11 | 116 | 19 | | |
| Resistant to 4 antimicrobials | 131 | 8 | 3178 | 445 | 71 | 15 | 39 | 6 | 116 | 8 | | |
| Resistant to >4 antimicrobials | 131 | 20 | 3178 | 1064 | 71 | 24 | 39 | 6 | 116 | 20 | | |
| Sulfonamides | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Sulfonamide | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | | |
| Tetracyclines | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Tetracyclin | 131 | 81 | 3178 | 2369 | 70 | 62 | 39 | 30 | 116 | 60 | | |
| Trimethoprim | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | | |
| Trimethoprim + sulfonamides | | | | | | | | | | | | |

4. INFORMATION ON SPECIFIC MICROBIOLOGICAL AGENTS

4.1. HISTAMINE**4.1.1. General evaluation of the national situation****4.1.2. Histamine in foodstuffs****Table Histamine in food**

| | Source of information | Sampling unit | Sample weight | Units tested | Total units in non- conformity | <= 100 mg/ kg | >100 - <= 200 mg/ kg | >200 - <= 400 mg/ kg | > 400 mg/ kg |
|--------------------------------------|-----------------------|---------------|---------------|--------------|--------------------------------|---------------|----------------------|----------------------|--------------|
| Fishery products, unspecified | | | | | | | | | |
| - at processing plant | SFPA | single | 25g | 10 | 0 | 10 | | | |

Footnote

The sampling programme for all samples was Surveillance except where source of information is "DAFF" and sampling unit is "batch". For these samples the sampling programme is Monitoring.

4.2. ENTEROBACTER SAKAZAKII**4.2.1. General evaluation of the national situation****4.2.2. Enterobacter sakazakii in foodstuffs****Table Enterobacter sakazakii in food**

| | Source of information | Sampling unit | Sample weight | Units tested | Total units positive for Enterobacter sakazakii | E. sakazakii |
|---|-----------------------|---------------|---------------|--------------|---|--------------|
| Infant formula | | | | | | |
| dried intended for infants below 6 months | | | | | | |
| - at processing plant | DSL | batch | various* | 4 | 0 | |
| - at retail | OFML | single | 25g | 30 | 0 | |

Footnote

* DSL batches comprised of 1-30 samples - each sample of weight 25g. The sampling programme for all samples was Surveillance except where source of information is "DAFF" and sampling unit is "batch". For these samples the sampling programme is Monitoring.

4.3. STAPHYLOCOCCAL ENTEROTOXINS**4.3.1. General evaluation of the national situation****4.3.2. Staphylococcal enterotoxins in foodstuffs****Table Staphylococcal enterotoxins in food**

| | Source of information | Sampling unit | Sample weight | Units tested | Total units positive for Staphylococcal enterotoxins |
|---|-----------------------|---------------|---------------|--------------|--|
| Egg products | | | | | |
| - at retail | OFML | single | 25g | 1 | 0 |
| Cheeses, made from unspecified milk or other animal milk | | | | | |
| unspecified | | | | | |
| made from raw or low heat-treated milk | | | | | |
| - at processing plant | DSL | batch | Various* | 20 | 0 |

Footnote

* DSL batches comprised of 5 or 10 samples - each sample of weight 25g. The sampling programme for all samples was Surveillance except where source of information is "DAFF" and sampling unit is "batch". For these samples the sampling programme is Monitoring.

5. FOODBORNE OUTBREAKS

Foodborne outbreaks are incidences of two or more human cases of the same disease or infection where the cases are linked or are probably linked to the same food source. Situation, in which the observed human cases exceed the expected number of cases and where a same food source is suspected, is also indicative of a foodborne outbreak.

Ireland 2007 Report on trends and sources of zoonoses

Foodborne Outbreaks: summarized data

| | Total number of outbreaks | Number of possible outbreaks | Number of verified outbreaks |
|------------------------------|---------------------------|------------------------------|------------------------------|
| Bacillus | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Campylobacter | 2 | 2 | 0 |
| Clostridium | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Escherichia coli, pathogenic | 3 | 2 | 1 |
| Foodborne viruses | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Listeria | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Other agents | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Parasites | 4 | 2 | 2 |
| Salmonella | 5 | 4 | 1 |
| Staphylococcus | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Unknown | 6 | 5 | 1 |
| Yersinia | 0 | 0 | 0 |

Verified Foodborne Outbreaks: detailed data

VTEC O157

Value

| | |
|----------------------------|--|
| Code | MWHB-2-5-2007 |
| Subagent Choice | |
| Outbreak type | General |
| Human cases | 6 |
| Hospitalized | 2 |
| Deaths | 0 |
| Foodstuff implicated | Tap water, including well water |
| More Foodstuff | well water |
| Type of evidence | Laboratory detection in implicated food, Laboratory detection in human cases |
| Setting | Household |
| Place of origin of problem | Water source |
| Origin of foodstuff | Domestic |
| Contributory factors | |
| Outbreaks | 1 |
| Comment | |

Cryptosporidium

Value

| | |
|----------------------------|---|
| Code | 1/3/07 |
| Subagent Choice | |
| Outbreak type | General |
| Human cases | 186 |
| Hospitalized | unknown |
| Deaths | unknown |
| Foodstuff implicated | Tap water, including well water |
| More Foodstuff | |
| Type of evidence | Laboratory detection in implicated food, Laboratory detection in human cases |
| Setting | Household |
| Place of origin of problem | Water treatment plant |
| Origin of foodstuff | Domestic |
| Contributory factors | |
| Outbreaks | 1 |
| Comment | http://www.eurosurveillance.org/ViewArticle.aspx?ArticleId=3187 |

T. spiralis

Value

| | |
|----------------------------|---|
| Code | E2007JUN04 |
| Subagent Choice | |
| Outbreak type | Household |
| Human cases | 2 |
| Hospitalized | 1 |
| Deaths | 0 |
| Foodstuff implicated | Pig meat and products thereof |
| More Foodstuff | |
| Type of evidence | Laboratory detection in human cases |
| Setting | Household |
| Place of origin of problem | Travel abroad |
| Origin of foodstuff | Not relevant |
| Contributory factors | |
| Outbreaks | 1 |
| Comment | part of outbreak in Poland -Irish cases had travelled to Poland. http://www.eurosurveillance.org/ViewArticle.aspx?ArticleId=3238 |

Ireland 2007 Report on trends and sources of zoonoses

4

Value

| | |
|----------------------------|--|
| Code | SHB-KY-2007-07-01 |
| Subagent Choice | Salmonella; S. Enteritidis; 4 |
| Outbreak type | General |
| Human cases | 52 |
| Hospitalized | 16 |
| Deaths | 0 |
| Foodstuff implicated | Bakery products |
| More Foodstuff | |
| Type of evidence | Laboratory detection in human cases, Analytical epidemiological evidence |
| Setting | Household |
| Place of origin of problem | Processing plant |
| Origin of foodstuff | Domestic |
| Contributory factors | |
| Outbreaks | 1 |
| Comment | |

Ireland 2007 Report on trends and sources of zoonoses

Unknown

Value

| | |
|----------------------------|-------------------------------------|
| Code | SHB-2007-03-02 |
| Subagent Choice | |
| Outbreak type | General |
| Human cases | 13 |
| Hospitalized | 0 |
| Deaths | 0 |
| Foodstuff implicated | Bakery products |
| More Foodstuff | |
| Type of evidence | Analytical epidemiological evidence |
| Setting | Household |
| Place of origin of problem | Unknown |
| Origin of foodstuff | Domestic |
| Contributory factors | Unknown |
| Outbreaks | 1 |
| Comment | |