

SCIENTIFIC REPORT OF EFSA

RESULTS ON ACRYLAMIDE LEVELS IN FOOD FROM MONITORING YEARS 2007-2009 AND EXPOSURE ASSESSMENT¹

European Food Safety Authority^{2, 3}

European Food Safety Authority (EFSA), Parma, Italy

ABSTRACT

The current report describes the results from the European acrylamide monitoring in the period from 2007 to 2009. Twenty three Member States and Norway submitted a total of 10366 acrylamide results for the three-year period. In 2009, mean acrylamide levels ranged from 37 µg/kg for ‘soft bread’ to 1504 µg/kg for ‘substitute coffee’, while the highest 95th percentile and maximum levels were reported for ‘substitute coffee’ at 3976 and ‘potato crisps’ at 4804 µg/kg, respectively. A mixed effect model was used to evaluate time trend changes in acrylamide levels in defined food groups. To detect clear statistical trends the number of years covered should be extended. However, based on the three years of information available it could be identified that acrylamide decreased in ‘crackers’, ‘infant biscuits’ and ‘gingerbread’ over the three years, increased in ‘crisp bread’ and ‘instant coffee’, while showing no statistically significant change in six food groups. No European trend could be identified in eight food groups, while there was insufficient information available for ‘wafers’, ‘coffee not specified’ and ‘muesli and porridge’ for the model fit. Mean acrylamide exposure in Europe was estimated to range between 0.31 and 1.1 µg/kg b.w. per day for adults (>18 years), between 0.43 and 1.4 µg/kg b.w. per day for adolescents (11-17 years), between 0.70 and 2.05 µg/kg b.w. per day for children (3-10 years) and between 1.2 and 2.4 µg/kg b.w. per day for toddlers (1-3 years). Major contributors to exposure for adults were ‘fried potatoes’ (including ‘French fries’), ‘coffee’, and ‘soft bread’ whereas for adolescents and children they were ‘fried potatoes’, ‘soft bread’ and ‘potato crisps’ or ‘biscuits’.

KEY WORDS

Acrylamide, food, monitoring, trend, French fries, potato crisps, exposure assessment, coffee, mitigation measures.

¹On request from the European Commission. Question No EFSA-Q-2010-01458, issued on 22 March 2011

²Correspondence: datex@efsa.europa.eu

³ Acknowledgement: EFSA wishes to thank all the Member States and Norway for providing acrylamide occurrence data in food and EFSA’s staff members Caroline Merten, José Cortiñas Abrahantes, Stefano Cappé and Davide Arcella for preparing this EFSA scientific output. Special thanks to Stefan Fabiansson, Liisa Valsta, Muriel Pesci, Thomas Wenzl, Daniel Doerge, Peter Fürst and Jean-Charles Leblanc for reviewing the final report and providing valuable comments.

Suggested citation: European Food Safety Authority; Results on acrylamide levels in food from monitoring years 2007-2009. EFSA Journal 2011;9(4):2133. [48 pp.] doi:10.2903/j.efsa.2011.2133. Available online: www.efsa.europa.eu/efsajournal

Summary

Commission Recommendation 2007/331/EC⁴ of 3 May 2007 on the monitoring of acrylamide levels in food requires Member States to perform annually in 2007, 2008 and 2009 the monitoring of acrylamide levels in certain foodstuffs. The current report describes the results from this monitoring exercise and presents exposure estimates for the different target populations.

Member States were invited to analyse annually approximately 2000 food samples in the following main food categories: 'French fries', 'potato crisps', 'potato products for home cooking', 'bread', 'breakfast cereals', 'biscuits', 'roasted coffee', 'jarred baby foods', 'processed cereal-based baby foods' and 'other products'.

Twenty Member States and Norway submitted 3287 new results in 2009 for acrylamide levels in foodstuffs, with a minimum of 99 reported for 'processed cereal-based baby foods' and a maximum of 677 reported for 'other products'. The upper bound mean of acrylamide levels ranged from 37 µg/kg for 'bread soft' to 1504 µg/kg for 'substitute coffee'. The highest 95th percentile value was reported for 'substitute coffee' at 3976 and the highest maximum value for 'potato crisps' at 4804 µg/kg.

The 2009 results were compared with the 3728 results for 2008 and 3350 results for 2007. A mixed effect model was used to evaluate statistically significant changes. To detect clear statistical trends the number of years covered should be extended. However, based on the three years of information available it could be identified that acrylamide levels decreased in 'crackers', 'infant biscuits' and 'gingerbread' over the three years, increased in 'crisp bread' and 'instant coffee', whilst showing no statistically significant changes in 'potato crisps', 'oven fried potatoes', 'bread not specified', 'breakfast cereals', 'jarred baby foods' and 'processed cereal-based baby foods. No European trend could be identified in eight food groups, whilst there was insufficient information available for 'wafers', 'coffee not specified' and 'muesli and porridge'.

Exposure to acrylamide was estimated for different populations by combining pooled acrylamide occurrence values obtained through the monitoring program in 2007 to 2009 with individual dietary information derived from the EFSA Comprehensive European Food Consumption Database.

Exposure estimates were similar to previously reported estimates for European countries. The mean acrylamide intake for adults (>18 years) in Europe was estimated to range between 0.31 and 1.1 µg/kg b.w. per day and the 95th percentile between 0.58 and 2.3 µg/kg b.w. per day. 'Fried potatoes' (including 'French fries'), 'soft bread' and 'roasted coffee' were identified as the major contributors to overall adult acrylamide exposure. Mean acrylamide intake for adolescents (11-17 years) in Europe was estimated to range between 0.43 and 1.4 µg/kg b.w. per day and the 95th percentile between 0.94 and 3.1 µg/kg b.w. per day. 'Fried potatoes', 'soft bread' and 'biscuits' or 'potato crisps' depending on the survey were identified as the major contributors to overall adolescence acrylamide exposure. For children (3-10 years) mean intake was estimated to range between 0.70 and 2.05 µg/kg b.w. per day and 95th percentile between 1.5 and 4.2 µg/kg b.w. per day and for toddlers (1-3 years) between 1.2 and 2.4 µg/kg b.w. per day and 95th percentile between 2.4 and 6.5 µg/kg b.w. per day, respectively. In the children and toddler population the three major contributors to acrylamide exposure were 'fried potatoes', 'soft bread' or 'unspecified bread' and 'biscuits'.

The food industry developed the so-called 'toolbox' approach as a voluntary measure to provide guidance to producers and processors in identifying ways to lower acrylamide in their products. As in previous annual acrylamide reports (EFSA 2009 and 2010) it can be concluded likewise that the application of the acrylamide toolbox has had only limited success. To lower overall exposure it would be desirable to further reduce acrylamide levels in food groups contributing the most to

⁴ Commission Recommendation 2007/331/EC of 3 May 2007 on the monitoring of acrylamide levels in food. OJ L 123/33, 12.5.2007, p. 1-8.

acrylamide exposure, like ‘fried potatoes’ (including ‘French fries’), ‘soft bread’, ‘roasted coffee’, ‘biscuits’.

To facilitate interpretation of results in future years and to detect clear trends the number of years covered needs to be extended, sampling should consistently cover the same products in different years and contain sufficient number of samples per food group in order to be able to distinguish random fluctuations from real observable trends.

Table of contents

| | |
|---|----|
| Background as provided by the European Commission..... | 5 |
| Terms of Reference as provided by the European Commission | 5 |
| Report..... | 6 |
| 1. Introduction..... | 6 |
| 2. Materials and Methods..... | 7 |
| 2.1. Sampling procedure..... | 7 |
| 2.1.1. Sampling points and analytical procedures..... | 7 |
| 2.1.2. Products, sample numbers and frequencies..... | 7 |
| 2.2. Data handling..... | 7 |
| 2.2.1. Descriptive statistics..... | 7 |
| 2.2.2. Trend analysis | 8 |
| 2.2.3. Seasonal effect analysis..... | 10 |
| 2.2.4. Dietary exposure assessment..... | 10 |
| 3. Results..... | 11 |
| 3.1. Data reported in 2007, 2008 and 2009 | 11 |
| 3.2. Reported LOD and LOQ | 15 |
| 3.3. Statistical descriptors of the reported acrylamide content..... | 16 |
| 3.4. Trend analysis in acrylamide levels of foods sampled from 2007 to 2009 | 19 |
| 3.4.1. Food Group 1 (French fries sold as ready to eat)..... | 20 |
| 3.4.2. Food Group 2 (Potato crisps) | 20 |
| 3.4.3. Food Group 3 (Pre-cooked French fries, potato products for home cooking) | 20 |
| 3.4.4. Food Group 4 (Bread) | 20 |
| 3.4.5. Food Group 5 (Breakfast cereals (excluding muesli and porridge)..... | 21 |
| 3.4.6. Food Group 6 (Biscuits)..... | 21 |
| 3.4.7. Food Group 7 (Coffee dry)..... | 21 |
| 3.4.8. Food Group 8 (Jarred baby foods) | 22 |
| 3.4.9. Food Group 9 (Processed cereal-based baby foods) | 22 |
| 3.4.10. Food Group 10 (Others) | 22 |
| 3.5. Seasonal effect analysis on acrylamide formation in potato products..... | 24 |
| 3.6. Acrylamide dietary exposure..... | 25 |
| 4. Discussion..... | 34 |
| 4.1. Uncertainty in trend analysis | 34 |
| 4.2. Potato products | 34 |
| 4.3. Cereals and cereal products | 36 |
| 4.4. Coffee | 37 |
| 4.5. Dietary exposure..... | 37 |
| 5. Conclusions..... | 38 |
| 6. References..... | 39 |
| 7. Annex..... | 42 |

BACKGROUND AS PROVIDED BY THE EUROPEAN COMMISSION

The European Commission Recommendation 2007/331/EC on the monitoring of acrylamide levels in food of 3 May 2007 requires the Member States to perform annually in 2007, 2008 and 2009 the monitoring of acrylamide levels in certain foodstuffs. These data have to be transmitted directly to EFSA by 1 June each year.

TERMS OF REFERENCE AS PROVIDED BY THE EUROPEAN COMMISSION

In order to give the Commission an overview of the data collected during the years 2007, 2008 and 2009, EFSA is asked to compile the 2009 data in an updated occurrence report and compare them with the existing database for the years 2007 and 2008 compiled previously by EFSA. This would allow the Commission to identify whether or not the voluntary measures taken by the food industry have shown desirable effects.

REPORT

1. INTRODUCTION

In 2005, the European Food Safety Authority (EFSA) adopted a statement to endorse the risk assessment on acrylamide in food carried out by the Joint FAO/WHO Expert Committee on Food Additives (JECFA) in February 2005 (EFSA, 2005; FAO/WHO, 2005). JECFA concluded that the margin of acrylamide exposures for average and high consumers were low for a compound that is genotoxic and carcinogenic and that this may indicate a human health concern. These conclusions are confirmed in the latest risk assessment on acrylamide carried out by JECFA in February 2010 (FAO/WHO, 2010). Therefore, it was concluded that efforts to reduce acrylamide content in foodstuffs should be continued.

The food industry has investigated pathways of acrylamide formation. As a result, voluntary measures were developed, such as the so-called 'toolbox' approach, which provides guidance to help producers and processors to identify ways to lower acrylamide in their respective products (CIAA, 2006). This toolbox was updated in 2009 (CIAA, 2009). The toolbox proposes intervention steps to reduce acrylamide in the categories biscuits, crackers and crisp breads, bread product, breakfast cereals and fried potato products, such as potato crisps and French fries.

The collection of data on acrylamide levels in food over at least a three-year time span across the European Community has been advocated in order to have a clear picture of the levels of acrylamide in those foodstuffs that are known to contain high acrylamide levels and/or contribute significantly to the dietary intake of the whole population. Special attention should be given to products for specific vulnerable groups, such as infants and young children.

The European Commission Joint Research Centre's Institute for Reference Materials and Measurements (JRC-IRMM) established a database on acrylamide levels in food between 2003 and 2006 (Wenzl and Anklam, 2007).

In 2007, the European Commission issued a recommendation that Member States should undertake annual monitoring of acrylamide levels in foodstuffs for the years 2007, 2008 and 2009 in accordance with an agreed sampling procedure⁵. Member States should provide by 1 June each year the monitoring data of the previous year to EFSA, who will compile these data into a database.

A first report compared the results of the monitoring of acrylamide levels in 2007 to the previous results collected in 2003-2006 by JRC-IRMM (EFSA, 2009), while an updated report compared the monitoring results of 2008 to the ones of 2007 (EFSA, 2010). In the current report the results of the monitoring of acrylamide levels in 2009 are presented in detail and compared to the updated results covering the years 2007 and 2008. In addition, acrylamide exposure assessments based on the results from 2007 to 2009 are shown.

In 2010, the European Commission issued an updated recommendation that Member States should continue the annual monitoring of acrylamide levels in foodstuffs from year 2010 onwards⁶.

⁵ Commission Recommendation 2007/331/EC of 3 May 2007 on the monitoring of acrylamide levels in food. OJ L 123/33, 12.5.2007, p. 1-8.

⁶ European Commission Recommendation 2010/307/EC of 2 June 2010 on the monitoring of acrylamide levels in food. OJ L 137/4, 3.6.2010, p. 1-7.

2. MATERIALS AND METHODS

2.1. Sampling procedure

2.1.1. Sampling points and analytical procedures

The prescribed sampling procedure requires the sampling of products to be taken at market level (e.g. at supermarkets, smaller shops, bakeries, French fries, outlets and restaurants), or at the production sites. The analysis should be carried out before the expiry date of the sample.

Furthermore it is requested to use analytical methods that can achieve a limit of quantification (LOQ) of 30 µg/kg for bread and baby foods and 50 µg/kg for potato products, other cereal products, coffee and other products to ensure comparability in the analytical accuracy of results.

2.1.2. Products, sample numbers and frequencies

All 27 European Union Member States were invited to take samples according to a distribution based on population size with a minimum number of four per food group and Member State. In Table 1 the requested number of samples by food group is reported.

Table 1: Total number of samples to be taken in ten specified food groups.

| Food group | Requested number of samples |
|--|-----------------------------|
| French fries sold as ready to eat | 202 |
| Potato crisps | 202 |
| Pre-cooked French fries/potato products for home cooking | 202 |
| Bread | 202 |
| Breakfast cereals | 202 |
| Biscuits including infant biscuits | 202 |
| Roasted coffee | 202 |
| Jarred baby foods | 202 |
| Processed cereal-based baby foods | 202 |
| Other products | 224 |

For ‘French fries’ it was recommended sampling twice during the year (in March and November) at small outlets, fast food chains and restaurants. For ‘potato crisps’ and ‘pre-cooked French fries or potato products for home cooking’ it was also recommended sampling twice a year. Analysis of each sample from the food group ‘pre-cooked French fries or potato products for home cooking’ should be carried out on the product after preparation (e.g. frying, baking). The choice of the bread samples should reflect the eating habits of each country and include also crisp bread. In the category ‘breakfast cereals’, muesli and porridge were excluded. Biscuits also included infant biscuits. Jarred baby foods should contain potato, root vegetables or cereals. The category ‘other products’ includes potato products, cereal products, coffee products, cocoa products and infant food other than those products specified in one of the other categories. This category would contain products like gingerbread, coffee substitutes and snacks.

2.2. Data handling

2.2.1. Descriptive statistics

For this updated report covering the 2009 sampling Member States transmitted data for 2009 as well as some new data for 2007 and 2008. Consequently in this report, the descriptive statistics for 2007 and 2008 data have been slightly amended from the previous reports to reflect the new submissions. In

total, 119 results submitted in 2009 were excluded from the database because either information on the sampling year was missing or the result could not be classified into any acrylamide food groups.

After the meeting of the Commission Expert Group on Industrial and Environmental Contaminants held in October 2009, the Commission requested five out of the ten food groups ('potato products for home cooking', 'bread', 'biscuits including infant biscuits', 'roasted coffee' and 'other products') to be subdivided into more refined subgroups (as presented in Table 7) in order to compare more specific groups in a trend analysis.

Not all the countries reported information on food preparation, on type of biscuits, type of bread, sampling information of potato products, type of cereals or degree of roasting.

As recommended in the EFSA scientific report "Management of left-censored data in dietary exposure assessment of chemical substances (EFSA, 2010b) and in the WHO report "Principles and methods for the risk assessment of chemicals in food" (WHO, 2009) the non quantified data were treated by the substitution method. Two scenarios were assumed for handling data below the limit of detection (LOD) or quantification (LOQ). First, according to a lower bound scenario, values below LOD and values between the LOD and the LOQ were set to zero. Secondly, according to an upper bound scenario, values below LOD and values between LOD and LOQ were set to the LOD or the LOQ value, respectively. Both lower bound and upper bound scenarios were used to report descriptive statistics for the characteristics of the data distribution.

Results that did not meet the specifications for LOD and LOQ given in the Commission Recommendation 2007/331/EC were kept in the dataset as the difference between lower and upper bound values (as presented in Tables 7, 8 and 9) were minor and thus the data with high LOD and LOQ did not significantly influence the outcome of the data evaluation.

2.2.2. Trend analysis

Acrylamide results from 2009 were compared with results from 2007 and 2008 at food group and subgroup level in order to evaluate their change over time across Europe. A mixed effect model (Verbeke and Molenberghs, 2000) using the log of the values was fitted. The mixed effect model was used to test whether there is a European trend (an overall European change over time) in each food group and subgroup.

Two scenarios were tested in the model. First, according to an upper bound scenario, values below LOD and values between the LOD and the LOQ were set to the LOD or the LOQ value, respectively. Secondly, according to a middle point scenario, values below LOD and values between LOD and LOQ were set to half of the LOD or the LOQ value, respectively. In this report, due to the similarities between the two scenarios, only the results from the analysis using the middle point scenario in the model are shown.

The mixed effect model contained an overall intercept and an overall time trend (being the same for all countries, also called marginal effects). A random intercept and slope (time effect) was also included in order to allow each country to have their own trend profile. It is important to note that this assumption is crucial when interpreting the results at European level.

The model building process was as follow:

The model (I) was written as follow:

$$y_{ij} = \beta_0 + b_{0i} + (\beta_1 + b_{1i}) \cdot \text{Time}_{ij} + \varepsilon_{ij}, \quad (I)$$

$$\begin{pmatrix} b_{0i} \\ b_{1i} \end{pmatrix} \sim N \left(\begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} \sigma_{b_0}^2 & \sigma_{b_0 b_1} \\ \sigma_{b_0 b_1} & \sigma_{b_1}^2 \end{pmatrix} \right),$$

where y_{ij} are the values detected in the sample (j) or either the limit of detection or quantification reported for the non detected value for country i, β_0 and β_1 are the so called marginal or fixed effect, b_{0i} and b_{1i} are the Member State specific random effects, which are assumed to be normally distributed with mean 0 and variances $\sigma_{b_0}^2$ and $\sigma_{b_1}^2$ respectively. Time_{ij} is the year the sample was taken and ε_{ij} represents the error term, which was assumed to be also normally distributed with mean 0 and variance σ_{ε}^2 .

Model I was fitted for each food group and sub group. In model I, the covariance related to the random effects of slope and intercept was tested. In case the covariance was positive or negative no European time trend could be determined. A positive covariance means that countries which started with high acrylamide values might show a larger trend effect over time than countries which started with lower acrylamide values. On the other hand, when a negative covariance is observed, countries starting with high values might show lower trend effect than those starting with lower values. An overall European trend could be ruled out, in case that the two random effects (intercept and slope) were correlated. In case the correlation between random effects of slope and intercept was zero a simpler model without the correlation effect, called model II was fitted.

$$y_{ij} = \beta_0 + b_{0i} + (\beta_1 + b_{1i}) \cdot \text{Time}_{ij} + \varepsilon_{ij}, \quad (II)$$

$$\begin{pmatrix} b_{0i} \\ b_{1i} \end{pmatrix} \sim N \left(\begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} \sigma_{b_0}^2 & 0 \\ 0 & \sigma_{b_1}^2 \end{pmatrix} \right),$$

In model II in which independence between the random effects was assumed the variability of the random slope was tested. Two possible scenarios occurred. First, the hypothesis that the variance of the random slope is zero was rejected which means that different trends over time across the Member States were observed. Therefore for those food groups or subgroups an overall European time trend should not be reported. Second, the hypothesis that the variance of the random slope is zero was not rejected, which means that the change over time across the Member States remained the same and the model could be simplified further to model III.

$$y_{ij} = \beta_0 + b_{0i} + \beta_1 \cdot \text{Time}_{ij} + \varepsilon_{ij}, \quad (III)$$

$$b_{0i} \sim N(0, \sigma_{b_0}^2),$$

In case the variability of the random slope was equal to zero ($H_0 : \sigma_{b_1}^2 = 0$) a third model (III) was fitted containing only random intercept and an overall European time trend for the food group or subgroup could be reported.

The exponential function of β_1 was used to calculate the increase or decrease of acrylamide content over the years.

2.2.3. Seasonal effect analysis

In the three food groups 'French fries', 'potato crisps' and 'potato products for home cooking' a seasonal effect was assessed across Europe. The same approach was conducted for the seasonal effect analysis as for the trend analysis. A mixed effect model was used, considering random intercept and in this case a seasonal random effect, allowing for correlation between both random effects. The followed model building process was the same as explained in section 2.2.2 related to the trend analysis. First, a model was fitted to assess the need for the correlation parameters and second, the need for a random seasonal effect was tested.

Before evaluating the seasonal effect in the different potato food groups, it was investigated whether the trend analysis confirmed a constant change over time.

2.2.4. Dietary exposure assessment

Acrylamide exposure was estimated by combining acrylamide occurrence values obtained through the monitoring program from 2007 to 2009 with dietary information derived from the EFSA Comprehensive European Food Consumption Database (hereafter Comprehensive Database). This database builds on existing information for adults and children at a detailed level. Through a procurement project 20 different Member States provided food consumption data at individual level to EFSA collected within the most recent national dietary surveys including the adult population (Merten et al., 2010). Food consumption data from other 10 different dietary surveys specifically focused on children (in particular toddlers from 1-3 years old) were gathered by means of the EFSA Article 36 project "Individual food consumption data and exposure assessment studies for children" (acronym EXPOCHI) (EXPOCHI Consortium, 2010; Huybrechts et al., 2010). In total, the Comprehensive Database contains detailed food consumption data from 32 different dietary surveys carried out in 22 different Member States (EFSA, 2011).

All food consumption data were codified according to the FoodEx classification system developed by the DATEX Unit in 2009 with the objective of simplifying the linkage between occurrence and food consumption data when assessing the exposure to hazardous substances. It contains 20 main food categories, which are further divided into subgroups having almost 160 items at the 2nd level and reaching about 1,800 end-points (food names or generic food names) at the 4th level (EFSA, 2010a).

Overall, the food consumption data gathered by EFSA in the Comprehensive Database are the most complete and detailed data currently available in the EU. However, the differences in the methodologies used to collect the data within the different dietary surveys make these data not fully suitable for country-to-country comparisons.

For calculating acrylamide exposure, food consumption and body weight data at the individual level were accessed in the Comprehensive Database. For each country, exposure estimates are presented per dietary survey and age class - infants (1-11 months), toddlers (12-35 months), other children (3-10 years), adolescents (11-17 years), adults (18-64 years), elderly (65-74 years) and very elderly (from 75 years). As suggested by the EFSA Working Group on Food Consumption and Exposure (EFSA, 2011) dietary surveys with only one day per subject were excluded when calculating acrylamide exposure, since one day is considered not adequate to assess chronic exposure. Exposure estimates were therefore calculated for 26 different dietary surveys carried out in 17 different Member States.

For sake of consistency, some of the food categories in the acrylamide occurrence database were merged to map to the food categories in the consumption database. Thus, 'French fries' was merged with 'deep fried potato products' to form the category 'fried potatoes', 'crackers' was merged with 'crisp bread' to form the category 'crisp bread', the three bread subgroups were merged to form the category 'unspecified bread', 'wafers' was merged with 'not specified biscuit' and 'gingerbread' to form the category 'biscuit'. Conversion factors retrieved from literature (Lantz et al., 2006, Guenther et al., 2007, Soares, 2006 and Granby et al., 2004) were used to convert different liquid types of coffee like e.g. instant coffee (conversion factor was 0.02), espresso (conversion factor was 0.217),

cappuccino (conversion factor was 0.036), drip filter coffee (conversion factor was 0.05), substitute coffee (conversion factor was 0.02) into dry powder. In the Finnish surveys all cereal products were reported as raw agricultural commodities and a conversion factor of 1.5 was applied to transform all milling products back to unspecified bread.

Exposure to acrylamide was estimated for different target populations by combining pooled acrylamide occurrence values from all Member States obtained through the monitoring program from 2007 to 2009 with individual dietary national consumption data from Member States derived from the EFSA Comprehensive European Food Consumption Database. The mean and the 95th percentile of the dietary acrylamide exposure by food category were calculated separately for each country for the whole population using consumption data recorded at individual level. Exposure estimates were calculated for two scenarios according to different assumptions concerning the occurrence levels assigned to the samples below the LOD or LOQ (lower and upper bound scenarios). The lower and upper bound means of the acrylamide contents for each food category were combined with the consumption information at individual level and each individual's body weight was used to express acrylamide exposure in $\mu\text{g}/\text{kg}$ b.w. per day.

3. RESULTS

3.1. Data reported in 2007, 2008 and 2009

Table 2, 3 and 4 summarise the number of samples for which the individual Member States and Norway reported acrylamide values in 2007, 2008 and 2009 sorted into the 10 main food groups.

Table 2 shows that 22 Member States and Norway submitted 3350 results for the 2007 acrylamide monitoring exercise, Table 3 shows that 24 Member States and Norway submitted 3728 results for the 2008 acrylamide monitoring exercise, whereas Table 4 shows that 20 Member States and Norway submitted 3287 results for the 2009 acrylamide monitoring exercise to EFSA.

Approximately 52%, 42% and 40% of the results originated from Germany for 2007, 2008 and 2009 monitoring exercises, respectively. The number of samples per food group in 2007 varied between 87 for 'jarred baby foods' to 890 for 'other products'. The number of results sampled in 2008 ranged from 110 for 'processed cereal-based baby foods' to 890 for 'other products'. The number of results sampled in 2009 ranged from 99 for 'processed cereal-based baby foods' to 677 for 'other products'.

It can be observed from Tables 2 to 4 that the number of samples across the same main food groups is quite evenly distributed across the three monitoring years. However, the total minimum number of samples to be analysed each year per food group as recommended in the Commission recommendation was not submitted in any of the monitoring year from 2007 to 2009 for 'breakfast cereals', 'jarred baby food' and 'processed cereal based baby food'. On the other hand, the submitted total number of samples was above the minimum recommendations for the remaining seven main food groups.

Some Member States did not submit acrylamide results for all three monitoring years and two Member States did not submit any results at all. Among the submitting Member States, three did not submit the required minimum sample number per Member State as recommended in the Commission recommendation

Table 2: Number of samples per food group sampled by individual Member States and Norway in 2007

| Sampling year 2007 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
|---------------------|-------------------------|----------------------|---------------|--|------------|-------------------|------------------------------------|----------------|-------------------|-----------------------------------|----------------|------------|
| ISO-code | Total number of samples | French fries as sold | Potato crisps | Precooked French fries/potato products | Bread | Breakfast cereals | Biscuits including infant biscuits | Roasted coffee | Jarred baby foods | Processed cereal-based baby foods | Other products | |
| Austria | AT | 49 | 0 | 4 | 10 | 4 | 4 | 6 | 4 | 2 | 5 | 10 |
| Belgium | BE | 178 | 19 | 5 | 0 | 31 | 27 | 20 | 10 | 0 | 4 | 62 |
| Bulgaria | BG | 45 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 5 | 4 | 5 | 7 |
| Cyprus | CY | 33 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 7 | 3 | 4 | 1 |
| Czech Republic | CZ | 130 | 42 | 10 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 15 | 5 | 5 | 4 | 34 |
| Germany | DE | 1757 | 427 | 121 | 25 | 143 | 14 | 302 | 134 | 0 | 1 | 590 |
| Estonia | EE | 50 | 2 | 13 | 2 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 9 |
| Spain | ES | 25 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 6 | 0 | 11 | 8 |
| Finland | FI | 70 | 0 | 14 | 8 | 10 | 2 | 24 | 6 | 0 | 0 | 6 |
| France | FR | 69 | 0 | 7 | 6 | 2 | 3 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 49 |
| United Kingdom | GB | 172 | 66 | 5 | 6 | 30 | 10 | 15 | 10 | 10 | 10 | 10 |
| Greece | GR | 20 | 1 | 4 | 1 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 3 | 1 |
| Ireland | IE | 93 | 20 | 6 | 2 | 18 | 6 | 21 | 5 | 7 | 3 | 5 |
| Italy | IT | 45 | 0 | 8 | 2 | 8 | 2 | 9 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 16 |
| Lithuania | LT | 40 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 5 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 3 |
| Latvia | LV | 38 | 1 | 4 | 5 | 4 | 4 | 5 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 3 |
| Malta | MT | 20 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 4 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 0 |
| Netherlands | NL | 73 | 6 | 6 | 12 | 8 | 6 | 15 | 6 | 6 | 4 | 4 |
| Norway | NO | 86 | 16 | 28 | 24 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 18 |
| Poland | PL | 119 | 7 | 7 | 7 | 14 | 14 | 14 | 14 | 14 | 14 | 14 |
| Sweden | SE | 67 | 8 | 8 | 8 | 8 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 15 | 4 | 4 |
| Slovenia | SI | 119 | 20 | 10 | 11 | 20 | 10 | 13 | 5 | 5 | 6 | 19 |
| Slovakia | SK | 52 | 0 | 8 | 4 | 5 | 4 | 8 | 6 | 0 | 0 | 17 |
| Total number | | 3350 | 647 | 280 | 150 | 332 | 135 | 494 | 243 | 87 | 92 | 890 |

Table 3: Number of samples per food group sampled by individual Member States and Norway in 2008.

| | | Sampling year 2008 | | | | | | | | | | |
|---------------------|-------------------------|----------------------|---------------|--|------------|-------------------|------------------------------------|----------------|-------------------|-----------------------------------|----------------|-----|
| ISO-code | Total number of samples | French fries as sold | Potato crisps | Precooked French fries/potato products | Bread | Breakfast cereals | Biscuits including infant biscuits | Roasted coffee | Jarred baby foods | Processed cereal-based baby foods | Other products | |
| Austria | AT | 56 | 4 | 3 | 6 | 6 | 4 | 6 | 10 | 4 | 2 | 11 |
| Belgium | BE | 176 | 21 | 10 | 0 | 21 | 15 | 34 | 18 | 0 | 0 | 57 |
| Cyprus | CY | 41 | 4 | 4 | 6 | 4 | 4 | 6 | 4 | 4 | 5 | 0 |
| Czech Republic | CZ | 195 | 85 | 5 | 0 | 10 | 6 | 20 | 5 | 6 | 1 | 57 |
| Germany | DE | 1571 | 237 | 175 | 27 | 107 | 3 | 318 | 165 | 22 | 12 | 505 |
| Denmark | DK | 105 | 17 | 9 | 10 | 4 | 5 | 8 | 16 | 4 | 2 | 30 |
| Estonia | EE | 57 | 6 | 3 | 6 | 10 | 3 | 9 | 3 | 4 | 2 | 11 |
| Spain | ES | 100 | 3 | 6 | 20 | 18 | 0 | 10 | 18 | 18 | 4 | 3 |
| Finland | FI | 70 | 0 | 16 | 3 | 10 | 1 | 23 | 3 | 3 | 0 | 11 |
| France | FR | 104 | 0 | 9 | 0 | 8 | 2 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 82 |
| United Kingdom | GB | 303 | 66 | 53 | 74 | 35 | 10 | 14 | 10 | 10 | 10 | 21 |
| Greece | GR | 70 | 5 | 13 | 4 | 6 | 8 | 9 | 6 | 6 | 4 | 9 |
| Hungary | HU | 104 | 24 | 8 | 2 | 9 | 12 | 13 | 7 | 10 | 9 | 10 |
| Ireland | IE | 60 | 11 | 9 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 7 | 6 | 4 | 5 | 6 |
| Italy | IT | 97 | 3 | 43 | 11 | 5 | 6 | 6 | 6 | 1 | 0 | 16 |
| Lithuania | LT | 40 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 |
| Latvia | LV | 42 | 0 | 6 | 6 | 6 | 3 | 3 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 6 |
| Malta | MT | 20 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 1 | 3 | 2 |
| Netherlands | NL | 77 | 6 | 6 | 12 | 9 | 6 | 9 | 7 | 4 | 13 | 5 |
| Norway | NO | 61 | 8 | 16 | 7 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 6 | 4 | 4 | 4 |
| Poland | PL | 138 | 14 | 14 | 14 | 14 | 14 | 12 | 14 | 14 | 14 | 14 |
| Romania | RO | 81 | 4 | 16 | 10 | 7 | 14 | 8 | 8 | 7 | 4 | 3 |
| Sweden | SE | 56 | 8 | 8 | 8 | 8 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 |
| Slovenia | SI | 41 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 0 | 8 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 5 |
| Slovakia | SK | 63 | 0 | 16 | 8 | 5 | 2 | 7 | 11 | 0 | 0 | 14 |
| Total number | 3728 | 536 | 458 | 248 | 320 | 136 | 547 | 341 | 142 | 110 | 890 | |

Table 4: Number of samples per food group sampled by individual Member States and Norway in 2009.

| Sampling year 2009 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
|---------------------|-------------------------|----------------------|---------------|--|------------|-------------------|------------------------------------|----------------|-------------------|-----------------------------------|----------------|------------|
| ISO-code | Total number of samples | French fries as sold | Potato crisps | Precooked French fries/potato products | Bread | Breakfast cereals | Biscuits including infant biscuits | Roasted coffee | Jarred baby foods | Processed cereal-based baby foods | Other products | |
| Austria | AT | 52 | 6 | 5 | 3 | 7 | 3 | 6 | 6 | 4 | 2 | 10 |
| Belgium | BE | 198 | 20 | 8 | 0 | 31 | 5 | 36 | 20 | 0 | 0 | 78 |
| Cyprus | CY | 48 | 2 | 6 | 10 | 5 | 5 | 6 | 4 | 6 | 3 | 1 |
| Czech Republic | CZ | 198 | 84 | 9 | 5 | 13 | 5 | 21 | 5 | 4 | 4 | 48 |
| Germany | DE | 1324 | 153 | 102 | 74 | 105 | 30 | 332 | 71 | 9 | 16 | 432 |
| Denmark | DK | 102 | 20 | 13 | 7 | 9 | 10 | 19 | 12 | 1 | 2 | 9 |
| Estonia | EE | 40 | 2 | 3 | 6 | 4 | 3 | 6 | 4 | 6 | 2 | 4 |
| Spain | ES | 88 | 16 | 16 | 8 | 14 | 6 | 10 | 3 | 10 | 3 | 2 |
| France | FR | 8 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 7 |
| United Kingdom | GB | 299 | 66 | 53 | 74 | 35 | 10 | 15 | 11 | 10 | 10 | 15 |
| Greece | GR | 27 | 8 | 14 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 |
| Hungary | HU | 88 | 8 | 16 | 7 | 6 | 10 | 9 | 13 | 14 | 0 | 5 |
| Ireland | IE | 69 | 11 | 19 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 8 | 7 | 4 | 3 | 5 |
| Italy | IT | 218 | 25 | 42 | 6 | 32 | 19 | 38 | 22 | 12 | 5 | 17 |
| Lithuania | LT | 40 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 5 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 3 |
| Netherlands | NL | 67 | 6 | 6 | 8 | 10 | 4 | 15 | 4 | 4 | 7 | 3 |
| Norway | NO | 81 | 8 | 18 | 5 | 10 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 4 | 13 | 2 |
| Poland | PL | 140 | 14 | 14 | 14 | 14 | 14 | 14 | 14 | 14 | 14 | 14 |
| Romania | RO | 79 | 8 | 15 | 4 | 4 | 9 | 13 | 9 | 8 | 7 | 2 |
| Sweden | SE | 60 | 8 | 8 | 8 | 12 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 |
| Slovakia | SK | 61 | 0 | 17 | 8 | 5 | 2 | 6 | 10 | 0 | 0 | 13 |
| Total number | | 3287 | 469 | 388 | 257 | 324 | 153 | 570 | 232 | 118 | 99 | 677 |

3.2. Reported LOD and LOQ

In Table 5 the number of samples below the limits of detection (\leq LOD) and quantification (\leq LOQ) are reported, as well as the minimum and maximum reported values for LOD and LOQ for each food category.

Table 5: Number of samples below the limits of detection (\leq LOD) and quantification (\leq LOQ) are reported and range of the reported LOD and LOQ in $\mu\text{g}/\text{kg}$ per food category in 2009.

| | | N | Minimum $\mu\text{g}/\text{kg}$ | Maximum $\mu\text{g}/\text{kg}$ |
|----------------------------------|------------|-----|------------------------------------|------------------------------------|
| Biscuits | \leq LOD | 26 | 0.1 | 75 |
| | \leq LOQ | 142 | 0.1 | 100 |
| | Quantified | 402 | | |
| Bread | \leq LOD | 11 | 1 | 75 |
| | \leq LOQ | 97 | 2 | 132 |
| | Quantified | 216 | | |
| Breakfast cereals | \leq LOD | 10 | 20 | 75 |
| | \leq LOQ | 24 | 2 | 100 |
| | Quantified | 119 | | |
| Cereal-based baby food | \leq LOD | 25 | 1 | 75 |
| | \leq LOQ | 29 | 2 | 50 |
| | Quantified | 45 | | |
| Coffee | \leq LOD | 9 | 75 | 75 |
| | \leq LOQ | 16 | 0.1 | 50 |
| | Quantified | 207 | | |
| French fries | \leq LOD | 10 | 20 | 75 |
| | \leq LOQ | 21 | 2 | 100 |
| | Quantified | 438 | | |
| Jarred baby foods | \leq LOD | 17 | 6 | 75 |
| | \leq LOQ | 49 | 0.1 | 50 |
| | Quantified | 52 | | |
| Other products | \leq LOD | 15 | 4 | 75 |
| | \leq LOQ | 171 | 2 | 500* |
| | Quantified | 491 | | |
| Potato crisps | \leq LOD | 16 | 6 | 75 |
| | \leq LOQ | 15 | 0.1 | 40 |
| | Quantified | 357 | | |
| Potato products for home cooking | \leq LOD | 6 | 10 | 75 |
| | \leq LOQ | 32 | 18 | 50 |
| | Quantified | 219 | | |

*This high LOQ was reported only for five samples of spices in the 'not specified' subgroup of 'other products'

The minimum and maximum values for LOD and LOQ ranged from 0.1 to 75 $\mu\text{g}/\text{kg}$ for the non detected samples (\leq LOD) and from 0.1 to 500 $\mu\text{g}/\text{kg}$ for the non quantified samples (\leq LOQ). The minimum and maximum values for LOD and LOQ ranged from 0.1 to 36 $\mu\text{g}/\text{kg}$ and 0.1 to 132 $\mu\text{g}/\text{kg}$, respectively, for the quantified samples ($>$ LOQ). In some food groups like 'cereal based baby food' or 'jarred baby food' the range for LOD is higher than the range for LOQ, because some Member States did not report the LOQ value.

Fourteen countries reported using liquid chromatography-tandem mass spectrometry (LC-MS/MS) for the analysis of acrylamide and one reported the use of liquid chromatography-mass spectrometry (LC-MS). Two countries reported the use of gas chromatography-mass spectrometry (GC-MS) and two the use of tandem mass spectrometry (GC-MS/MS). One country reported the use of liquid

chromatography-high resolution mass spectrometry (LC-HRMS) and one country reported the use of electron capture detector-gas chromatography (GC/ECD). One country reported the use of four methods (GC-MS, LC-MS/MS, LC-DAD (liquid chromatography-diode array detection), LC-MS).

Eleven countries out of 21 in 2009 reported the participation in one or more proficiency tests organised by the Food Analysis Performance Assessment Scheme (FAPAS) of the Central Science Laboratory (now FERA), York (UK) with satisfactory results.

To better illustrate the percentage of numerical values above the LOQ and below LOD or between the LOD and the LOQ, the respective frequencies shown in Table 5 have been plotted in Figure 1 and compared to results of 2008 and 2007.




Figure 1: Percentage of values below LOD, between LOD and LOQ and above the LOQ per food category for the pooled data from all Member States in 2007, 2008 and 2009.

Eight out of 10 food categories show more than 60% of values above the LOQ with ‘French fries’, ‘potato crisps’ and ‘coffee’ showing more than 90% above the LOQ. Only the food categories ‘jarred baby foods’ and ‘cereal-based baby food’ show percentages of values above the LOQ of lower than 50%. In the majority of the food groups the percentage of quantified (>LOQ) samples slightly decreases between 2007 and 2008 and then decrease again between 2008 and 2009.

3.3. Statistical descriptors of the reported acrylamide content

In Tables 6, 7 and 8 the descriptive statistics for the data collected in 2007, 2008 and 2009, respectively, are given at food group and subgroup level.

With the exception of the maximum value, a range is provided when there was a difference between the estimated lower and upper bound acrylamide content calculated from the data reported by the Member States.

Table 6: Sample size (N), 5th percentile (P05), 25th percentile (P25), median, arithmetic mean, 75th percentile (P75), 90th percentile (P90), 95th percentile (P95) and maximum for results covering foods sampled in 2007.

| | N | P25 [#] µg/kg | Median [#] µg/kg | Mean [#] µg/kg | P75 [#] µg/kg | P90 [#] µg/kg | P95 [#] µg/kg | Max µg/kg |
|---------------------------------|-----|---------------------------|------------------------------|----------------------------|---------------------------|---------------------------|---------------------------|--------------|
| Biscuits | | | | | | | | |
| Crackers | 69 | 102 | 195 | 291-292 | 307 | 900 | 1024 | 1526 |
| Infant | 97 | 47-55 | 100 | 197-204 | 180 | 440 | 714 | 2300 |
| Not specified | 291 | 62-65 | 173 | 299-303 | 355 | 673 | 960 | 4200 |
| Wafers | 38 | 54 | 118 | 206-210 | 258 | 478 | 694 | 1378 |
| Bread | | | | | | | | |
| Bread crisp | 155 | 36-45 | 116 | 221-226 | 300 | 480 | 740 | 2430 |
| Bread soft | 127 | 0-25 | 14-30 | 54-68 | 53-56 | 114 | 230 | 910 |
| Non specified | 54 | 0-41 | 53-58 | 172-190 | 150 | 310 | 794 | 2565 |
| Breakfast cereals | 134 | 0-52 | 59-100 | 130-150 | 171 | 310 | 420 | 1600 |
| Cereal-based baby food | 92 | 0-25 | 0-38 | 48-69 | 65-100 | 176 | 220 | 353 |
| Coffee | | | | | | | | |
| Instant | 51 | 90 | 188 | 357 | 776 | 826 | 898 | 1047 |
| Not specified | 41 | 100 | 183 | 259-261 | 293 | 486 | 916 | 1158 |
| Roasted | 153 | 127 | 197 | 245-251 | 309 | 480 | 772 | 958 |
| French fries | 647 | 140 | 246 | 354-357 | 440 | 740 | 1072 | 2668 |
| Jarred baby foods | 87 | 0-14 | 0-30 | 22-44 | 36-71 | 86-94 | 94-100 | 162 |
| Other products | | | | | | | | |
| Gingerbread | 357 | 88 | 226 | 423-425 | 597 | 1140 | 1460 | 3615 |
| Muesli and porridge | 48 | 76 | 156 | 205-210 | 282 | 509 | 586 | 805 |
| Not specified | 424 | 25-48 | 119-134 | 232-244 | 272 | 570 | 879 | 2529 |
| Substitute coffee | 61 | 108 | 334 | 772-775 | 875 | 2600 | 2900 | 4700 |
| Potato crisps | 280 | 190 | 413 | 574-576 | 786 | 1200 | 1596 | 4180 |
| Home-cook potato product | | | | | | | | |
| Deep fried | 54 | 50-57 | 182 | 344-354 | 500 | 838 | 1450 | 1661 |
| Not specified | 82 | 0-40 | 150 | 266-277 | 317 | 639 | 886 | 2175 |
| Oven baked | 8 | 153 | 260 | 380-385 | 638 | 941 | 941 | 941 |

[#] Range indicates lower bound and upper bound values.

The mean values ranged between 22 and 44 µg/kg for ‘jarred baby foods’ and between 772 and 775µg/kg for ‘substitute coffee’ for foods sampled in 2007. The highest P95 and maximum values were reported for ‘substitute coffee’ at 2900 and 4700 µg/kg, respectively.

Table 7: Sample size (N), 5th percentile (P05), 25th percentile (P25), median, arithmetic mean, 75th percentile (P75), 90th percentile (P90), 95th percentile (P95), and maximum for results covering foods sampled in 2008.

| | N | P25 [#] µg/kg | Median [#] µg/kg | Mean [#] µg/kg | P75 [#] µg/kg | P90 [#] µg/kg | P95 [#] µg/kg | Max µg/kg |
|------------------------------------|-----|---------------------------|------------------------------|----------------------------|---------------------------|---------------------------|---------------------------|--------------|
| Biscuits | | | | | | | | |
| Crackers | 134 | 71 | 185 | 203-206 | 283 | 362 | 597 | 1042 |
| Infant | 88 | 0-29 | 64 | 98-110 | 148 | 250 | 280 | 1200 |
| Not specified | 276 | 49-62 | 121-126 | 213-223 | 244-250 | 600 | 760 | 1940 |
| Wafers | 49 | 72 | 109 | 251-254 | 181 | 645 | 1230 | 2353 |
| Bread | | | | | | | | |
| Bread crisp | 92 | 59 | 107 | 229-231 | 297 | 590 | 770 | 1538 |
| Bread soft | 211 | 0-21 | 5-30 | 31-46 | 43-51 | 83 | 127 | 528 |
| Non specified | 17 | 0-10 | 0-19 | 11-23 | 19-30 | 34 | 86 | 86 |
| Breakfast cereals | 136 | 0-46 | 55-75 | 140-156 | 190 | 318 | 540 | 2072 |
| Cereal-based baby food | 110 | 0-18 | 0-25 | 35-51 | 28-50 | 95 | 159 | 660 |
| Coffee | | | | | | | | |
| Instant | 58 | 293 | 482 | 499-502 | 709 | 851 | 929 | 1373 |
| Not specified | 11 | 0-30 | 210 | 278-286 | 380 | 720 | 734 | 734 |
| Roasted | 267 | 104 | 164 | 200-204 | 240 | 341 | 524 | 1524 |
| French fries | 536 | 99-100 | 220 | 281-285 | 376 | 595 | 784 | 2466 |
| Jarred baby food | 142 | 0-12 | 25 | 16-35 | 19-40 | 55-75 | 73-85 | 297 |
| Other products | | | | | | | | |
| Gingerbread | 258 | 85 | 227 | 432-436 | 591 | 1009 | 1572 | 3307 |
| Muesli and porridge | 19 | 0-22 | 0-30 | 20-41 | 50-60 | 70 | 112 | 112 |
| Not specified | 529 | 0-25 | 46-60 | 160-173 | 179-186 | 485 | 645 | 2592 |
| Substitute coffee | 84 | 264 | 702 | 988 | 1278 | 2300 | 2800 | 7095 |
| Potato crisps | 458 | 225 | 436 | 626-630 | 790 | 1417 | 1900 | 4382 |
| Home-cooked potato products | | | | | | | | |
| Deep fried | 39 | 0-30 | 152 | 220-228 | 348 | 588 | 710 | 1220 |
| Not specified | 101 | 0-29 | 52-75 | 175-191 | 170 | 400 | 718 | 3025 |
| Oven baked | 108 | 63 | 172 | 275-276 | 419 | 618 | 866 | 1439 |

[#] Range indicates lower and upper bound values.

The mean values ranged between 11 and 23 µg/kg for 'bread not specified' and between 626 and 630 µg/kg for 'potato crisps' for foods sampled in 2008. The highest P95 and maximum values were reported for 'substitute coffee' at 2800 and 7095 µg/kg, respectively.

Table 8: Sample size (N), 5th percentile (P05), 25th percentile (P25), median, arithmetic mean, 75th percentile (P75), 90th percentile (P90), 95th percentile (P95) and maximum for results covering foods sampled in 2009.

| | N | P25 [#] µg/kg | Median [#] µg/kg | Mean [#] µg/kg | P75 [#] µg/kg | P90 [#] µg/kg | P95 [#] µg/kg | Max µg/kg |
|------------------------------------|-----|---------------------------|------------------------------|----------------------------|---------------------------|---------------------------|---------------------------|--------------|
| Biscuits | | | | | | | | |
| Crackers | 99 | 0-50 | 98 | 195-208 | 300 | 550 | 865 | 1320 |
| Infant | 51 | 0-50 | 69-80 | 88-108 | 160 | 196 | 270 | 430 |
| Not specified | 330 | 0-33 | 74-76 | 128-140 | 173 | 295 | 455 | 2640 |
| Wafers | 90 | 147 | 213 | 244-246 | 305 | 493 | 615 | 725 |
| Bread | | | | | | | | |
| Bread crisp | 130 | 89-92 | 186 | 219-223 | 332 | 418 | 499 | 860 |
| Bread soft | 110 | 0-13 | 9-27 | 27-37 | 35-42 | 61 | 90 | 364 |
| Non specified | 84 | 0-18 | 0-49 | 54-76 | 27-50 | 113 | 310 | 1460 |
| Breakfast cereals | 153 | 21-60 | 85-87 | 132-142 | 160 | 272 | 403 | 1435 |
| Cereal-based baby food | 99 | 0-10 | 0-25 | 55-70 | 43-75 | 196 | 230 | 710 |
| Coffee | | | | | | | | |
| Instant | 46 | 440 | 584 | 591-595 | 761 | 933 | 1009 | 1470 |
| Not specified | 14 | 93 | 237 | 551 | 709 | 1087 | 2929 | 2929 |
| Roasted | 172 | 125 | 193 | 225-231 | 276 | 386 | 500 | 2223 |
| French fries | 469 | 103 | 247 | 326-328 | 404 | 630 | 810 | 3380 |
| Jarred baby food | 118 | 0-11 | 0-25 | 32-47 | 36-50 | 65-75 | 106 | 677 |
| Other products | | | | | | | | |
| Gingerbread | 302 | 56-59 | 131-132 | 376-384 | 424 | 1055 | 1682 | 4095 |
| Muesli and porridge | 92 | 0-40 | 0-50 | 53-82 | 66-100 | 150 | 250 | 484 |
| Not specified | 249 | 0-50 | 83-100 | 182-204 | 266-291 | 500 | 604 | 1650 |
| Substitute coffee | 34 | 279 | 1148 | 1502-1504 | 2555 | 3400 | 3976 | 4300 |
| Potato crisps | 388 | 172 | 394 | 689-693 | 899 | 1712 | 2329 | 4804 |
| Home-cooked potato products | | | | | | | | |
| Deep fried | 49 | 46-50 | 201 | 234-241 | 308 | 624 | 729 | 1238 |
| Not specified | 136 | 32-49 | 104 | 257-265 | 386 | 670 | 914 | 2762 |
| Oven baked | 72 | 80 | 189 | 317 | 386 | 782 | 1152 | 1665 |

[#] Range indicates lower bound and upper bound values.

The mean values ranged between 27 and 37 µg/kg for ‘bread soft’ and between 1502 and 1504 µg/kg for ‘substitute coffee’ for foods sampled in 2009. The highest P95 was reported for ‘substitute coffee’ at 3976 µg/kg and the highest maximum value was reported for ‘potato crisps’ at 4804 µg/kg.

Generally, with the exception of ‘cereal based baby food’ and ‘jarred baby food’ the difference between the descriptive statistics based on lower-bound and upper-bound scenarios was small, because of the low number of samples with acrylamide values below the LOD or between the LOD and LOQ.

3.4. Trend analysis in acrylamide levels of foods sampled from 2007 to 2009

Acrylamide results from 2009 are compared with results from 2007 and 2008 at food group and subgroup level in order to evaluate their change over time across Europe. A mixed effect model was used to test whether there is an overall European change over time in any food groups and subgroups.

The mixed effect model contained an overall intercept and an overall time trend (being the same for all countries, also called marginal effects). A random intercept and slope (time effect) was also included in the model in order to allow each country to have their own trend profile. It is important to note that this assumption is crucial when interpreting the results at European level. In the model-building

process a European trend could be ruled out in model I when the two random effects correlated and in model II when the hypothesis that the random slope variance was zero was rejected.

Analyses of variance for the respective food and food subgroups resulting in a p-value lower than 0.05 suggest that there is a statistically significant difference.

3.4.1. Food Group 1 (French fries sold as ready to eat)

The final model needs a random slope and it shows a correlation (negative covariance) with the random intercept. This result indicates that different trends are observed across the Member States. Eleven countries show a tendency towards higher acrylamide values whereas five countries show a tendency towards lower values. Thus, for this food group, reporting an overall European trend would be misleading.

3.4.2. Food Group 2 (Potato crisps)

The final model obtained after testing variance parameters in the most complex model (correlation is zero) does not contain a random slope, but only random intercept. Thus, an overall European trend could be tested. The acrylamide levels in 'potato crisps' show no statistically significant change over time (p-value=0.6711) in Europe.

3.4.3. Food Group 3 (Pre-cooked French fries, potato products for home cooking)

Food Group 3 (Pre-cooked French fries, potato products for home cooking) was analysed separately for each subgroup (*oven baked*, *deep fried* and *not specified*).

The final model for the 'oven baked pre-cooked French fries, potato products for home cooking' subgroup, obtained after having tested variance parameters in the most complex model (correlation is zero), does not contain a random slope but only random intercept. Thus, an overall European trend could be tested. The acrylamide levels in this subgroup show no statistically significant change over time (p-value=0.4795) in Europe.

The final model for the 'deep fried pre-cooked French fries, potato products for home cooking' subgroup contains a random slope and shows a correlation (negative covariance) with the random intercept. Two countries show a tendency towards higher acrylamide values and two countries show a tendency towards lower values. Thus, for this subgroup, reporting an overall European trend would be misleading.

The final model for the 'not specified pre-cooked French fries, potato products for home cooking' shows no correlation between the two random effects of intercept and slope, but contains a random slope. Three countries show a tendency towards higher acrylamide values whereas five countries show a tendency towards lower values. For this sub food group reporting an overall European trend would be misleading.

3.4.4. Food Group 4 (Bread)

Food Group 4 (Bread) was analysed separately for each subgroup (*crisp bread*, *soft bread* and *not specified*).

The final model for the subgroup '*crisp bread*' obtained after having tested variance parameters in the most complex model (correlation is zero) does not contain a random slope, but contains only random intercept. Thus, an overall European trend can be estimated. The acrylamide levels in this subgroup show a statistically significant increase (16.7% from 2007 to 2008 and 36.3 % from 2007 to 2009) over time (p-value=0.0279) in Europe.

The final model for the ‘*soft bread*’ subgroup shows a correlation between the random effects (negative covariance) of slope and intercept. In this case different changes over time across the Member States are observed and an overall European trend cannot be determined. Five countries show a tendency towards higher acrylamide values whereas five countries show a tendency towards lower values.

The final model for the ‘*non specified bread*’ subgroup contains only random intercept after having tested the variance parameters in the most complex model (correlation is zero). The overall European linear trend fitted for this subgroup shows no statistically significant change over time (p-value=0.269).

3.4.5. Food Group 5 (Breakfast cereals; excluding muesli and porridge)

The final model for the ‘*breakfast cereals*’ group contains only random intercept after having tested the variance parameters in the most complex model (correlation is zero). The overall European linear trend fitted for this subgroup shows no statistically significant change over time (p-value=0.5353).

3.4.6. Food Group 6 (Biscuits)

Food Group 6 (Biscuits) was analysed separately for each subgroup (*crackers*, *wafers*, *infant biscuits* and *not specified*).

The final model for the ‘*crackers*’ subgroup contains only random intercept after having tested the variance parameters in the most complex model (correlation is zero). The overall linear European trend was statistically significantly decreasing (20% from 2007 to 2008 and 35 % from 2007 to 2009) over time (p-value=0.0247).

The final model for the ‘*wafers*’ subgroup does not contain enough information to fit any of the models to determine a European trend as the vast majority of the information is provided by one Member State.

The final model for the ‘*infant biscuits*’ subgroup contains only random intercept after having tested the variance parameters in the most complex model (correlation is zero). The overall linear European trend indicates that the levels for acrylamide might be decreasing (29% from 2007 to 2008 and 49 % from 2007 to 2009) over time (p-value=0.0013).

The final model for the ‘*not specified*’ subgroup shows borderline p-values (p-value=0.0471) when testing the hypothesis of needing the most complex model structure containing both random effects (intercept and slope) and allowing them to be correlated (negative covariance). This indicates the need of having longer period information to correctly proceed in such a situation. No European trend is observed, since the change over time seems to vary between countries. Four countries show a tendency towards higher acrylamide values whereas eight countries show a tendency towards lower values.

3.4.7. Food Group 7 (Coffee dry)

Food Group 7 (coffee) was analysed separately for each subgroup (*roasted coffee (dry)*, *instant coffee (dry)* and *not specified*).

The final model for the ‘*roasted coffee*’ subgroup after having tested the variance parameters in the most complex model (correlation is zero) needs a random slope. This result indicates that different trends are observed across the Member States. Thus, for this sub group, reporting an overall European trend would be misleading. Seven countries show a tendency towards higher acrylamide values whereas four countries show a tendency towards lower values.

The final model for the 'instant coffee' sub group after having tested the variance parameters in the most complex model (correlation is zero) contains only random intercept. The overall linear European trend indicates that the acrylamide levels might be increasing (90% from 2007 to 2008 and 370 % from 2007 to 2009) over time (p-value<0.0001)

In the case of the 'not specified' subgroup no analysis results are reported, because information on more than one year was only available from one Member State.

3.4.8. Food Group 8 (Jarred baby foods)

The final model obtained for this food group after having tested the variance parameters in the most complex model (correlation is zero) contains only random intercept. The overall European trend over time shows no statistically significant change for the three-year period (p-value=0.9569).

3.4.9. Food Group 9 (Processed cereal-based baby foods)

The final model obtained for this food group after having tested the variance parameters in the most complex model (correlation is zero) contains only random intercept. The overall European trend over time shows no statistically significant change for the three-year period (p-value=0.5491).

3.4.10. Food Group 10 (Others)

Food Group 10 (others) was analysed separately for each subgroup (substitute coffee (dry), gingerbread, muesli and porridge and other products).

The final model for the first and the last subgroups (substitute coffee (dry) and other products) contains both random effects (intercept and slope) which were uncorrelated and needs a random slope. Thus, for these two subgroups no overall European trend can be concluded, since different changes over time are observed across the Member States. For 'substitute coffee' three countries show a tendency towards higher acrylamide values whereas one country show a tendency towards lower values.

The final model for the 'gingerbread' subgroup contains only random intercept after having tested the variance parameters in the most complex model (correlation is zero). In terms of the time change, a decreasing linear trend (15% from 2007 to 2008 and 27 % from 2007 to 2009) is observed (p-value=0.0031).

The final model for the 'muesli and porridge' subgroup does not contain enough information to fit any of the models to determine a European trend as the vast majority of the information is provided by one Member State. A simple linear model fitted for that country indicates a decrease in acrylamide levels over the three years (p-value= <0.0001).

The acrylamide upper bound median content of each food group and sub-group sampled from 2007 to 2009 are shown for illustrative purposes in Table 9 together with an overview of the models used for the European trend analysis.

Table 9: Sample size (N), median upper bound values across the years 2007-2009 and European trend analysis across food sub-group.

| Food Group | 2007 | | 2008 | | 2009 | | RI ⁽¹⁾ | RI+RS ⁽²⁾ | Time Effect ⁽³⁾ |
|---------------------------------|------|--------------|------|--------------|------|--------------|-------------------|------------------------|----------------------------|
| | N | Median µg/kg | N | Median µg/kg | N | Median µg/kg | | | |
| Biscuits | | | | | | | | | |
| Crackers | 69 | 195 | 134 | 185 | 99 | 98 | ✓ | | Decrease |
| Infant | 97 | 100 | 88 | 64 | 51 | 80 | ✓ | | Decrease |
| Not specified | 291 | 173 | 276 | 126 | 330 | 76 | | ✓ | Not applicable |
| Wafers | 38 | 118 | 49 | 109 | 90 | 213 | | Not enough information | |
| Bread | | | | | | | | | |
| Bread crisp | 155 | 116 | 92 | 107 | 130 | 186 | ✓ | | Increase |
| Bread soft | 127 | 30 | 211 | 30 | 110 | 27 | | ✓ | Not applicable |
| Non specified | 54 | 58 | 17 | 19 | 84 | 49 | ✓ | | NSSC* |
| Breakfast cereals | | | | | | | | | |
| | 134 | 100 | 136 | 75 | 153 | 87 | ✓ | | NSSC* |
| Cereal-based baby food | | | | | | | | | |
| | 92 | 38 | 110 | 25 | 99 | 25 | ✓ | | NSSC* |
| Coffee | | | | | | | | | |
| Instant | 51 | 188 | 63 | 482 | 46 | 584 | ✓ | | Increase |
| Not specified | 41 | 183 | 11 | 210 | 14 | 237 | | Not enough information | |
| Roasted | 153 | 197 | 267 | 164 | 172 | 193 | | ✓ | Not applicable |
| French fries | | | | | | | | | |
| | 647 | 246 | 536 | 220 | 469 | 247 | | ✓ | Not applicable |
| Jarred baby foods | | | | | | | | | |
| | 87 | 30 | 142 | 25 | 118 | 25 | ✓ | | NSSC* |
| Other products | | | | | | | | | |
| Gingerbread | 357 | 226 | 258 | 227 | 302 | 132 | ✓ | | Decrease |
| Muesli and porridge | 48 | 156 | 19 | 30 | 92 | 50 | | Not enough information | |
| Not specified | 424 | 134 | 529 | 60 | 249 | 100 | | ✓ | Not applicable |
| Substitute coffee | 61 | 334 | 84 | 702 | 34 | 1148 | | ✓ | Not applicable |
| Potato crisps | | | | | | | | | |
| | 280 | 413 | 458 | 436 | 388 | 394 | ✓ | | NSSC* |
| Home-cook potato product | | | | | | | | | |
| Deep fried | 54 | 182 | 39 | 152 | 49 | 201 | | ✓ | Not applicable |
| Not specified | 82 | 150 | 101 | 75 | 136 | 104 | | ✓ | Not applicable |
| Oven baked | 8 | 260 | 108 | 172 | 72 | 189 | ✓ | | NSSC* |

(1) Final model contains only Member State's specific intercept (random intercept)

(2) Final model contains Member State's specific intercept (random intercept) and slope (random slope)

(3) Overall European trend obtained in the final model

*NSSC: No statistically significant change

The mixed effects model results in Table 9 show that for three out of the 22 food groups ('wafers', 'not specified coffee' and 'muesli and porridge') not enough information was provided to assess

change over time. For eight out of 22 food groups, the final model contains both random effects (intercept and slope), making a trend analysis at European level not applicable at this stage. Five food groups contain only random intercept, showing a decreasing trend for three food groups ('crackers', 'infant biscuits' and 'gingerbread') whereas showing an increasing trend for the other two groups ('crisp bread' and 'instant coffee'). The remaining six food groups ('potato crisp', 'precooked French fries and home cooked potato products oven baked', 'not specified bread', 'breakfast cereals', 'jarred baby foods,' 'processed cereal-based baby foods') are showing no statistically significant change over time.

3.5. Seasonal effect analysis on acrylamide formation in potato products

In total 3151 results on acrylamide content of 'French fries', 'potato crisps' and 'potato products for home cooking' provided information on the sampling seasons. Data were split into two groups. The first group contains data for food sampled between January and June. The second group contains data for food sampled between July and December. Taking into account the results previously obtained, as there are different trends over time across the years among Member States for 'French fries', 'deep fried potato products for home cooking' and 'not specified potato products for home cooking', the seasonal effect was evaluated only for 'potato crisps' and 'oven baked potato products for home cooking'. A mixed effect model containing random intercept and another random effect associated with the season was also used in order to rule out different seasonal effects among Member States.

In Table 10 results of median upper bound acrylamide contents in potato products per sampling period are shown for illustrative purposes together with an overview of the models used to analyse the seasonal effect.

Table 10: Sample size (N), median upper bound acrylamide content values in potato products in two different seasons from 2007 to 2009 and season effect analysis

| Food group | January-June | | July-December | | RI ⁽¹⁾ | RI+RS ⁽²⁾ | Season Effect ⁽³⁾ |
|------------------------------------|--------------|--------------|---------------|--------------|-------------------|----------------------|------------------------------|
| | N | Median µg/kg | N | Median µg/kg | | | |
| French fries | 613 | 263 | 847 | 233 | | ✓ | Not applicable |
| Potato crisps | 521 | 464 | 531 | 360 | ✓ | | Decrease |
| Home-cooked potato products | | | | | | | |
| Deep fried | 70 | 230 | 70 | 113 | | ✓ | Not applicable |
| Oven baked | 86 | 201 | 102 | 173 | ✓ | | NSSC* |
| Not specified | 103 | 90 | 208 | 108 | | ✓ | Not applicable |

(1) Final model contains only Member State's specific intercept (random intercept)

(2) Final model contains Member State's specific intercept (random intercept) and slope (random slope)

(3) Overall seasonal effect obtained in the final model

*NSSC: No statistically significant change

Table 10 shows that the models for 'potato crisps' and 'oven baked potato products for home cooking' have only random intercept indicating that there are no differences among Member States in terms of seasonal effects. For 'potato crisps' the levels of acrylamide in the first half of the year are higher than in the second half of the year (p-value<0.0001), while for the 'oven baked potato products for home cooking' no statistically significant difference in acrylamide levels (p-value=0.3543) is detected between the first and second half of the year.

3.6. Acrylamide dietary exposure

In Table 11 the mean lower bound and upper bound values of the pooled acrylamide occurrence results (2007-2009) from all Member States are shown per food category reclassified according to the EFSA Comprehensive Food Consumption Database to match available food consumption information (EFSA, 2011). Table 12 gives an overview of the different dietary surveys per Member State used to calculate the acrylamide exposure estimates.

Table 11: Sample size (N), Lower (LB) and upper bound mean (UB) acrylamide content according to the food categories in the EFSA Comprehensive Food Consumption Database

| EFSA FOODEX Food category | N | LB mean µg/kg | UB mean µg/kg |
|---------------------------|------|---------------|---------------|
| Biscuits | 1991 | 304 | 311 |
| Fried potatoes | 1794 | 318 | 322 |
| Potato crisps | 1126 | 635 | 638 |
| Potato oven baked | 188 | 296 | 296 |
| Crisp bread | 679 | 221 | 226 |
| Soft bread | 448 | 37 | 50 |
| Unspecified bread | 980 | 117 | 127 |
| Breakfast cereals | 423 | 134 | 149 |
| Infant biscuits | 236 | 137 | 148 |
| Substitute coffee dry | 179 | 1012 | 1013 |
| Roasted coffee dry | 592 | 219 | 224 |
| Instant coffee dry | 160 | 480 | 482 |
| Jarred baby foods | 347 | 23 | 41 |
| Cereal based baby foods | 301 | 46 | 63 |
| Muesli and porridge | 159 | 95 | 116 |

In Annex 1, the mean and 95th percentile food consumption (g/day) by food category (summary statistics) are shown for illustrative purpose by Member State, survey and age class for the total population.

Exposure to acrylamide was estimated for different target populations by combining pooled acrylamide occurrence values from all Member States obtained through the monitoring program from 2007 to 2009 with individual dietary national consumption data from Member States derived from the EFSA Comprehensive European Food Consumption Database. For each country, exposure estimates are presented per dietary survey and age class: infants (1-11 months), toddlers (12-35 months), other children (3-10 years), adolescents (11-17 years), adults (18-64 years), elderly (65-74 years) and very elderly (from 75 years).

Table 12: Dietary surveys used for acrylamide exposure estimates included in the EFSA Comprehensive European Food Consumption Database

| Country (survey) | Name of survey in tables | Name of the dietary survey (Acronym) | Survey period | Age range (years) | Method (replicates) |
|------------------|--------------------------|--------------------------------------|---------------|-------------------|---------------------|
| Belgium | BE1 | Regional Flanders | 2002-03 | 2.5 to 6.5 | Food record (3) |
| | BE2 | Diet National 2004 | 2004 – 05 | > 15 | 24 h dietary recall |
| Bulgaria | BG2 | NUTRICHILD | 2007 | < 5 | 24-hour recall (2) |
| Cyprus | CY | Childhealth | 2003 | 2 to 18 | Dietary record (3) |
| Czech Republic | CZ | SISP04 | 2003 - 04 | > 4 | 24-hour recall (2) |
| Denmark | DK | Danish Dietary Survey | 2000 - 02 | 4 to 75 | Food record (7) |
| | FI1 | FINDIET 2007 | 2007 | 25 to 74 | 48-hour recall (1) |
| Finland | FI2 | DIPP | 2003-06 | 1, 3 and 6 | Food record (3) |
| | FI3 | STRIP | 2000 | 7 to 8 | Food record (4) |
| France | FR | INCA2 | 2005 – 07 | 3 to 79 | Food record (7) |
| Germany | DE1 | DONALD | 2006-08 | 1 to 10 | Dietary record (3) |
| | DE2 | National Nutrition Survey | 2005 – 07 | 14 to 80 | 24-hour recall (2) |
| United Kingdom | GB | NDNS | 2000 - 01 | 19 to 64 | Food record (7) |
| Greece | GR | Regional Crete | 2004-05 | 4 to 6 | Dietary record (3) |
| Hungary | HU | National Repr Surv | 2003 | > 18 | Food record (3) |
| Ireland | IE | NSFC | 1997 – 99 | 18 to 64 | Food record (7) |
| Italy | IT | INRAN-SCAI 2005–06 | 2005 – 06 | > 0.1 | Food record (3) |
| Latvia | LV | EFSA_TEST | 2008 | 7 to 66 | 24-hour recall (2) |
| Netherlands | NL1 | RIKILT | 2005-06 | 2 to 6 | Food record (3) |
| | NL2 | VCP2003 | 2003 | 19 to 30 | 24 h dietary recall |
| | ES1 | enKid | 1998-00 | 1 to 14 | 24-hour recall (2) |
| Spain | ES2 | Regional Basque Country | 2004-05 | 4 to 14 | 24-hour recall (2) |
| | ES3 | AESAN | 1999 - | 17 to 60 | Food record (3) |
| | ES4 | AESAN-FIAB | 2009 | 18 to 60 | 24-hour recall (2) |
| Sweden | SE1 | NFA | 2003 | 3 to 4 | 24-hour recall (4) |
| | SE2 | RIKSMATEN 1997-98 | 1997 - 98 | 18 to 74 | Food record (7) |

In Tables 13-16 the resulting lower bound and upper bound mean and 95th percentile exposure estimates ($\mu\text{g}/\text{kg}$ b.w. per day) to acrylamide are shown by Member State, survey and age class. These estimates assume that the tested products are representative for the whole food categories and that no other food category contains acrylamide.

Table 13: Estimated mean and high percentile (95th) exposure (lower and upper bound) to acrylamide ($\mu\text{g}/\text{kg}$ b.w. per day) per Member State and survey for the adult (18-64 years), elderly (65-74 years) and very elderly (from 75 years and older) age classes.

| Age class | MS | N | Mean exposure $\mu\text{g}/\text{kg}$ b.w. per day | 95 th percentile exposure $\mu\text{g}/\text{kg}$ b.w. per day |
|--------------|-----|-------|---|--|
| Adults | BE2 | 1304 | 0.36-0.39 | 0.95-0.99 |
| Elderly | BE2 | 518 | 0.28-0.31 | 0.76-0.82 |
| Very elderly | BE2 | 712 | 0.32-0.35 | 0.84-0.87 |
| Adults | CZ | 1666 | 0.73-0.77 | 1.59-1.65 |
| Adults | DE2 | 10419 | 0.31-0.34 | 0.79-0.83 |
| Elderly | DE2 | 2006 | 0.29-0.32 | 0.72-0.76 |
| Very elderly | DE2 | 490 | 0.31-0.34 | 0.81-0.84 |
| Adults | DK | 2822 | 0.76-0.80 | 1.50-1.56 |
| Elderly | DK | 309 | 0.91-0.95 | 1.74-1.84 |
| Very elderly | DK | 20 | 1.02-1.07 | 2.19-2.26 |
| Adults | ES3 | 410 | 0.42-0.45 | 1.09-1.13 |
| Adults | ES4 | 981 | 0.55-0.57 | 1.18-1.23 |
| Adults | FI1 | 1575 | 0.49-0.52 | 1.04-1.09 |
| Elderly | FI1 | 463 | 0.49-0.52 | 1.06-1.14 |
| Adults | FR | 2276 | 0.39-0.42 | 0.87-0.92 |
| Elderly | FR | 264 | 0.28-0.31 | 0.57-0.62 |
| Very elderly | FR | 84 | 0.26-0.29 | 0.55-0.58 |
| Adults | GB | 1724 | 0.61-0.65 | 1.19-1.24 |
| Adults | HU | 1074 | 0.75-0.79 | 1.52-1.58 |
| Elderly | HU | 206 | 0.69-0.73 | 1.26-1.31 |
| Very elderly | HU | 80 | 0.79-0.83 | 1.53-1.58 |
| Adults | IE | 958 | 0.54-0.58 | 1.22-1.26 |
| Adults | IT | 2313 | 0.54-0.57 | 1.16-1.20 |
| Elderly | IT | 290 | 0.50-0.53 | 1.12-1.17 |
| Very elderly | IT | 228 | 0.50-0.54 | 1.10-1.15 |
| Adults | LV | 1306 | 0.42-0.45 | 1.09-1.15 |
| Adults | NL1 | 750 | 0.50-0.53 | 1.25-1.30 |
| Adults | SE2 | 1210 | 0.47-0.51 | 0.93-0.98 |

Table 13 shows that the mean exposure (upper bound) for the adult elderly and very elderly population in the different countries varies from 0.31 to 1.07 $\mu\text{g}/\text{kg}$ b.w. per day. High exposure at the 95th percentile (upper bound) varies from 0.58 to 2.26 $\mu\text{g}/\text{kg}$ b.w. per day.

Table 14: Estimated mean and high percentile (95th) exposure (lower and upper bound) to acrylamide ($\mu\text{g}/\text{kg}$ b.w. per day) per Member State and survey for the adolescents' (11-17 years) age class.

| Age class | MS | N | Mean exposure $\mu\text{g}/\text{kg}$ b.w. per day | 95 th percentile exposure $\mu\text{g}/\text{kg}$ b.w. per day |
|-------------|-----|------|---|--|
| Adolescents | BE2 | 584 | 0.47-0.50 | 1.24-1.30 |
| Adolescents | CY | 303 | 0.71-0.74 | 1.51-1.56 |
| Adolescents | CZ | 298 | 1.29-1.36 | 2.92-3.06 |
| Adolescents | DE2 | 1011 | 0.32-0.35 | 0.88-0.93 |
| Adolescents | DK | 479 | 0.94-1.00 | 1.97-2.05 |
| Adolescents | ES4 | 86 | 0.69-0.72 | 1.76-1.79 |
| Adolescents | ES1 | 209 | 0.77-0.82 | 1.98-2.10 |
| Adolescents | ES2 | 651 | 0.55-0.59 | 1.31-1.33 |
| Adolescents | FR | 973 | 0.39-0.43 | 0.89-0.94 |
| Adolescents | IT | 247 | 0.81-0.86 | 1.80-1.87 |
| Adolescents | LV | 470 | 0.74-0.78 | 1.91-1.99 |
| Adolescents | SE1 | 1018 | 0.66-0.71 | 1.61-1.71 |

Table 14 shows that mean exposure (upper bound) for the adolescents (11-17 years) in the different countries varies from 0.43 to 1.36 $\mu\text{g}/\text{kg}$ b.w. per day. High exposure at the 95th percentile (upper bound) varies from 0.94 to 3.06 $\mu\text{g}/\text{kg}$ b.w. per day.

Table 15: Estimated mean and high percentile (95th) exposure (lower and upper bound) to acrylamide ($\mu\text{g}/\text{kg}$ b.w. per day) per Member State and survey for the other children (3-10 years) age class.

| Age class | MS | N | Mean exposure $\mu\text{g}/\text{kg}$ b.w. per day | 95 th percentile exposure $\mu\text{g}/\text{kg}$ b.w. per day |
|----------------|-----|------|---|--|
| Other children | BE1 | 625 | 1.95-2.05 | 3.60-3.71 |
| Other children | BG2 | 433 | 1.64-1.74 | 4.05-4.22 |
| Other children | CZ | 389 | 1.75-1.84 | 3.68-3.84 |
| Other children | DE1 | 211 | 1.09-1.17 | 2.04-2.16 |
| Other children | DE1 | 226 | 1.13-1.21 | 2.23-2.32 |
| Other children | DE1 | 223 | 1.14-1.21 | 2.18-2.31 |
| Other children | DK | 490 | 1.36-1.47 | 2.59-2.71 |
| Other children | ES1 | 156 | 1.31-1.37 | 3.35-3.43 |
| Other children | ES1 | 399 | 0.86-0.93 | 1.88-1.98 |
| Other children | FI2 | 933 | 1.39-1.45 | 2.72-2.83 |
| Other children | FI3 | 250 | 0.82-0.90 | 1.84-1.96 |
| Other children | FR | 482 | 0.68-0.73 | 1.39-1.50 |
| Other children | GR | 839 | 1.34-1.38 | 3.07-3.15 |
| Other children | IT | 193 | 1.21-1.29 | 2.91-3.00 |
| Other children | LV | 189 | 0.96-1.02 | 2.29-2.39 |
| Other children | NL2 | 957 | 0.99-1.06 | 1.98-2.06 |
| Other children | SE1 | 1473 | 1.02-1.13 | 2.78-3.13 |

Table 15 shows that the mean exposure (upper bound) for the other children (3-10 years) in the different countries varies from 0.7 to 2.05 $\mu\text{g}/\text{kg}$ b.w. per day. High exposure at the 95th percentile (upper bound) varies from 1.5 to 4.22 $\mu\text{g}/\text{kg}$ b.w. per day.

Table 16: Estimated mean and high percentile (95th) exposure (lower and upper bound) to acrylamide ($\mu\text{g}/\text{kg}$ b.w. per day) per Member State and survey for the toddler (12-35 months) and infant (1-12 months) age classes.

| Age class | MS | N | Mean exposure $\mu\text{g}/\text{kg}$ b.w. per day | 95 th percentile exposure $\mu\text{g}/\text{kg}$ b.w. per day |
|-----------|-----|-----|---|--|
| Toddlers | BE1 | 36 | 2.25-2.37 | 4.35-4.48 |
| Infants | BG2 | 860 | 1.10-1.29 | 3.69-3.97 |
| Toddlers | BG2 | 428 | 2.01-2.13 | 4.46-4.58 |
| Toddlers | DE1 | 92 | 1.52-1.79 | 3.04-3.21 |
| Toddlers | DE1 | 85 | 1.50-1.71 | 3.73-3.94 |
| Toddlers | DE1 | 84 | 1.57-1.82 | 3.20-3.47 |
| Toddlers | ES1 | 17 | 1.59-1.69 | 6.11-6.48 |
| Toddlers | FI2 | 497 | 1.26-1.37 | 3.06-3.25 |
| Infants | IT | 16 | 0.54-0.73 | 1.22-2.20 |
| Toddlers | IT | 36 | 1.24-1.35 | 3.15-3.21 |
| Toddlers | NL2 | 322 | 1.07-1.16 | 2.35-2.44 |

Table 16 shows that for infants (1-12 months) the mean exposure (upper bound) in the two countries varies from 0.73 to 1.29 $\mu\text{g}/\text{kg}$ b.w. per day. High exposure at the 95th percentile (upper bound) varies from 2.2 to 3.97 $\mu\text{g}/\text{kg}$ b.w. per day.

For toddlers (12-35 months) the mean exposure (upper bound) in the different countries varies from 1.16 to 2.37 $\mu\text{g}/\text{kg}$ b.w. per day. High exposure at the 95th percentile (upper bound) varies from 2.44 to 6.58 $\mu\text{g}/\text{kg}$ b.w. per day.

In Tables 17 to 20 the food group specific contributions in percentage of the overall total mean exposure by Member State, survey and target population are shown.

Table 17 shows that in the adult (18-64 years), elderly (65-74 years) and very elderly (from 75 years and older) population the three major contributors to acrylamide exposure are generally 'fried potatoes', 'soft bread' and 'roasted coffee'.

Table 17: Food group specific contribution in percentage of the total mean acrylamide exposure by Member State and survey for the adult (18-64 years), elderly (65-74 years) and very elderly (75 years and older) population

| Age class | MS | N | Biscuit | Fried potato | Potato crisps | Oven potato | Crisp bread | Soft bread | Unspec. bread | Breakfast cereals | Substitute coffee | Roasted coffee | Instant coffee | Muesli |
|--------------|-----|-------|---------|--------------|---------------|-------------|-------------|------------|---------------|-------------------|-------------------|----------------|----------------|--------|
| Adults | BE2 | 1304 | 11.2 | 31.1 | 9.4 | 0.2 | 1.4 | 20.7 | 5.4 | 2.6 | 0.8 | 13.6 | 1.7 | 1.6 |
| Elderly | BE2 | 518 | 11.0 | 26.6 | 2.4 | 0.2 | 1.7 | 26.2 | 2.7 | 0.6 | 3.4 | 21.2 | 1.1 | 3.0 |
| Very elderly | BE2 | 712 | 11.8 | 26.0 | 1.3 | 0.1 | 1.4 | 23.4 | 2.3 | 0.5 | 7.8 | 17.8 | 1.7 | 5.8 |
| Adults | CZ | 1666 | 8.0 | 54.3 | 5.1 | 0.0 | 3.7 | 13.1 | 10.6 | 0.5 | 0.5 | 3.0 | 0.9 | 0.3 |
| Adults | DE2 | 10419 | 6.1 | 26.7 | 5.3 | 2.0 | 4.0 | 21.6 | 10.4 | 1.2 | 0.5 | 19.8 | 0.2 | 2.1 |
| Elderly | DE2 | 2006 | 5.7 | 27.5 | 0.8 | 0.8 | 5.3 | 25.0 | 9.3 | 1.0 | 1.1 | 21.4 | 0.3 | 1.8 |
| Very elderly | DE2 | 490 | 5.2 | 34.8 | 0.8 | 0.7 | 4.7 | 22.3 | 9.4 | 1.4 | 1.6 | 17.4 | 0.2 | 1.3 |
| Adults | DK | 2822 | 0.3 | 59.2 | 2.9 | 0.0 | 0.8 | 13.5 | 8.8 | 1.0 | 0.0 | 13.3 | 0.0 | 0.4 |
| Elderly | DK | 309 | 0.2 | 67.7 | 0.2 | 0.0 | 0.8 | 11.2 | 5.6 | 0.5 | 0.0 | 13.3 | 0.0 | 0.4 |
| Very elderly | DK | 20 | 0.4 | 71.5 | 0.4 | 0.0 | 0.8 | 9.5 | 7.1 | 0.3 | 0.0 | 9.8 | 0.0 | 0.2 |
| Adults | ES3 | 410 | 12.1 | 58.6 | 4.6 | 0.0 | 0.3 | 14.5 | 4.4 | 2.8 | 0.1 | 1.3 | 1.1 | 0.2 |
| Adults | ES4 | 981 | 9.5 | 64.6 | 5.8 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 11.3 | 4.0 | 1.5 | 0.1 | 0.5 | 2.6 | 0.2 |
| Adults | FI1 | 1575 | 0.0 | 19.3 | 2.4 | 0.0 | 1.2 | 0.0 | 59.3 | 3.9 | 0.0 | 13.7 | 0.0 | 0.1 |
| Elderly | FI1 | 463 | 0.0 | 21.6 | 0.5 | 0.0 | 1.0 | 0.0 | 59.4 | 6.5 | 0.0 | 11.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Adults | FR | 2276 | 7.6 | 12.9 | 1.6 | 3.8 | 5.3 | 17.5 | 8.2 | 1.3 | 0.4 | 39.9 | 0.5 | 1.0 |
| Elderly | FR | 264 | 2.9 | 7.8 | 0.4 | 3.4 | 6.1 | 29.0 | 4.7 | 0.7 | 1.9 | 41.4 | 0.8 | 0.8 |
| Very elderly | FR | 84 | 2.5 | 9.8 | 0.0 | 2.9 | 9.4 | 30.0 | 4.8 | 0.2 | 0.5 | 38.5 | 1.1 | 0.3 |
| Adults | GB | 1724 | 6.3 | 41.3 | 8.5 | 17.3 | 2.0 | 10.2 | 4.8 | 5.0 | 0.0 | 0.7 | 0.0 | 3.6 |
| Adults | HU | 1074 | 2.7 | 62.3 | 1.0 | 0.0 | 3.3 | 12.9 | 13.4 | 0.3 | 0.3 | 3.5 | 0.2 | 0.1 |
| Elderly | HU | 206 | 3.4 | 63.1 | 1.1 | 0.0 | 2.6 | 12.9 | 13.8 | 0.1 | 0.2 | 2.8 | 0.1 | 0.0 |
| Very elderly | HU | 80 | 3.9 | 64.9 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 3.1 | 12.3 | 13.9 | 0.2 | 0.0 | 1.7 | 0.1 | 0.0 |
| Adults | IE | 958 | 10.1 | 46.1 | 6.9 | 3.8 | 2.4 | 15.5 | 4.8 | 5.3 | 0.0 | 0.7 | 2.7 | 1.6 |
| Adults | IT | 2313 | 10.3 | 40.1 | 1.0 | 0.0 | 5.3 | 13.3 | 17.3 | 0.5 | 0.1 | 11.9 | 0.0 | 0.1 |
| Elderly | IT | 290 | 9.5 | 47.7 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 5.7 | 15.2 | 10.2 | 0.2 | 0.5 | 10.9 | 0.0 | 0.1 |
| Very elderly | IT | 228 | 10.9 | 46.6 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 6.8 | 17.2 | 8.2 | 0.2 | 0.6 | 9.3 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Adults | LV | 1306 | 9.8 | 41.1 | 5.6 | 1.5 | 0.7 | 20.7 | 5.9 | 4.9 | 1.4 | 6.4 | 1.5 | 0.6 |
| Adults | NL1 | 750 | 10.4 | 38.6 | 13.4 | 1.8 | 3.7 | 18.3 | 1.8 | 0.3 | 0.1 | 8.9 | 0.5 | 2.2 |
| Adults | SE2 | 1210 | 5.0 | 35.2 | 5.3 | 4.1 | 9.7 | 11.7 | 0.2 | 1.5 | 0.0 | 14.0 | 0.0 | 13.1 |

Table 18: Food group specific contribution in percentage of the total mean acrylamide exposure by Member State and survey for the adolescent (11-17 years) population.

| Age class | MS | N | Biscuit | Fried potato | Potato crisps | Oven potato | Crisp bread | Soft bread | Unspec. bread | Breakfast cereals | Infant biscuit | Substitute coffee | Roasted coffee | Instant coffee | Muesli |
|-------------|-----|------|---------|--------------|---------------|-------------|-------------|------------|---------------|-------------------|----------------|-------------------|----------------|----------------|--------|
| Adolescents | BE2 | 584 | 17.1 | 32.3 | 16.4 | 0.3 | 1.3 | 18.2 | 4.6 | 6.7 | 0.2 | 0.1 | 1.3 | 0.1 | 1.4 |
| Adolescents | CY | 303 | 3.6 | 30.9 | 14.1 | 18.5 | 1.5 | 5.9 | 18.1 | 6.6 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.9 | 0.0 |
| Adolescents | CZ | 298 | 14.8 | 54.1 | 3.6 | 0.0 | 3.2 | 10.0 | 12.4 | 1.0 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.5 |
| Adolescents | DE2 | 1011 | 6.9 | 26.4 | 10.7 | 2.7 | 4.1 | 23.7 | 15.8 | 4.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 2.3 | 0.0 | 3.3 |
| Adolescents | DK | 479 | 0.7 | 60.1 | 7.7 | 0.0 | 0.6 | 14.3 | 13.2 | 2.9 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.2 | 0.0 | 0.3 |
| Adolescents | ES4 | 86 | 11.4 | 59.9 | 10.8 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 11.5 | 3.5 | 2.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.7 | 0.0 |
| Adolescents | ES1 | 209 | 2.7 | 64.4 | 7.9 | 0.0 | 1.4 | 14.8 | 5.9 | 2.6 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.3 | 0.0 | 0.1 |
| Adolescents | ES2 | 651 | 21.6 | 28.3 | 16.4 | 0.7 | 1.4 | 19.2 | 6.5 | 5.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.2 | 0.3 | 0.4 |
| Adolescents | FR | 973 | 20.2 | 24.8 | 3.9 | 4.3 | 5.6 | 17.4 | 12.5 | 7.6 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 2.2 | 0.1 | 1.4 |
| Adolescents | IT | 247 | 16.5 | 42.6 | 3.3 | 0.0 | 5.6 | 11.4 | 18.0 | 0.8 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 1.4 | 0.0 | 0.1 |
| Adolescents | LV | 470 | 12.8 | 40.7 | 17.8 | 0.8 | 0.7 | 14.9 | 5.2 | 5.4 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.9 | 0.3 | 0.4 |
| Adolescents | SE1 | 1018 | 5.4 | 42.7 | 12.2 | 4.9 | 6.6 | 11.1 | 2.5 | 3.3 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 11.3 |

Table 18 shows that in the adolescent population (11-17 years) two out of the three major contributors to acrylamide exposure are ‘fried potatoes’ and ‘soft bread’ as in the adult population. The third biggest contributor was in some surveys ‘biscuit’ and in others ‘potato crisps’.

Table 19: Food group specific contribution in percentage of the total mean acrylamide exposure by Member State and survey for the ‘other children’ (3-10 years) population.

| Age class | MS | N | Biscuit | Fried potato | Potato crisps | Oven potato | Crisp bread | Soft bread | Unspec. bread | Breakfast cereals | Infant biscuit | Substitute coffee | Roasted coffee | Instant coffee | Jarred baby food | Cereal baby food | Muesli |
|----------------|-----|------|---------|--------------|---------------|-------------|-------------|------------|---------------|-------------------|----------------|-------------------|----------------|----------------|------------------|------------------|--------|
| Other children | BE1 | 625 | 12.6 | 59.8 | 3.2 | 0.0 | 0.6 | 9.9 | 6.1 | 3.5 | 3.6 | 0.0 | 0.2 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.5 |
| Other children | BG2 | 433 | 6.3 | 65.4 | 0.6 | 0.3 | 4.2 | 14.9 | 7.7 | 0.4 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.1 |
| Other children | CZ | 389 | 16.0 | 54.0 | 3.9 | 0.0 | 2.8 | 9.0 | 11.2 | 2.2 | 0.0 | 0.3 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.2 | 0.0 | 0.3 |
| Other children | DE1 | 211 | 10.6 | 40.0 | 11.5 | 0.0 | 2.3 | 11.9 | 15.3 | 4.3 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 1.1 | 0.5 | 2.4 |
| Other children | DE1 | 226 | 9.9 | 41.4 | 11.5 | 0.0 | 1.9 | 10.1 | 17.8 | 3.6 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.9 | 0.4 | 2.5 |
| Other children | DE1 | 223 | 11.1 | 41.4 | 10.2 | 0.3 | 1.8 | 11.1 | 17.3 | 3.2 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 1.0 | 0.0 | 2.5 |
| Other children | DK | 490 | 1.0 | 55.1 | 5.5 | 0.0 | 0.9 | 18.6 | 14.3 | 4.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.3 |
| Other children | ES1 | 156 | 3.4 | 64.8 | 10.3 | 0.0 | 0.8 | 10.1 | 5.0 | 5.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.5 | 0.0 |
| Other children | ES2 | 399 | 26.3 | 26.3 | 16.5 | 0.2 | 1.1 | 17.0 | 6.0 | 6.3 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.3 |
| Other children | FI2 | 933 | 5.5 | 40.9 | 3.3 | 0.0 | 3.8 | 0.0 | 43.0 | 3.2 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.0 |
| Other children | FI3 | 250 | 10.0 | 38.0 | 6.2 | 3.5 | 2.2 | 12.2 | 0.5 | 3.8 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.3 | 0.0 | 23.1 |
| Other children | FR | 482 | 30.6 | 23.1 | 3.9 | 3.0 | 5.7 | 12.9 | 10.3 | 8.9 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.2 | 0.0 | 0.6 | 0.0 | 0.7 |
| Other children | GR | 839 | 12.6 | 50.2 | 10.9 | 0.0 | 3.8 | 0.0 | 19.4 | 2.7 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.3 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Other children | IT | 193 | 16.9 | 45.8 | 2.9 | 0.0 | 5.3 | 11.5 | 13.0 | 1.3 | 1.4 | 0.1 | 1.1 | 0.0 | 0.4 | 0.0 | 0.2 |
| Other children | LV | 189 | 18.7 | 33.0 | 10.7 | 0.4 | 1.8 | 11.6 | 7.2 | 13.2 | 0.0 | 2.3 | 0.4 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.7 |
| Other children | NL2 | 957 | 18.6 | 17.6 | 15.7 | 0.6 | 5.8 | 10.2 | 25.1 | 2.2 | 2.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.4 | 0.3 | 1.3 |
| Other | SE1 | 1473 | 7.2 | 28.9 | 8.2 | 3.5 | 7.4 | 8.8 | 1.7 | 3.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 1.4 | 29.8 |

children

Table 20: Food group specific contribution in percentage of the total mean acrylamide exposure by Member State and survey for the toddlers (12-35 months) and infants (1-11 months) population.

| Age class | MS | N | Biscuit | Fried potato | Potato crisps | Oven potato | Crisp bread | Soft bread | Unspec. bread | Breakfast cereals | Infant biscuit | Substitute coffee | Roasted coffee | Instant coffee | Jarred baby food | Cereal baby food | Muesli |
|-----------|-----|-----|---------|--------------|---------------|-------------|-------------|------------|---------------|-------------------|----------------|-------------------|----------------|----------------|------------------|------------------|--------|
| Toddlers | BE1 | 36 | 10.8 | 62.6 | 2.6 | 0.0 | 0.6 | 10.7 | 6.2 | 2.0 | 4.1 | 0.0 | 0.2 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.4 |
| Infants | BG2 | 860 | 15.3 | 41.0 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 3.3 | 4.6 | 6.5 | 0.0 | 0.3 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 26.8 | 2.0 | 0.0 |
| Toddlers | BG2 | 428 | 11.7 | 58.5 | 1.4 | 0.7 | 6.3 | 11.2 | 7.6 | 0.1 | 0.4 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 1.7 | 0.4 | 0.1 |
| Toddlers | DE1 | 92 | 11.3 | 40.5 | 2.5 | 0.0 | 1.9 | 8.0 | 8.0 | 0.7 | 0.2 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 22.9 | 2.3 | 1.9 |
| Toddlers | DE1 | 85 | 7.2 | 47.3 | 3.1 | 0.0 | 2.7 | 9.4 | 9.1 | 0.6 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 15.9 | 3.2 | 1.5 |
| Toddlers | DE1 | 84 | 8.1 | 44.4 | 1.9 | 0.0 | 3.9 | 9.0 | 8.2 | 0.3 | 0.9 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 18.9 | 3.5 | 1.0 |
| Toddlers | ES1 | 17 | 1.9 | 56.0 | 13.1 | 0.0 | 1.5 | 5.3 | 7.8 | 4.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 10.4 | 0.0 |
| Toddlers | FI2 | 497 | 2.7 | 21.4 | 0.2 | 0.0 | 1.5 | 0.1 | 63.1 | 4.3 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 2.7 | 4.1 | 0.0 |
| Infants | IT | 16 | 0.0 | 26.5 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.5 | 6.3 | 0.0 | 10.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 53.4 | 3.2 | 0.0 |
| Toddlers | IT | 36 | 19.2 | 36.6 | 2.5 | 0.0 | 4.3 | 7.7 | 11.7 | 0.4 | 9.7 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 7.9 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Toddlers | NL2 | 322 | 18.5 | 16.2 | 11.4 | 0.5 | 6.7 | 10.6 | 27.3 | 2.8 | 2.4 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.9 | 1.1 | 1.5 |

Table 19 shows that in the other children population (3-10 years) the three major contributors to acrylamide exposure are ‘fried potatoes’, ‘soft bread’ and ‘biscuits’.

Table 20 shows that in the infant population (12-35 months) the three major contributors to acrylamide exposure are ‘fried potatoes’, ‘jarred baby food’, and ‘biscuits’ or ‘infant biscuits’.

In the toddlers population (12-35 months) the three major contributors to acrylamide exposure are ‘fried potatoes’, ‘soft bread’ or ‘unspecified bread’ and ‘biscuits’.

4. DISCUSSION

In March 2006, a joint workshop was organised by the European Commission and the CIAA for governments, industries and academia to discuss up to date knowledge of acrylamide formation, results of recent studies and projects and opportunities, gaps and constraints in attempting to reduce the formation of acrylamide. One of the aims of the workshop was to update the toolbox approach to improve industrial processes in relation to acrylamide formation (CIAA, 2005). The toolbox updated document was published in October 2006 (CIAA, 2006). Following the workshop, a number of actions were agreed in the Expert Committee on Environmental and Industrial Contaminants of the European Commission to ensure that voluntary measures, such as the toolbox approach, were effectively applied by food operators and the results monitored. These actions included the development of the European monitoring program as issued on 3 May 2007 and the development and distribution of sector-specific brochures in collaboration with CIAA giving guidance to small- and medium-sized food operators on how to minimise acrylamide formation. The brochures or pamphlets were designed for several categories: biscuits, crackers, crisp breads, bread products, breakfast cereals and fried potato products, such as potato crisps and French fries.

4.1. Uncertainty in trend analysis

The Commission initiated the acrylamide data collection to ascertain whether or not the voluntary measures taken by the food industry have shown desirable effects resulting in a reduction of acrylamide formation in food. A three-year sampling frame (from 2007 to 2009) was initially requested with sampling by EU Member States in each year covering products with similar specification wherever possible.

In assessing the results, it is clear that a three-year sampling frame was too short to distinguish random fluctuations from real trends. The variance in acrylamide levels between countries, within countries and between individual foods constituting the nominated food groups was large for some food groups. Consequently, it was not possible to identify a statistically significant uniform European trend in eight out of 22 food groups with non uniform trends across Member States. Even for those countries in which a large amount of information was collected, the variability observed remains large pointing to issues with the short sampling frame used to evaluate acrylamide trend levels.

Factors that exacerbated the difficulties in interpreting the results included the low sample numbers in some food groups further split into sub groups, differences in analytical methods used, inconsistent sampling and possibly differences in recipe composition and processing techniques not covered by the sampling frame. It was further not clear how much effort competent authorities had so far allocated to enforcement activities in the different Member States or the knowledge of and level of adoption of the toolbox across different size industry players.

In general, more years will be needed to be able to gather evidence to distinguish random fluctuations from real trends. To facilitate interpretation of results in future years it will be important to consistently sample the same products in different years and to collect sufficient number of samples per food group.

4.2. Potato products

The area of potato products has drawn much attention because of their important contribution to the acrylamide exposure in adults as well as children, based both on a high consumption of the products and on a relatively high content of acrylamide. Different tools have been identified to lower the acrylamide formation in heated potato food, including selection of potato variety, potato storage regime, process control through thermal input and pre processing, final preparation and colour control. The acrylamide monitoring program covers three main potato food groups: 'potato crisps', 'French fries' and 'pre-cooked French fries and home cooked potato products'.

Potato crisps were identified as a food product with potential for high levels of acrylamide formation (Tareke et al. 2002). Given their popularity as a snack food, particularly among younger age groups, it is considered important to reduce its acrylamide content. A strong correlation (0.97) was found between acrylamide formation and the concentration of reducing sugars (Matthäus et al., 2004; Williams, 2005). Controlling the sugar content is currently the primary measure employed by the industry to reduce acrylamide levels in crisps. One potential way to achieve this goal is by selecting potato varieties with low levels of reducing sugars (CIAA, 2009).

For samples of potato crisps in 2009, as reported by Member States to EFSA, the median acrylamide level was 394 µg/kg. In comparison to the data from 2007 (median level of 413 µg/kg) and 2008 (median level of 436 µg/kg), 'potato crisp' show no statistically significant change over the last three years. The values reported between 2007 and 2009 are all within the range of values reported in literature for acrylamide in potato crisps where mitigation measures were applied. Monitoring data from the "Lebensmittelchemisches Institut der Deutschen Süßwarenindustrie" in Germany showed a decrease in acrylamide content of potato crisps from about 1000 µg/kg in 2002 to 300-500 µg/kg in 2008 (Matissek, 2008). From a Spanish analysis dated March 2008, Arribas-Lorenzo and Morales (2009) reported an average level of 740 µg/kg for samples of potato crisps. When comparing German samples from May-June 2002 with the same period in 2003, Foot et al. (2007) reported a significant decline in acrylamide levels of potato crisps from around 1000 µg/kg to around 600 µg/kg. However, they suggested that a level of 500 µg/kg measured leading up to July 2006 seemed to be the minimum level possible with the then available mitigation tools and that new practical tools and long-term developments for lowering sugar/asparagine levels were needed. A promising mitigation method involves the use of asparaginase, an enzyme that converts asparagine to aspartic acid. Trials at pilot plant scale confirmed the laboratory findings that asparaginase significantly reduces the acrylamide level in dough-based potato products like formed potato crisps (CIAA, 2006). The same effect was not found for sliced or chipped potato products. For the enzyme to be effective it must be able to reach the asparagine, which is difficult if the cell remains intact. As the sample results provided for the monitoring exercise 2007-2009 did not provide further information on the starting material no further investigation could be undertaken with regard to an eventual decrease in any subcategory of potato crisps. However, the new European Commission Recommendation 2010/307/EC⁷ requires that Member States in future monitoring provide further information on whether potato crisps have been produced from fresh potatoes or pre-fabricates (potato dough).

As indicated by the Union of the European Potato Processing Industry (UEITP), Foot et al. (2007) reported a decrease between 2002 and 2006 in mean acrylamide content in French fries cooked according to the on-pack instructions. When comparing the current results for 'French fries' and 'pre-cooked French fries and potato products for home cooking deep fried' in 2009 to results sampled in 2008 and 2007 no consistent trend could be observed in Europe across those three years. A few Member States showed a slight downwards trend, while others showed a slight upwards trend. There was no statistically significant change in acrylamide levels in the food subgroup 'pre-cooked French fries and potato products for home cooking oven baked' between the three years.

It looks like 'French fries' (median level of 247 µg/kg in 2009) and 'potato products for home cooking deep fried' (median level of 201 µg/kg in 2009) are at least in some Member States still above what seems to be achievable with potatoes low in reducing sugars and the use of low final oil temperatures. The median acrylamide content in 147 French fries samples from restaurants in the area of Zurich was 76 µg/kg after following some simple instructions (Foot et al., 2007). The problem is that the acrylamide level is also influenced by the end user: a low content of reducing sugars is an important first step, but the final product can still be heated at too high temperatures or for too long. Similar advice with respect to browning, frying and baking temperatures is given to consumers by various

⁷ European Commission Recommendation 2010/307/EC of 2 June 2010 on the monitoring of acrylamide levels in food. OJ L 137/4, 3.6.2010, p. 1-7.

consumer organisations. However, domestic or restaurant preparation of French fries cannot be controlled or standardised as strictly as is the case for processing conditions applied in the food industry, e.g. in the production of potato crisps (Dybing et al., 2005).

Biedermann et al. (2010), reporting on the Swiss acrylamide monitoring program from 2007 to 2009, concluded that no significant improvements could be seen even for products for which substantial potential for improvement was known. Furthermore they concluded that further progress will presuppose activity and leadership by authorities to avoid the risk of losing previous achievements and to protect responsible manufacturers against others.

In order to get a better overview of the reasons for varying acrylamide levels in comparable products Member States are required to examine production and processing methods used by food business operators (EC, 2011). Investigations are recommended if acrylamide levels in the different food groups exceed prescribed indicative values. The competent authorities should assess the extent to which currently known mitigation strategies, e.g. those proposed in the Codex code of Practice for acrylamide and the CIAA acrylamide toolbox, have been implemented by the food business operator.

To minimise losses from spoilage and shrinkage, potatoes are stored at low temperatures. However, low temperatures tend to increase sugar levels, in a process known as cold sweetening, particularly if stored below 6°C. This means that potatoes stored over the winter season and processed in spring may have higher acrylamide content than potato products processed immediately after harvesting. Storage of tubers at higher temperatures reduces sugar levels (Foot et al., 2007). Cultivars used in the crisps trade tend to be stored for longer periods of time than those used for French fries (Cummins et al., 2009).

In the present study, a seasonal effect in acrylamide content could not be determined for 'French fries' and 'potato products for home cooking'. However, for 'potato crisps' the higher acrylamide content in products sampled between January and June (median of 464 µg/kg), compared to products sampled between July and December (median of 360 µg/kg) was statistically significant. Controlling storage conditions of tubers is one of the measures added to the toolbox approach (CIAA, 2009).

4.3. Cereals and cereal products

A second large group of products contributing to acrylamide exposure in both adults and children is the cereals and cereal products group and, in particular, 'soft bread' and 'biscuit'. In 2007, during the joint workshop organised by the European Commission and the CIAA, it was concluded that there had been only limited success in reducing acrylamide formation in cereals and cereal products in relation to recipe formulation and processing conditions (Konings et al., 2007). However, there were some promising leads for the future, for example, the use of the enzyme asparaginase, which is now listed as a separate tool in the acrylamide toolbox (CIAA, 2009).

Four food groups belonging to the broad group of cereal products were sampled in the present study: 'biscuits', 'breakfast cereals', 'cereal based baby food' and 'bread'. In order to create more comparable datasets the 'bread' and 'biscuit' groups were subdivided into more detailed food groups.

'Soft bread' showed different changes in acrylamide content across the Member States over the years 2007 to 2009 and no European trend could be determined. However, an increasing trend in acrylamide values of 36.3 % over the three years was shown in 'crisp bread', but this food group was a minor contributor to the overall mean acrylamide exposure.

The only overall trends towards a decrease in acrylamide levels across the three years were observed in 'crackers' (35 %), 'infant biscuits' (49 %), and 'gingerbread' (27 %). Results from monitoring activities in the Netherlands have shown a similar decrease in gingerbread type products, particularly for Dutch spiced cakes analysed in 2006, probably because of a change in raising agent (Konings et al., 2007). The food group 'not specified biscuits' showed divergent trends in the different Member

States. Splitting the biscuit group into more distinct product subgroups in the future could facilitate assessment of time trends.

When comparing results from 'breakfast cereals' and 'cereal based baby food' in 2009 to results sampled in 2008 and 2007 the observed trend in Europe showed no statistically significant change across those three years.

It looks like further efforts in reducing acrylamide in bread products, and in particular 'soft bread', are necessary as 'soft bread' is a major contributor to acrylamide exposure ranging from 10 to 30 % in the adult population. Claus et al. (2008a) demonstrated that surface application of cysteine to the dough of wheat bread and bread rolls prior to baking showed acrylamide lowering potential and mixing of cysteine into the dough led to remarkably lower acrylamide levels in the finished product. According to a review of cereal products by Claus et al. (2008b), the most promising field for acrylamide reduction is the addition of low molecular weight additives such as polyphenols, which so far have not been applied in cereal products. Such additives ideally combine acrylamide reduction with little or no changes in product technology or, most importantly, sensory quality.

4.4. Coffee

For coffee which is also an important contributor to acrylamide exposure, although only in the adult population, results of laboratory scale experiments have led to the conclusion that only limited process options are available to reduce acrylamide levels without affecting the quality in respect to the consumer acceptance of the product (CIAA, 2009, Guenther et al., 2007). Lantz et al. (2006) arrived at a similar conclusion when investigating the factors affecting the acrylamide level in the chain from green coffee to the beverage. Also, process conditions resulting in low acrylamide levels, like darker roasting and longer roast time, tend to produce high levels of furan, another process contaminant. Consequently, no mitigation measure is yet proposed in the toolbox. On the other hand, preliminary results from lab/pilot plant studies show a possible significant reduction in green coffee asparagine levels after asparaginase treatment.

'Substitute' and 'instant coffee' show the highest, respectively the third highest mean acrylamide levels reported in the 2009 monitoring exercise. The highest 95th percentile values were reported for 'substitute' coffee samples collected from 2007 to 2009. When the values from the three coffee groups, 'roasted', 'substitute' and 'instant' coffee in 2009 were compared to those in 2008 and 2007 no European trend could be determined for the 'roasted' and 'substitute' coffee groups. The trend analysis for 'instant coffee' showed an increase in acrylamide levels over the three years.

Fortunately, neither the 'substitute' nor the 'instant' coffee group were major contributors to the overall mean acrylamide exposure (less than 2.7 %). On the other hand, 'roasted coffee' was the third most important contributor to adult dietary exposure strengthening the need to identify mitigation measures for this food group.

4.5. Dietary exposure

The mean acrylamide exposure for adults (>18 years) in Europe was estimated to range between 0.31 and 1.1 µg/kg b.w. per day. High exposure at the 95th percentile varied from 0.58 to 2.3 µg/kg b.w. per day. These results are similar to the range reported in the latest JECFA acrylamide risk assessment report (JECFA, 2010) in which mean and 95th-97.5th percentile estimates ranged between 0.2 and 1 µg/kg b.w per day and 0.6 to 1.8 µg/kg b.w per day, respectively, for the general adult population. Mean and 97.5th percentile exposure in the Belgian population (>15 years) was estimated at 0.4 µg/kg b.w. per day and 1.6 µg/kg b.w per day, respectively, with French fries, coffee, bread and biscuits as the main contributors (Claeys et al., 2010). In general, values between 0.3 to 2 µg/kg b.w per day have been reported in European countries for the average adult exposure and between 0.6 and 3.5 µg/kg b.w

per day for 90th to 97.5th percentiles (Svensson et al., 2003; Boon et al., 2005; Dybing et al., 2005; Mills et al., 2008).

In this study 'fried potatoes' (including French fries), 'soft bread' and 'roasted coffee' were identified as the major contributors to the overall adult acrylamide exposure. JECFA (2010) identified French fries, potato crisps, bread and biscuits as the main contributors for the general adult population. The difference could be due to the fact that the present study evaluated adults and adolescents separately. In other European studies food that contributed most to the acrylamide intake were in general French fries, coffee, bread, biscuits and potato crisps.

In this study the mean acrylamide exposure for adolescents in Europe was estimated to range between 0.43 and 1.4 µg/kg b.w. per day. High exposure at the 95th percentile varied from 0.94 to 3.1 µg/kg b.w. per day. Two out of the three major contributors to adolescent acrylamide exposure were 'fried potatoes' and 'soft bread' as in the adult population. The third biggest contributor was in some surveys 'biscuit' and in others 'potato crisps'. 'Coffee' was not a major contributor anymore in this target population. Matthys et al., (2005) estimated Flemish adolescents mean acrylamide exposure to 0.51 µg/kg b.w. per day and the 95th percentile to 1.09 µg/kg b.w. per day. In the Netherlands, Konings et al., (2003) estimated mean exposure for the age group 7-18 years old to be 0.71 µg/kg b.w. per day and the 95th percentile to be 0.9 µg/kg b.w. per day.

JECFA (2010) estimated, based on the few data available, that children exposure was two times higher than those of adults (Bolger et al., 2010). In the present study, based on data from 17 different surveys, mean exposure for children (3 to 10 years old) and toddlers (13-36 months old) were estimated to range between 0.70 and 2.05 µg/kg b.w. per day and 1.2 to 2.4 µg/kg b.w. per day, respectively, with 95th percentiles ranging between 1.5 and 4.2 µg/kg b.w. per day and 2.4 and 6.5 µg/kg b.w. per day, respectively. These results confirm the JECFA estimates of children exposure. In the children population (1-10 years) the three major contributors to acrylamide exposure were 'fried potatoes', 'soft bread' or 'unspecified bread' and 'biscuits'. In the Netherlands, Boon et al., (2005) estimated mean exposure for the age group 1-6 years old at 1.1 µg/kg b.w. per day and 95th percentile at 2 µg/kg b.w. per day.

Unfortunately, only two surveys of infants are included in the Comprehensive Database and their mean exposure was estimated to range between 0.73 and 1.3 µg/kg b.w. per day with the 95th percentile between 2.2 and 4 µg/kg b.w. per day. Major contributors were 'jarred baby food', 'fried potatoes' and 'biscuits' or 'infant biscuits'. In Sweden, Fohgelberg et al., (2005) estimated the mean acrylamide exposure between seven and twelve months of age to be 0.5 µg/kg b.w. per day.

It should be kept in mind that any exposure assessment is confronted with a number of uncertainties associated with the food consumption and occurrence data (Kroes et al., 2002). In this study, the mapping between consumption and occurrence data, the different ways that categorisation into more or less detailed food groups was done in the 26 different surveys, the conversion factors applied (liquid coffee to dry powder for most surveys and milling powder to bread for one Member State) and the fact that the variability in preparation and processing conditions was not accounted for are all additional sources of uncertainty associated with the estimated acrylamide exposure.

5. CONCLUSIONS

In this update report when comparing data from 2007 to 2009 a trend in Europe towards lower acrylamide values could only be found in three out of 22 food groups (all biscuit groups), whereas an increasing trend was found in 'crisp bread' and 'instant coffee'. There were no statistically significant differences in six groups, whereas in eight groups changes of acrylamide levels over time differed between Member States. The remaining three food groups did not contain enough information to perform any statistical analysis at European level.

Exposure estimates for the different target populations reported from this study were all within similar ranges of estimates previously reported for European countries.

As in previous annual acrylamide reports (EFSA 2009 and 2010) it can likewise be concluded that the application of the acrylamide toolbox has had only limited success. To lower overall exposure it would be desirable to further reduce acrylamide levels in food groups contributing the most to acrylamide exposure like, 'fried potatoes' (including 'French fries'), 'soft bread' and 'roasted coffee', 'biscuits' and 'jarred baby foods'. To clearly detect a trend the number of years covered must be extended. To facilitate interpretation of results in future years it will be important to consistently sample the same products in different years and to collect sufficient number of samples per food group in order to be able to distinguish random fluctuations from real trends.

6. REFERENCES

- Arribas-Lorenzo G, Morales FJ, 2009. Dietary exposure to acrylamide from potato crisps to the Spanish population. *Food Additives and Contaminants* 26, 289-297.
- Biedermann M, Grundböcka F, Fiseliera K, Biedermann S, Bürgia C, Groba K, 2010. Acrylamide monitoring in Switzerland, 2007-2009: results and conclusions. *Food Additives and Contaminants* 27, 10: 1352-1362.
- Bolger M, Leblanc JC, Setzer RW, 2010. Application of the margin of exposure (MoE) approach to substances in food that are genotoxic and carcinogenic. Example: Acrylamide (Cas N.79-06-1). *Food and Chemical Toxicology*, 48, 25-33.
- Boon P, De Mul A, Van der Voet H, Van Donkersgoed G, Brette M, Van Klaveren J, 2005. Calculations of dietary exposure to acrylamide. *Mut. res.* 580, 143-155.
- CIAA (Confederation of the European Food and Drink Industries), 2005. The CIAA acrylamide toolbox. Confederation of the European Food and Drink Industries (CIAA), Brussels.
- CIAA (Confederation of the European Food and Drink Industries), 2006. The CIAA acrylamide toolbox. Confederation of the European Food and Drink Industries (CIAA), Brussels.
- CIAA (Confederation of the European Food and Drink Industries), 2009. Rev 12. The CIAA acrylamide toolbox. Confederation of the European Food and Drink Industries (CIAA), Brussels.
- Claeys W, Baert K, Mestdagh J, Vercammen J, Daenens P, De Meulenaer, Maghuin-Rogister G, Huyghebaert, 2010. Assessment of the acrylamide intake of the Belgian population and the effect of mitigation strategies. *Food Additives and Contaminants* 27:9, 1199-1207.
- Claus A, Mongili M, Weisz G, Schieber A, Carle R, 2008a. Impact of formulation and technological factors on the acrylamide content of wheat bread and bread rolls. *Journal of Cereal Science* 47, 546-554.
- Claus A, Schieber A, Carle R, 2008b. Acrylamide in cereal products: A review. *Journal of Cereal Science* 47, 118-133.
- Dybing E, Farmer PB, Andersen M, Fennell TR, Lalljie SPD, Müller DJG, Olin S, Petersen BJ, Schlatter J, Scholz G, Scimeca JA, Slimani N, Törnqvist M, Tuijtelars S, Verger P, 2005. Human exposure and internal dose assessments of acrylamide in food. *Food and Chemical Toxicology* 43, 3:365-410.
- EC (European Commission), 2011. Recommendation of 11 January 2011 on investigations into the levels of acrylamide in food, C (2010) 9681 final.
- EFSA (European Food Safety Authority), 2005. Statement on summary report on Acrylamide in food of the 64th meeting of the joint FAO/WHO expert committee on food additives by the Scientific Panel on contaminants in the food chain (CONTAM), Parma. Available at http://www.efsa.europa.eu/EFSA/efsa_locale-1178620753812_1178620773121.htm

- EFSA (European Food Safety Authority), 2006. Guidance of the Scientific Committee on a request from EFSA related to Uncertainties in Dietary Exposure Assessment (adopted on 14 December 2006). *The EFSA Journal* (2006) 438, 1-54.
- EFSA (European Food Safety Authority), 2009. Results on the monitoring of acrylamide levels in food. Scientific report . 285, 1-26.
- EFSA (European Food Safety Authority), 2010. Results on acrylamide levels in food from monitoring year 2008. Scientific report. *EFSA Journal* 2010; 8(5):1599.
- EFSA (European Food Safety Authority), 2010a. Scientific report of EFSA on the Evaluation of the FoodEx, the food classification system applied in the development of the EFSA Comprehensive European Food Consumption Database. *EFSA Journal*.
- EFSA (European Food Safety Authority), 2010b. Management of left-censored data in dietary exposure assessment of chemical substances. *EFSA Journal* 2010; 8(3): [96 pp.]. doi:10.2903/j.efsa.2010.1557. Available at www.efsa.europa.eu.
- EFSA (European Food Safety Authority), 2011. Use of the EFSA Comprehensive European Food Consumption Database in Exposure Assessment. Available at www.efsa.europa.eu.
- EXPOCHI Consortium (2010) Scientific report submitted to EFSA: Long-term dietary exposure to lead in young children living in different European countries. EFSA-Q-2009-00837. Accepted for Publication on 5 May 2010. Available at www.efsa.europa.eu.
- FAO/WHO (Food and Agricultural Organisation/World Health Organisation), 2005. Summary and conclusions of the sixty-fourth meeting of the Joint FAO/WHO Expert Committee on Food Additives (JECFA), pp. 1-17. Available at http://www.who.int/ipcs/food/jecfa/summaries/en/summary_report_64_final.pdf.
- FAO/WHO (Food and Agricultural Organisation/World Health Organization), 2010. Summary and conclusions report of the seventy-second meeting of the Joint FAO/WHO Expert Committee on Food Additives (JECFA), pp 1-16. Available at: http://www.fao.org/ag/agn/agns/jecfa_output_en.asp.
- Fohgelberg P, Rosén J, Hellenäs KE, Abramsson-Zetterberg L, 2005. The acrylamide intake via some common baby food for children in Sweden during their first year of life-an improved method for analysis of acrylamide. *Food and Chemical Toxicology* 43, 951-959.
- Foot RJ, Haase NU, Grob K, Gondé P, 2007. Acrylamide in fried and roasted potato products: A review on progress in mitigation. *Food Additives & Contaminants* 24, supplement 1: 37-46.
- Guenther H, Anklam E, Wenzl T, Stadler RH, 2007. Acrylamide in coffee: Review of progress in analysis, formation and level reduction. *Food Additives & Contaminants* 24, supplement 1: 60-71.
- Granby K, Fagt S, 2004. Analysis of acrylamide in coffee and dietary exposure to acrylamide from coffee. *Analytica Chimica Acta* 520, 177-182.
- Huybrechts I, Sioen I, Boon PE, Ruprich J, Lafay L, Turrini A, Amiano P, Hirvonen T, De Neve M, Arcella D, Moschandreas J, Westerlund A, Ribas-Barba L, Hilbig A, Papoutsou S, Christensen T, Oltarzewski M, Virtanen S, Rehurkova I, Azpiri M, Sette S, Kersting M, Walkiewicz A, Serra-Majem L, Volatier JL, Trolle E, Tornaritis M, Busk L, Kafatos A, Fabiansson S, De Henauw S, Van Klaveren J (2010) Dietary Exposure Assessments for Children in Europe (the EXPOCHI project): rationale, methods and design. *Archives of public Health* . In press.
- Konings EJM, Baars AJ, van Klaveren JD, Spanjer MC, Rensen PM, Hiemstra M, van Kooij JA, Peters PWJ, 2003. Acrylamide exposure from Foods of the Dutch population and an assessment of the consequent risks. *Food and Chemical Toxicology* 41, 1569-1579.
- Konings EJM, Ashby P, Hamlet CG, Thompson GAK, 2007. Acrylamide in cereal and cereal products: A review on progress in level reduction. *Food Additives & Contaminants* 24, supplement 1: 47-60.

- Kroes R, Muller D, Lambe J, Lowik MR, Van Klaveren J, Kleiner J, Massey R, Mayer S, Urieta I, Verger P and Visconti A, 2002. Assessment of intake from the diet. *Food and Chemical Toxicology*, 40, (2-3) 327-85.
- Lantz I, Ternite R, Wilkens J, Hoenicke K, Guenther H, Van der Stegen GHD, 2006. Studies on acrylamide levels in roasting, storage and brewing of coffee. *Molecular Nutrition and Food Research*, 50, 1039-1046.
- Matissek R, 2008. Verbrauchsinfo Acrylamid – Fortschritte der industriellen kartoffelchips-hersteller (stand 10/08). Powerpoint presentation available at <http://www.lci-koeln.de>.
- Matthäus B, Haase NU, Vosmann K 2004. Factors affecting the concentration of acrylamide during deep-fat frying of potatoes. *European Journal of Lipid Science Technology*, 106, 793-801.
- Matthys C, Bilau M, Govaert Y, Moons E, De Henauw S, Willem J, 2005. Risk assessment of dietary acrylamide intake of Flemish adolescents. *Food and Chemical Toxicology*, 43, 271-278.
- Merten C., Ferrari P., Bakker M., Boss A., Hearty Á., Leclercq C., Lindtner O., Tlustos C., Verger P., Volatier J.L. and Arcella D. (2010) Methodological characteristics of the national dietary surveys carried out in the European Union as included in the EFSA Comprehensive European food consumption database. Accepted for publication.
- Mills C, Tlustos C, Evans R, Matthews W, 2008. Dietary acrylamide exposure estimates for the United Kingdom and Ireland: Comparison between semiprobabilistic and probabilistic exposure models. *Journal of Agriculture and Food Chemistry*, 56:15, 6039–6045.
- Soares C, Cunha S, Fernandes J, 2006. Determination of acrylamide in coffee and coffee products by GC-MS using an improved SPE clean-up. *Food Additives and Contaminants*, 23 (12), 1276-1282.
- Svensson K, Abramsson L, Becker W, Glynn A, Hellenäs KE, Lind Y, Rosén LJ, 2003. Dietary intake of acrylamide in Sweden. *Food and Chemical Toxicology* 41, 1581-1586.
- Tareke E, Rydberg P, Karlsson P, Eriksson S, Tornqvist M, 2002. Analysis of Acrylamide, a carcinogen formed in heated foodstuffs. *Journal of Agricultural and Food Chemistry* 50, 4998-5006.
- Verbeke G. and Molenberghs G. 2000. *Linear Mixed Models for Longitudinal Data*. New York: Springer.
- Wenzl T, Anklam E, 2007. European Union database of acrylamide levels in food: Update and critical review of data collection. *Food Additives & Contaminants* 24, supplement 1: 5-12.
- Williams JSE, 2005. Influence of variety and processing conditions on acrylamide levels in fried potato crisps. *Food Chemistry* 90, 875-881.
- World Health Organization (WHO), 2009. Dietary exposure assessment of chemicals in food (Chapter 7). Principles and methods for the risk assessment of chemicals in food. Environmental Health Criteria 240. FAO/WHO. International Programme on Chemical Safety (IPCS). Geneva : WHO, 2009. Available at <http://www.who.int/ipcs/food/principles/en/index.html>.

7. ANNEX

Mean and 95th percentile food consumption (g/day) in the total population by Member State, survey and age class as recorded in the Comprehensive European Food Consumption Database.

Table 1: Mean food consumption (g/day) in total population by Member State, survey and age class as recorded in the Comprehensive Database

| Age class | MS | N | Biscuit | Fried potato | Potato crisps | Oven potato | Crisp bread | Soft bread | Unspec. bread | Breakfast cereals | Infant biscuit | Substitute coffee | Roasted coffee | Instant coffee | Jarred baby food | Cereal baby food | Muesli |
|----------------|-----|-------|---------|--------------|---------------|-------------|-------------|------------|---------------|-------------------|----------------|-------------------|----------------|----------------|------------------|------------------|--------|
| Adolescents | BE2 | 584 | 16.4 | 30.8 | 7.6 | 0.3 | 1.7 | 111.3 | 11.1 | 13.6 | 0.4 | 0.0 | 1.8 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 3.9 |
| Adults | BE2 | 1304 | 9.2 | 26.3 | 3.9 | 0.2 | 1.6 | 112.7 | 11.5 | 4.5 | 0.2 | 0.2 | 16.9 | 1.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 3.5 |
| Elderly | BE2 | 518 | 7.9 | 18.8 | 0.9 | 0.2 | 1.7 | 117.7 | 4.8 | 0.9 | 0.0 | 0.8 | 21.3 | 0.5 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 5.6 |
| Very elderly | BE2 | 712 | 8.8 | 18.9 | 0.5 | 0.1 | 1.4 | 112.0 | 4.2 | 0.9 | 0.1 | 1.8 | 19.1 | 0.9 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 12.0 |
| Toddlers | BE1 | 36 | 11.1 | 63.0 | 1.2 | 0.0 | 0.9 | 67.6 | 16.4 | 4.5 | 9.1 | 0.0 | 0.2 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 1.1 |
| Other children | BE1 | 625 | 14.4 | 66.5 | 1.8 | 0.0 | 1.0 | 69.9 | 16.7 | 8.4 | 8.4 | 0.0 | 0.2 | 0.0 | 0.2 | 0.0 | 1.6 |
| Infants | BG2 | 860 | 5.3 | 14.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 1.7 | 10.7 | 5.8 | 0.0 | 0.3 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 65.0 | 3.2 | 0.0 |
| Toddlers | BG2 | 428 | 9.2 | 45.7 | 0.5 | 0.7 | 7.0 | 57.0 | 14.9 | 0.2 | 0.7 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 9.2 | 1.3 | 0.1 |
| Other children | BG2 | 433 | 5.7 | 56.7 | 0.2 | 0.2 | 5.1 | 83.2 | 17.1 | 0.8 | 0.3 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.4 | 0.1 | 0.2 |
| Adolescents | CY | 303 | 4.0 | 34.7 | 8.1 | 23.6 | 2.3 | 44.2 | 51.3 | 16.6 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.8 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Other children | CZ | 389 | 22.8 | 77.0 | 2.7 | 0.0 | 5.5 | 80.9 | 41.0 | 5.7 | 0.0 | 0.2 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 2.0 | 0.2 | 1.3 |
| Adolescents | CZ | 298 | 28.1 | 101.0 | 3.4 | 0.0 | 8.9 | 125.3 | 58.3 | 3.7 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.2 | 0.8 | 0.0 | 3.2 |
| Adults | CZ | 1666 | 14.1 | 96.1 | 4.5 | 0.0 | 9.2 | 151.6 | 48.1 | 1.8 | 0.0 | 0.3 | 7.6 | 1.1 | 0.3 | 0.0 | 1.6 |
| Adolescents | DE2 | 1011 | 4.7 | 17.6 | 3.6 | 2.0 | 3.9 | 104.5 | 26.6 | 6.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 2.3 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 6.3 |
| Adults | DE2 | 10419 | 4.9 | 21.2 | 2.1 | 1.7 | 4.5 | 111.2 | 20.7 | 2.1 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 22.6 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 4.5 |
| Elderly | DE2 | 2006 | 4.4 | 20.8 | 0.3 | 0.7 | 5.7 | 123.0 | 17.6 | 1.6 | 0.0 | 0.3 | 23.3 | 0.2 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 3.7 |
| Very elderly | DE2 | 490 | 4.2 | 27.5 | 0.3 | 0.6 | 5.5 | 115.2 | 18.7 | 2.4 | 0.0 | 0.4 | 19.8 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 2.9 |
| Toddlers | DE1 | 92 | 7.4 | 26.2 | 0.9 | 0.0 | 1.7 | 32.8 | 13.0 | 1.0 | 0.2 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 107.6 | 6.9 | 3.3 |
| Other children | DE1 | 211 | 9.0 | 33.0 | 4.9 | 0.0 | 2.4 | 64.8 | 32.9 | 7.9 | 0.2 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 5.4 | 1.1 | 5.5 |
| Toddlers | DE1 | 85 | 4.6 | 29.5 | 1.0 | 0.0 | 2.3 | 38.8 | 14.9 | 0.9 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 74.5 | 9.1 | 2.8 |
| Other children | DE1 | 226 | 8.6 | 34.8 | 5.4 | 0.0 | 2.3 | 56.2 | 39.7 | 6.9 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 4.4 | 1.1 | 6.2 |
| Toddlers | DE1 | 84 | 5.6 | 27.6 | 0.6 | 0.0 | 3.4 | 36.8 | 13.5 | 0.5 | 0.9 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 83.2 | 9.9 | 1.8 |
| Other children | DE1 | 223 | 9.4 | 35.6 | 5.0 | 0.2 | 2.2 | 62.3 | 39.5 | 6.5 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 6.0 | 0.2 | 6.1 |
| Other children | DK | 490 | 1.2 | 64.5 | 3.3 | 0.0 | 1.6 | 138.3 | 42.2 | 10.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.9 |
| Adolescents | DK | 479 | 1.2 | 93.3 | 5.8 | 0.0 | 1.2 | 141.0 | 51.0 | 9.3 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.6 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 1.3 |
| Adults | DK | 2822 | 0.5 | 109.6 | 2.5 | 0.0 | 2.0 | 157.5 | 40.1 | 3.8 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 35.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 1.9 |
| Elderly | DK | 309 | 0.5 | 144.0 | 0.2 | 0.0 | 2.4 | 153.6 | 29.9 | 2.3 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 39.9 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 2.6 |
| Very elderly | DK | 20 | 0.9 | 174.9 | 0.4 | 0.0 | 2.7 | 148.7 | 43.1 | 1.6 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 34.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 1.5 |
| Adults | ES3 | 410 | 12.2 | 56.2 | 2.1 | 0.0 | 0.4 | 90.7 | 11.0 | 5.6 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 1.8 | 0.7 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.6 |

| Age class | MS | N | Biscuit | Fried potato | Potato crisps | Oven potato | Crisp bread | Soft bread | Unspec. bread | Breakfast cereals | Infant biscuit | Substitute coffee | Roasted coffee | Instant coffee | Jarred baby food | Cereal baby food | Muesli |
|----------------|-----|------|---------|--------------|---------------|-------------|-------------|------------|---------------|-------------------|----------------|-------------------|----------------|----------------|------------------|------------------|--------|
| Adolescents | ES4 | 86 | 16.6 | 82.0 | 7.8 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 103.0 | 12.4 | 5.6 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.2 | 0.6 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.2 |
| Adults | ES4 | 981 | 11.5 | 76.1 | 3.4 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 86.0 | 11.9 | 3.7 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.9 | 2.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.5 |
| Toddlers | ES1 | 17 | 1.5 | 41.6 | 5.3 | 0.0 | 1.8 | 27.4 | 16.0 | 5.3 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 41.6 | 0.0 |
| Other children | ES1 | 156 | 3.9 | 71.0 | 5.1 | 0.0 | 1.2 | 68.1 | 13.3 | 10.8 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 2.4 | 0.1 |
| Adolescents | ES1 | 209 | 3.4 | 80.7 | 4.8 | 0.0 | 2.4 | 120.2 | 18.6 | 7.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.5 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.4 | 0.2 |
| Other children | ES2 | 399 | 20.5 | 20.0 | 6.3 | 0.2 | 1.2 | 84.3 | 11.6 | 10.4 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.6 |
| Adolescents | ES2 | 651 | 20.8 | 26.7 | 7.9 | 0.9 | 1.8 | 118.9 | 15.5 | 10.2 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 1.0 |
| Adults | FI1 | 1575 | 0.0 | 23.5 | 1.4 | 0.0 | 2.1 | 0.1 | 184.0 | 10.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 24.3 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.3 |
| Elderly | FI1 | 463 | 0.0 | 26.5 | 0.3 | 0.0 | 1.7 | 0.1 | 185.5 | 16.9 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 19.7 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.1 |
| Toddlers | FI2 | 497 | 1.2 | 9.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.9 | 0.3 | 67.8 | 3.9 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 8.8 | 9.2 | 0.0 |
| Other children | FI2 | 933 | 4.6 | 33.6 | 1.3 | 0.0 | 4.5 | 0.2 | 89.5 | 5.7 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.3 | 0.4 | 0.0 |
| Other children | FI3 | 250 | 7.9 | 28.4 | 2.4 | 2.9 | 2.4 | 60.3 | 1.1 | 6.2 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 2.0 | 0.1 | 48.2 |
| Other children | FR | 482 | 15.3 | 11.7 | 1.0 | 1.5 | 4.2 | 44.0 | 13.0 | 9.3 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.2 | 0.0 | 1.5 | 0.0 | 1.0 |
| Adolescents | FR | 973 | 12.7 | 16.4 | 1.2 | 3.0 | 5.1 | 74.5 | 20.2 | 10.3 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 2.3 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 2.4 |
| Adults | FR | 2276 | 6.8 | 11.6 | 0.7 | 3.6 | 6.5 | 101.4 | 18.3 | 2.4 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 50.3 | 0.3 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 2.5 |
| Elderly | FR | 264 | 1.9 | 5.3 | 0.2 | 2.4 | 5.7 | 126.6 | 8.0 | 0.9 | 0.0 | 0.4 | 40.2 | 0.4 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 1.3 |
| Very elderly | FR | 84 | 1.5 | 5.8 | 0.0 | 2.0 | 8.5 | 119.1 | 7.8 | 0.3 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 34.2 | 0.5 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.4 |
| Adults | GB | 1724 | 9.8 | 61.5 | 6.3 | 27.8 | 4.0 | 99 | 18.2 | 16.4 | 0.3 | 0.0 | 1.5 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 15.2 | |
| Other children | GR | 839 | 11.9 | 46.6 | 5.1 | 0.0 | 5.1 | 0.2 | 45.7 | 5.5 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 2.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Adults | HU | 1074 | 4.9 | 108.5 | 0.8 | 0.0 | 8.0 | 145.8 | 59.0 | 1.1 | 0.0 | 0.2 | 8.7 | 0.2 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.5 |
| Elderly | HU | 206 | 5.7 | 104.2 | 0.9 | 0.0 | 6.2 | 138.1 | 58.2 | 0.2 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 6.8 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.2 |
| Very elderly | HU | 80 | 6.6 | 113.9 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 7.9 | 141.2 | 61.2 | 0.6 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 4.3 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Adults | IE | 958 | 13.7 | 61.3 | 4.5 | 5.5 | 4.5 | 133.3 | 16.0 | 15.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 1.3 | 2.4 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 5.9 |
| Infants | IT | 16 | 0.0 | 5.3 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.7 | 3.5 | 0.0 | 4.5 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 62.3 | 3.6 | 0.0 |
| Toddlers | IT | 36 | 10.6 | 19.0 | 0.8 | 0.0 | 3.4 | 25.5 | 15.8 | 0.4 | 10.7 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 31.4 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Other children | IT | 193 | 16.4 | 44.7 | 1.7 | 0.0 | 7.4 | 73.7 | 33.2 | 2.9 | 2.4 | 0.0 | 1.7 | 0.0 | 1.5 | 0.0 | 0.7 |
| Adolescents | IT | 247 | 23.2 | 57.3 | 1.9 | 0.0 | 10.3 | 101.1 | 61.5 | 2.3 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 3.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.5 |
| Adults | IT | 2313 | 12.7 | 48.5 | 0.6 | 0.0 | 8.9 | 105.2 | 52.9 | 1.1 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 20.8 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.4 |
| Elderly | IT | 290 | 11.0 | 55.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 9.8 | 114.2 | 30.2 | 0.4 | 0.0 | 0.2 | 18.6 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.2 |
| Very elderly | IT | 228 | 12.1 | 51.7 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 10.3 | 123.9 | 23.9 | 0.6 | 0.0 | 0.2 | 14.7 | 0.0 | 0.2 | 0.0 | 0.1 |
| Other children | LV | 189 | 17.4 | 24.2 | 5.1 | 0.3 | 2.3 | 72.0 | 17.4 | 26.4 | 0.0 | 0.7 | 0.5 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 1.6 |
| Adolescents | LV | 470 | 15.7 | 41.0 | 10.3 | 1.1 | 1.1 | 115.4 | 15.2 | 13.5 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 1.6 | 0.3 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 1.3 |

| Age class | MS | N | Biscuit | Fried potato | Potato crisps | Oven potato | Crisp bread | Soft bread | Unspec. bread | Breakfast cereals | Infant biscuit | Substitute coffee | Roasted coffee | Instant coffee | Jarred baby food | Cereal baby food | Muesli |
|----------------|-----|------|---------|--------------|---------------|-------------|-------------|------------|---------------|-------------------|----------------|-------------------|----------------|----------------|------------------|------------------|--------|
| Adults | LV | 1306 | 10.3 | 36.2 | 3.0 | 1.8 | 1.0 | 142.6 | 16.4 | 10.9 | 0.0 | 0.4 | 9.6 | 1.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 1.9 |
| Adults | NL1 | 750 | 12.8 | 47.8 | 8.2 | 2.5 | 6.4 | 143.8 | 5.3 | 0.7 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 15.9 | 0.4 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 7.3 |
| Toddlers | NL2 | 322 | 9.5 | 8.2 | 2.9 | 0.3 | 4.9 | 33.5 | 34.3 | 3.0 | 2.6 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 3.6 | 2.7 | 2.0 |
| Other children | NL2 | 957 | 12.3 | 11.4 | 5.1 | 0.4 | 5.2 | 42.1 | 41.0 | 3.0 | 2.9 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 1.8 | 0.8 | 2.1 |
| Adults | SE2 | 1210 | 5.8 | 40.4 | 3.0 | 4.9 | 15.9 | 86.0 | 0.7 | 3.7 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 22.9 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 41.3 |
| Other children | SE1 | 1473 | 6.2 | 25.7 | 3.8 | 3.4 | 8.7 | 51.0 | 3.9 | 5.8 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 4.7 | 61.1 |
| Adolescents | SE1 | 1018 | 5.0 | 37.8 | 5.6 | 4.7 | 8.2 | 64.3 | 5.6 | 6.5 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 28.1 |

Table 2: 95th percentile of food consumption (g/day) in total population by Member State, survey and age class as recorded in the Comprehensive Database

| Age class | MS | N | Biscuit | Fried potato | Potato crisps | Oven potato | Crisp bread | Soft bread | Unspec. bread | Breakfast cereals | Infant biscuit | Substitute coffee | Roasted coffee | Instant coffee | Jarred baby food | Cereal baby food | Muesli |
|----------------|-----|-------|---------|--------------|---------------|-------------|-------------|------------|---------------|-------------------|----------------|-------------------|----------------|----------------|------------------|------------------|--------|
| Adolescents | BE2 | 584 | 74.0 | 125.0 | 40.0 | 0.0 | 12.0 | 240.0 | 75.5 | 60.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 9.4 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 25.0 |
| Adults | BE2 | 1304 | 47.0 | 126.0 | 22.5 | 0.0 | 10.6 | 256.0 | 66.9 | 30.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 56.3 | 1.6 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 17.5 |
| Elderly | BE2 | 518 | 37.5 | 102.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 12.0 | 239.0 | 37.5 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.3 | 53.1 | 4.5 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 24.7 |
| Very elderly | BE2 | 712 | 39.5 | 110.5 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 8.0 | 229.0 | 26.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 1.3 | 46.3 | 5.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 100.0 |
| Toddlers | BE1 | 36 | 45.8 | 128.3 | 10.0 | 0.0 | 7.3 | 146.0 | 76.3 | 43.3 | 29.7 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Other children | BE1 | 625 | 43.3 | 149.0 | 10.0 | 0.0 | 6.0 | 133.3 | 69.2 | 35.0 | 26.7 | 0.0 | 1.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.7 |
| Infants | BG2 | 860 | 30.5 | 66.3 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 13.5 | 57.9 | 24.4 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 256.3 | 22.1 | 0.0 |
| Toddlers | BG2 | 428 | 35.0 | 125.3 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 29.5 | 117.8 | 47.6 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 80.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Other children | BG2 | 433 | 31.3 | 168.8 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 30.0 | 166.4 | 60.8 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Adolescents | CY | 303 | 20.0 | 103.3 | 30.0 | 100.0 | 13.3 | 111.7 | 141.7 | 50.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 5.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Other children | CZ | 389 | 75.0 | 183.3 | 25.0 | 0.0 | 21.7 | 161.1 | 116.9 | 50.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 12.5 |
| Adolescents | CZ | 298 | 100.0 | 277.4 | 35.0 | 0.0 | 36.5 | 290.0 | 153.2 | 27.5 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 1.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 20.0 |
| Adults | CZ | 1666 | 65.0 | 248.9 | 45.0 | 0.0 | 33.3 | 340.0 | 163.5 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 24.5 | 6.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.1 |
| Adolescents | DE2 | 1011 | 30.0 | 110.5 | 20.0 | 0.0 | 25.0 | 237.5 | 132.0 | 40.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 13.8 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 40.0 |
| Adults | DE2 | 10419 | 30.0 | 114.0 | 12.5 | 0.0 | 30.0 | 250.0 | 100.0 | 20.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 57.3 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 30.0 |
| Elderly | DE2 | 2006 | 25.0 | 110.5 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 35.0 | 253.8 | 88.5 | 10.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 48.8 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 31.5 |
| Very elderly | DE2 | 490 | 25.0 | 120.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 35.0 | 247.0 | 94.0 | 20.0 | 0.0 | 3.8 | 40.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 30.0 |
| Toddlers | DE1 | 92 | 34.5 | 80.9 | 6.7 | 0.0 | 10.0 | 70.8 | 53.5 | 6.7 | 1.7 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 440.3 | 31.7 | 17.9 |
| Other children | DE1 | 211 | 37.3 | 92.3 | 33.3 | 0.0 | 16.7 | 153.7 | 97.8 | 40.6 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 6.7 | 0.0 | 35.2 |
| Toddlers | DE1 | 85 | 20.3 | 102.0 | 10.0 | 0.0 | 18.7 | 96.0 | 47.7 | 8.3 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 317.2 | 44.5 | 21.4 |
| Other children | DE1 | 226 | 35.0 | 96.6 | 40.7 | 0.0 | 13.7 | 126.7 | 111.3 | 34.7 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 33.0 |
| Toddlers | DE1 | 84 | 20.0 | 88.4 | 4.3 | 0.0 | 16.0 | 92.0 | 48.6 | 2.0 | 4.3 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 375.7 | 48.2 | 8.3 |
| Other children | DE1 | 223 | 32.5 | 96.7 | 36.7 | 0.0 | 12.7 | 132.7 | 106.7 | 29.3 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 13.0 | 0.0 | 36.5 |
| Other children | DK | 490 | 6.9 | 153.2 | 15.0 | 0.0 | 7.4 | 238.4 | 111.9 | 32.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 7.4 |
| Adolescents | DK | 479 | 6.9 | 238.7 | 27.9 | 0.0 | 5.6 | 258.5 | 135.7 | 33.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 2.9 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 9.1 |
| Adults | DK | 2822 | 3.4 | 267.2 | 15.0 | 0.0 | 8.5 | 278.4 | 108.9 | 21.9 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 90.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 14.0 |
| Elderly | DK | 309 | 3.4 | 315.4 | 2.1 | 0.0 | 9.1 | 264.5 | 77.0 | 15.5 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 80.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 9.1 |
| Very elderly | DK | 20 | 9.4 | 407.7 | 4.3 | 0.0 | 11.2 | 216.9 | 137.0 | 13.9 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 71.4 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 15.1 |
| Adults | ES3 | 410 | 58.0 | 168.7 | 15.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 200.0 | 56.3 | 35.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 6.0 | 4.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Adolescents | ES4 | 86 | 72.0 | 186.2 | 80.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 222.5 | 41.2 | 30.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 2.0 | 2.7 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Adults | ES4 | 981 | 52.0 | 177.8 | 20.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 192.2 | 45.6 | 28.7 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 4.0 | 5.3 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |

| Age class | MS | N | Biscuit | Fried potato | Potato crisps | Oven potato | Crisp bread | Soft bread | Unspec. bread | Breakfast cereals | Infant biscuit | Substitute coffee | Roasted coffee | Instant coffee | Jarred baby food | Cereal baby food | Muesli |
|----------------|-----|------|---------|--------------|---------------|-------------|-------------|------------|---------------|-------------------|----------------|-------------------|----------------|----------------|------------------|------------------|--------|
| Toddlers | ES1 | 17 | 25.0 | 100.0 | 75.0 | 0.0 | 30.0 | 115.0 | 120.0 | 60.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 269.5 | 0.0 |
| Other children | ES1 | 156 | 30.0 | 217.5 | 25.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 150.0 | 60.0 | 60.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Adolescents | ES1 | 209 | 22.5 | 225.0 | 30.0 | 0.0 | 7.5 | 305.0 | 82.5 | 40.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 2.5 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Other children | ES2 | 399 | 65.0 | 79.5 | 31.5 | 0.0 | 9.0 | 165.0 | 50.0 | 40.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Adolescents | ES2 | 651 | 75.0 | 98.7 | 44.0 | 0.0 | 15.0 | 250.0 | 64.3 | 57.5 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 2.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Adults | FI1 | 1575 | 0.0 | 114.6 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 11.1 | 0.0 | 348.5 | 40.4 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 57.5 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Elderly | FI1 | 463 | 0.0 | 119.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 8.0 | 0.0 | 350.2 | 50.2 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 44.3 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Toddlers | FI2 | 497 | 6.3 | 53.6 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 5.3 | 1.3 | 130.1 | 21.9 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 52.9 | 70.0 | 0.0 |
| Other children | FI2 | 933 | 20.0 | 94.5 | 8.0 | 0.0 | 15.4 | 0.0 | 162.3 | 21.7 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Other children | FI3 | 250 | 30.0 | 93.8 | 15.0 | 25.0 | 11.3 | 127.5 | 0.0 | 26.3 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 186.3 |
| Other children | FR | 482 | 48.9 | 35.7 | 5.7 | 8.9 | 15.6 | 114.1 | 42.0 | 36.4 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 5.7 |
| Adolescents | FR | 973 | 56.6 | 53.6 | 7.1 | 22.2 | 19.8 | 187.8 | 70.4 | 49.3 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 11.2 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 14.3 |
| Adults | FR | 2276 | 36.0 | 42.9 | 4.3 | 26.6 | 27.3 | 242.9 | 69.6 | 17.1 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 170.2 | 1.8 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 14.3 |
| Elderly | FR | 264 | 12.4 | 28.6 | 0.0 | 17.7 | 22.9 | 263.2 | 33.4 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 111.6 | 2.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Very elderly | FR | 84 | 12.9 | 25.0 | 0.0 | 17.7 | 32.1 | 260.5 | 26.7 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 95.5 | 3.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Adults | GB | 1724 | 39.7 | 158.3 | 25.0 | 98.1 | 16.6 | 201.9 | 58.5 | 62.1 | 1.8 | 0.0 | 8.3 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 84.6 |
| Other children | GR | 839 | 46.7 | 133.3 | 26.7 | 0.0 | 26.7 | 0.0 | 106.7 | 30.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Adults | HU | 1074 | 33.3 | 250.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 26.7 | 288.0 | 147.0 | 6.7 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 28.9 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Elderly | HU | 206 | 33.3 | 250.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 21.7 | 240.0 | 145.4 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 21.7 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Very elderly | HU | 80 | 33.3 | 258.3 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 27.5 | 274.3 | 142.3 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 19.9 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Adults | IE | 958 | 46.1 | 186.3 | 19.6 | 45.7 | 15.2 | 273.1 | 47.2 | 56.9 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 7.5 | 11.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 30.4 |
| Infants | IT | 16 | 0.0 | 21.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 11.0 | 22.5 | 0.0 | 18.7 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 260.0 | 45.3 | 0.0 |
| Toddlers | IT | 36 | 45.3 | 84.5 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 13.9 | 90.0 | 88.4 | 6.7 | 32.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 186.7 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Other children | IT | 193 | 60.0 | 141.4 | 10.0 | 0.0 | 31.0 | 180.0 | 118.8 | 21.0 | 16.0 | 0.0 | 5.4 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Adolescents | IT | 247 | 76.7 | 163.4 | 10.0 | 0.0 | 34.7 | 230.0 | 182.8 | 15.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 14.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Adults | IT | 2313 | 50.0 | 152.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 32.4 | 250.0 | 161.4 | 7.5 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 52.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Elderly | IT | 290 | 48.0 | 156.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 35.7 | 250.0 | 102.9 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.3 | 51.7 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Very elderly | IT | 228 | 48.0 | 159.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 38.0 | 270.0 | 88.7 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.8 | 39.6 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Other children | LV | 189 | 75.0 | 100.0 | 37.5 | 0.0 | 7.5 | 195.0 | 112.5 | 130.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 4.6 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Adolescents | LV | 470 | 75.0 | 175.0 | 50.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 275.0 | 112.5 | 90.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 10.0 | 2.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Adults | LV | 1306 | 50.0 | 150.0 | 22.5 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 345.0 | 135.0 | 100.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 27.0 | 5.6 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Adults | NL1 | 750 | 57.0 | 211.9 | 40.0 | 0.0 | 32.5 | 292.5 | 44.6 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 56.5 | 2.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 40.0 |

| Age class | MS | N | Biscuit | Fried potato | Potato crisps | Oven potato | Crisp bread | Soft bread | Unspec. bread | Breakfast cereals | Infant biscuit | Substitute coffee | Roasted coffee | Instant coffee | Jarred baby food | Cereal baby food | Muesli |
|----------------|-----|------|---------|--------------|---------------|-------------|-------------|------------|---------------|-------------------|----------------|-------------------|----------------|----------------|------------------|------------------|--------|
| Toddlers | NL2 | 322 | 29.0 | 48.5 | 15.0 | 0.0 | 22.6 | 100.0 | 102.5 | 22.1 | 15.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 27.4 | 21.5 | 4.9 |
| Other children | NL2 | 957 | 40.5 | 60.0 | 22.5 | 0.0 | 26.6 | 113.8 | 122.5 | 22.5 | 15.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 7.4 |
| Adults | SE2 | 1210 | 20.0 | 117.9 | 17.9 | 37.4 | 49.3 | 170.0 | 0.0 | 18.6 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 53.6 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 214.3 |
| Other children | SE1 | 1473 | 23.0 | 100.0 | 20.0 | 31.3 | 33.0 | 115.3 | 21.4 | 22.8 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 350.0 |
| Adolescents | SE1 | 1018 | 21.5 | 137.5 | 30.0 | 43.8 | 33.8 | 136.3 | 26.7 | 29.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 150.0 |